FILE

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of the Ohio Department of Development for an Order Approving Adjustments to the Universal Service Fund Riders of Jurisdictional Ohio Electric Distribution Utilities.

Case No. 08-658-EL-UNC

TESTIMONY

OF

DONALD A. SKAGGS

ON BEHALF OF THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT

PUCO

October 31, 2008

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed OCT 31 2008

TESTIMONY OF DONALD A. SKAGGS On Behalf of The Ohio Department of Development

Q.	Please state your name and business address.
A.	My name is Donald A. Skaggs. My business address is Ohio Department of
	Development ("ODOD"), 77 South High Street, 25th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43216-
	1001.
Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
A.	I am employed by ODOD in its Office of Community Services ("OCS") as Assistant
	Office Chief.
Q.	Please briefly describe your educational background and employment experience.
A.	I have a B.A. from Miami University and an M.S.W. from the University of Michigan. I
	have been employed by the state of Ohio for thirty-two years, twenty-five of which have
	been with ODOD. Most of my professional experience has been concentrated in the
	areas of program evaluation and program management. Prior to being named Assistant
	Office Chief earlier this year, I was the OCS Research and Planning Manager. In that
	capacity, I was responsible for the procedures that enable OCS to meet the compliance
	requirements of various federal programs, and was also responsible for the management
	of large data bases, data analyses, and preparing related reports. During the
	administration of Governor Voinovich, I served two years as an Executive on Loan to the
	Governor's Office of Family and Children First.
Q.	What are your duties and responsibilities as OCS Assistant Office Chief?
A.	As Assistant Office Chief, I am responsible for the management of several programs,
	including the electric Percentage of Income Payment Plan ("PIPP") program, the Home
	A. Q. A.

- Weatherization Assistance Program, the Electric Partnership Program, and the
- 2 Community Services Block Grant program.
- 3 Q. What is your role with respect to the electric PIPP program?
- 4 A. Since the legislature assigned ODOD responsibility for administering the Universal 5 Service Fund ("USF") and the electric PIPP program in 1999, I have been the ODOD staff person primarily responsible for developing the USF monthly reporting procedures 6 7 for the electric distribution utilities ("EDUs") and calculating the USF riders that ODOD 8 has proposed for each EDU. I prepared the exhibits which were submitted with ODOD's 9 prior USF filings in the electric transition plan ("ETP") cases where the initial USF riders 10 were established and in each subsequent annual USF rider rate adjustment application 11 (Case Nos. 01-2411-EL-UNC, 02-2868-EL-UNC, 03-2049-EL-UNC, 04-1616-EL-UNC, 12 05-717-EL-UNC, 06-751-EL-UNC, 07-661-EL-UNC), as well as those attached to 13 ODOD's application in this case.
- 14 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
- 15 A. Yes. I submitted written testimony in support of ODOD's application in each of the
 16 annual USF rider rate adjustment proceedings identified in my previous answer. I also
 17 presented written and oral testimony in the Notice of Intent ("NOI") phase of Case No.
 18 05-717-EL-UNC in support of ODOD's position on various issues.
- 19 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 20 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain the basis upon which the proposed USF riders
 21 that are the subject of this application were calculated.

- Q. Why is it necessary for ODOD to seek the adjustments to the USF riders at this time?
- 3 A. The stipulation entered into by the parties in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC required ODOD to file, not later than October 31, 2008, an application for approval of such adjustments to 4 the riders as are necessary to assure, to the extent possible, that each EDU's rider will 5 generate its associated revenue requirement – but not more that its associated revenue 6 7 requirement – during the next annual collection period. As indicated in the application, 8 ODOD has determined that, on an aggregated basis, the total pro forma annual revenue 9 that the current USF riders would generate will be insufficient to provide adequate funding for the low-income customer assistance and consumer education programs and to 10 11 cover their associated administrative costs during the 2008 collection period. However, 12 while the pro forma revenues that would be generated by the current USF riders of the 13 Dayton Power and Light Company ("DPL"), Ohio Edison Company ("OE"), and Toledo 14 Edison Company ("TE") will fall short of the revenue targets ODOD's analysis indicates 15 are now appropriate for these EDUs, the current USF riders of The Cleveland Electric 16 Illuminating Company ("CEI"), Columbus Southern Power Company ("CSP"), Duke 17 Energy Ohio ("Duke"), and Ohio Power Company ("OP") would over-recover those 18 companies' USF rider revenue responsibility during the collection year. By its 19 application, ODOD seeks an order from the Commission directing each EDU to adjust its 20 USF rider rate accordingly.
 - Q. What factors contribute to the need to adjust the USF riders?

21

Generally speaking, the need to adjust the riders is primarily attributable to two separate factors. First, because the current riders are based on historical Kwh sales, they will not, in actual practice, generate the level of revenue they were designed to produce on a proforma basis. Although one would never expect test-period sales to be identical to sales in the collection period, updating the sales volumes to reflect the more recent experience of each company should, all else being equal, produce a more representative result. Second, the USF rider revenue requirement for each company has also changed from the revenue requirements the Commission found to be reasonable in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC.

These changes are due to a number of factors, including, among other things, changes in the cost of PIPP resulting from increases in PIPP enrollment experienced by the various EDUs and changes in the EDUs' collection experience. Thus, the USF rider rates must be adjusted if they are to recover their related revenue requirements, but no more than their related revenue requirements, over the 2009 collection period.

A.

A.

O. How was the USF rider revenue requirement target for each EDU determined?

As described in the application, the annual revenue requirement which the proposed USF riders are designed to generate consists of eight elements: (1) the cost of PIPP, (2) the cost of targeted energy efficiency programs and the consumer education programs, now referred to by ODOD collectively as the Electric Partnership Program ("EPP"), (3) the allowance for ODOD's PIPP-related administrative costs, (4) an allowance to recognize the projected EDU December 31, 2008 USF account balances, (5) an allowance to fund a reserve, (6) an allowance for interest costs, (7) an allowance for undercollection, and (8), an allowance for the cost of EDU audits. As indicated in the application, ODOD has

Is ODOD's methodology for determining the USF rider revenue requirement
discussed below.
conceptually appropriate to consider calendar 2008 as the test period for reasons
the time the application was prepared for purposes of the test period analysis, it is
saying that ODOD has utilized the most recent twelve months of actual data available at
corresponding months of the previous year. Although this is simply another way of
not available at the time the application was prepared by substituting data from the
has projected the results for those months of the test period for which information was
As in prior cases, ODOD has utilized actual data through August of the test period, and
used a calendar 2008 test period for purposes of the USF revenue requirements analysis.

- Q. Is ODOD's methodology for determining the USF rider revenue requirement proposed in the application in this case generally consistent with the methodology previously approved by the Commission in prior USF rider adjustment cases?
- 13 A. Yes. The revenue requirement methodology used in preparing this application is
 14 generally consistent with that approved in prior USF rider rate adjustment proceedings.
 15 Moreover, it is identical to the methodology approved by the Commission in its
 16 September 10, 2008 finding and order in the NOI phase of this proceeding.
- 17 Q. How was the cost of PIPP component of the USF rider revenue requirement
 18 calculated for purposes of this case?
- 19 A. The cost of PIPP represents the total cost of electricity consumed by each EDU's PIPP
 20 customers during the test period, plus pre-PIPP balances, less all payments made by and
 21 on behalf of PIPP customers, including USF rider collections and agency payments, over
 22 the same period. The information necessary to perform this calculation comes from the

USF Monthly Report and Remittance forms (USF-301) and the USF Monthly Reimbursement Request forms (USF-302), the documents the EDUs use to report the USF rider collections remitted to ODOD and to request reimbursement from the USF for the cost of electricity delivered to PIPP customers. As in prior cases, ODOD used the unadjusted actual data for the most recent twelve months for which information was available at the time the application was prepared to calculate the test-period cost of PIPP. The workpapers showing the calculation for each EDU are attached as Exhibits DAS-1 through DAS-7 to my testimony. The resulting test-period cost of PIPP components for each EDU are shown in Exhibit A to the application. However, in this case, the use of the test-period cost of PIPP numbers will not produce the appropriate allowance for this element of the USF rider revenue requirement of all the EDUs.

Q. Please explain.

A.

During 2008, various elements of DPL's tariffed rates for electric service were adjusted pursuant to orders of this Commission. Although these rate adjustments change the cost of electricity delivered to PIPP customers, they do not change the level of PIPP customer payments because those payments are based on fixed, specified percentages of customer income and are not tied to the rates charged. Thus, an increase in an EDU rate element increases the cost of PIPP by widening the gap between the cost of electricity delivered to PIPP customers and the amount paid by PIPP customers. On the other hand, a decrease in a rate element reduces the cost of PIPP by narrowing this gap. Because the DPL rate changes to which I referred were not in place throughout the test-period, it is necessary to adjust the test-period cost of electricity delivered to PIPP customers to annualize the

1	impact of these rate changes. Otherwise, the test period cost of PIPP will not reflect the
2	annual revenue requirement that must be recovered through this component of DPL's
3	USF rider rate.

- What adjustments to DPL's actual test-period cost of PIPP have you made to recognize the changes to DPL's tariffed rate elements during 2008?
- DPL has reported that there were three Commission-approved rate changes during 2008. 6 Α. 7 The first, an increase in its environmental investment rider effective January 1, 2008, was, in fact, recognized through a post-test period adjustment in last year's case (Case 8 9 No. 07-661-EL-UNC, Amended Application, Exhibit A.1), so no adjustment is required 10 in this case. The other two 2008 changes were an increase in DPL's PJM administration fee recovery mechanism effective May 1, 2008 and the withdrawal of DPL's storm cost 11 12 recovery rider near the end of July 2008. Although the impact of these changes is 13 captured in the reported actual data for May though August 2008 in the case of the PJM 14 administrative fee, and the reported actual data for August 2008 in the case of the 15 withdrawal of the storm cost recovery rider, the data for the other months of the test period, including the surrogate months of September through December 2006, do not 16 **17** reflect these changes. The annualization adjustments for these changes are shown in 18 Exhibits A.1.a and A.1.b of the application.
- Q. Are any other adjustments to DPL's cost of PIPP required as a result of changes in
 DPL's rates?
- 21 A. Yes. DPL's environmental investment rider will again increase on January 1, 2009. In 22 addition, DPL's current residential generation discount will expire on December 31,

2008, which means that the price of residential generation service will be higher effective January 1, 2009. Although these rate changes are outside the calendar 2008 test period, these are known and measurable changes that must be recognized if DPL's USF rider is to recover the cost of PIPP during the 2009 collection period. The adjustments for these changes are shown in Exhibits A.1.c and A.1.d of the application. The Commission approved similar post-test period adjustments in Case No. 06-751-EL-UNC and Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC.

8 Q. Have any other EDU's reported rate changes that occurred in 2008?

A.

- No. However, ODOD is aware that the other EDUs currently have ESP cases pending before the Commission and that some level of rate increases will undoubtedly be authorized effective January 1, 2009 or thereafter as a result of these cases. Because the amount of these rate increases is unknown at this time, it is not possible to incorporate their effects in determining the USF rider revenue requirement of these EDUs at this juncture. Further, because the new USF rider rates approved in this proceeding will be effective with the January 2009 EDU billing cycles, it appears unlikely that orders will be issued in the ESP cases in time for ODOD to address the impact of the rate increases through an amended application in this case. Thus, it appears that it will be necessary for ODOD to file a supplemental application in early 2009 to seek an adjustment in the USF rider rates to reflect these increases.
- Q. After performing the adjustments for the DPL rate changes you have described, what allowance for the cost of PIPP do you recommend for inclusion in the USF rider revenue requirement of each of the EDUs?

- 1 A. The proposed cost of PIPP components of the respective EDU revenue requirements are
 2 shown in the Adjusted Test-Period Cost of PIPP column in Exhibit A.1 to the application.
- 3 Q. How was the proposed allowance for the cost of the Electric Partnership Program determined?
- A. 5 This USF rider revenue requirement component is intended to recognize the cost of the 6 low-income customer energy efficiency and consumer education programs which are 7 funded through the USF. In all previous USF rider adjustment cases, the Commission 8 has accepted the \$14,946,196 EPP allowance first proposed by ODOD when the initial 9 USF riders were established in the ETP proceedings. However, as a part of a settlement 10 agreement entered into with the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") in the 11 NOI phase of Case No. 05-717-EL-UNC, ODOD agreed that in future USF rider rate 12 adjustment proceedings, ODOD would base its proposed allowance for EPP costs on its 13 projection of payments to EPP providers and the administrative costs associated with 14 ODOD's oversight of the EPP program during the collection period.
- 15 Q. What has ODOD projected these costs to be for the 2009 collection period during
 16 which the USF rider rates set in this case will be in effect?
- As shown in Exhibit A to the NOI submitted in this proceeding, ODOD's analysis for
 2009 supported the use of the same \$14,946,196 annual allowance for these costs that the
 Commission has accepted in all prior USF rider rate adjustment proceedings.
- Q. Did the Commission approve the \$14,946,196 allowance for EPP costs in the NOI phase of this case?

1 A. Yes. However, the stipulation adopted by the Commission in its September 10, 2008 2 finding and order in the NOI phase of this case provided that, as indicated in the NOI, ODOD would adjust the proposed allowance for EPP costs if updated projections 3 4 suggested that \$14,946,196 allowance was no longer appropriate. The stipulation also 5 provided that ODOD would address questions raised by OCC in its objections to the NOI 6 relating to the projected indirect costs and outside consultant costs included in the EPP 7 analysis supporting the proposed allowance for EPP costs presented in Exhibit A to the 8 NOI.

9 Q. What was the basis for OCC's objection relating to indirect costs?

In Exhibit A to the NOI, ODOD presented a table showing, by cost category, the actual
EPP expenditures for FY 2006, FY 2007, and FY 2008 (year-to-date), as well as a
column headed "FY 2009 Budget" that showed projected expenditures for each of the
EPP line items for FY 2009. In its objections, OCC pointed out that the line item for
Indirect Costs in the FY 2009 Budget column of \$616,080 greatly exceeds the historical
level of these costs and questioned the reason for this difference.

Q. Can you explain this difference?

16

17

18

19

20

21

A.

Upon investigation, I have determined that the \$616,080 shown for Indirect Costs was incorrect. As explained in detail in the testimony of ODOD witness Nick Sunday, the Ohio Department of Administrative Services ("DAS") periodically determines a specified percentage of total payroll that OCS must pay to DAS for overheads. Applying the current DAS percentage of 42.10 percent to the projected EPP Payroll amount of

\$538,046.09 shown in the FY 2009 Budget produces an indicated value for Indirect Costs of \$226,517.

O. How did this error occur?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- In NOI Exhibit A, ODOD pointed out that its proposed \$14,946,196 allowance for EPP Α. costs was consistent with the annual appropriation authorization for FY 2009 sought by ODOD for inclusion in the state biennium budget for the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years. Although not mentioned in the NOI EPP exhibit in this case, Exhibit A to the NOI in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC indicated that the requested appropriation, which was ultimately approved, was \$15 million for each of the two years. The narrative following the table in NOI Exhibit A implies that the FY 2009 Budget column contains the same details that were developed in 2007 to support the FY 2009 appropriations request. However, this is not the case. The individuals that prepared the FY 2009 EPP appropriation request in 2007 are no longer with ODOD, and the original details could not be located. Thus, ODOD attempted to reconstruct the original projection of FY 2009 EPP costs, and, in the process, updated the estimates in certain of the cost categories to reflect more current information. The individual that was assigned this task is no longer with ODOD. Although I have not been able to replicate his calculation of the amount for Indirect Costs, he apparently either used the wrong payroll base or included costs that should have accounted for in a different category. Q. Does this error change your opinion as to the reasonableness of the allowance for
- Q. Does this error change your opinion as to the reasonableness of the allowance for EPP costs requested in this case?
- A. Absolutely not.

Q. Why not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

First, it has never been ODOD's intention to suggest that the allowance for EPP costs approved by the Commission should be set at a level equal to the FY Budget amount presented in its EPP cost exhibit, and, in fact, the Commission has not done so in prior cases. Although I will not repeat the explanation here, the narrative in NOI Exhibit A sets out a number of factors, the effects of which cannot be quantified at this time, which support a conclusion that the necessary allowance for EPP costs will be greater than the projected EPP costs shown in the FY 2009 Budget column. Second, although the projected FY 2009 Budget Indirect Costs shown on the table are overstated by some \$390,000 due to the error I described, there is some \$70,000 in unbudgeted contract costs not shown in FY 2009 Budget column that will be incurred during the period, which narrows the difference resulting from the use of the erroneous figure for Indirect Costs. Finally, after correcting the Indirect Costs error and adding the \$70,000 in known unbudgeted contract costs, the projection of quantifiable FY 2009 EPP costs is still in excess of \$14,580,000, which, when coupled with the impact of the factors discussed in NOI Exhibit A, clearly supports the reasonableness of the continuation of the \$14,946,146 allowance for EPP costs approved by the Commission in all prior USF rider rate adjustment cases. Indeed, the Commission approved this allowance in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC even though the FY 2008 Budget amount presented in NOI Exhibit A in that case showed quantifiable projected costs of \$14,132,697, which is obviously well below the corrected quantifiable costs identified above.

Q. What was the issue OCC raised in its objections with respect to consultant costs?

In Exhibit A to the NOI, ODOD noted that, consistent with the EPP objective of reducing electrical consumption of the targeted low-income population, ODOD had engaged an outside consultant to assist it in its efforts to assure the cost effectiveness of the program. In its objections, OCC complained that the consultant was not identified, that the purpose for which the consultant was retained was not explained, that cost of the consultant was not quantified, and that there was no indication of which line item in the NOI Exhibit A table included the cost. OCC also inquired as to the amount of the cost for consultant that ODOD would seek to recover from customers through the USF rider rates and asserted that a process should be established for review of the consultant's findings by the parties to the case. Although ODOD supplied much of the requested information to OCC informally shortly after its objections were filed, I will address these questions in this testimony so that the responses will be in the public record.

Q. Please proceed.

A.

A.

