BEFORE

:

1

:

:

٠

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2008 SEP 11 PM 1: 30

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

PUCO

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for Authority to Amend its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates and Charges for Gas Services and Related Matters.

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan for a Distribution Replacement Rider to Recover the Costs of a Program for the Accelerated Replacement of Cast Iron Mains and Bare Steel Mains and Service Lines, a Sales Reconciliation Rider to Collect Differences between Actual and Approved Revenues, and Inclusion in Operating Expenses of the Costs of Certain Reliability Programs. Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR

Case No. 07-1081-GA-ALT

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN E. PUICAN

RATES & TARIFFS/ENERGY & WATER DIVISION IN THE UTILITIES DEPARTMENT OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

1	1.	Q.	Would you please state your name and business address?
2		A.	My name is Stephen E. Puican. My business address is 180 East Broad Street,
3			Columbus, Ohio.
4			
5	2.	Q.	What is your present employment?
6		А.	I am currently employed as Co-Chief of the Rates & Tariffs / Energy & Water
7			Division in the Utilities Department of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
8			("PUCO").
9			
10	3.	Q.	Are you the same Stephen E. Puican who has previously filed testimony in
11			this proceeding?
12		А.	Yes, I am.
12 13		A.	Yes, I am.
	4.	A. Q.	Yes, I am.
13	4.		
13 14	4.	Q.	
13 14 15	4.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
13 14 15 16	4.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? I am supporting the Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) filed in
13 14 15 16 17	4.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? I am supporting the Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) filed in
13 14 15 16 17 18		Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony? I am supporting the Stipulation and Recommendation (Stipulation) filed in this proceeding on September August 8, 2008.

1	7.	Q.	Were all of the parties to this proceeding present at these meetings?
2		A.	Settlement meetings were noticed to all parties and all parties were present
3			either in person or by phone or they chose not to be present.
4			
5	8.	Q.	Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious
6			bargaining among knowledgeable parties?
7		A.	Yes. This agreement is the product of an open process in which all parties
8			were represented by able counsel and technical experts. Extensive
9			negotiations occurred. The Stipulation represents a comprehensive
10			compromise of issues raised by parties with diverse interests. All parties
11			have signed the Stipulation and adopted it as a reasonable resolution of all
12			issues except the single rate design issue that has been reserved for litigation.
13			I believe that the Stipulation that the parties are recommending for
14	,		Commission adoption presents a fair and reasonable result.
15			
16	9.	Q.	In your opinion, does the Settlement benefit ratepayers and promote the
17			public interest?
18		А.	Yes.
1 9			• The stipulation establishes a fair and reasonable revenue requirement
20		-	with an increase in the base rates of approximately 3.34%.

1			• It recommends fair compromise of the tariff subsidy issue.
2			• It extends Vectren shareholders' funding of a low-income conservation
3			program until the effective date of rates approved in this proceeding.
4			• It establishes a distribution system replacement program to accelerate the
5			replacement of an aging distribution system and provides for reasonable
6			oversight of the program.
7			• It establishes a program to address the safety concerns of prone-to-fail
8			risers and a schedule to replace these risers within a reasonable period of
9			time.
10			• It adopts a proposal for Vectren to assume ownership and repair
11			responsibility of customer service lines.
12			• It provides for a significant expansion of funding for energy efficiency
13			programs.
14	10.	Q.	Does the Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle?
15		A.	No.

j,

1	11.	Q.	Are you recommending its adoption by the Commission?
2		А.	Yes. I believe the Stipulation represents a fair and reasonable compromise of diverse
3			interests and provides a fair result for customers.
4			
5	12.	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
6		A.	Yes, it does.

ŧ

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Supplemental Testimony of Stephen E. Puican, submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served by regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid, hand-delivered, and/or delivered via electronic mail, upon the following parties of record, this 11th day of September, 2008.

Werner L. Margard

Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

Ronald E. Christian Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio Inc. One Vectren Square Evansville, IN 47708

Gregory Russell Esq. Jonathan Airey, Esq. Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Trent Dougherty Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212

Maureen Grady Joseph Serio Michael ldzkowski Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, 18th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3485 John M. Dosker Stand Energy Corporation 1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1629 43215

John Bentine Mark Yerick Chester, Willcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215-4213

Gretchen Hummel, Esq. Joseph M. Clark, Esq. Lisa McAlister, Esq. McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-4228

David Rinebolt Colleen Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 337 S. Main St., 4th Floor, Suite 5 PO Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793