

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo) Case No. 08-124-EL-ATA
Edison Company for Authority to Modify) Case No. 08-125-EL-AAM
Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff)
Approvals.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On August 8, 2008, the Ohio Edison Company (OE), The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI), and the Toledo Edison Company (TE) (collectively, the Companies) filed a motion to suspend this proceeding. In support of their motion, the Companies submit that one of their July 31, 2008 standard service offer (SSO) filings contains a proposal addressing the recovery of the deferred fuel costs (Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO), which is the subject matter of the present proceeding. The Companies assert that, if the resolution of deferred fuel costs issue is not reached in Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO, then the suspension of this matter could be lifted, and the proceeding could recommence to resolve the issues. The Companies also request that the Commission rule on this motion on an expedited basis, as the current procedural schedule requires that the Companies' direct testimony be filed by August 29, 2008.
- (2) On August 14, 2008, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) filed a memorandum contra the Companies' motion to suspend the procedural schedule established for this proceeding.
- (3) In recognition of the Staff's, Companies', and intervenors' resources that will be needed to address the Companies' SSO filings within the timeframe indicated by Section 4928.141, Revised Code, the attorney examiner finds that the September 29, 2008 evidentiary hearing should be continued to a future date. A revised case schedule, including the filing of testimony, will be published by subsequent attorney examiner entry.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician T.M. Date Processed 8/25/2008

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the current procedural schedule is continued in accordance with Finding (3). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon the Companies and all other interested persons of record in this case.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

By: Janet K. Stoneking
Attorney Examiner

RRG
JKS:ct

Entered in the Journal

AUG 25 2008

Reneé J. Jenkins

Reneé J. Jenkins
Secretary