Since the inception of the EPP, ODOD has routinely engaged independent consultants to evaluate the program impacts, including the cost-effectiveness and environmental impacts of the program. The last such evaluation was completed in 2006, and resulted in a finding that the EPP did, in fact, generate a net savings. In April 2008, ODOD retained consultant Michael Blasnick to perform another such evaluation. The fee for his services of \$47,920 will be paid upon receipt of his report, which is expected to be completed in June 2009. This amount is shown in the Contract Services category in the FY 2009 Budget column in the table in Exhibit A. Thus, the cost of the evaluation is captured in the proposed allowance for EPP costs, and will be recovered from ratepayers through this

1		element of the USF rider rates. ODOD has no objection to providing the report to
2		interested parties once it is submitted, and, as in the past, will post the report on the
3		ODOD website and will provide the report to the Public Benefits Advisory Board.
4		Consistent with past practice, meetings will be held with EPP stakeholders, including
5		members of the USF Rider Working Group, to discuss the consultant's findings.
6	Q.	How has ODOD allocated the EPP costs among the EDUs?
7	A.	As in all prior USF rider rate adjustment applications, ODOD has allocated this
8		component of the revenue requirement among the EDUs based on the ratio of their
9		respective costs of PIPP to the total cost of PIPP. The development of the allocation
10		factors and the results of the allocation are shown in Exhibit B to the application.
11	Q.	What allowance for PIPP-related administrative costs has ODOD proposed for
12		inclusion in the USF rider revenue requirement in this case?
13	A.	ODOD has proposed an allowance for PIPP-related administrative costs of \$2,021,589.
14		The basis for the proposed allowance is explained in the testimony of ODOD witness
15		Nick Sunday.
16	Q.	How has ODOD allocated the administrative cost component of USF rider revenue
17		requirement among the EDUs?
18	A.	As in all previous USF rider rate adjustment applications, ODOD has allocated
19		responsibility for the administrative costs to the EDUs based on the relative number of
20		PIPP customers. Specifically, as shown in Exhibit C to the application, this revenue
21		requirement component has been allocated among the EDUs based on the number of

- 1 PIPP customer accounts as of April 2008, the test-period month exhibiting the highest
 2 PIPP customer account totals.
- You have identified the projected December 31, 2008 USF account balance as an element of the EDU's USF rider revenue requirement. Why is this component included?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

The USF rider rate is calculated with reference to historical annual Kwh sales. Because actual sales will vary from sales during the test period, and because other factors bearing on the cost of PIPP also change, the EDU's rider rate will, in actual practice, either overrecover or under-recover its associated revenue requirement during the collection period. All else being equal, over-recovery will result in a positive year-end USF account balance for the EDU in question, while under-recovery will create a negative balance. A positive USF account balance reduces the amount needed to satisfy the USF rider revenue requirement on a going-forward basis, while a negative balance means that there will be insufficient cash available for ODOD to make the monthly PIPP reimbursement payments due the EDU in question. To synchronize the new USF rider with each EDU's existing USF account cash position, the revenue target must be adjusted by the amount of the USF account balance as of the rider's effective date. Thus, a positive balance must be deducted from the revenue requirement, while a negative balance must be added to the revenue target the rider is designed to generate. Because ODOD is requesting that the proposed USF riders be made effective January 1, 2009 on a bills-rendered basis, I have adjusted each EDU's rider revenue target by the amount of the EDU's projected December 31, 2008 USF account balance. The adjustments are displayed in Exhibit D of

1		the application. The workpapers showing the calculation of the projected December 31,
2		2008 balances are attached to my testimony as Exhibits DAS-8 through DAS-14.
3	Q.	Has the Commission previously approved the inclusion of this element in
4		determining the target revenues the proposed USF rider rates must be designed to
5		generate?
6	A.	Yes. The Commission has approved this synchronizing adjustment in establishing the
7		USF riders in all previous USF rider adjustment cases, and has again accepted this
8		methodology in its September 10, 2008 finding and order in the NOI phase of this case.
9	Q.	If this component of the USF rider rate remains in effect for longer than one year,
0		would not an EDU with a projected December 31, 2008 USF PIPP account balance
i 1		deficit begin to over-recover its USF rider revenue requirement?
12	A.	Because the component reflecting a December 31, 2008 deficit will be recovered on an
13		annual basis, the recovery will, in theory, be complete after the new USF rider has been
l 4		in place for one year. On the other hand, an EDU with a positive projected December 31
15		2007 balance will, in theory, have paid this surplus back to ratepayers by the end of the
6		collection year. This means that, all else being equal, the allowance for this revenue
17		requirement element should come out of their USF riders at that time.

2010 to recognize that the amortization of the December 31, 2008 balances, whether negative or positive, will have been completed at that time?

Is ODOD proposing that the USF riders be automatically adjusted on January 1,

Q.

18

A. No. Although ODOD will be monitoring the monthly EDU USF balances very closely,

ODOD will also continue to examine all the other elements of the USF rider revenue

requirement, and will keep a watchful eye on whether, in practice, riders are generating the necessary level of revenue. Rather than proposing an automatic adjustment for one component of the USF riders on the anniversary date, ODOD believes the better approach is to revisit all elements of the rider before January 1, 2010, so that, if it reasonably appears that additional adjustments are required, all proposed adjustments can be incorporated in a single filing with the Commission. Thus, while ODOD agrees that the component reflecting the December 31, 2008 PIPP USF account balance, whether negative or positive, should be eliminated once the balance has been fully amortized, that adjustment should be made in the context of this broader evaluation. Indeed, the parties to the stipulations in all previous USF rider adjustment cases, in requiring that ODOD file a new application on or before October 31, recognized that this annual review process is necessary. ODOD continues to support this approach.

A.

- Q. What is the purpose of including an allowance to create a reserve as a USF rider revenue requirement component?
 - As described in the application, ODOD has entered into agreements with each EDU that provide that ODOD will be assessed a carrying charge on all monthly payments reimbursing the EDU for cost of electricity delivered to PIPP customers which do not arrive by the specified due date. Because of the weather-sensitive nature of electricity sales and certain other factors, such as PIPP enrollment behavior, PIPP-related cash flows fluctuate significantly over the course of the year. These fluctuations will result in negative PIPP USF account balances in some months, which will mean that ODOD will be unable to satisfy its monthly payment obligation to the EDU on a timely basis and

will, therefore, incur carrying charges in those months. The graph attached to the application as Exhibit E plots the consolidated net PIPP USF account balance throughout the year. Any USF rider revenues ODOD must pay out in carrying charges will impair its ability to fund the low-income customer assistance and consumer education programs and pay their administrative costs. Thus, ODOD is again proposing that a component be included in the USF rider revenue target to fund a reserve that can be drawn upon to reduce ODOD's liability for these carrying charges over the coming year.

Q.

A.

Does this reserve component of the USF rider revenue target serve a different purpose than the component that recognizes projected EDU December 31, 2008 PIPP USF account balances?

Yes. A deficit EDU December 31, 2008 account balance represents an existing shortfall which must be remedied if the USF fund is to have the cash necessary to fulfill the purposes for which it was created on a going-forward basis, while a positive EDU December 31, 2008 account balance represents an amount that must be returned to ratepayers. Thus, the December 31, 2008 account balance element is, in essence, a true-up mechanism. The reserve, on the other hand, is intended to mitigate ODOD's future liability for carrying charges which would otherwise result from its inability to reimburse EDUs on a timely basis in certain months for the cost of electricity furnished to PIPP customers. Thus, revenues that have been generated and retained for the purpose of establishing the reserve are not deducted as a part of the synchronizing adjustment for those EDUs with a positive projected December 31, 2008 USF account balance.

- Q. Was an allowance to create a cash reserve included in developing the revenue target for the USF riders approved in previous USF rider rate adjustment cases?
- Yes. However, as I have explained in my testimony in previous cases, the methodology 3 Α. 4 used to fund the reserve has changed over time. Although recognizing the need for a 5 reserve early on, ODOD, in an attempt to minimize the impact on ratepayers, proposed a very conservative mechanism for funding the reserve in the first five USF rider 6 7 adjustment cases. Despite a tweak to the original methodology in Case No. 03-2049-EL-8 UNC, it eventually became apparent that the reserve could not be fully funded under this 9 approach due to dramatic year-to-year increases in the cost of PIPP. These increases 10 meant that the cost of PIPP components of the approved USF riders, which were 11 calculated based on historical test-period data, were not generating the revenues sufficient 12 to cover the actual cost of PIPP during the collection period. As a result, ODOD was 13 forced to utilize the USF rider revenues earmarked for the reserve, as well revenues 14 earmarked for other purposes, to meet its reimbursement obligations to the EDUs on a 15 timely basis during months in the collection period in which negative cash flows were at 16 their highest levels.

17 Q. What did ODOD do to address this problem?

22

- 18 A. In its application in the 2006 case, ODOD abandoned the ineffective methodology it had
 19 previously employed and proposed to calculate the reserve component based on the
 20 highest monthly deficit for each EDU during the test period. The Commission approved
 21 this approach in Case No. 06-751-EL-UNC and, again, in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC.
 - Q. Has ODOD utilized this same method for funding the reserve in this case?

Yes. In the NOI, ODOD again proposed basing the allowance for this element of the USF rider revenue requirement on the highest projected monthly deficit for the EDU in question during the test period. The Commission approved this methodology in its September 10, 2008 finding and order in the NOI phase of this case. However, there are unique circumstances present in this case which require that the indicated test-period reserve targets for CSP and OP be adjusted.

7 Q. Please explain.

A.

A.

In April 2008, ODOD filed a supplemental application in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC seeking an increase in the CSP and OP USF rider rates initially approved in the Commission's December 19, 2007 opinion and order in that case to reflect the correction of certain errors in the calculation of the revenue requirements upon which the rider rates were based. The Commission, by its finding and order of May 28, 2008, granted the supplemental application and directed CSP and OP to replace their existing USF rider rates with new rider rates designed to recover the increases in their respective revenue requirements resulting from the correction of the errors over the final seven months of the 2008 collection period. If the CSP and OP USF rider revenue requirements had been correctly calculated in the first place, the USF rider rates implemented with the January 2008 billing cycles would have been higher, which, in turn, would have meant that the cash deficit in April 2008, the test-period month with the highest deficit for both CSP and OP, would have been lower. Thus, the use of the actual April 2008 deficits as the benchmark for the reserve would overstate the reserve requirements for these companies.

Q. What adjustment have you made to address this issue?

I calculated what the initial CSP and OP USF rider rates in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC would have been had they been based on the correct annual revenue requirements, and applied those restated rates to the January, February, and March 2008 sales volumes to determine the revenues the pro forma revenues the restated rates would have generated had they been in place during those months. I then reduced the April 2008 CSP and OP reserve deficits by the difference between the pro forma revenue at the restated rates and the actual collections for the months in question. The reserve components for CSP and OP shown in Exhibit F to the application reflect this adjustment. The calculation to restate the CSP and OP USF rider rates are shown in attached Exhibits DAS-43 and DAS-44, respectively. The adjustments to the January, February, and March 2008 revenues to reflect the restated rates are shown in Exhibits F.1 and F.2 to the application.

A.

- Q. What is the purpose of including an allowance for interest in the revenue targets the proposed USF riders are designed to meet?
- A. Notwithstanding the use of the methodology for establishing the reserve component I have just described, ODOD projects that it will still incur some level of carrying charges under its agreements with the EDUs in certain months because the total revenues earmarked for the reserve will not be fully collected until the end of 2009. Thus, an allowance for this interest expense must be included in the USF rider revenue requirement if ODOD is to have sufficient revenues to fund the low-income customer assistance and consumer education programs and cover the associated administrative costs.

- Q. Was a component for interest included in developing the revenue requirement upon which the USF riders approved in the previous USF rider adjustment cases were based?
- 4 A. Yes. The Commission accepted such a component in all prior USF rider adjustment
 5 proceedings and again approved this component in its September 10, 2008 finding and
 6 order in the NOI phase of this case.
- 7 Q. How was the proposed allowance for interest calculated?
- A. As explained in the application, I performed a cash-flow analysis which projected the
 daily PIPP USF account balances which the proposed riders would produce. I then
 translated these balances into late payment days and applied the daily carrying charge
 specified in the various agreements to determine the interest costs ODOD would be
 expected to incur. The proposed allowance for interest to be reflected in the USF rider of
 each EDU is shown in Exhibit G to the application. The workpapers supporting these
 figures are attached to my testimony as Exhibits DAS-15 through DAS-21.
- 15 Q. The next USF rider revenue requirement element you have identified is an allowance for undercollection. What is the purpose of this component?
- An allowance for undercollection is necessary to recognize that there is a difference
 between the amount billed through the USF rider and the amount actually collected from
 customers. If this element is not included in determining the USF rider revenue
 requirement, the riders will not generate the target revenue.
- 21 Q. Was an allowance for undercollection built into the current USF riders?

A. Yes. The Commission authorized this allowance in all prior USF rider adjustment cases
and again approved the inclusion of this element in its September 10, 2008 finding and
order in this case. This allowance is identical in concept to the allowance for
uncollectibles routinely recognized in utility ratemaking. Because the EDU is merely a
conduit for USF rider revenues, the allowance must be incorporated in USF rider itself if
the USF rider rates are to produce the required revenues.

7 Q. How was the proposed allowance for undercollection calculated?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A.

- As in all prior cases, the allowance was calculated on a company-specific basis so as to reflect the test-period undercollection experience of each EDU. For each reported month, an undercollection percentage was determined by dividing the amount of USF rider revenues actually collected by the EDU by the pro forma revenues as determined by multiplying the Kwh sales for that month by USF rider rate. The resulting average rate of collection was then applied to the pro forma annual rider revenue. The difference between that result and the pro forma annual rider revenue represents the amount the allowance for undercollection is intended to recover on an annual basis. The proposed allowance for undercollection for each EDU is shown in Exhibit H of the application. The workpapers supporting this analysis are attached to my testimony as Exhibits DAS-22 through DAS-28.
- 19 Q. The final element of the USF rider revenue requirement that you have identified is 20 an allowance for audit costs. Please explain why this element has been included in 21 the USF rider revenue requirement proposed by ODOD in this case.
- 22 A. This proposed allowance has been included to recover the cost of the EDU audits that

12		proposed allowance for the cost of the audits of the EDUs that will be audited in
11	Q.	If ODOD does not yet know the amount of these audit costs, what is the basis for the
10	A.	No. However, ODOD anticipates issuing an RFP within the next few months.
9	Q.	Has ODOD issued a request for proposals ("RFP") for conducting these audits?
8		would incur under its agreements with the EDUs.
7		shortfalls that would ultimately translate into an increase in the interest costs ODOD
6		revenues earmarked for other purposes to pay these costs, which could lead to revenue
5		2009. If no allowance is included, ODOD would be required to utilize USF rider
4		and the FirstEnergy companies (CEI, OE, and TE), the EDUs that will be audited in
3		proposed that an allowance of \$40,000 be included in the revenue requirements of DPL
2		Group (the "Working Group"). As shown in Exhibit I to the application, ODOD has
1		will be conducted in 2009 pursuant to the recommendation of the USF Rider Working

14 A. The proposed allowance is purely a "guesstimate." However, one should bear in mind
15 that ODOD will true up any difference between the proposed allowance and the actual
16 cost of these reviews in next year's USF rider rate adjustment application.

13

2008?

- 17 Q. In Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC, the Commission approved ODOD's proposal to
 18 include an allowance for EDU audit costs of \$40,000 for each of the AEP companies
 19 (CSP and OP) and Duke. What costs did ODOD actually incur for these audits
 20 during the 2008 collection period?
- A. The contract price proposed by the winning bidder, Schneider Downs, came in at \$83,000, which was the amount actually paid by ODOD in 2008 for this engagement.

- Q. If the actual cost of the audits was less than the total allowance for this project built into the 2008 USF rider rates of the companies, should not the difference be flowed back to EDU ratepayers?
- Yes, of course. However, no additional adjustment is required to accomplish this result
 because the December 31, 2008 USF account balance component of the revenue
 requirement already takes this into account.
- 7 Q. Please explain.

22

- The projected EDU December 31, 2008 USF account balance component of the revenue requirement captures the difference between actual costs and actual collections. As I previously explained, positive year-end balances are flowed back to ratepayers over the next collection period, while year-end deficits are recovered over the next collection period. Thus, the amount by which allowance collected through the riders to pay for these audits exceeded the actual costs of the project will be returned to the customer over the course of 2009.
- 15 Q. In the NOI filed in this docket on June 2, 2008, ODOD stated that, if the Schneider 16 Downs findings with respect to Duke and the AEP companies suggested that their 17 monthly reimbursement requests overstated the reimbursement to which they were 18 lawfully entitled, ODOD would supplement its NOI by proposing a mechanism to 19 credit customers appropriately. Has ODOD subsequently supplemented its NOI? 20 A. No. Although Schneider Downs completed the report detailing the results of its 21 application of agreed-upon procedures to the AEP companies in August 2008, Schneider

Downs encountered some unanticipated difficulties in completing the Duke report. As a

1		result, the Duke report has not yet been circulated to members of the Working Group,
2		although ODOD does expect that it will be distributed shortly. Under the agreed process,
3		ODOD will not issue the supplement to the NOI ("Supplement") containing its
4		conclusions and recommendations regarding the findings in the Schneider Downs'
5		reports until after an exit interview at which members of the Working Group will be
6		provided the opportunity to ask questions of Schneider Downs regarding the reports.
7		ODOD will submit the Supplement as soon thereafter as possible. Although ODOD
8		hopes that any issues raised by the Supplement or objections thereto can be resolved in
9		time to incorporate any revenue requirement impact in the amended application that will
10		be filed in this case, this may not be possible.
11	Q.	If issues raised by the Supplement or objections thereto cannot be resolved in time
12		to incorporate any impact on the USF rider revenue requirements in the amended
13		application, what does ODOD recommend?
14	A.	ODOD recommends that the Supplement remain on its own procedural track. If there are
15		issues raised that have revenue requirement implications, the resolution of those issues

- A. ODOD recommends that the Supplement remain on its own procedural track. If there are issues raised that have revenue requirement implications, the resolution of those issues can be reflected in the supplemental application ODOD will file to address the January 1, 2009 increases in EDU rates resulting from the pending ESP proceedings.
- 18 Q. What are the results of your USF rider revenue requirements analysis?

16

17

- 19 A. The USF rider revenue requirement analysis for each EDU is summarized in Exhibit I to 20 the application.
- Q. How does ODOD propose to recover the annual USF rider revenue requirement for each EDU?

A. ODOD proposes to recover the annual USF rider revenue requirement for each company through a USF rider which incorporates the same two-step declining block rate design approved by the Commission in all prior USF rider adjustment proceedings. The Commission again approved this rate design methodology in its September 10, 2008 finding and order in the NOI phase of this case.

