FILE

RECEIVED-DOCKETING BLY
2008 OCT - 6 PM 4: 35
PUCO



ANDRE PORTER TELEPHONE: 614-462-1065 FAX: 614-222-4707 EMAIL: APORTER@82D,COM

October 6, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Division, 10th Floor 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

RE: Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am enclosing for filing with your agency, an original and twenty (20) copies of The City of Cleveland's Post-Hearing Brief, pursuant to Rule 4901-1-02 of the Ohio Administrative Code.

Please provide one (1) time-stamped copy of the enclosed document to the representative hand-delivering this information.

Sincerely,

Andre Porter

and of

ATP:rac

Encl.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician / M Date Processed / O () 2008

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)) Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO)			
Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications Associated with Reconciliation Mechanism, and Tariffs for Generation Service.				
		•		
)))			
		TABLE OF CONTENTS		
		INTRODUCTION	2	
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	3		
CONCLUSION	6			
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	8			

6

INTRODUCTION

The Ohio General Assembly passed Amended Substitute Senate Bill 221 ("SB 221") which requires that, in order to provide Standard Service Offers ("SSO") of electric utility service in Ohio beginning January 1, 2009, electric distribution utility companies must submit electric security plans ("ESP") applications for review and approval by the Public Utility Commission of Ohio ("Commission"). An ESP application is required to include "provisions relating to the supply and pricing of electric generation service" and describe the basis for charges, rates, and terms for the provision of electric utility service under an SSO. R.C. 4928.143(B)(1). SB 221 also allows electric distribution utility companies to file, simultaneous with ESP filings, applications for approval to procure supply for a SSO via a Market Rate Offer ("MRO"), whereby the pricing to the electric distribution utility's customers would be determined by the price that the electric distribution utility company pays an electric generating company to provide electricity after a Competitive Bidding Process ("CBP") is conducted. The MRO and CBP would subject SSO customers to volatile market prices whereas the ESP would insulate customers from fluctuations in energy prices.

On July 31, 2008, The Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and the Toledo Edison Company ("First Energy") initiated this proceeding by submitting the above captioned MRO application. First Energy simultaneously submitted an ESP application (Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO) which is also currently pending before the Commission. The City of Cleveland ("Cleveland" or "City") intervened in this proceeding to ensure that the interests of the City were addressed and to ensure that the wellbeing of its residential and commercial citizenry was made a priority. The City was granted intervention by the Commission prior to the commencement of public hearings in this proceeding.

{H1346913.1}

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

First Energy's MRO application proposes to dramatically alter the rates, terms and conditions under which Cleveland and its citizens receive retail electric utility service. The MRO includes a "reconciliation mechanism . . . to adjust generation pricing to SSO customers to ensure that billed amounts do not exceed the costs the Companies [First Energy] incur and to ensure that the Companies [First Energy] collect sufficient amounts to pay SSO Suppliers in full for SSO Generation Service, and to otherwise keep the Companies [First Energy] whole."

(MRO Application Pg. 5, Ex. C). Additionally, the MRO includes a Rate Conversion Process ("RCP") under which SSO generation charges "would be set, including sample retail tariffs for SSO generation service." (MRO Application Pg. 9).

Under R.C. 4928.142(B)(3), the Commission may reject the MRO application if it determines that the MRO application is deficient and does not meet statutory requirements or the Commission may "direct the electric distribution utility regarding how any deficiency may be remedied." Cleveland submits this statement of issues, to illustrate the basis under which the Commission should reject the MRO application or alternatively at a minimum require that First Energy modify the application so that it meets R.C. 4928.142 requirements. While the City believes that the issues cited herein were adequately previously raised in the expert testimony of John T. Courtney, as filed with the Commission on behalf of Cleveland on September 9, 2008, further review of an emphasis on these issues is warranted in this instance in order to make certain that the interests of the City and its citizens are adequately addressed.

I. First Energy's Proposed RCP Should Give Proper Recognition To The Load Characteristics Of The Individual Rate Classes.

