BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Co Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Amen Tariffs to Increase the Rates and Charg Gas Distribution Service.	d Filed)	Case No. 08-0072-GA-AIR	
In the Matter of the Application of Co Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Approval of an Alte Form of Regulation and for a Change Rates and Charges.	rnative)) Case No. 08-0073-GA-ALT)	
In the Matter of the Application of Columb of Ohio, Inc. for Approval to Change Acco Methods.) Case No. 08-0074-GA-AAM	
In the Matter of the Application of Co Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Rev Depreciation Accrual Rates.		Case No. 08-0075-GA-AAM	
ON BEHALF OF CO		GAS OF OHIO, INC.	
OPERATING INCOME			
RATE BASE		2	
ALLOCATIONS			
RATE OF RETURN		0 3 6	
RATES AND TARIFFS		0 11:4:14 0	
This is to ce accurate and document delivered	erad in the	the images appearing are an approduction of a came didean regular Course of business.	

Mark R. Kempic, Assistant General Counsel Kenneth W. Christman, Associate General Counsel Stephen B. Seiple, Lead Counsel (Trial Attorney) Daniel A. Creekmur, Attorney 200 Civic Center Drive P.O. Box 117 Columbus, OH 43216-0117

Telephone: (614) 460-4648

Fax: (614) 460-6986

Email: sseiple@nisource.com

David J. Leland
Timothy R. Bricker
Angela M. Paul Whitfield
CARPENTER LIPPS & LELAND LLP
280 Plaza, Suite 1300
280 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 365-4100
Fax: (614) 365-9145
leland@carpenterlipps.com
bricker@carpenterlipps.com
paul@carpenterlipps.com

Attorneys for COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

September 25, 2008

PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF THOMAS J. BROWN, JR.]

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is Thomas J. Brown, Jr. and my business address is 200 Civic Center Drive, Co-
3		lumbus, Ohio 43212.
4		
5	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	A.	I am employed by Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. ("Columbia"). My current title is Director,
7		Regulatory Policy.
8		
9	Q.	Are you the same Thomas J. Brown, Jr. who submitted Prepared Direct Testimony in
10		this proceeding?
11	A.	Yes.
12		
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your Supplemental Direct Testimony in this proceeding?
14	A.	This testimony is being filed in support of the following issue raised by Columbia in its Ob-
15		jections to the Staff Report of Investigation filed in this case:
16		Objection No. 25 – Staff's recommendation that Columbia's shareholders contribute \$1 to-
17		wards Columbia's Demand Side Management ("DSM") funding target of 1% of jurisdic-
18		tional revenues, characterized as a commitment related to Columbia's alternative regulation
19		proposals "adequate to comply with 4901:1-19-05(C)(3)."
20		
21	Q.	Why did Columbia object to this Staff recommendation?

The Staff recommendation is unreasonable and would unfairly and inappropriately penalize Columbia. All of the alternative regulation proposals in these cases are consistent with the cost-of-service ratemaking provision of Rev. code Section 4909.15. Therefore, no Columbia commitment" is required. Furthermore, "commitment" does not mean "share-holder contribution". Finally, as discussed in the testimony of Columbia witness Moul, adoption of the Staff Report's recommendation that Columbia's shareholders contribute \$1 million toward the funding of the DSM program as the proposed contribution would certainly result in denying Columbia an opportunity to earn the return on equity level recommended by the Staff in this case.

A.

Α.

Q. Will Columbia's alternative rate plan to promote the state's policy goals set forth in R.C. Chapter 4929.02?

As my prepared testimony filed earlier in this case indicates, Columbia's alternative regulation proposals do not seek relief from the rate formula set out in R.C. Chapter 4909.15. Rider IRP and Rider DSM are rate adjustment mechanisms, permitted pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4929.11, the underpinnings of which are cost-of-service considerations required by R.C. Chapter 4909.15. There are, however, commitments to customers implicit in Columbia's proposals.

Columbia's Infrastructure Replacement Program will improve the safety and reliability of service and result in consequent maintenance savings attributable to reduced leakage maintenance costs. Those savings will be passed-on to customers through the Rider IRP mechanism.

Columbia remains committed to the continuation of its Warm Choice weatherization Program that currently provides \$7.1 million annually for a low-income weatherization program funded through rates, and has committed to significant increases in Demand-Side Management programs for the period 2009-2011.

Columbia also proposes no changes to the PIPP arrearage forgiveness program it offers to active PIPP customers.

Columbia intends to continue its Stakeholder Groups which involve stakeholders in the development of ongoing proposals for improvements to its services and rates. Columbia's working groups include its DSM Stakeholder Group and its Transition Period Stakeholder Group. Columbia believes that the continued involvement of stakeholders in these discussions helps to produce results more likely to be operationally feasible and to produce the intended benefits to participants.

- Q. Does this conclude your Prepared Supplemental Direct Testimony?
- 15 A. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Prepared Supplemental Testimony of Thomas J. Brown, Jr. was served upon all parties of record by electronic mail and regular U. S. mail this 25th day of September, 2008.

Stephen B. Seiple Attorney for

SERVICE LIST

Stephen Reilly
John Jones
Sarah Parrot
Assistant Attorneys General
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Email: stephen.reilly@puc.state.oh.us john.jones@puc.state.oh.us sarah.parrot@puc.state.oh.us David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 Email: dboehm@bkllawfirm.com, mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

Larry S. Sauer
Joseph P. Serio
Michael E. Idzkowski
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
Email: sauer@occ.state.oh.us
serio@occ.state.oh.us

idzkowski@occ.state.oh.us

Michael R. Smalz
Joseph V. Maskovyak
Ohio State Legal Services Association
555 Buttles Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215-1137
Email: msmalz@oslsa.org
jmaskovyak@oslsa.org

Samuel C. Randazzo Lisa G. McAlister Daniel J. Neilsen McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Email: sam@mwncmh.com lmcalister@mwncmh.com

dneilsen@mwncmh.com

John M. Dosker General Counsel Stand Energy Corporation 1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1629 Email: jdosker@stand-energy.com

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 Email: cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Email: mhpetricoff@vorys.com Larry Gerhardt Chief Legal Counsel Ohio Farm Bureau Federation 280 North High Street, P.O. Box 182383 Columbus, OH 43218-2383 Email: lgearhardt@ofbf.org

William S. Newcomb, Jr. Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Email: wsnewcomb@vorys.com

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Chester, Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215 Email: jbentine@cswlaw.com myurick@cwslaw.com

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Ave. Columbus, OH 43215-3927 Email: barthroyer@aol.com

Leslie A. Kovacik Attorney for NOAC 420 Madison Ave., Suite 100 Toledo, OH 43604-1219 Email: leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov

W. Jonathon Airey Gregory D. Russell Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP 52 East Gay St., P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Email: wjairey@vorys.com gdrussell@vorys.com