Q. How did you calculate the proposed rider for each EDU?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As shown in Exhibit J to the application, I began by dividing the respective revenue requirements by the EDU's test-period Kwh sales to determine the per Kwh rate which would apply if the EDU's annual USF rider revenue requirement were to be recovered through a uniform per Kwh rate. The sales information came from each EDU and is attached to my testimony as Exhibit DAS-29 through DAS-35. Under the Commissionapproved USF rider rate design methodology, the first block of the rate applies to all monthly consumption up to and including 833,000 Kwh (i.e., one-twelfth of an annual consumption of 10,000,000 Kwh). The second block applies to all consumption above 833,000 Kwh per month. The rate per Kwh for the second block is set at the lower of the PIPP rider rate in effect in October 1999 or the per-Kwh rate that would apply if the EDU's annual USF rider revenue requirement were to be recovered through a single block per-Kwh rate, with the for the first block rate set at the level necessary to produce the remainder of the EDU's annual USF rider revenue requirement. In this case, this cap is in play for all the EDUs, so all the proposed rider rates have this declining block feature as shown in the table on page 12 of the application. The workpapers supporting the rate calculations are attached to my testimony as Exhibits DAS-36 through DAS-42.

- Q. What do the final three line items (lines 20, 21, and 22) on each of these workpapers represent?
- A. Line 20 shows the dollar difference per-Kwh between the first block rate under the
 approved two-tier rate design and a uniform per-Kwh rate. Line 21 expresses this
 difference as a percentage. Line 23 shows the annual cost impact on the average
 residential customer of the EDU in question resulting from the use of the declining block
 rate structure as opposed to a uniform rate per Kwh. As in prior cases, I have presented
 this analysis purely for informational purposes.
- 9 Q. How do the proposed USF riders compare to the current USF riders?
- 10 A. The table on page 12 of the application compares the current and proposed rider rates.

 11 As indicated in the table on page 5 of the application, the adjusted test-period revenues

 12 produced by the current USF riders of DPL, OE, and TE, fall short of their respective

 13 indicated revenue targets, while the adjusted test-period revenues produced by the current

 14 USF riders of CEI, CSP, Duke, and OP exceed their associated revenue requirement

 15 responsibility. Thus, the DPL, OE, and TE rider rates will increase, while the rider rates

 16 of the remaining EDU's will go down.
- 17 Q. How were the adjusted test period USF rider revenues shown in the table on page 5 of the application determined?
- 19 A. Typically, pro forma test-period revenues are determined by simply applying the current
 20 rates to test-period sales volumes, which was the methodology I used to produce the
 21 adjusted test-period USF rider revenue figures shown for CEI, DPL, Duke, OE, and TE in
 22 the table on page 5 of the application. However, the current CSP and OP rider rates are

the rates approved in the Commission's May 28, 2008 finding and order in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC. As I have explained, these riders were designed to recover the increase in the CSP and OP revenue requirements resulting from correcting the errors identified in ODOD's supplemental application in that case over the final seven months of 2008. Because these rider rates are "seven-month" rates, using these rates to calculate annual test-period pro forma revenues would obviously be inappropriate. To permit a more meaningful comparison to the CSP and OP USF rider revenue targets proposed in this case, the adjusted test-period USF rider revenues for CSP and OP shown on the table on page 5 of the application were determined by applying the "twelve-month" CSP and OP rider rates that would have been in place throughout the 2008 test-period if the CSP and OP revenue requirements approved by the Commission's December 19, 2007 opinion and order in Case No. 07-661-EL-UNC had been correctly determined. As I indicated in discussing the adjustments to the CSP and OP reserve allowances, the derivation of the restated CSP and OP rates are shown in Exhibits DAS-43 and DAS-44 of my testimony. Although the table on page 5 of the application still shows a surplus for both CSP and OP, the use of the current "seven-month" rates would have overstated the surpluses. Does this conclude your testimony? Yes. However, I reserve the right to supplement my testimony after additional actual

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Α. information becomes available.

Universal Service Fund Current Rider Mechanism Cost of PIPP

Company: COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER

For monthly billing cycle Enging:	80-48P	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	May-68	Jun-08	Jul-08	Aug-08	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	Dec-07	Annual
Remittance (Form USF-301-00)													
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$1,978,745.81 \$1,856,218,55 \$1,854	\$1,856,218,55	\$1,854,157.17	\$1,534,745.28 \$1,431,181.50	\$1,431,181.50	\$2,145,094.51 \$2,485,784.44	\$2,485,784.44	\$2,561,711.79	\$2,561,711.79 \$1,141,865.59 \$1,018,688.07	\$1,018,688.07		\$913,346.72 \$1,038,348.32	\$20,050,828.75
2. Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments b. Other Customer Payments c. Agency Payments	\$1,784,087.40 \$1,282,349.17 \$1,389 \$369,381.87 \$455,230,57 \$455 \$1,182,434,22 \$1,183,492,74 \$382,	\$569,381.87 \$465,230,57 1,182,434.22 \$1,188,462,74	\$1,398,888.21 \$455,285.86 \$382,199.65	\$1,450,348,12 \$1,387,783,80 \$491,723,72 \$486,414,39 \$623,758,88 \$193,784,13	\$1,387,783.00 \$486,114.39 \$193,781.13	\$1,735,418.14 \$2,164,572.89 \$458,216.70 \$572,882.89 \$51,243.86 \$29,080.55	\$2,164,572.89 \$372,862.89 \$28,080.55	\$2,717,303.25 \$653,617.33 \$197,495.51	\$2,374,008.63 \$620,475.69 \$401,325,34	\$2,761,598,15 \$1,629,833,25 \$598,798,79 \$4.78,955,29 \$140,093,03 \$29,684,36		\$1,186,276.66 \$389,702.21 \$544,797.08	\$21,301,157.87 \$6,021,285.41 \$4,823,837.16
3. Total Payments	\$2,735,863.49 \$2,826,042,48 \$2,236	\$2,926,042.48		373.72 \$2,465,831.73 \$2,067,078.52	\$2,067,078.52	\$2,245,869.50 \$2,766,456.43	\$2,766,456.43	\$3,568,416.09	\$3,395,809.66	23,500,489,97 \$2,137,272,90 \$2,100,775,95 \$32,146,280.44	\$2,137,272.90	\$2,100,775.95	\$32,146,280.44
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$4,714,609.30 \$4,782,261.03 \$4,090,	\$4,782,281.03	\$4,090,530.88	\$4,100,577.01 \$3,488,180.02	13,488,180.02	54,390,954.81	\$5,252,160.87	\$6,130,127.88	84,290,841.81 85,282,181.87 89,130,127.28 84,536,875.25 84,518,176,14 \$5,050,818.82 83,140,125,27	4,519,178.PL	53,050,618.62	\$3,140,125.27	\$52,206,209.18
OCS Admin 2.99%	\$28,308.62	\$24,679.55	\$24,652.14	\$21,734.93	9H 9, 027, 36	\$78,520.33	\$33,048.94	\$34,059.50	\$37,409,58	\$33,397,39	\$29,943.81	\$34,074,77	\$346,856.83
EPP Program 23.14% 21.91%	\$187,508.88	\$175,898,01	\$175,702.67	\$154,910.88	\$135,613.29	\$203,272.32	\$235,548.02	\$242,751.59	\$184,752.49	\$164,938.07	\$147,882.DH	\$168,283.38	\$2,177,062.61
Available Balance (A4-5-C)	\$4,500,731,80 \$4,561,683,47 \$3,890	\$4,561,683.47	\$3,890,176.08	53,523,531.21 [53,343,538,37]	\$3,343,538.37	\$4,159,171,36	14,983,562.90	\$5,853,316.78	54,159,171,36 54,985,562,30 \$5,833,316,78 \$4,314,713,26	\$4,520,842.58 \$2,872,783.80 \$2,907,767.13 \$48,682,289.75	52,872,793.80	\$2,507,707,13	\$49,682,289.75
Reimbursement Due (Form USF-302-00, Line VI eitne VII)	\$4,829,873.71 \$4,848,413.28 \$4,986	\$4,\$48,413.78	\$4,986,904.98	\$4,310,013.78 \$3,333,818.31	\$3,333,HT8.31	\$3,938,124.80 \$4,765,850.04	54,765,850.04	\$4,950,260.48	\$4,300,205.97	\$2,961,132,22 \$3,892,385,65 \$3,944,501,88	E3,892,505.65	\$3,844,30H.88	\$50,181,306.21
Surplus/Deficit (D.E)	(\$329,081.91) (\$266,729.81) (\$1,016	(\$266,729.81)	(\$1,016,728.80)	(\$336,082.58)	\$9,720.06	\$221,046.55 \$217,612.86	\$217,612.86	\$903,056.30	\$14,507.29	\$1,359,710,38 [\$219,511.85] [\$1,608,534.85]	(\$219,511.85)	(\$1,608,534.85)	(\$499,018.48
Cost of PIPP (Total of E Total of A.A.)												Cost of MPP.	Cost of MPP: \$18,035,025.77

ď

Ohio Power Current Rider Cost of PIPP

Company: OHIO POWER COMPANY

ď

For Monthly Billiam Code Ending.	2	00 403	100	Acr 00	60 X-M	900	90 7-1	A.:: 00	0000	£0.00	70 mg/l	Acceptance of the second	
months among cycle coloning.	001489	190-00		Api-do	May-Ud		an-ine	Augrae	10-746	70-10	NO-LOT	1000	AMINA
Remittance (Form USF-301-00)													
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$2,302,391.82 \$2,146,326.83 \$2,0	2,146,326.83	12,082,530.46	\$1,930,517.21	\$1,683,788.34	\$2,178,451.31	\$2,408,099.95	22,530.46 \$1,930,517.21 \$1,688,788.34 \$2,178,451.31 \$2,408,099.95 \$2,452,751.94 \$1,001,828.49	\$1,001,928.49	\$918,450.88		\$889,390.30 \$1,050,063.51 \$21,009,581.04	\$21,009,591.04
2. Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments	[62 884 C35 13 67 962 7C7 18	1 542 488 22 4	96 H0 F 985 H3	\$4 624 864 08	\$4 621 524 45	64 922 394 24	E) 274 118 [J.	\$5 808 25 FE	£2 294 407 67	\$7 681 867 27	\$4 740 972 87	27 YM 124 50	\$22 501 178 AR
tr. Other Customer Payments	\$475,358.53	1	\$548,882.36	\$556,782.53			1	\$691,420.11	-	\$578,286.84		\$400,866.11	\$6,645,748.43
c. Agency Payments	\$1,233,680.79 \$1,424,401.14		\$481,815,41	\$565,428.62	\$1.73,029,38	\$70,B11.41	\$66,213,55	\$117,996.10	\$148,294,44	\$97,708,75	\$76,577.65	\$483,666.91	\$4,939,624.05
3. Total Payments	\$4133,273.81 \$1,366,224,72 \$2,627,196.16 \$2,804,086.23 \$2,411,586.28 \$2,514,310.12 \$2,962,515.36 \$2,907,024.56 \$3,007,628.58 \$3,018,638.39 \$3,557,557,51 \$2,46,370.81 \$2,467,501.51	3,505,224.72	2,627,189.16	\$2,804,085.23	\$2,411,506.28	\$2,514,310.12	\$2,962,515.56	\$3,307,024.56	\$3,018,638.99	\$3,357,857.81	\$2,346,970.81	52,187,901.51	\$34,176,500.56
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$5,435,867.63 \$5,851,551.55 \$4,70	5,651,551.55	14,709,715.62	\$4,734,802.44	\$4,080,294.62	\$4,692,761.43	\$5,370,615.51	\$5,759,778.50	\$4,020,467.48	\$4,276,308.69	19.719.62 84.734.624 94.080.294.62 84.682.704.13 55.370.816 94.020.467.48 94.270.306.69 53.216.301.11 53.217.965.02 585.386.091.60	\$3,237,965.02	\$55,186,091.60
OCS Admin 2.99%	\$29,145.09	\$27,169.52	\$26,381.95	\$24,437.67	\$21,124.55	\$27,576.17	\$30,483.24	\$31,048.44	\$36,297.62	\$33,276.73	\$31,499.20	\$38,045,24	\$358,465.39
2.10% TEE Program 23.14% 18.90%	\$212,688.21	\$198,560.37	\$192,658.46	\$178,585.46	\$154,382.47	\$201,532.27	\$222,777.45	\$226,908.29	\$161,426,90	\$147,992.08	\$140,086,83	\$169,198.12	\$2,207,117.91
Available Balance (A5-B-C)	\$5,183,524,33 \$5,425,821,65 \$4,46	5,425,821.65	14,480,698,21	\$4,531,588.31	80,898,21 \$4,531,589.31 \$3,904,787,50 \$4,453,562,89	\$4,453,562,89		\$5,501,819.77	\$3,822,742.96	\$4,095,039.88	85,117,254.35 \$5,601,219.277 \$3,822,742.36 \$4,085,030.38 \$5,044,775,08 \$1,000,728.68	\$3,030,728.8B	\$52,622,508.30
Reimbursement Due (Form USR-302-04, Line VI Hine Vil)	\$3,733,832.30 \$3,706,393.96 \$5,77	5,706,393.96	5,776,457.84	54,823,494.03	\$3,400,169.72	\$3,467,357.16	£3,809,179.81	54,081,219.85	\$3,499,192,11	\$2,851,312.40	16,437.84 54,823,484.02 \$3,400,188.72 \$3,467,347.16 53,809,178.81 54,081,219.85 53,489,192.11 \$2,883,312.40 \$3,196,805.70	4,573,624.73	4,573,624.73 \$50,380,559.61
Surplus/Shortfall (D-E)	[\$600,407.97] [\$280,572.31] [\$1,285,758.63]	(\$280,572,31)	FI 285,758.63	(\$281,824.72)	\$504,597.88		F1,308,175.04	\$996,095.33 17,308,175.04 \$1,420,599.82	1 1	\$323,550.85 \$1,241,727,48		(\$151,230.62) (\$1,542,904.07)	
Cost of PIPP (Total of E Total of A.3.)												Cost of MPP.	Cost of PIPP: \$16,804,059.05

ថ

ದ

-

Universal Service Fund Current Rider Mechanism Cost of PIPP

Company: Duke

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	Jan-88	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	May-08	Jun-Off	Jul-08	Aug-08	3ep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	Dao-07	Annual
Remittance (Form USF-301-00)													
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$2,068,615.84 \$1,941,651.50		\$1,877,841.84	77,841.141 \$1,693,165.53 \$1,537,511.06 \$1,797,474.49 \$2,019,861.03	1,537,511.06	1,797,474.49		\$2,074,850.18	\$1,785,735.88	\$1,401,376.38	\$1,255,079.53	\$1,401,376,38 \$1,285,879,53 \$1,435,688,72 \$20,809,343,96	\$20,809,343.96
2. Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments	\$478,332.19	\$516,882.65	\$554,508.30	\$489,228.04	\$494,540.80	\$578,623.09	\$771,362.44	\$872,881.90	\$815,939.98	\$1,184,208.35	87.88,907.38	\$559,439.02	\$8,014,548.69
b. Other Customer Payments c. Agency Payments	\$477,596,96	\$248,803,34	\$202,507.20	\$278,631.23	\$188,502.58	\$175,103.82	\$208,542.03 \$42,517.33	\$36,982.18	\$40,395.77	\$217,988,72 \$35,116,91	\$198,041.02	\$152,104.18	\$2,728,076.32
3. Total Payments	\$1,112,907.08 \$1,953,102,10	\$1,953,102.10	\$956,733,39	\$961,307,31	\$743,978.83 \$802,534,73		\$972,811.77	\$972,811.77 \$1,075,385.05 \$1,148,610.58		51,377,297.99 \$1,184,900.07	\$1,184,900.07		\$13,152,648.11
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$2,181,525,52 \$3,584,755.80 \$2,584,576.23 \$2,584,472,481,58 \$2,080,0182.22 \$2,932,672.80 \$3,130,235.23 \$2,533,486,44	\$3,894,753.60	\$2,834,575.23	\$2,654,472.84	5,281,489.89 \$	22,600,009,22	12,882,672.80	\$5,150,235,23	\$2,853,846.44	\$2,778,674.37	\$2,778,674.37 \$2,439,979.60 \$2,299,759.53		\$33,961,992.87
OCS Admin 299%	\$18,318.18	\$15,317.57	\$14,814.18	\$13,357.28	\$12,129.33	\$14,186.17	\$15,934.56	\$16,368,37	\$25,592,30	\$21,031.95	\$18,836.32	\$21,561.30	\$205,443.01
EPP Program 23.14% 17.43%	\$201,527.58	\$159,152.53	\$182,942.10	\$164,950.67	\$149,786.58	\$175,112.50 \$196,777.82	\$196,777.82	\$202,134.94	\$221,447.68	\$181,987.46	\$181,987.46 \$162,988.86	\$186,572.20	\$2,215,387.82
Available Balance (A4.5.C)	\$2,863,676.75 \$3,880,277.50 \$2,835,518,55 \$2,476,164,39 \$2,719,573.78 \$2,410,716.46 \$2,779,86142 \$2,631,731.82 \$2,606,806.47	\$3,698,277.50	\$2,636,\$18.95	52,476,164,90	12,118,573.78	2,410,716,46	2,779,960.42	\$2,931,731.92		\$2,575,854.98	\$2,575,854.98 \$2,258,154.42	\$2,091,625.53 \$31,541,162.04	\$31,541,162.04
Reimbursament Due (Form USF-302-80, Line VI +line VII)	\$2,781,111.01 \$2,797,874.82 \$3,02	\$2,797,874.82	\$3,023,918.07	\$2,678,766.24	52,818,181.97	2,362,913.56	2,805,943.40	52,986,903.50	23.918.07 \$2,678,766.21 \$2,818,181.07 \$2,362,913.56 \$2,305,943.40 \$2,986,903.50 \$3,118,104.77 \$1,840,506.68 \$1,745,871.29 \$2,345,708.38 \$30,507,901.58	\$7,800,80E.6B	\$1,785,871.29	82,345,706.38	\$30,507,904.58
Surplus/Deficit (D-E)	\$172,565,74	\$892,602.68	(\$387,088.12)	(\$194,681.31) \$101,391.B1	\$104,39A.BH	\$47,802.90	(\$25,982.98)	(\$55,171,58)	(\$511,298.30)	\$774,848.28	H72,783.131	(\$254,080.77)	(\$254,080,77) \$1,033,280.46
Cost of PIPP (Total of E., Total of A.3.)												Cost of PIPP:	Cost of PIPP: \$17,355,253.47

ਛ ਰ

ď

d

ш

Cest of PHF Adjustment: \$1,836,545.83 [\$13,874,730,79]