First Energy's MRO should be rejected because it fails to give proper recognition to the load characteristics of each class. In the alternative, the MRO application should be modified so that the unique load characteristics of each class are recognized. If load characteristics are

recognized with specificity, customers will be charged rates appropriate to the manner in which they use electricity, thereby resulting in appropriate pricing and likely cost savings. Exhibit C of the First Energy Companies' MRO sets forth the following proposed RCP:

The rate conversion process is used to convert the Blended Bid Price to a retail rate, which will be referred to as the Standard Service Offer Generation Charge ("SSOGC"). The solicitations in the Competitive Bid Process for generation supply will result in three different clearing prices. The clearing prices will be averaged using the number of tranches purchased at each price as weights to obtain the Blended Competitive Bid Price. The SSOGC for each rate class (SSO Rate Class Charge) will be determined by dividing the Blended Competitive Bid Price by 1 minus the appropriate distribution loss factor, in percentage of power supply. The Rate class specific result will then be adjusted to incorporate the Seasonal Application Factor (SAF) and the Commercial Activity Tax (CAT) to arrive at the SSOGC.

Cleveland agrees with, its expert, Mr. Courtney's assertion that the RCP is not an appropriate method for determining the SSO generation charge for each rate class because the proposed RCP fails to give proper recognition to the load characteristics of the individual rate classes. As Mr. Courtney explains in his testimony, the load characteristics of a rate class:

[are] a reflection of a class' peak load and energy requirements, as well as its load profile [and] each rate class has its own distinct load characteristics. For example, the peak demand for residential customers typically occurs during the late afternoon or early evening hours of the day, while the peak demand for industrial customers typically occurs during the late morning or early afternoon hours of the day.

Courtney Testimony Pg. 4. First Energy has the ability to account for the differences between each rate class. The MRO application accounts for differences under at least two other scenarios. The First Energy application accounts for seasonal differences and resulting expenses to serve its customer classes. MRP Application Pgs. 5-6. Additionally, the First Energy MRO accounts for distribution losses in each rate class. First Energy states in its MRO that, "the Distribution Losses (DL) in percentage is the only difference in the calculation for each Rate Class." The distribution losses factor gives recognition to the fact that customers in different rate classes are served at different voltage levels and, as such, reflects the difference in losses that

First Energy may incur as a result of distribution in each rate class and allows an adjustment so that First Energy can be made whole for any losses.

If First Energy can account for rate class differences when such differences are to the benefit of First Energy, then First Energy should most certainly also be able to account for rate class differences to ensure that customers in different rate classes pay appropriate rates for electric utility service. For this reason, Cleveland agrees with Mr. Courtney's assertion that First Energy should be required to develop factors that take into consideration the load characteristics of the individual rate classes and incorporate these factors into the RCP Formula. Such calculations, we believe, will lead to appropriate pricing and likely cost savings for retail electric utility customers.

In rebuttal to Cleveland's expert, John Courtney, First Energy's witness, William R. Ridman, testified in response that the costs for electric "in the wholesale market I would say it's generally higher on-peak than off-peak." Transcript Volume V, pg 19 lines 18-19. Mr. Ridman continued by stating that "I don't know of any reason why they (on peak & off peak rates) couldn't be designed that way. . ." Transcript Volume V, pg. 21, lines 6-8. It is apparent that First Energy's own expert witness understands the cost differences between rate classes and also understands and agrees that, by recognizing these differences, pricing can be adjusted with specificity to each rate class.

II. First Energy's Should Not Be Permitted To Obtain Automatic, 100% Recovery Of Non-Quantified, Unidentified And Uncontrolled Costs (Delta Revenue). And Any Delta Revenue Should Only Be Recovered With Adequate Review And Comment By The Commission, Its Staff, Customers And Other Interested Parties.