G. Cumulative Monthly Deficit

Cost of PIPP: \$12,244,186.96

Universal Service Fund Current Rider Mechanism Cost of PIPP

Company: Dayton Power and Light

∢

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	Jan-08	Fab-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	May-08	Junds	Julios	Aug-08	\$8p-67	Oct-07	Nov-07	Dac-07	Annual
Remittence (Form USF-301-00)													
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$1,103,309.93	\$1,103,306,93 \$1,055,804,60 \$1,050	1,050,847.95	\$919,266.20	\$822,323.44	\$910,209.10	\$1,047,840.17 \$1,066,188.86 \$1,152,072.21	31,066,188.86		\$996,246.86	\$950,751.37	\$6.597,7663	5997,765.95 \$12,072,426.67
2. Mon-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments b. Other Customer Payments c. Agency Payments	\$681,866.74 \$69,656.25 \$244,512.00	\$768,279.21 \$96,511.51 \$109,912.49	\$786,302,28 \$149,815,65 \$931,277,09	\$729,687.57 \$74,683.40	\$962,048.84 \$1,012,605.42 \$93,967,71 \$119,393,08 \$46,947,78 \$194,407,78	\$1,012,605.42 \$119,393.06 \$794,407.78	\$1,559,019.51 \$143,178,36 \$27,340.47	\$132,396.03	\$1,585,970.82	\$1,743,682.81 \$1,120,706.28 \$192,271.47 \$109,171.61	\$1,120,706.28 \$109,171.61	\$621,333,03 \$76,471,71	\$627,333.03 \$13,501,342.64 \$76,471 \$1,495,340.08 \$704,470.60 \$13,000.322.323
3. Total Payments	\$898,034.99	1 1	-1 141	- Н	_ (\$1,729,508.04	\$2,041,943.38	\$1,729,508.04 \$2,041,943,38 \$1,827,224,83 \$1,820,055,888.84 \$1,282,198.64 \$1,202,215.33	\$2,055,868.84	\$1,283,198.64		\$17,286,015.10
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$2,089,344.92	\$2,089,344.92 \$2,030,307.81 \$2,928	292.98	\$1,777,461.30 \$1,794,598.87 \$2,277,610.38	1,794,598.87		\$2,777,348.21	\$3,108,132.24	\$3,079,297.04	\$2,052,118.70 \$2,233,950.01 \$2,198,981.31	\$2,233,950.01		\$29,358,441.77
OCS Admin 1.71%	\$15,134.57	\$14,480.18	\$14,414.93	\$12,609.96	\$11,280.18	\$12,485.72	\$14,373.67	\$14,825.36	\$22,045.40	19,063.61	\$18,193.04	\$19,092.68	\$187,789.28
TEE Program 18:13%	\$136,706.33	\$130,795.37	\$130,208.00	\$113,902.28	\$101,890.52	\$112,780.05	\$129,833,32	\$132,106.82	\$134,213.21	\$116,059.99	\$110,759.89	\$116,236.96	\$1,465,490.74
Available Balance (AA-B-C)	\$1,947,504.02	\$1.947,504.02 \$1,885,032.26 \$2,783	92.20	\$1,650,949.06 \$1,881,428.19 \$2,152,344,81	,881,428.19		\$2,883,141.23	\$2,961,400,05 \$2,923,038.43	52,923,036,43	52,916,993,10	\$2,104,997.08 \ \$2,064,651.67		\$27,705,151,75
Reimburs ement Due	\$2,972,882.96	\$2,972,882,96 \$2,940,590.93 \$3,151	718.97	\$2,642,630.19 \$2,057,795.33 \$2,153,289.82	2,057,795.33	Ш	\$2,531,419.04	\$2,662,523.32	\$2,221,147.85	51,854,903.02 \$1,956,417.77 \$2,385,905.05	51,955,417.77	\$2,385,905.06	529,530,204.06
Surplus/Deffeit (D:E)	(\$1,025,358.94)	(\$1,025,358.94) (\$1,055,558.67) (\$368,046.91)	(\$368,046.91)	(\$891,681.13) (\$276,367.14)	\$378,367.14)	(\$945.01)	\$101,722.19	\$298,876.73	\$701,890.58 \$1,062,090.08	1 1	\$149,579.31	(\$321,253,39)	(\$321,253.39) (\$1,825,052.31)

ರ ದ

w

Cost of PIPP: \$14,589,678.48

Cleveland Illuminating Company Current Rider Mechanism Cost of PIPP

Company: Cleveland Illuminating Company

∢

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	30-ver	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-88	May-08	Jun-68	\$0-Jn r	Aug-08	Sept-07	Det-07	Nov-U?	∑ec-07	Armual
Remittance (Form USF-301-80)													
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers.	\$1,551,781,88	\$1,551,781.86 \$1,477,067.78 \$1,477,563.13	\$1,477,563.13	\$1,339,015.69	\$1,227,067.84	\$1,333,328.67	\$1,482,825.87	\$1,551,806.88	\$1,585,990.57	\$1,434,500.78 \$1,339,241.28	\$1,338,241.28	\$1,385,504.02 \$17,182,691.55	\$17,182,691.55
2. Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments b. Other Customer Payments		\$1,417,798,86 \$1,473,91 \$58,049.87 \$76,97	\$1,473,914.60	-	\$1,504,447.16		_ H	\$2,416,277.00	\$2,450,663,52	\$2,750,732.44	\$1,914,244,32	\$1,454,967.55	\$22,220,669.45 \$1,680,154.06
c. Agency Payments 3. Total Payments	\$1,521,930.31	\$152,215,27 \$429,278,82 \$196,85 \$1,531,930,31 \$1,905,125,95 \$1,747,74	\$196,855,44	\$286,698.35 \$1,863,631.64	\$53,492.27	\$296,698.35 \$53,492.27 \$73,687.95 \$136,542.81 \$1.883,631,64 \$1.658,483.10 \$2.087.894.74 \$2.777.586.94	\$136,542.81	\$142,081.15	\$98,396.06	\$19,647,54 \$316,482.65	\$316,482.63	\$256,578.64 \$2,171,856,15 64 700 646 40 \$25 643 770 88	\$2,171,956,15
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$3,083,711.97	\$3,083,711.97 \$3,382,193.73 \$3,225,30	8.27	\$3,202,648.33	\$2,885,530,74	\$2,885,530,74 \$3,471,221.41 \$4,200,386.51	\$4,200,386,51		\$4,300,846.48	F4,359,638,34	E4.300.846.48; 84.350.832.34; 87.362.362.46; 83.175.350.30 \$43.233.47; 24	\$3,175,550.30	#3,235,471.24
Ocs Admin 2,99%	\$32,448.19	\$30,885.90	\$30,896,28	\$27,999.19	\$25,658.33	\$27,880.23	\$31,006.30	\$32,448.71	\$43,035,49	\$38,924.85	\$36,258.60	\$37,586.33	\$395,007.37
4.63% 2000 Program 25.14% 22.98%	\$169,502.92	\$161,341.84 \$161,39	\$161,395,95	\$146,262.25	\$134,034,113	\$145,640.83	F161,970.78	\$169,205,65	\$185,886.36	\$168,112.88	\$156,597.59	\$162,370.82	Pt, 922, 601.88
Avallable Batance (A4.B-C)	\$2,881,760.86	53,189,865,89 \$3,003,01	\$3,003,017.07	\$3,028,387.8\$	\$2,775,838.38	\$2,725,838.38 \$3,247,700.33 \$4,007,408.43 \$4,133,518,10	\$4,007,408.43		\$4,071,844,83 \$4,152,588.62 \$5,470,106.27	\$4,152,598.62	\$5,470,106.27	\$2,975,584.35 \$40,917,831.95	\$40,917,831.9
Reimbursament Dus (Form USF-302-00, Line VI +lina VII)	\$3,770,413.37	\$3,770,413.37 \$3,638,026,13 \$3,960,42	8 .59	53,428,953.13	2,647,824.28	\$2,428,953.13 \$ 2,847,834.28 \$3,185,847.35 \$3,688,707.85		\$4,054,651.38	\$3,491,089.47	\$2,598,697.82	\$3,481,089,47 \$2,886,697.82 \$2,888,537.34 \$3,201,479,39 \$48,622,456.12	\$3,204,479.30	\$48,622,456.1
Surplus/Shortfall (D-B)	(\$888,652,51)	(\$888,652,51) (\$448,080.14) [\$927,411.52]	(\$827,411.52)	(\$400,565.24)	(\$421,985.90)	102,053.00	\$338,701.48	\$78,866.71	\$580,855.16	\$580,855.16 \$1,465,900.80	\$781,568.83 (\$225,894.95)	(\$725,894.95)	\$295,375.83

ú

Ohio Edison Current Rider Mechanism Cost of PIPP

Company: Ohio Edison Company

For Marithy Billing Cycle Ending:	Jan-D8	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-07	May-08	90-unr	30-DF	Aug-08	Sep-07	78-19O	Nov-87	Dec-07	Annual
Remistance (Form USF-301-00)													
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$3,158,182.07	\$3,158,182.07 \$3,130,888.78 \$3,0	\$3,093,273,16	\$2,810,429.87	\$2,518,465.72	383,273.16 [\$2,810,428.87 \$2,516,465.72 \$2,809,208.34 \$5,085,692.01 \$3,201,827.82	\$3,065,692.01	\$3,201,827.82	\$2,805,255,88	\$2,516,268,30 \$2,358,752.18 \$2,552,213.58	\$2,358,752.19	\$2,552,213.58	\$34,019,458.70
2. Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments b. Other Customer Payments c. Anenne Payments	\$1,945,498.20 \$157,155.70	\$1,946,498.20 \$2,481,542.37 \$2,4 \$167,155.70 \$110,067.20 \$1 \$42.740.77 \$4.477.048.70 \$4	45,485.87 82 044 74	\$2,539,515.20 \$146,270.30	\$2,595,324.82 \$207,258.68	\$2,839,439.75 \$4,279,335.32 \$4,545,147.72 \$518,839,439.30 \$527,477.57 \$502,593.89 644.433.00 \$247,740.75	\$4,279,333.32		-		\$3,817,203.08 \$2,398,338.81 \$204,278.34 \$138,880.87	\$2,309,338.81	\$37,130,569.17 \$3,335,787.78
3. Total Payments	\$2,451,164.17	\$2.451,164.17 \$3,795,568.36 \$3,0	\$3,039,538.81	\$3,261,029.BH	\$3,025,208.19	23,539.81 \$3,281,029.81 \$3,025,298.19 \$3,541,864.03 \$5,034,471.91 \$4,937,288.73	\$5,034,471.81		\$4,540,353.28	14,849,774.67	\$3,507,926.43 \$3,068,205.33	\$3,068,205.33	\$45,572,372.84
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$5,809,346.24	\$5,009,346,24 \$6,926,457.06 \$6,1	\$6,132,811.97	\$6,071,458.88	\$5,541,673.91	32,841.97 \$6,071,458.88 \$5,441,673.91 \$6,341,072.33 \$8,100,183.82 \$8,136,096.65	\$8,100,153.82	\$8,139,096.65	\$7,345,809.16	\$7,506,043.97	\$6,267,678.64 \$5,620,418,91	\$5,620,418.91	\$79,591,831.54
OCS Admin 2.99%	\$48,048.99	\$45,651.03	\$45,102.56	\$40,978.47	\$36,692.22	\$40,960.65	\$4,708.45	\$46,685.38	\$66,156.66	\$59,341.46	\$55,650.30	\$60,189.13	\$588,157.25
2,30% EPP Program 23,14% 21,34%	\$336,763,36	\$333,853,21	\$329,842.19	\$299,682.02	\$268,336.01	\$299,554.78	\$326,901.16	\$341,417.61	\$375,569.93	\$336,880.17	\$315,925,53	\$341,692.42	\$3,906,415.58
Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$6,226,533.69	\$6,226,533.89 \$6,546,852.82 \$5,757,387.22 \$5,730,788.40 \$5,236,645.89 \$5,970,568.82 \$7,728,562.26 \$7,770,583.65 \$6,903,682.57	\$5,757,867.22	\$5,730,788.40	\$5,236,645,69	\$5,970,558.92	\$7,728,562.26	\$7,770,993.65		\$7,109,\$22.34	\$5,896,102.81	\$5,218,537.36	\$75,087,258.71
Reimbursement Due (Form USE-302-00, Line VI +line VII)	\$7,834,360.36	\$7,834,380.36 \$7,722,884,09 \$8,1	\$8,167,091.01	17,268,888.13	\$5,419,008.24	\$5,973,518.93	\$6,292,253.13	\$6,764,551.40	\$5,917,127,84		\$5,099,431,75	\$6,431,385.56	\$77,726,018.59
Surplus/Shortfall (D-E)	(\$2,607,826.67)	(\$2,607,826.67) (\$1,175,731.27) (\$24	(\$2,405,223.79)	(\$1,538,090.73)	(05,223.79) (\$1,538,090.73) (\$182,360.55)	ΙÌ	(\$2,998.01) \$1,436,309.13 \$1,009,442.25	\$1,009,442.25	£986,754.73	\$986,754,72 \$2,317,604.19 \$796,871.08 (\$1,283,548.20) (\$2,628,756.88)	9796,671.08	(\$1,263,348.20)	(\$2,628,759.88)

CD81 (\$5,783,557.95) (\$6,188,781.74) (\$7,706,872.47) (\$7,909,233.03) (\$5,312,183.04) (\$6,475,883.91) (\$5,466,441.66) (\$4,479,696.30) (\$2,162,082.74) (\$1,385,411.88) (\$2,628,789.88)

Cost of PIPP (Total of E. - Total of A.3.)

Cumulative Surphus/Shortfall:

Ġ

Toledo Edison Current Rider Mechanism Cost of PIPP

Company: Toledo Edison

₹

2083055.21

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	38-uer	Feb-08	Mas-08	Apr-08	May-08	90-mC	\$0.bJ	90-5nY	Sep-U7	Oct-07	Now-07	Dec-07	Amua
Remittance (Form USF-301-00)													
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$4,177,265.11	\$1,177,265.11 \$7,175,713.88 \$1,15	,494.28	\$1,041,913.16	\$980,909.82	\$980,988.82 \$1,072,937.42 \$1,165,075.97 \$1,239,667.05	\$1,165,075.97	\$1,239,667.05	\$853,746,44	\$746,685.52	\$744,779.54	\$769,701.67	\$12,091,886.83
2 Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments	\$515,526.58	\$617,377.00	\$670,871.58	\$669,567.20	\$817,481.43	\$816,708.84	\$1,098,587.23	\$1,189,040.85	\$1,089,162.50	\$1,254,414.68	\$784,069.64	\$564,794.76	\$10,087,604.39
 b. Other Customer Payments c. Agency Payments 	\$42,387,54	\$12,706.\$0	\$33,207.63	\$37,859,59	\$73,543,27	\$149,855,68	\$138,343,94	\$120,669.90	\$20,660.34	\$73,646.98 \$8,715.28	\$48,095.74 \$225,883.60	\$22,822.50	\$1,796,084.75
3. Total Payments	\$880,986.50	1880,988.50 \$1,144,588.82 \$876	\$876,384.61	\$835,684.52	\$980,468.81	\$980,468.91 \$1,024,818.77 \$1,315,828.23 \$1,364,328.74 \$1,217,837.88	\$1,315,\$28.23	\$1,364,328,74	\$1,217,837.8#	\$1,3\$1,776.82	\$1,351,776.92 \$1,038,048.98	\$800,824.25	\$12,731,689.05
4. Total Amount of Remittance	61,858,251.87	61,858,251.87 \$2,320,312.70 \$2,03	\$2,033,875.89	\$4,977,597.68	\$1,961,378.73	\$1,977,587.88 \$1,981,378.73 \$2,087,757.19 \$2,480,904.20 \$2,802,998.79	\$2,480,504.20	\$2,602,996.79	\$2,071,584.24	\$2,088,462.44	\$2,098,462.44 \$1,749,828.49	28,529,675,182	\$24,823,575.88
OCS Admin 2.98%	\$12,948.85	\$12,831.79	\$12,731.36	\$11,480.10	\$10,788.12	\$11,801,34	\$12,814.78	\$13,624.22	\$19,534.02	\$17,084.43	1 \$18,285.78	\$17,811.04	\$163,616.80
2.55% TER Program 23.44% 16.67%	\$109,870.14	\$108,525,63 \$107	\$107,828.07	697,081.20	\$81,378,33	\$89,861.31	\$108,534.64	\$115,380.14	6110,245.96	\$96,420.97	\$81,813,48	\$88,352.09	#,251,312.87
Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$1,735,632,62	\$1,735,632,62 \$2,197,855.28 \$1,51	3,316.46	81,868,076.38 \$1,859,211.28 \$1,986,804.54 \$2,359,554,78 \$2,473,882.45	\$1,859,211.28	\$1,986,804.54	\$2,359,554.78	\$2,473,982.43	\$1,841,804.26	\$1,984,857.04	\$1,984,857.04 \$1,641,629.24 \$1,453,621.78	\$1,453,621.78	\$23,416,646.11
Raimburzennent Due (Form USF-302-86, Line VI +line VI)	\$2,248,381.82	\$2,248,381,82 \$2,258,988,71 \$2,456	\$2,455,740.43	\$2,228,274.63	\$1,567,682.14	\$1,736,107.72	\$1,976,171.87	\$2,206,811.59	1,740.43 82,228,274.53 \$1,567,682.14 \$1,725,107.72 \$1,976,171.87 \$2,206,311.58 \$1,787,182.56 \$1,360,288.71 \$1,445,562.52 \$1,818,882.82	\$1,360,288.71	\$1,455,569.52	\$1,818,888.92	\$23,208,038.52
Surplus/Shortfall (D.E)	[\$512,759.20]	(\$512,759.20) (\$61,051.43) (\$54	(423.87)	(\$356,198.15)]	\$281,528,14	\$250,896,82	\$383,382.9H	\$267,170.84	\$144,616.70	\$\$24,657.33	1 \$186,056.72	(\$465,267.14)	\$207,607.59
Cost of PIPP (Total of E Total of A.3.)												Cast of PIPP	Cost of PIPP: \$10,477,349.47

۵

œ

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2008 Balance Jan 2008 - Dec 2008

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER

₹

Projection of December 31, Jan 2008 - Dec 21

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	\$0-USP	Feto-03	Mar-08	Apr-08	Nay-08	30-UPP	90-Inf	Aug-08	Sep-08	Oct-08	Nov-(rg	Dec-08	Total
Remidance (Form USF-301-00)													
1. USF Rider Collected on AV Customers	\$1,978,745,81	\$1,856,218.55	\$1,654,157,17	\$1,634,745.28	\$1,431,101.50	\$2,145,094.50	\$2,485,704.44	\$2,561,711,79	\$2,600,256.48	\$2,237,286.25	\$2,037,752.80	\$2,328,260.03	\$2,328,280.03 \$117,941,887.00
2. Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments b. Other Custom or Payments c. Agency Payments	\$1,294,067,40 \$384,361,87 \$1,182,434,22	\$1,292,348.17 \$465,239.57 \$1,168,462.74	\$1,398,688,21 \$455,285,88 \$382,199,65	\$1,450,346,12 \$491,773,72 \$973,759,88	\$1,287,743.00 \$486,114.39 \$193,241.13	\$4,735,400.14 \$459,246.78 \$61,243.40	\$2764,672.86 \$572,802.88 \$29,860.55	\$2,717,303,26 \$853,617,33 \$197,445,51	\$2,374,408.83 \$620,475.88 \$401,125,34	\$2.781,598,15 \$548,798,78 \$140,983,93	\$1,628,633,25 \$478,955,29 \$29,684,36	\$1,186,278.66 \$389,702.21 \$544,707.08	\$126,910,474,81 \$17,709,915.16 \$26,124,346.48
3. Total Payments	\$2,735,863.49	\$2,926,642.48	\$2,238,973.72	\$2,466,121.73	\$2,067,078.52	\$2,245,866.50	\$2,786,456,43	\$3,568,416,09	\$3,395,869.66	\$3,560,489.97	\$2,137,272.90	\$2,100,775.95	\$2,186,775.95 \$190,744,736.45
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$4,714,608,30	\$4,782,281.03	\$4,080,530.88	\$4,100,577.84	\$3,498,180.02	\$4,350,864.80	\$5,252,460.87	\$6,130,127,18	\$5,996,060.14	15,737,775.22	\$4,175,025.50	\$4,479,1735.98	\$4,429,035.88 \$508,886,023,45
Ocs Admin 2.89%	\$29,304,62	\$24,679,55	\$24,852.14	\$21,734.93	\$18,027,38	\$28,520,33	\$33,048.04	\$34,058.50	\$85,248.72	\$73,348.75	\$46,007.13	\$76,321.33	\$2,725,238.38
Taki Program 23:14% 21:81%	\$187,508,88	\$175,898,81	\$176,702.67	\$154,410.88	\$135,813.28	\$203,272.32	\$235,549.02	\$242,751,59	5421,913.67	\$362,243.87	\$329,837.09	\$376,973.86	\$20,442,573,94
Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$4,580,791.80 \$4,581,683.47	\$4,587,683.47	\$3,880,176.68	\$3,923,831.24	\$3,343,539.37	\$4,158,171.36	\$4,983,582.90	\$5,853,318,78	95,480,205,74	\$5,502,182.PM	\$3,778,281.28	\$3,975,730.BS	\$3,475,730,85 \$285,518,819,13
Reimbursement Due (Form USF-302-09, Line VI +line VII)	\$4,829,873.74	\$4,848,413.28	\$4,906,904,98	\$4,310,013.79	\$1,333,618.34	\$3,938,124.80	79'98'98'775	\$4,950,280,48	\$4,360,265.97	\$2,961,132.22	\$3,602,305.65	\$3,944,301.BS	9285,162,258.03
Surplus/Deffeit (D-E)	(£329,0#1,#1)	(\$206,728.31)f (\$1,016,725,90)	(\$1,016,725,90)	(\$386,982,58)	\$2,720,04	\$221,048,65	\$217,612,86	\$803,046,30	\$1,189,589.77	\$2,341,650.39	\$685,975.83	\$34,428.87	\$358,551.10
Cumulative Deficit	(\$3,573,398,00)	(\$3,573,398,00) (\$3,40,127.80) (\$4,858,850,76)	(\$4,856,858.76)	(\$5,242,934.35)	155,233,218.24	(\$6,012,172,74) (\$4,794,559,87)		(\$3,891,543,57)	(\$2,784,803.90)	(\$380,853.41)	\$325,122,22	\$356,551.10	
·											Projected A	Reserve: CSP Balance MonP Balance Projected Account Balance	\$5,242,038.36 \$356,551.10 \$73,815.41 \$430,366.51

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2008 Balance Jan 2008 - Dec 2008

Company: OHIO POWER COMPANY

ď

For Monthly Balling Cycle Ending:	Jan-94	Feb-08	May-08	Apr-08	May-08	Jun-08	30+0B	Aug-03	30-des	90-t-08	Now-08	Dec-08	Total
Renkance (Form USF-301-00)													
1. UBF Richar Collected on All Customers	\$2,302,301.82	\$2,140,326.83	\$2,042,530.44	\$1,830,517.2\$	\$1,688,788.34	\$2,178,451,31	\$2,408,069.95	\$2,452,751.04	\$2,489,007.46	\$2,180,528.64	\$2,114,943.28	\$2,527,153.07	\$2,527,153.07 \$107,501,545.82
2, Nos-USF Rider Punds													
a. Customer Payments	\$1,426,236.49	91,532,188,22	\$1,595,491.38	\$1,681,854,88	\$1,673,521.85	\$1,822,284.21	\$2,223,116.04	\$2,487,608.35	\$2,291,497.67	\$2,687,982,32	\$1,788,972.87	\$1,380,374.59	\$1,080,374.59 \$108,504,178.30
b. Other Customer Payments	\$475,358.53	\$548,635.35	\$548,8\$2.30	1556,782.53	\$564,854.85	\$521,104.50	\$673,185.97	\$891,420.11	\$578, Bule, 86	\$5.75.280.84	\$500,420,29	11.090.0011	p44,306,873,53
c. Agency Payments	\$1,233,080,79	\$1,424,401.14	\$444,815.41	\$505,428.62	\$173,029,38	\$70,811.41	\$08,213.55	\$117,006.10	\$148,204.4H	\$47,748,75	\$76,577.65	18.999,5824	127,984,887.41
3. Total Payments	\$3,133,276.81	£3,506,224.72	\$2,827,489.16	52,804,088,23	\$2,411,606,28	62,514,310,12	\$2,962,413.55	52,300,024,56	\$3,018,538,99	53,367,867.81	\$2,346,470.81	\$2,187,801.51 \$218,787,839,24	\$210,707,839.24
4. Total Amount of Renittance	\$5,436,887,83	\$5,651,561,55	S4.704.718.02	34.734.802.44	\$4,080,284,62	\$4.602.781.43	15.378,015.51	\$5.756.778.50	\$5,500,540,30	\$5.538,388.45	34.461.454.09	\$4.715.054.58	\$318,289,785,86
										2			
OCS Admin 2.99%	\$29,146,89	\$27,169.52	\$26,361.06	\$24,457.67	\$21,524,96	\$27,576.T/	\$20,463.21	\$31,048.44	\$90,212.79	\$79,003.54	L76,028.74	\$91,662.22	\$2,664,920.84
2.70%													
TEE Program 23.14% 16.90%	\$212, 000 ,21	\$198,560.37	\$192,65R.48	\$178,506,44	\$154,382.47	\$204,502.27	\$222,777.45	\$2.24,008.2s	\$401,204.43	\$351,340,8B	\$340,722.06	\$487,205.91	917,435,782.81
Available (Relance (AA-B-C)	\$5,193,524,33	\$4.25,871.65	54,498,609,271	\$4.534,589,31	\$5,904,787,60	E1.463,852.89	\$5,117,354.85	S5.501.819.77	\$5,017,120,20	\$5,108,029,38	\$4,044,539,29	54,216,286,45 \$296,109,462.BM	\$200,109,062.BH
Reinstarrement Dee	\$4,793,632.30	\$5,708,341.94	\$5,778,457.84	\$4,123,494.03	\$3,400,184.72	\$3,467,957.10	\$3,200,170.81	S4,081,218.05	\$3,400,192.11	\$2,853,312.40	\$3,108,006.70	\$4,573,624,73 \$285,174,602.48	\$205,174,602.48
gradin our-codes, citis vi esna viij													
Section of the sectio	(\$666,407.97)	(\$200,572.31)	(\$1,284,758.63)	(\$241,824,72)	\$564,587,68	\$976,095.83	\$1,306,175.64	11,420,596,02	\$1,517,957.40	\$2,254,718.08	\$844,577.50	(K-87,338.28)	\$2,694,489.23
Cumulative Monthly Deficit	(\$3,700,586.87)	(\$5,700,386.87) (\$5,861,168.38) (\$5,366,827.01)	(\$5,366,827.01)	(35,552,851.73)	(\$5,034,253.35)	(M,056,15E.02)	(\$2,748,682.95)	(\$1,329,383,09)	\$122,554.03	\$2,443,271.02	\$3,291,798,61	\$2,634,469,33	
•							Į						1

a

ப் ப்

Reserve: \$ 5,558,861.73 Projected Account Balance: \$ 2,854,460.33

\$2,850,869.55 \$729,153.B8

Reserve: Projected Account Balance:

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2008 Balance Jan 2008 - Dec 2008

Company: Duke

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	Jan-08	Feb-08	Mar-08	Ror-88	May-48	Jun-08	4010B	\$0-ony	Sep-08	Oct-03	Nov-08	0ac-08	Total
Remittance (Form USF-S01-60)							J	-					
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	\$2,068,015.84	\$1,641,651.56	\$1,877,841.84	F1,693,465.53	\$1,537,511.06	\$1,797,474.49	\$2,018,861.03	\$2,074,850.18	\$2,248,324.27	\$1,846,461.27	\$1,644,822.19	\$1,893,458.12	\$100,019,504.86
2. Non-USF Rider Funds a. Customer Payments	\$470,332.10	\$516,882.85	\$554,508,30	\$480.778.04	\$484.540.80	\$578.629.09	\$721.352.41	SR27 ER1 OIL	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$5 404 700 35	57.28 80.7.38	6449 439 N2	447 974 917 18
b. Other Customer Payments	5177,586.86	\$2.48,803.34	\$202,507.20	\$202,448.04	\$198,502.58	\$175,103.92	\$208,842.03	\$215,720.97	\$192,274.83	\$237,980.73	5198,041.02	\$162,104.18	\$14,637,439.48
c. Agency rayments	#450,476,63	\$1,187,4406.11	98.317,891¢	\$278,631.23	\$29,839.25	\$48,807.72	\$42,517.33	\$35,482.48	\$40,385.77	\$35,116.91	\$200,051.89	\$151,535.81	\$17,351,380.79
3. Total Payments	\$4,442,407.68	\$1,953,102.10	\$956,733.99	\$461,307.31	\$743,978.63	\$802,534.73	\$972,811,77	\$4,075,385.05	\$1,148,510.58	\$4,377,287.99	\$1,184,900.07	\$863,078.BH	\$79,963,737.45
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$3,461,523.52	\$3,894,753.80	\$2,834,575.23	\$2,654,472,84	\$2,291,489.69	\$2,606,008,22	\$2,992,672.80	\$3,160,236,23	13,340,931,45	\$3,217,699.26	\$2,829,722,24	\$2,756,534.93	\$178,983,242.31
OCS Admin 228%	\$16,319.18	\$15,347.57	\$14,814.18	\$13,157.28	\$12,128.33	\$14,180.17	\$15,834.56	\$16,362.37	\$33,742.98	\$27,620.87	\$24,685,61	\$28,417.12	\$1,474,046.36
TEE Program 23.14%	\$201,527.68	\$188,158.53	\$182,942.10	\$164,450.87	\$149,786.58	\$475,412.00]	\$196,777.82	\$202,134,94	\$291,974.58	\$239,000.71	\$213,602.15	\$245,890.89	\$16,022,918.92
Available Balance (A4-B-C)	\$2,963,676.75	\$3,690,277.50	\$2,636,846.95	\$2,476,164.90	\$2,119,573,78	\$2.410,715.46	\$2,779,960,42	\$2,831,731.02	\$3,071,214.31	\$2,051,077.88	\$2,691,434.50	\$2,482,227.22	\$163,348,818,33
Reimbursament Due (Ferm USF-302-80, Line VI Hine VI)	12,781,111.01	\$2,797,674.82	13,022,918.07	\$2,670,786.21	\$2,012,181.97	2,382,913.65	\$2,206,943,40	\$2,988,903,50	53,118,104,77	\$1,860,206.48	\$1,785,471.29	\$2,345,766.38	\$162,619,670.55
SurplusiDeficit (D-E)	\$172,505.74	\$892,602.08	(\$367,009.12)	(\$194,601.3H)	1404,394.84	\$47,802.98	(\$25,9\$2.98)	(\$55,171.58)	(\$46,890.46)	\$4,150,271.60	\$905,563.21	\$138,520.92	\$729,139.77
Monthly Cumulative Deficit	(\$1,695,263.12)	(\$802,550.50)	(\$4,128,748.62)	(\$1,384,358.93)	(\$1,282,869.12)	(\$1,236,158.23)	(\$1,281,138.21)	(\$1,318,310.78)	(\$1,363,201.24)	(\$212,930.25)	\$592,632.96	\$728,153.12	
	\$2,860,869,55											Reserve:	\$2,860,869.55

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2008 Balance Jan 2008 - Dec 2008

Company: Dayton Power and Light

⋖

\$798.302.36 \$778.687.57 \$882.06.94 \$1.012.486.42 \$1.35.80.83 \$1.612.30.82 \$1.43.682.81 \$1.120.706.29 \$2213.33.03 \$73.331 \$40.80 \$1.42.30.8 (\$486,190.08) 1888 DAT 5874 TOST | 51,87744573 | 5858 185.10 | 587275 43 | 51,877475 41 | 51,877475 41 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | 51,877475 | \$2,072,002,00 12,004,00 12 | 12,042,001.0 | 12,042,001.0 | 12,042,002 | 12,042,004 | 12,042,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 | 13,044,004 \$5,000,804,602 \$2,000,307.81 [2,002,302.80] \$1,77,48.30 \$1,704,806.07 \$2,77,506.30 \$2,77,506.21 \$2,006,802.34 \$2,006,302.18 \$2,006,302.18 \$2,006,302.31 \$2,006,302.31 \$2,006,302.37 \$2,007,306.47 \$2, \$17,661,00 \$18,141,58 \$1,402,148,14 \$189700023 \$130,706.37 \$100,200.00 \$113,002.20 \$101,000.20 \$112,000.20 \$112,002.21 \$100,000.17 \$100,000.17 \$100,000.00 \$12,000.20 \$ \$948,061,21 \$75,698,199,7 Total (\$364,218.57) (\$466,160.09) (\$646.01) \$101,722.19) \$268.875.73] \$100,344.84] \$1,001,586.27] \$1.20,378.02] \$11.03.348.39 \$1.065.604.50 \$1.040.07.36 \$801.07.08 \$10. (\$104 843.52) SH450;18 S14,44.80 S12,809.96 S11,290.16 S12,465,72 S14,375,67 S14,375,67 S14,375,67 S14,375,61 S14,376,51 (\$222,5241.545) (\$946,197.29) (\$1,987,8394.2) (\$2,314,206.89) (\$2,315,190.57) (\$2,213,428.39) (\$1,914,551.85) (\$1,254,208.81) [01.736.3478) (\$1.148.478) (\$1.048.07) (\$1.048.04.13) (\$1.148.04.18) \$748,279.21 \$96,611.61 \$109,912.49 (\$578,116.38) M5434.57 \$881,986.74 \$68,966.26 \$244,612.00 \$477,448.29 1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending: 2. Norwull Rider Funds
a. Customer Payments
b. Other Guelomer Payments
c. Agency Payments Renattance (Form USF-301-00) 4. Total Amount of Resettance Commissive Monthly Deficit Available Balance (A4-B-C) 2.86% 1.71% 25.14% 16.13% Burphas Delick (D-1) Reimbursement Due 3. Total Payments OCS Admin TEE Program

Receive: \$2,316,160.57 Projected Account Balance: (\$466,160.09)

ng q

ದರೆ ದ ಟ

Universal Service Fund Projected of December 31, 2008 Balance Jan 2008-Dec 2008

Company: Cleveland Illuminating Company

	For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	Jan-48	Feb-08	Mer-DB	Apr-08	May-08	Junds	30-174	Aug-08	8-cp-44	0ct:08	Nov-OB	Dec-08	Total
∢	Renaldance (Form USF-301-60)													
	1, USF Rider Collected on All Customers	11,551,781.06	\$1,477,067.78	\$1,477,543.13	\$1,336,815.89	\$1,227,007.64	\$1,500,326.67	\$1,482,825.67	\$1,491,108.88	\$1,539,233.52	\$1,402,114,80	\$1,302,513.57	91,334,606,34	\$103,677,304,39
	2 WorkUSF Rider Funds												_	
	4. Customer Payments b. Other Customer Payments	\$1,296,297.92	\$1.417,798.06	\$74,73,914,80 \$75,976,10	\$77.561.64	\$1,504,447,18	\$1,700,769.42 \$310,417.37	\$2,339,464,74 \$34,1,843,32	\$2,416,277.08	\$2,456,660.52 \$165,70e.33	\$2.750.732.44 \$154.765.50	\$1,914,244.32	\$1,454,062.55 988,500.20	\$ 474 725, 57 1, 98 57, 602 481 88
	c. Agency Payments	\$152,215.27	1429,278.02	9 190, 255.44	\$256,668.35	153,462.27	er avezs	\$136,942.81	\$142,0\$1.45	\$04,398.0B	\$12,847.54	\$316,482,65	1506,578.F4	\$10,186,752,77
	3. Total Payments	\$1,531,930,31	\$1,531,938,31 \$1,905,125,95 \$1,747,74	\$1,747,746.90	\$1,863,633.64	\$1,858,463.10	\$2,047,894.74	\$2,717,580,84	52,783,645.79	52,714,855.91	\$2,925,135,56	\$2,326,721.20	S1,790,M8.48	\$153,514,308.43
	4. Total Amount of Restittance	53,683,741.57 \$3,342,483,73	11	\$3,225,306.27	DE BUS COCES	42,885,538.74	13,42,231.41	\$4,200,386.51	14,334,A72.87	\$4,254,689.43	\$4,327,250.46	13,004,334.77	ED, 124, BESS 32	\$250,652,570,322
ď	OCB Admin 2.99%	\$32,448.10	320,825.90	139,294.20	\$27,999,10	\$25,858.33	\$27,810,23	521,806.30	\$32,448.31	\$41,786,75	\$38,046,07	536, 508, 93	\$36,214.25	\$2,845,403.40
ú	4.03% Title Program 23.14%	\$168,502.82	\$101,341,84	\$161,395.05	\$146,282,25	\$134,034,43	\$145,640.83	\$181,976.78	\$169,585,08	\$186,386.78	\$104.317.40	\$153,356.87	\$156,406.65	\$16,328,792.12
ó	Available Balance (AS-B-C)	40 Z 10 21 (12) 12 (12) 12 (12) 12 (12)	1 I	\$3,017,07	\$3,028,357,88	82,726,838.38	85.007,700,53	\$4,007,409,43	F4,133,518,28	\$4,031,935.99	94 124 318 90	23,446,406.02	\$2,692,033.02	\$27,216,415.54
шi	Reimbursement Due (Form USF-302-81), Line VI Hinc VI)	\$2,778,410.37	\$3,639,026.13	13,906,472.50	\$3,428,653.15	\$2,847,834,29	\$3,165,647.35	13,001,707,005	F4,054,651.39	53,461,488,47	52,048,667.82	\$2,000,507,34	\$5,201,478,38	25,784,190,2523
ĸ	Burplustishundali (D-S)	#9759'8889	(\$4.48,080,14)	[9927,411,52]	(3460,565.24)	(\$121,085,80)	\$62,053.09	SZ28,701.48	\$78,865.89	\$510,846.43	\$1,439,189,09	\$757,928.68	(\$250),446 29)	\$2,128,047,57
ರ	Consulative Monthly Deflet	\$1,077,881.07	\$629,770.98	(\$287,840.59)	(\$896,205.63)	(\$820,191.72)	(\$758,136.72)	(\$419,437.24)	(\$340,670.35)	\$200,276.08	\$1,638,465.17	\$2,396,365.64	\$2,126,967.57	