First Energy's proposed Rider CRT is designed to allow First Energy an automatic one hundred percent (100%) recovery of the differential between SSO revenue received and fees paid for SSO generation charges. MRO Application, Exhibit C. The proposed Rider CRT would

allow First Energy to charge SSO customers for variances and delta revenue resulting from economic development schedules, energy efficiency schedules, reasonable arrangements, governmental special contracts or unique arrangements.

There is no authority under R.C. 4928.142 for such automatic recovery by First Energy. The only costs and recovery items addressed under R.C. 4928.142 are costs incurred by an electric distribution utility as a result of, or related to, the competitive bidding process or to procure generation service to provide the SSO. There is no statutory reference for the granting of an electric distribution utility automatic recovery of one hundred percent (100%) of its delta revenues.

Cleveland agrees with Mr. Courtney's assertion that First Energy has "not provided any information in their filing with regards to the potential magnitude of these delta revenues or the costs related to alternative energy resources. In essence, Rider CRT would provide [First Energy] a blank check to pass on to its customers 100% of non-quantified, unidentified and uncontrolled delta revenues they incur associated with special contracts and costs they incur related alternative energy resources." Cleveland also agrees with Mr. Courtney's testimony that "future recovery of these items should be subject to full review [and comment] of the Commission, its staff, customers and other interested parties as a part of a full rate setting process." Without more oversight or a formalized process to review the costs that customers may incur, Rider CRT results in a blank check that would provide First Energy unprecedented and unfettered discretion to pass untested and unsubstantiated costs on to customers without public review.

CONCLUSION

Under R.C. 4928.142(B)(3), the Commission has authority to reject First Energy's MRO application if it does not meet statutory requirements. As stated herein, First Energy should have

accounted for differences in the load characteristics in each rate class. Additionally, First Energy's MRO application would permit it to automatically recover one hundred percent (100%) of delta revenues without review and comment by the Commission and other interested parties.

R.C. 4928.142 does not permit such recovery. For these reasons, First Energy's MRO application should be rejected. In the alternative, the Commission should require that First Energy modify its MRO application so that the issues raised herein are adequately addressed.

Respectfully Submitted

Robert J. Triozzi (0016532)

Director of Law, City of Cleveland

Steven Beeler (0078076)

Assistant Director of Law, City of Cleveland

Gregory H. Dunn (0007353)

Counsel of Record

Direct Dial: (614) 462-2339

E-mail: gdunn@szd.com

Christopher L. Miller (0063259)

Direct Dial: (614) 462-5033

E-mail: cmiller@szd.com

Andre T. Porter (0080072)

Direct Dial: (614) 462-1065

E-mail: aporter@szd.com

Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA

250 West Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 462-2700 (Main Number)

(614) 222-4707 (Facsimile)

Attorneys For The City of Cleveland

(H1346913.1)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of The City of Cleveland's Post Hearing Brief was served via electronic mail to the parties listed on the attached Exhibit A and by regular mail service to the parties listed on the attached Exhibit B on the 6th of October, 2008.

Additionally, a copy of The City of Cleveland's Post Hearing Brief was hand delivered to Jones Day, Mark A. Whitt, Andrew J. Campbell, P.O. Box 165017, 325 John H. McConnell Blvd. Suite 600, Columbus, OH 43216-5017 and the Public Utilities Commission, 180 East Broad St., 3rd Floor, Columbus, OH 43215.

Andre T. Porter

EXHIBIT A

Case 08-936-EL-SSO Electronic Mail Service List

Jones Day
Mark A. Whit
Andrew J. Campbell
P.O. Box 165017
325 McConnell Blvd., Suite 600
Columbus, OH 43216-5017
mawhitt@jonesday.com
ajcampbell@jonesday.com

Wal-Mart Stores East LP
Sam's Club East, L.P.
Macy's Inc.
BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc.
Douglas M. Mancino
Grace C. Wung
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
dmancino@mwe.com
gwung@mwe.com

Ohio Energy Group, Inc. (OEG)
Michael L. Kurtz
David F. Boehm
Kurt J. Boehm
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
mkurtz@ BKLlawfirm.com
dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com

FirstEnergy Corp.
Mark A. Hayden
James Burk
Ebony Miller
Korkosza
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com
burkj@firstenergycorp.com
elmmiller@firstenergy.com
korkosza@firstenergycorp.com

The Ohio Manufacturers' Association Kevin Schmidt 33 North High Street Columbus, OH 43215 kschmidt@ohiomfg.com

David Kutik Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue North Point, 901 Lakeside Ave. Cleveland, OH 44114 dakutik@jonesday.com

City of Akron Sean W. Vollman David A. Muntean 161 S. High Street, Suite 202 Akron, OH 44308 vollmse@ci.akron.oh.us munteda@ci.akron.oh.us

Gregory K. Lawrence
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
28 State Street
Boston MA 02109
glawrence@mwe.com

American Wind Energy Association Wind on the Wires Ohio Advanced Energy Sally W. Bloomfield Terrence O'Donnell E. Brett Breitschwerdt Teresa Orahood, Paralegal Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 sbloomfield@bricker.com todonnell@bricker.com torahood@bricker.com bbreitschwerdt@bricker.com

Direct Energy Services, LLC
Constellation NewEnergy, et al.
Integrys Energy
National Energy Marketers Assn.
Ohio Association of Business Officials, et al.
M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
Mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

Integrys Energy Bobby Singh 300 West Wilson Bridge Road, Suite 350 Worthington, OH 43085 bsingh@integrysenergy.com

Ohio Hospital Association Richard L. Sites 155 E. Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 ricks@ohanet.org

Citizen Power Theodore S. Robinson 2121 Murray Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15217 robinson@citizenpower.com

NOAC- Maumee Sheilah H. McAdams Marsh & McAdams – Law Director 204 West Wayne Street Maumee, OH 43547 sheilahmca@aol.com

NOAC- Northwood Brian J. Ballenger Ballenger & Moore – Law Director 3401 Woodville Rd., Suite C Toledo, OH 43619 ballengerlawbjb@sbcglobal.net

NOAC- Oregon Paul S. Goldberg, Law Director 6800 W. Central Ave. Toledo, OH 43617-1135 pgoldberg@ci.oregon.oh.us NOAC- Sylvania James E. Moan, Law Director 4930 Holland-Sylvania Rd Sylvania, OH 43560 jimmoan@hotmail.com

Dominion Retail, Inc. Barth E. Royer Langdon D. Bell Bell & Royer, LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 barthroyer@aol.com Lbell33@aol.com

Constellation Energy Group, Inc.
David I. Fein
Vice President, Energy Policy – Midwest
550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661
David.fein@constellation.com

Kroger Co
John W. Bentine
Matthew S. White
Mark Stephen Yurick
Chester Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 E. State St., Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
mwhite@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com

NOAC- Lake Thomas R. Hays Lake Township – Solicitor 3315 Centennial Road, Suite A-2 Sylvania, OH 43560 hayslaw@buckeye-express.com

Lucas County Commissioners Lance M. Keiffer Lucas County Assist Prosecuting Atty 711 Adams St., 2nd Floor Toledo, OH 43624-1680 lkeiffer@co.lucas.oh.us

Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council Ohio Schools Council, NOPEC Glenn S. Krassen Bricker & Eckler LLP 1375 E. 9th St., Suite 1500 Cleveland, OH 44114 gkrassen@bricker.com Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition (NOAC)
Toledo
Leslie A. Kovacik
Kerry Bruce
420 Madison Ave., Suite 100
Toledo, OH 43604-1219
leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov
kbruce@toledo.oh.gov

Ohio Environmental Council Nolan Moser Trent Dougherty 1207 Grandview Ave. Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 nmoser@theoec.org trent@theoec.org

NOAC- Holland
Paul Skaff
Leatherman Witzler Dombey & Hart
353 Elm St.
Perrysburg, OH 43551
P hone: 419.874.3536
paulskaff@justice.com

Material Sciences Corporation Craig I. Smith 2824 Coventry Road Cleveland, Ohio 44120 wis29@yahoo.com