Reserve: \$820,191.72 Projected Account Balance: \$2,129,947,57

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2008 Balance Jan 2008 - Dec 2008

Company: Ohio Edison COMPANY

₹

For Monthly Billing Cycle Enging:	Jean-OS	80-cta-4	Mar-08	Apr-08	May-08	SUPOS	20117	Aug-01	8 4- de 8	80-190	Nov-98	Dec-08	Total
Rewittance (Form USF-301-04)													
1, USF Rider Collected on All Customers	13,158,182.07	53,136,186,70	\$3,093,273.18	\$2,210,429.87	\$2,516,466.72	\$2,800,288,30	E3,086,692.01 j	53,204,827.92	\$3,177,002.46	\$2,844,984.12	\$2,671,834.51	\$2,020,295	\$215,690,567.10
2. Non-USF Ridor Funds a. Clasioner Poyments b. Other Castoner Payments	\$1,946,488,20 \$151,463,70	\$2,181,542.37	\$2,412,031.19	\$2,539,515.20	12,595,324.82 \$207,250.00	\$2,839,439,75	54,278,333,32 3437,427,57	\$1215,147.72	\$4,651,712.28	\$4,843,472.50	\$3,017,203.08	52,389,338.87 5139,980,97	\$7841,727,186.34
c. Agency Payments	\$347,516.27	\$1,503,658.79	SM22,041,75	\$675,242.91	\$22,626.P1	\$143,453,96	\$217,718.00	\$139,127,32	\$124,071.08	\$20,072,58	\$1889,444.03	\$418,885,55	821.830.876.3 8
3. Total Payments	\$2,451,164,17	13,796,588,36	\$13,038,538.81	\$3,281,628.01	\$\$.025,20E.10	83,504,864.03	\$5,034,471.81	84,957,788.73	\$4,540,353.28	\$4,989,374.67	\$3,007,926.45	\$3,664,105.33	\$280,465,101.75
4. Total Amount of Remittance	\$5,609,344.24	\$6,926,457.06	\$4,132,811.07	\$6,071,458.86	19'0'29'116'5\$	\$6,311,072.33	\$8,100,163.92	\$8,150,0048.05	\$7.717.445.74	\$7,834,738,78	96,578,580,96	\$5,061,225.58	\$494,145,666,15
Oce Admin 2.99%	\$44,048,69	545,661.03	\$45,102.58	640,978.47	\$36,692.22	590,960,65	\$44,706.41	\$46,685.38	27,828,72	947,090,10	\$52,991.30	\$48,226.41	S4,381,243,79
5,50% TEE Program 23,16% 21,54%	1536,703.50	12262,0002	\$126,842.19	\$20.249,645.272	5268,338.01	1299,551,76	6226,801.16	6341,417.81	\$425,381.71	\$380,886.10	\$307,500,26	£387,319,80	\$26,216,738,38
AVAKATIO Balance (AS-E-C)	\$6,228,633.89	\$6,540,962.82	16,757,387,22	9730,78246	\$5,236,645.68	\$5,670,550.02	\$7,728,502,25	\$7,770,693.05	\$7,217,168,30	\$7,386,758.39	\$5,158,369.40	\$5,545,679,27	\$452,347,689.87
Reinbursonmt Due (Fam USF-302-00, Line VI +6m VI)	\$7,434,380,00	\$7,72,484.0\$	53,163,091.81	67,288,888.13	\$5,479,905.24	\$5,877,510,03	\$6,292,253.13	\$6,781,561.40	\$6,017,127.Ek	54,792,218.45	\$5,060,431.75	90,401,885.56	9C'026'886'8918
Surplus/enertial (D-E)	(42,607, 828.67)	(81,475,751.25)	(47.523,804,52)	(F),538,680,73	(\$102,360,65)	(\$2,940,01)	\$1,438,309,13	\$1,000,442.25	\$1,300,040.48	\$2,584,541.44	\$1,058,037,65	(\$076,205.20)	(\$438,233,50)
Cumulative Montriny Deficit	(\$1,548,981.86)	(\$2,724,665.14)	(\$6,129,696,93)	(\$6,667,977.66)	(56,860,338,22)	(62,863,288,23)	(\$5,416,989.10)	(\$4,407,548.86)	(\$3,107,608.39)	(\$512,964.95)	\$545,972.70	(\$430,233.58)	
											Projected	Reserve: Projected Accountificance:	\$6,853,298.23 (\$430,233.58)

\$2,518,061.50 \$331,099.42

Reserve: Projected Account Balance:

Universal Service Fund Projection of December 31, 2008 Balance Jan 2008 - Dec 2008

Company: Toledo Edison

For Monthly Billing Cycle Ending:	80-489°	Feb-08	Mar-06	Pb.48	May-08	20-UN	20100	Aug-08	Ba-dwa	\$0:00	Nov-08	Decods	Total
Remittance (Form USF-301-30)													-
1. USF Rider Collected on All Customers	mers \$1,177,245,11	\$1,175,713.88	\$1,157,491,28	\$1,041,913.16	29.596,0103	\$1,022,037,42	\$1,165,075.07	\$1,238,647.05	\$1,223,579.19	\$1,089,147.29	\$1,041,435.42	\$1,184,092.72	\$63,880,000.07
2 Non-USF Rider Funds a Customer Paraments	25 15 2 16 2 16 3 16 3 16 3 16 3 16 3 16 3 16	\$417.377.80	\$670.871.88	\$569.507.70	1817.481.43	18.00.70E.84	\$1.088.587.23	\$1,189,040.85	31.090.166.50	\$1.264.414.08	2764.069.64	2544,794,76	\$62,173,471.48
b. Other Customer Payments c. Agency Payments	\$42,387.54	Ш	\$33,207.80	\$27,858,55	\$73,543.27	\$140,025.03	\$738,343,94 \$78,897,06	\$120,609,94	\$90,000,34	\$78,646.98	\$48,065.74	\$22,822.58	\$3,442,734.64
3 Total Payments	95 445 (M.M.	\$1,144,598.82	\$876,324.BH	\$25,484,522	\$580,485.31	\$1,854,2141.77	\$1,315,828.23	17.000,1300,74	\$4,217,837.80	\$1,351,778.92	51,038,048,48	\$860,924.25	\$72,686,603.37
4. Total Amount of Renaltance	19192-391-11	\$2328,312.70	\$2,033,675.09	\$1,977,547,69	\$1,861,378,73	\$2,000,757,19	\$2,409,004.20	67,000,000,128	\$2,441,418,65	12,440,024.71	\$2,079,484.40	\$1,965,016.97	\$136,577,538.34
003 Admin 2.96%	#12,648.85	\$12,831.79	\$12,731.36	\$11,480.10	\$10,789,12	E11,801,34	F12814.78	\$13,634.22	25'906'22	120,020,07	123,828.41	\$56,262,03	\$1,123,182.14
2.55% TBB Program 23.14% 18.67%	71.070,013	\$100,525.63	\$107,828.07	02.1867,08	\$81,378.33	\$20 6.03 1.31	\$108,534,64	\$115,390.14	\$158,503.19	\$140,045,74	\$134,482.61	\$142,573.67	59,971,089,37
Available Balance (AS-B-C)	\$1,735,832.62	\$2,167,455.28	P1813,316.46	\$1,869,878.38	\$1,859,211.28	\$1,986,004.54	\$2,358,554,78	52473,982.43	\$2,255.417.84	62,275,340.44	11,021,177.30	\$1,737,181.27	6126,422,482,83
Reinfourtement Due (Form, 1987-5102-60, Line VI and Line VI)	\$2,248,391.82	\$2,238,906.71	52,456,740,63	\$2,228,274.63	\$1,567,882.W	\$1,736,107,273	\$1,678,171.87	67,236,211.50	81,707,183.5B	11,360,266,71	25'806'529'13	11,918,338.92	\$126,063,053,88
Supplestiffertial (D.E.)	(1612,794.20)	(\$81,681.43)	(\$542,423.107)	(\$1.89),(83.15)	\$291,539.44	28 May 425.3	18 3 382 BH	\$287,176.94	\$458,724,78	\$945,000.76	2485,603.27	(641,707,66)	(\$630,891.09)
Cumulative Monthly Denoit	(\$2,518,078,42)	(\$2,678,129,86)	(\$3,121,669,82)	(\$3,480,751.97)	(\$3,189,222.82)	(\$2,938,326.00)	(\$2,564,943.09)	(\$2,287,772,26)	(\$1,829,547.97)	(\$814,467.ZT)	(\$448,885.40)	(\$00,684,05)	
	(\$1,556,387.85)	(\$1,617,438.38)	(32,159,883.35)	(\$2,619,081.50)	(\$2,227,532.35)	(\$1,979,635,53)	(\$1,583,252.62)	(51,326,081.78)	(9887,857,50)	\$47,203.20	\$612,807.07	\$331,099.42	

CSP Interest Calculation

Month	Debt	Deficit	Interest	Notes
January	Begin through Dec	(430,366.51)		
'	January	231,624.89		
	Begin through Jan	(198,741.61)	\$0.00	Begin through Jan x .000222 X 30
			<u> </u>	
February	Begin through Jan	(198,741.61)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	February	53,423.65		
	Begin throug Feb	(145,317.97)	\$0.00	Begin through Feb x .000222 x 30
	= -3 	((((((((((((((((((((+5.55	
March	Begin through Feb	(145,317.97)		
	March	653,530.78		
	Begin through March	508,212.81	\$3,384,70	Begin through March x .000222 x 30
			T - 1	
April	Begin through March	511,597.51		
'	April	162,931.09		,
	Begin through April	674,528.60	\$4,492,36	Begin through April x .000222 x 30
–	g		4 14 11 - 11 1	
May	Begin through April	679,020.96		
1	May	(273,418.93)		
	Begin through May	405,602.03	\$2,701.31	Begin through May x .000222 x 30
		100,002.00	42,101101	
June	Begin through May	408,303.34		· ,
	June	(20,720.61)		
	Begin through June	387,582.73	\$2,581,30	Begin through June x .000222 x 30
	g			
July	Begin through June	390,164.03		
'	July	54,719.24		
	Begin through July	444,883.28	\$2,962,92	Begin through July x .000222 x 30
	<u> </u>	· · · · · ·		<u> </u>
August	Begin through July	447,846.20		
_	August	(623,614.69)	i	
	Begin through Aug	(175,768.49)	\$0.00	Begin through Aug x .000222 x 30
September	Begin through Aug	(175,768.49)		
-	September	(974,342.14)		
	Begin through Sept	(1,150,110.63)	\$0.00	Begin through Sept x .000222 x 30
		, , ,		
October	Begin through Sept	(1,150,110.63)		
	October	(2,426,527.79)		
	Begin through October	(3,576,638.42)	0.00	Begin through Oct x .000222 x 30
	<u> </u>	<u>, , </u>		-
November	Begin througth October	(3,576,638.42)		
	November	(659,418.27)		
	Begin through Nov	(4,236,056.69)	0.00	Begin + Dec x .000222 x 30
		, ,,===,,===,,,		<u> </u>
December	Begin through Nov	(4,236,056.69)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	December	35,341.07		
	Begin through Dec	(4,200,715.62)	\$0.00	
	13agii 500	Total Interest:	\$16,122.59	
		. Jun Hittiggt.	₩ .V, 144.UU	

OP Interest Calculation

Month	Debt	Deficit	Interest	Notes
January	Dec-06	(\$2,934,460.33)		
]	January	\$925,425.44		
	Begin through Jan	(\$2,009,034.88)	\$0.00	Begin through Jan x .000222 X 30
	<u> </u>	(+= 100 00 ::00)		g.,
February	Begin through Jan	(\$2,009,034.88)		
	February	\$597,362.31		
	Begin throug Feb	(\$1,411,672.57)	\$0.00	Begin through Feb x .000222 x 30
	<u>-</u> -g	<u> </u>		- 3
March	Begin through Feb	(\$1,411,672.57)		
	March	\$1,589,598.72		
	Begin through March	\$177,926.15	\$1.184.99	Begin through March x .000222 x 30
	= -5g 2 2	\$111,020.10		
April	Begin through March	\$179,111.14		
	April	\$387,586.83		
	Begin through April	\$566,697.97	\$3,774,21	Begin through April x .000222 x 30
	<u> </u>	*	+-,	
May	Begin through April	\$570,472.17		
	May	(\$431,521.51)		
	Begin through May	\$138,950.67	\$925.41	Begin through May x .000222 x 30
	<u> </u>	***************************************	V 0_0,	
June	Begin through May	\$139,876.08	***************************************	
	June	(\$475,489.01)		
	Begin through June	(\$335,612.93)	\$0.00	Begin through June x .000222 x 30
<u> </u>	z-ogni unougnouno	(4000,012.00)	- 40.00	o vgiii uirougii vuilo x .voveze x vo
July	Begin through June	(\$335,612.93)		
	July	(\$804,953.68)		
	Begin through July	(\$1,140,566.62)	\$0.00	Begin through July x .000222 x 30
		, , ,		
August	Begin through July	(\$1,140,566.62)		
	August	(\$791,924.95)		
	Begin through Aug	(\$1,932,491.57)	\$0.00	Begin through Aug x .000222 x 30
September	Begin through Aug	(\$1,932,491.57)		
	September	(\$1,016,805.52)		;
	Begin through Sept	(\$2,949,297.09)	\$0.00	Begin through Sept x .000222 x 30
October	Begin through Sept	(\$2,949,297.09)		
	October	(\$2,044,269.81)		
	Begin through Octobe	(\$4,993,566.89)	\$0.00	Begin through Oct x .000222 x 30
November	Begin througth Octobe	(\$4,993,566.89)		
	November	(\$525,306.95)		
	Begin through Nov	(\$5,518,873.84)	\$0.00	
December	Begin through Nov	(\$5,518,873.84)		
	December	\$687,066.14	 -	
	Begin through Dec	(\$4,831,807.70)	\$0.00	
		Total Interest:	\$5,884.61	

Duke Interest Calculation

Month	Debt	Deficit	Interest	Notes
January	Begin through Dec	(\$729,153.88)		
,	January	(\$78,859.85)		
	Begin through Jan	(\$808,013.73)	\$0.00	Begin through Jan x .000222 X 30
	<u></u>	(+	<u> </u>	
February	Begin through Jan	(\$808,013.73)		
•	February	(\$808,514.28)		
	Begin throug Feb	(\$1,616,528.01)	\$0.00	Begin through Feb x .000222 x 30
March	Begin through Feb	(\$1,616,528.01)	· =	
	March	\$463,920.64		
	Begin through March	(\$1,152,607.37)	\$0.00	Begin through March x .000222 x 30
A sa sell	Design through Manual	(64,450,007,07)		
April	Begin through March	(\$1,152,607.37)		
	April	\$241,277.54	40.00	Denie there has been accessed as 20
	Begin through April	(\$911,329.84)	\$0.00	Begin through April x .000222 x 30
May	Begin through April	(\$911,329.84)		
	May	(\$71,486.36)		
	Begin through May	(\$982,816.20)	\$0.00	Begin through May x .000222 x 30
June	Begin through May	(\$982,816.20)		
	June	(\$6,603.99)		
	Begin through June	(\$989,420.18)	\$0.00	Begin through June x .000222 x 30
la da a	Desir through tons	(2000 400 40)		
July	Begin through June	(\$989,420.18)		
	July	\$93,687.15	** **	D : 41
	Begin through July	(\$895,733.03)	\$0.00	Begin through July x .000222 x 30
August	Begin through July	(\$895,733.03)		
, luguot	August	\$131,338.17		
	Begin through Aug	(\$764,394.87)	\$0.00	Begin through Aug x .000222 x 30
		(\$7.64,664,67)	\$0 .55	Dogin (modgin) tag x 2000222 x 00
September	Begin through Aug	(\$764,394.87)		
•	September	\$52,378.89		
	Begin through Sept	(\$712,015.98)	\$0.00	Begin through Sept x .000222 x 30
October	Begin through Sept	(\$712,015.98)		
	October	(\$1,172,969.52)		
_ .	Begin through Octobe	(\$1,884,985.50)	\$0.00	Begin through Oct x .000222 x 30
Navambar	Begin througth Octobe	(\$4 904 00F 50)		
MOACHING	November	(\$1,884,985.50)		
	Begin through Nov	(\$840,362.09)	ቁለ ሰብ	
	Degit unough Nov	(\$2,725,347.59)	\$0.00	
December	Begin through Nov	(\$2,725,347.59)		
	December	(\$135,521.96)		
	Begin through Dec	(\$848,337.32)	\$0.00	
•	·	Total Interest:	\$0.00	

DPL InterestCalculation

Month	Debt	Deficit	Interest	Notes
January	Begin through Dec	\$466,160.09		
•	January	\$449,041.52		
	Begin through Jan	\$915,201.62	\$6,095,24	Begin through Jan x .000222 X 30
	g	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	+ -1,	
February	Begin through Jan	\$921,296.86		
,	February	\$499,314.77		
	Begin throug Feb	\$1,420,611.63	\$9.461.27	Begin through Feb x .000222 x 30
	Dog. : anoug : oz	VI, 120, VII.00	40,10 1.21	
March	Begin through Feb	\$1,430,072.90		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	March	(\$183,801.17)		
	Begin through March	\$1,246,271.74	\$8 300 17	Begin through March x .000222 x 30
	i segur undagu maren	\$1,210,21111	Ψ0,000.11	
April	Begin through March	\$1,254,571.91		
, (P	April	\$494,503.39		
	Begin through April	\$1,749,075.29	\$11 648 84	Begin through April x .000222 x 30
	Begin tinough April	\$1,743,073.25	φ r r,040.04	Begin tinough April x :000222 x 00
May	Begin through April	\$1,760,724.14		
IVIAY	May	(\$78,887.26)		
	Begin through May		614 204 02	Posin through May v. 000222 v 20
<u> </u>	begin inrough way	\$1,681,836.87	\$11,201.03	Begin through May x .000222 x 30
June	Begin through May	\$1,693,037.91		
June	June	(\$495,990.63)		
	Begin through June		67 070 22	Begin through June x .000222 x 30
	begin intough June	\$1,197,047.28	⊅1,31∠.33	Begin tirrough Julie X .000222 X 30
July	Begin through June	\$1,205,019.61		
- Cury	July	(\$668,556.98)		
	Begin through July	\$536,462.63	\$3 572 84	Begin through July x .000222 x 30
	Degin anough outy	Ψοσο,ποΣ.σο	Ψ0,072.04	Dogin unough outy x tooozzz x oo
August	Begin through July	\$540,035.47		
, agaet	August	(\$872,785.03)		
	Begin through Aug	(\$332,749.56)	\$0.00	Begin through Aug x .000222 x 30
	Doginanoughrag	(ψουΣ,1 43.00)	40.50	Begin through rag x .ooc222 x oo
September	Begin through Aug	(\$332,749.56)		
opto///ou	September	(\$1,251,991.04)		
	Begin through Sept	(\$1,584,740.60)	0.00	Begin through Sept x .000222 x 30
	Dogin anough ocpt	(ψ1,003,740.00)	3.00	Bogin anough cope x .000222 x 00
October	Begin through Sept	(\$1,584,740.60)		
Octobel	October	(\$1,546,730.81)		
	Begin through October	(\$3,131,471.41)	0.00	Begin through Oct x .000222 x 30
	Degiii tiilotigii Octobei	(40, 131,411.41)	0.00	Begin tinough Oct x :000222 x 30
November	Begin througth October	(\$3,131,471.41)		
1070111001	November	(\$612,112.36)		
	Begin through Nov	(\$3,743,583.77)	ቁስ በሰ	Begin + Dec x .000222 x 30
		(40,740,000.17)	40.00	Degin 1 Dec x .000222 x 30
December	Begin through Nov	(\$3,743,583.77)		
December	December	\$1,486,684.94		
	1		# A ^^	
	Begin through Dec	(\$2,256,898.83)	\$0.00	
		Total Interest:	58,251.74	

CEI Interest Calculation

Month	Debt	Deficit Deficit	Interest	Notes
····	Begin through Dec		merest	IAOTES
January		(\$2,126,947.57)		
	January	\$1,038,631.28	60.00	Bogin through Jon v 000000 V 25
	Begin through Jan	(\$1,088,316.28)	\$0.00	Begin through Jan x .000222 X 30
Cabrille	Degin through las	(64,000,040,00)		
February	Begin through Jan	(\$1,088,316.28)		
	February	\$583,850.88		Barda Harrish Fabra 200000 - 50
	Begin throug Feb	(\$504,465.41)	\$0.00	Begin through Feb x .000222 x 30
		<u>, 2 11 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 </u>		
March	Begin through Feb	(\$504,465.41)	;	
	March	\$1,067,222.32		
	Begin through March	\$562,756.92	\$3,747.96	Begin through March x .000222 x 30
April	Begin through March	\$566,504.88		
.l	April	\$500,644.71		
	Begin through April	\$1,067,149.59	\$7.107 22 ³	Begin through April x .000222 x 30
	-3	* 1,007,170,00	71)101.22	
May	Begin through April	\$1,074,256.81		
	May	\$231,131.81		
	Begin through May	\$1,305,388.62	\$8,693.89	Begin through May x .000222 x 30
	- G wgir (visi)	+ (10001000.02)	75,000.00	
June	Begin through May	\$1,314,082.51		
·· -	June	\$50,082.24		
	Begin through June	\$1,364,164.75	\$9 085 34	Begin through June x .000222 x 30
	g ugir outio	\$ 1,50 h 104.10	#5,000.0T	
July	Begin through June	\$1,373,250.09		
,	July	(\$204,467.05)	į	
	Begin through July	\$1,168,783.04	\$7,784.10	Begin through July x .000222 x 30
		2 1 1 1		
August	Begin through July	\$1,176,567.13		
~	August	\$71,186.70		
	Begin through Aug	\$1,247,753.83	\$8,310.04	Begin through Aug x .000222 x 30
September	Begin through Aug	\$1,256,063.87		
•	September	(\$415,612.94)	į	
	Begin through Sept	\$840,450.94	\$ 5,597.40	Begin through Sept x .000222 x 30
		,		
October	Begin through Sept	\$846,048.34		
•	October	(\$1,329,983.89)		
	Begin through October	(\$483,935.55)	\$0.00	Begin through Oct x .000222 x 30
		,=,j		
November	Begin througth October	(\$483,935.55)		
	November	(\$658,401.50)		
	Begin through Nov	(\$1,142,337.05)	\$0.00	Begin + Dec x .000222 x 30
		=		-
December	Begin through Nov	(\$1,142,337.05)		
	December	\$372,471.27		
	Begin through Dec	(\$769,865.78)	\$0.00	
		Total Interest:	\$50,325.94	

OE Interest Calculation

			St Calcula	
Month_	Debt	Deficit	Interest	Notes
January	Begin through Dec	\$430,233.59		
-	January	\$1,898,812.91	1	
	Begin through Jan	\$2,329,046.50	\$15,511.45	Begin through Jan x .000222 X 30
	- J	1		
February	Begin through Jan	\$2,344,557.95		
	February	\$465,260.81		
	Begin throug Feb	\$2,809,818.76	¢18 713 30	Begin through Feb x .000222 x 30
	Degin undag r eb	Ψ2,500,010.70	Ψ10,1 10.00	Bogin anought obx, coolee x oo
March	Begin through Feb	\$2,828,532.15		
Majori	March	\$1,704,930.03		
			e20 102 96	Bodin through Morob v. 000222 v. 30
	Begin through March	\$4,533,462.18	\$30, 192.50	Begin through March x .000222 x 30
A()	Danis dhaa	44 500 055 04		
April	Begin through March	\$4,563,655.04		
	April	\$881,249.26		
	Begin through April	\$5,444,904.30	\$36,263.06	Begin through April x .000222 x 30
	<u> </u>			
May	Begin through April	\$5,481,167.36		
	May	(\$411,635.54)		
	Begin through May	\$5 ,069,531.82	\$33,763.08	Begin through May x .000222 x 30
June	Begin through May	\$5,103,294.90		
	June	(\$654,255.51)		
	Begin through June	\$4,449,039.39	\$29,630.60	Begin through June x .000222 x 30
July	Begin through June	\$4,478,669.99		
	July	(\$2,136,466.90)		
	Begin through July	\$2,342,203.09	\$15,599,07	Begin through July x .000222 x 30
	-9	v=, v=,================================	•	
August	Begin through July	\$2,357,802.17		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	August	(\$1,736,195.24)		
	Begin through Aug	\$621,606.93	\$4 139 90	Begin through Aug x .000222 x 30
	Bagiii anaagii yaag	φοΣ1,000.00	ψ4, 100.00	Edgii andagii rag x .000222 x 00
September	Begin through Aug	\$625,746.83		
Soptomber	September	(\$2,198,519.12)	i	
	Begin through Sept		\$ 0.00	Regin through Sent v. 000222 v 20
	Degin unrough Sept	(\$1,572,772.29)	Ф О.ОО	Begin through Sept x .000222 x 30
Ootobor	Dogin through Cont	(\$4 E70 770 CO)		
October	Begin through Sept	(\$1,572,772.29)	j	
	October	(\$3,419,307.26)		B
	Begin through October	(\$4,992,079.55)	\$0.00	Begin through Sept x .000222 x 30
NI	Danie Alexander			
November	Begin througth October	(\$4,992,079.55)		
	November	(\$1,835,084.23)		
	Begin through Nov	(\$6,827,163.78)	\$0.00	Begin + Dec x .000222 x 30
		· , 		
December	Begin through Nov	(\$6,827,163.78)		
	December	\$157,678.97		
	Begin through Dec	(\$6,669,484.81)	\$0.00	
		Total Interest:	\$183,813.42	

TE Interest Calculation

Month	Debt	Deficit	Interest	Notes
January	Begin through Dec	(331,099.42)		
•	January	464,087.61		
	Begin through Jan	132,988.19	885.70	Begin through Jan x .000222 X 30
	- <u></u> -			<u> </u>
February	Begin through Jan	133,873.89		
,	February	14,944.61		
	Begin throug Feb	148,818.50	991 13	Begin through Feb x .000222 x 30
		. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
March	Begin through Feb	149,809.63		
	March	510,440.79		
	Begin through March	660,250.42	4,397.27	Begin through March x .000222 x 30
		000,200.12	1,001.21	Dogni direction X : 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
April	Begin through March	664,647.69		
, qo.,,	April	298,080.67		
	Begin through April	962,728.36	6,411.77	Begin through April x .000222 x 30
-	Bogil anough April	JUZ, 1 ZU.30	0,411.77	Dogiii tiiroogii Abiii X .000222 X 00
May	Begin through April	969,140.13		
Wiciy	May	(368,236,17)		
	Begin through May	600,903.96	4 002 02	Begin through May x .000222 x 30
	Degin unough way	000,903.90	4,002.02	Begin through way x .000222 x 30
June	Begin through May	604,905.98		
Odile	June	(316,097.76)		
	Begin through June	288,808.22	1 023 46	Begin through June x .000222 x 30
	Dogin unough durie	200,000.22	1,820.40	Degin an ough oune x :000222 x 00
July	Begin through June	290,731.68		
July	July	(411,675.52)		
	Begin through July	(120,943.83)	0.00	Begin through July x .000222 x 30
	Dogin unough outy	(120,040.00)	0.00	Degit through buly x .000222 x 00
August	Begin through July	(120,943.83)		
August	August	(294,286.64)		
	Begin through Aug	(415,230.47)	0.00	Begin through Aug x .000222 x 30
	Degiti attough Aug	(410,230.47)	0.00	Degit titloagit Aug X .000222 X 30
September	Begin through Aug	(415,230.47)		
oepternuer	September	(569,419.14)		
	Begin through Sept	(984,649.61)	0.00	Begin through Sept x .000222 x 30
	pogin unoagn ocht	(304,043.01)	0.00	Degin timough Sept X .000222 x 30
October	Begin through Sept	(084 640 64)		
OCTODE	October	(984,649.61)		
	Begin through October	(1,022,262.16) (2,006,911.77)	0.00	Begin through Oct x .000222 x 30
i	Dogin unough October	(2,000,311.77)		begin unough Oct X .000222 X 00
November	Begin througth October	(2 006 011 77)		·
MOASHINS	November	(2,006,911.77) (568,168.92)		
	I L		0.00	Regin through Nov × 000000 v 20
	Begin through Nov	(2,575,080.69)	0.00	Begin through Nov x .000222 x 30
Dogganhar	Dogin through May	(0 E75 000 00)		
December	Begin through Nov	(2,575,080.69)		
	December	74,630.55		
	Begin through Dec	(2,500,450.14)	0.00	
		Total Interest:	18,611.35	

CSPCalculation of Allowance for Undercollection

		KWh sales X			
		USF rider=	Rider	Expected Revenue/	Average
_	KWh	Expected Revenue	Collection	Rider Collection	Collection
Jan-08	1,913,383,008	\$1,990,678.25	\$1,978,745.81	99.40%	99.61%
Feb-08	1,920,124,516	\$1,863,258.55	\$1,856,218.55	99.62%	99.00%
Mar-08	2,072,231,989	\$1,856,235.70	\$1,854,157.17	99.89%	
Apr-08	1,727,288,648	\$1,637,354.80	\$1,634,745.28	99.84%	
May-08	1,582,333,899	\$1,434,556.88	\$1,431,101.50	99.76%	
Jun-08	1,759,882,309	\$2,152,540.86	\$2,145,094.51	99.65%	
Jul-08	1,998,028,106	\$2,495,651.21	\$2,485,704.44	99.60%	
Aug-08	2,060,374,545	\$2,574,561.62	\$2,561,711.79	99.50%	
Sep-07	1,930,183,932	\$1,148,988.15	\$1,141,065.59	99.31%	
Oct-07	1,938,846,398	\$1,021,676.95	\$1,018,688.07	99.71%	
Nov-07	1,658,659,518	\$917,799.64	\$913,346.72	99.51%	
Dec-07	1,857,698,472	\$1,044,192.34	\$1,039,349.32	99.54%	
•	22,419,035,340	\$20,137,494,95	\$20,059,928,75		

Target Revenue:

Total Cost:(Target Revenue / 99%)

Allowance:(Total Cost - Total Revenue)

\$24,320,068.86

\$24,565,726.12

\$245,657.26

OP
Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection

		KWh sales X			
		current rider =	Rider	Expected Revenue/	Average
	KWH	Expected Revenue	Collection	Rider Collection	Collection
Jan-08	2,608,060,780	\$2,307,893.58	\$2,302,391.82	99.76%	100.08%
Feb-08	2,410,529,684	\$2,148,098.27	\$2,146,326.83	99.92%	99.00%
Mar-08	2,344,191,605	\$2,084,246.10	\$2,082,530.46	99.92%	
Apr-08	2,452,636,431	\$1,930,226.15	\$1,930,517.21	100.02%	
May-08	2,134,575,008	\$1,672,116.17	\$1,668,788.34	99.80%	
Jun-08	2,147,397,297	\$2,185,884.89	\$2,178,451.31	99.66%	
30-luL	2,482,389,862	\$2,416,902.47	\$2,408,099.95	99.64%	
Aug-08	2,353,886,412	\$2,369,874.04	\$2,452,751.94	103.50%	
Se p -07	2,250,537,378	\$1,005,507.51	\$1,001,828.49	99.63%	
Oct-07	2,314,213,323	\$921,341.16	\$918,450.88	99.69%	
Nov-07	2,065,642,532	\$872,387.69	\$869,390.30	99.66%	
Dec-07	2,553,089,923	\$1,052,481.11	\$1,050,063.51	99.77%	
-	28,117,150,235	\$20,966,959.13	\$21,009,591.04		,

Target Revenue:
Total Cost:(Target Revenue / .99)
Allowance:(Total Cost - Total Revenue)

\$21,054,731.50 \$21,267,405.56 \$212,674.06

DukeCalculation of Allowance for Undercollection

		KWh sales X		_	
		USF rider=	Rider	Expected Revenue/	Average
	KWH	Expected Revenue	Collection	Rider Collection	Collection
Jan-08	1,942,717,131	\$2,091,400.20	\$2,068,615.84	98,91%	98.89%
Feb-08	1,827,754,668	\$1,967,744.65	\$1,941,651.50	98.67%	
Mar-08	1,772,667,337	\$1,899,179.52	\$1,877,841.84	98.88%	
Apr-08	1,623,311,620	\$1,710,278.07	\$1,693,165.53	99.00%	
May-08	1,487,877,304	\$1,554,079.89	\$1,537,511.06	98.93%	
Jun-08	1,732,552,584	\$1,818,039.53	\$1,797,474.49	98.87%	
Jul-08	1,923,236,804	\$2,044,613.14	\$2,019,861.03	98.79%	ı
Aug-08	1,968,276,817	\$2,100,311.33	\$2,074,850.18	98.79%	
Sep-07	2,119,680,594	\$1,722,372.79	\$1,705,235.86	99.01%	
Oct-07	1,765,164,510	\$1,415,951.07	\$1,401,376.38	98.97%	
Nov-07	1,593,626,762	\$1,268,719.95	\$1,255,079.53	98.92%	
Dec-07	1,789,125,563	\$1,451,330.36	\$1,436,680.72	98.99%	
	21,545,991,694	\$21,044,020.52	\$20,809,343.96	_	

Target Revenue:

\$21,759,207.23

Total Cost:(Target Revenue / Average Collection)

\$22,002,491.50

Allowance: (Total Cost - Total Revenue)

\$243,284.28

DPL
Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection

		KWh sales X			
		current rider =	Rider	Expected Revenue/	Average
_	KWH	Expected Revenue	Collection	Rider Collection	Collection
Jan-08	1,374,260,433	\$1,120,648.16	\$1,103,309.93	98.45%	97.36%
Feb-08	1,319,198,229	\$1,075,747.39	\$1,055,604.60	98.13%	
Mar-08	1,311,554,348	\$1,069,514.14	\$1,050,847.95	98.25%	
Apr-08	1,160,307,516	\$946,179.09	\$919,266.20	97.16%	
May-08	1,047,396,835	\$854 ,105.46	\$822,323.44	96.28%	
Jun-08	1,153,063,996	\$940,272.32	\$910,209.10	96.80%	
Jul-08	1,322,701,853	\$1,078,604.44	\$1,047,840.17	97.15%	
Aug-08	1,343,297,945	\$1,095,399.63	\$1,066,188.86	97.33%	
Sep-07	1,390,566,415	\$1,183,946.17	\$1,152,072.21	97.31%	
Oct-07	1,210,529,263	\$1,030,660.22	\$996,246.86	96.66%	
Nov-07	1,155,270,068	\$983,611.83	\$950,751.37	96.66%	
Dec-07	1,194,142,544	\$1,016,708.35	\$997,765.98	98.14%	
•	14,982,289,445	\$12,395,397.19	\$12,072,426.67		

Target Revenue:
Total Cost:(Target Revenue / Average Collection)

Allowance:(Total Cost - Total Revenue)

\$18,626,655.77 \$19,131,759.84

\$505,104.08

CEI
Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection

		KWh sales X		-	
		USF rider=	Rider	Expected Revenue/	Average
	KWH	Expected Revenue	Collection	Rider Collection	Collection
Jan-08	1,772,859,428	\$1,572,903.42	\$1,551,781.66	98.66%	99.18%
Feb-08	1,697,795,452	\$1,490,201.03	\$1,477,067.78	99.12%	99.00%
Mar-08	1,692,492,209	\$1,481,932.55	\$1,477,563.13	99.71%	
Apr-08	1,573,126,572	\$1,368,404.24	\$1,339,015.69	97.85%	
May-08	1,415,846,529	\$1,223,199.89	\$1,227,067.64	100.32%	
Jun-08	1,548,001,445	\$1,346,908.26	\$1,333,326.67	98.99%	
Jul-08	1,707,495,458	\$1,497,431.04	\$1,482,825.67	99.02%	
Aug-08	1,772,781,294	\$1,552,089.69	\$1,551,806.68	99.98%	
Sep-07	1,761,031,101	\$1,596,735.54	\$1,585,990.57	99.33%	
Oct-07	1,612,830,787	\$1,454,047.03	\$1,434,500.78	98.66%	
Nov-07	1,507, 438,2 49	\$1,357,026.67	\$1,336,241.26	98.47%	
Dec-07	1,535,133,602	\$1,384,085.30	\$1,385,504.02	100.10%	
	\$19,596,832,126	\$17,324,964.67	\$17,182,691.55		