Counsel of Smaller Enterprises (COSE) Steve Millard The Higbee Building 100 Public Square, Suite 201 Cleveland, OH 44113 smillard@cose.org

Dominion Retail, Inc. Gary A. Jeffries, Senior Counsel 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817 Gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

Omnisource Corporation
Damon E. Xenopoulos
Shaun Mohler
Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street NW
8th Floor West Tower
WASHINGTON DC
dex@bbrslaw.com
shaun.mohler@bbrslaw.com

Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel Jeffrey L. Small Jacqueline Lake Roberts Richard C. Reese Gregory J. Poulos 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485 small@occ.state.oh.us roberts@occ.state.oh.us reese@occ.state.oh.us poulos@occ.state.oh.us

Constellation Energy Resoruces, LLC Cynthia A. Fonner, Senior Counsel 550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 Cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com

Citizen Power, Inc.
David Hughes
Kelli O'Neill
Ronald O'Connell
2121 Murray Avenue
Pittsburh, PA 15217
robinson@citizenpower.com

National Energy Marketers Assn. Craig G. Goodman, President 3333 K Street NW, Suite 110 Washington, DC 20007 cgoodman@energymarketers.com

Industrial Energy Users - Ohio
Samuel C. Randazzo (Counsel of Record)
Lisa G. McAlister
Daniel J. Neilsen
Joseph M. Clark
McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
lmcalister@mwncmh.com
dneilsen@mwncmh.com
jclark@mwncmh.com

The Natural Resources Defense Council Sierra Club Henry W. Eckhart 50 West Broad Street, #2117 Columbus, OH 43215 henryeckhart@aol.com Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy David C. Rinebolt, Trial Attorney Colleen L. Mooney 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 drinebolt@aol.com cmooney@columbus.rr.com

Nucor Steel Marion, Inc.
Garrett A. Stone (Counsel of Record)
Michael K. Lavanga
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
8th Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007
gas@bbrslaw.com
mkl@bbrslaw.com

Neighborhood Environmental Coalition
The Empowerment Center of Greater Cleveland
United Clevelanders Against Poverty
Cleveland Housing Network
The Consumers for Fair Utility Rates
Joseph P. Meissner
Cleveland Legal Aid Society
1223 West Sixth Street
Cleveland, OH 44113
Jpmeissn@lasclev.org

Ohio Farm Bureau Federation Larry Gearhardt, Chief Legal Counsel 280 North High Street P.O. Box 182383 Columbus, OH 43218-2383 lgearhardt@ofbj.org FPL Energy Power Marketing, Inc. Gexa Energy Holdings, LLC Dane Stinson Bailey Cavalieri LLC 10 West Broad St., Suite 2100 Columbus, OH 43215 dane.stinson@baileycavalieri.com

FPL Energy Power Marketing, Inc. Gexa Energy Holdings, LLC F. Mitchell Dutton, Senior Attorney 700 Universe Blvd., CTR/JB Juno Beach, FL 33408 Mitch.dutton@fpl.com

Council of Smaller Enterprises
Nicholas C. York
Eric D. Weldele
Tucker Ellis & West LLP
1225 Huntington Center
41 South High Street
Columbus, OH 43215-6197
nicholas.york@tuckerellis.com
eric.weldele@tuckerellis.com

Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc. Steven Huhman 1585 Broadway, 4th Floor New York, NY 10036 Steven.Huhman@morganstanley.com

EXHIBIT B

Case 08-936-EL-SSO Regular Mail Service List

RETURNED MAIL

Memorial Hospital of Union County Gary Reese Director of Environmental Service Marysville, OH 43040

City of Akron Max Rothal, Director of Law 161 South High Street, Suite 202 Akron, OH 44308

City of Cleveland Steven L. Beeler, Assistant Director of Law 601 Lakeside Ave., Room 106 Cleveland, OH 44114

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. Ohio Edison Company Toledo Edison Company Harvey L. Wagner 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308