Target Revenue:
Total Cost:(Target Revenue / 99%
Allowance:(Total Cost - Target Revenue)

\$15,486,526.87 \$15,642,956.44 \$156,429.56

OE
Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection

		KWh sales X			
		USF rider =	Rider	Expected Revenue/	Average
	KWH	Expected Revenue	Collection	Rider Collection	Collection
Jan-08	2,282,644,528	\$3,161,510	\$3,158,182	99.89%	100.63%
Feb-08	2,267,886,039	\$3,100,762	\$3,130,889	100.97%	99.00%
Mar-08	2,239,563,950	\$3,058,255	\$3,093,273	101.15%	
Apr-08	2,048,265,242	\$2,789,488	\$2,810,430	100.75%	
May-08	1,837,859,707	\$2,470,573	\$ 2,516 ,4 66	101.86%	
Jun-08	2,047,807,029	\$2,771,849	\$2,809,208	101.35%	
Jul-08	2,223,596,402	\$3,054,304	\$3,065,692	100.37%	
Aug-08	2,319,392,520	\$3,162,779	\$3,201,828	101.23%	
Sep-07	2,342,200,586	\$2,802,179	\$2,805,256	100.11%	
Oct-07	2,110,592,062	\$2,516,671	\$2,516,269	99.98%	
Nov-07	1,982,740,550	\$2,363,475	\$2,359,752	99.84%	
Dec-07	2,133,029,824	<u>\$2,551,</u> 770	\$2,552,214	100.02%	
	25,835,578,4 39	\$33,803,615	\$34,019,459		

Target Revenue: Total Cost:(Target Revenue / .99) Allowance:(Total Cost - Total Revenue) \$44,094,066.89 44,539,461.50 445,394.62

TE
Calculation of Allowance for Undercollection

		KWh sales X			
		USF rider≃	Rider	Expected Revenu	Average
	KWH	Expected Revenue	Collection	Rider Collection	Collection
Jan-08	918,323,821	\$1,234,586.53	\$1,177,265.11	95.36%	97.72%
Feb-08	915,032,435	\$1,189,552.89	\$1,175,713.88	98.84%	
Mar-08	889,689,458	\$1,174,010.69	\$1 ,157,491.28	98.59%	
Apr-08	827,759,412	\$1,087,485.31	\$1,041,913.16	95.81%	
May-08	795,248,673	\$991,128.87	\$980,909.82	98.97%	
Jun-08	854,291,050	\$1,100,341.90	\$1,072,937.42	97.51%	
Jul-08	892,273,114	\$1,217,434.54	\$1,165,075.97	95.70%	
Aug-08	946,165,888	\$1,265,237.15	\$1,238,667.05	97.90%	
Sep-07	956,159,181	\$856,689.86	\$853,746.44	99.66%	
Oct-07	857,952,666	\$764,688.71	\$746,685.52	97.65%	
Nov-07	820,418,367	\$731,205.69	\$711,779.51	97.34%	
Dec-07	868,397,715	\$774,770.30	\$769,701.67	99.35%	
-	10.541.711.780	\$12,387,132,43	\$12,091,886,83		

Target Revenue: \$14,156,746.38
Total Cost:(Target Revenue / Average Collection) \$14,486,744.86
Allowance:(Total Cost - Total Revenue) \$329,998.48

CSP KWH Sales

	KVVIT Sales
	Past 12 months
	KWh
Jan-08	1,913,383,008
Feb-08	1,920,124,516
Mar-08	2,072,231,989
Apr-08	1,727,288,648
May-08	1,582,333,899
Jun-08	1,759,882,309
Jul-08	1,998,028,106
Aug-08	2,060,374,545
Sep-07	1,930,183,932
Oct-07	1,938,846,398
Nov-07	1,658,659,518
Dec-07	1,857,698,472
•	22,419,035,340

OP KWH Sales

	KVVII Sales
	Past 12 months
	KWh
Jan-08	2,608,060,780
Feb-08	2,410,529,684
Mar-08	2,344,191,605
Apr-08	2,452,636,431
May-08	2,134,575,008
Jun-08	2,147,397,297
Jul-08	2,482,389,862
Aug-08	2,353,886,412
Sep-07	2,250,537,378
Oct-07	2,314,213,323
Nov-07	2,065,642,532
Dec-07	2,553,089,923
·	28,117,150,235

Duke

Ī		١	٨	/	Ш
	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	V	V	/	

Jan-08	1,942,717,131
Feb-08	1,827,754,668
Mar-08	1,772,667,337
Apr-08	1,623,311,620
May-08	1,487,877,304
Jun-08	1,732,552,584
Jul-08	1,923,236,804
Aug-08	1,968,276,817
Sep-07	2,119,680,594
Oct-07	1,765,164,510
Nov-07	1,593,626,762
Dec-07	1,789,125,563
•	21,545,991,694

DPL KWH Sales

KWH

Jan-08	1,374,260,433
Feb-08	1,319,198,229
Mar-08	1,311,554,328
Apr-08	1,160,307,516
May-08	1,047,396,835
Jun-08	1,153,063,996
Jul-08	1,322,701,853
Aug-08	1,343,297,945
Sep-07	1,390,566,415
Oct-07	1,210,529,263
Nov-07	1,155,270,068
Dec-07	1,194,142,544
	14,982,289,425

CEI KWH Sales

KWH

Jan-08	1,772,859,428
Feb-08	1,697,795,452
Mar-08	1,692,492,209
Apr-08	1,573,126,572
May-08	1,415,846,529
Jun-08	1,548,001,445
Jul-08	1,707,495,458
Aug-08	1,772,781,294
Sep-07	1,761,031,101
Oct-07	1,612,830,787
Nov-07	1,507,438,249
Dec-07	1,535,133,602
•	19,596,832,126

OE KWH Sales

KWH

Jan-08	2,282,644,528
Feb-08	2,267,886,039
Mar-08	2,239,563,950
Apr-08	2,048,265,242
May-08	1,837,859,707
Jun-08	2,047,807,029
Jul-08	2,223,596,402
Aug-08	2,319,392,520
Sep-07	2,342,200,586
Oct-07	2,110,592,062
Nov-07	1,982,740,550
Dec-07	2,133,029,824
•	25,835,578,439

TE KWH Sales

	<u>KWH</u>
Jan-08	918,323,821
Feb-08	915,032,435
Mar-08	889,689,458
Apr-08	827,759,412
May-08	795,248,673
Jun-08	854,291,050
Jul-08	892,273,114
Aug-08	946,165,888
Sep-07	956,159,181
Oct-07	857,952,666
Nov-07	820,418,367
Dec-07	868,397,715
	10,541,711,780
Jul-08 lug-08 ep-07 Oct-07 lov-07	892,273,114 946,165,888 956,159,181 857,952,666 820,418,367 868,397,715

0.0014082

0.0001830

\$

\$

0.0003125

28.5%

3.44

Two-Tiered Rider CSP

Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate (4)] \$

<u>Proposa</u>	<u>l</u>
	First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18)

Change (18) - (4)

% Change

19

20

21

Calcula	ation			
1	10/99 USF Rider	\$ 0.0001830		
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$ 24,565,726.12		
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation	22,419,035,340		
4	Uniform per Kwh rate	\$ 0.0010958		
5	Accounts with Annual KWh Greater than 10,000,000 KWh	126		
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually	6,977,424,681		
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,334 Monthly)	10,000,000		
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (7)	1,260,000,000		
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$ 1,774,349.30		
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)	5,717,424,681		
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$ 0.0001830		
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$ 1,046,288.72		
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$ 2,820,638.01		
14	Revenue @ ODOD Proposed Rate (6) x (4)	\$ 7,645,534.30		
15	Revenue shortfall (13) - (14)	\$ (4,824,896.29)		
Adjustment to Calculation				
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$21,7 4 5, 0 88.11		
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)	15,441,610,659		
18	Adjusted First Block Rate (16)/(17)	\$0.0014082		

Annual Cost to Consumer Using 918 kWh per Month (19) x 918 x 12

Two-Tiered Rider Ohio Power

Propos			
	First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate (4)]	\$ \$	0.0011245 0.0001681
Calcula	ation		
1	10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0001681
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$	21,267,405.56
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation	2	8,117,150,235
4	Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0007564
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		197
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually	1	2,791,996,246
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,334 Monthly)		10,000,000
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (7)		1,970,000,000
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	2,215,245.33
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)	1	0,821,996,246
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0001681
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	1,819,177.57
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	4,034,422.90
14	Revenue @ ODOD Proposed Rate (6) x (4)	\$	9,675,680.85
15	Revenue shortfall (13) - (14)	\$	(5,641,257.95)
<u>Adjustme</u>	<u>nt to Calculation</u>		
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	17,232,982.66
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)	1:	5,325,153,989
18	Adjusted First Block Rate (16)/(17)	\$	0.0011245
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0003681
20	% Change		48.7%
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 986 kWh per Month (19) x 986 x 12	\$	4.36

Two-Tiered Rider <u>Duke</u>

<u>Propos</u>		<u>.</u>
	First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh Rate	\$ 0.0011652 \$ 0.0004690
Calcula	ation	
1	10/99 USF Rider	\$ 0.0004690
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$ 22,002,491.50
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation	21,545,991,694
4	Uniform per Kwh Rate (2) / (3)	\$ 0.0010212
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh	142
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually	5,877,523,147
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,000 Monthly)	10,000,000
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)	1,420,000,000
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$ 1,654,619.70
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)	4,457,523,147
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform Per Kwh Rate (4)	\$ 0.0004690
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$ 2,090,578.36
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$ 3,745,198.05
14	Revenue @ Uniform per Kwh Rate (6) x (4)	\$ 6,002,051.56
15	Reduction in Total Revenue (13) - (14)	\$ (2,256,853.51)
<u>Adjustme</u>	ent to <u>Calculation</u>	
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$ 18,257,293.45
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)	15,668,468,547
18	Adjusted USF (16)/(17)	\$ 0.0011652
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$ 0.0001440
20	% Change	14.1%
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 989 kWh per Month (19) x 989 x 12	\$ 1.71

Two-Tiered Rider <u>DPL</u>

Pro	pos	al
-----	-----	----

Propos	aı First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh Rate	\$ \$	0.0014596 0.0005700
<u>Calcula</u>	tion 10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0005700
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement		\$19,131,759.84
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation		14,982,289,425
4	Uniform per Kwh Rate (2) / (3)	\$	0.0012770
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		106
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		4,135,693,202
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,000 Monthly)		10,000,000
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		1,060,000,000
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	1,547,153.46
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		3,075,693,202
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform Per Kwh Rate (4)	\$	0.0005700
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	1,753,145.13
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	3,300,298.59
14	Revenue @ Uniform per Kwh Rate (6) x (4)	\$	5,281,108.04
15	Reduction in Total Revenue (13) - (14)	\$	(1,980,809.45)
<u>Adjustme</u>	nt to Calculation		
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	15,831,461.25
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		10,846,596,223
18	Adjusted USF (16)/(17)	\$	0.0014596
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0001826
20	% Change		14.3%
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 1010 kWh per Month (19) x 1010 x 12	\$	2.21

Two-Tiered Rider CEI

Proposal

Propo	DSAI First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh Rate (4)]	\$	0.0008634 0.0005680
Calcy 1	i <u>lation</u> 10/99 USF Rider	13	0.0005680
·			
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$	15,642,956.44
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation		19,596,832,126
4	Uniform per Kwh Rate (2) / (3)	\$	0.0007982
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		150
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		5,821,273,570
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,000 Monthly)		10,000,000
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		1,500,000,000
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	1,295,056.38
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		4,321,273,570
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform Per Kwh Rate (4)	\$	0.0005680
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	2,454,483.39
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	3,749,539.77
14	Revenue @ Uniform per Kwh Rate (6) x (4)	\$	4,646,767.82
15	Reduction in Total Revenue (13) - (14)		(\$897,228.05)
<u>Adjustr</u>	nent to Calculation		
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	11,893,416.67
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		13,775,558,556
18	Adjusted USF (16)/(17)	\$	0.0008634
19	Change (18) - (4)		\$0,0000651
20	% Change		8.2%
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 672 kWh per Month (19) x 672 x 12	\$	0.53

Two-Tiered Rider Ohio Edison

Ono Edison			
<u>Propos</u>	<u>al</u> First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh Rate	\$ 0.0019592 \$ 0.0010461	
Calcula			
1	10/99 USF Rider	\$ 0.0010461	
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$ 44,539,461.50	
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation	25,835,578,439	
4	Uniform per Kwh Rate (2) / (3)	\$ 0.0017240	
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh	19 5	
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually	8,605,014,719	
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,000 Monthly)	10,000,000	
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)	1,950,000,000	
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$ 3,820,347.50	
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)	6,655,014,719	
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform Per Kwh Rate (4)	\$ 0.0010461	
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$ 6,961,810.90	
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$ 10,782,158.40	
14	Revenue @ Uniform per Kwh Rate (6) x (4)	\$ 14 ,834,687.08	
15	Reduction in Total Revenue (13) - (14)	\$ (4,052,528.69)	
<u>Adjustme</u>	nt to Calculation		
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$ 33,757,303.10	
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)	17,230,563,720	
18	Adjusted USF (16)/(17)	\$ 0.0019592	
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$ 0.0002352	
20	% Change	13.6%	
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 800 kWh per Month (19) x 800 x 12	\$ 2.26	

Two-Tiered Rider Toledo Edison

Toledo Edison				
<u>Propos</u>	al First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$ \$	0.0019049 0.0005610	
<u>Calcula</u> 1	<u>tion</u> 10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0005610	
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement	\$ 14	,486,744.86	
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation	10,5	541,711,780	
4	Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0013742	
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		66	
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually	4,8	322,721,014	
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,334 Monthly)		10,000,000	
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)	(860,000,000	
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$ 1	,257,246.30	
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)	4,1	162,721,014	
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0005610	
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$ 2	,335,286.49	
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$ 3	,592,532.79	
14	Revenue @ ODOD Proposed Rate (6) x (4)	\$ 6	,627,531.69	
15	Revenue shortfall (13) - (14)	\$ (3	,034,998.90)	
Adjustment to Calculation				
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$ 10	,894,212.08	
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)	5,7	718,990,766	
18	Adjusted First Block Rate (16)/(17)	\$	0.0019049	
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0005307	
20	% Change		38.6%	
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 769 kWh per Month (19) x 769 x 12	\$	4.90	

Restated 2008 Two-Tlered Rider CSP

Р	ro	po	sa	l
---	----	----	----	---

First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18)	\$ 0.0014525
Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate (4)]	\$ 0.0001830

Calcula	ation			
1	10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0001830	
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement+Supplemental Requirement	\$	25,103,617.30	
3	Supplemental Requirement= \$3,976,452 Total kWh Used in Calculation		21,688,469,300	
4	Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0011575	
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		124	
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		6,280,500,506	
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,334 Monthly)		10,000,000	
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		1,240,000,000	
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	1,801,102.31	
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		5,040,500,506	
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0001830	
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	922,411.59	
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	2,723,513.90	
14	Revenue @ ODOD Proposed Rate (6) x (4)	\$	7,269,451.75	
15	Revenue shortfall (13) - (14)	\$	(4,545,937.85)	
Adjustment to Calculation				
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	22,380,103.40	
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		15,407,968,794	
18	Adjusted First Block Rate (16)/(17)		\$0.0014525	
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0002950	
20	% Change		25.5%	
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 918 kWh per Month (19) x 918 x 12	\$	3. 2 5	

Restated 2008 Two-Tiered Rider Ohio Power

<u>Propos</u>				
	First Block 833,000 kWh (10,000,000 per Year) (18) Over 833,000 kWh [Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate (4)]	\$ \$	0.0014296 0.0001681	
		•	0.0001001	
Calcula 1	<u>tion</u> 10/99 USF Rider	\$	0.0001681	
2	USF Rider Revenue Requirement+Supplemental Requirement Supplemental Requirement= \$2,824,962	\$	26,489,982.27	
3	Total kWh Used in Calculation	27,324,354,515		
4	Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0009695	
5	Accounts with Annual kWh Greater than 10,000,000 kWh		197	
6	Total Kwh of Accounts Over 10,000,000 kWh Annually		11,936,988,536	
7	First Block Annual kWh (833,334 Monthly)		10,000,000	
8	Total kWh in First Block (5) x (6)		1,970,000,000	
9	Revenue First Block Rate x (8)	\$	2,816,362.06	
10	Total Second Block kWh (6) - (8)		9,966,988,536	
11	Lower of 10/99 Rate (1) or Uniform per Kwh rate	\$	0.0001681	
12	Second Block Revenue (11) x (10)	\$	1,675,450.77	
13	Total First and Second Block Revenue (9) + (12)	\$	4,491,812.84	
14	Revenue @ ODOD Proposed Rate (6) x (4)	\$	11,572,482.51	
15	Revenue shortfall (13) - (14)	\$	(7,080,669.67)	
<u>Adjustme</u>	nt to Calculation			
16	Adjusted Cost (2) - (9) - (12)	\$	21,998,189.43	
17	Adjusted kWh (3) - (6)		15,387,365,979	
18	Adjusted First Block Rate (16)/(17)	\$	0.0014296	
19	Change (18) - (4)	\$	0.0004602	
20	% Change		47.5%	
21	Annual Cost to Consumer Using 986 kWh per Month (19) x 986 x 12	\$	5.44	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing application has been served upon the following parties by first class mail, postage prepaid, this 31st day of October 2008.

Barth E. Royer

Marvin I. Resnik AEP Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, Ohio 43215

Randall Griffin Edward N. Rizer The Dayton Power & Light Company MacGregor Park 1065 Woodman Avenue Dayton, Ohio 45432

Paul Colbert Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 155 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Kathy Kolich FirstEnergy Corp. 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308

Janine Migden-Ostrander Ann Hotz Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 Samuel C. Randazzo Gretchen J. Hummel McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center Suite 910 21 East State Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

David C. Rinebolt, Esq. Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy PO Box 1793 Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793