19

20

21

22

23

24

```
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2
3
    In the Matter of:
                                   Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR
4
    The Application of Vectren:
    Energy Delivery of Ohio,
5
    Inc., for Authority to
    Amend its Filed Tariffs to:
6
    Increase the Rates and
    Charges for Gas Services
7
    and Related Matters.
8
    In the Matter of:
                                   Case No. 07-1081-GA-ALT
9
    the Application of Vectren:
    Energy Delivery of Ohio,
10
    Inc., for Approval of an
    Alternative Rate Plan for :
11
    a Distribution Replacement:
    Rider to Recover the Costs:
12
    of a Program for the
    Accelerated Replacement of:
13
    Cast Iron Mains and Bare
    Steel Mains and Service
    Lines, a Sales
                                                            RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
    Reconciliation Rider to
15
    Collect Difference Between:
    Actual and Approved
16
    Revenues, and Inclusion in:
    Operating Expense of the
17
    Costs of Certain
    Reliability Programs.
18
```

TELEPHONE DEPOSITION OF L. DOUGLAS PETITT .

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 185 South Fifth Street, Suite 101 Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

Fax - (614) 224-572

```
taken before me, Rosemary F. Anderson, a Notary
1
    Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the offices
3
    of Ohio Consumers' Counsel, 10 West Broad Street,
    Columbus, Ohio, on Monday, August 11, 2008 at 1:30
5
    p.m.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

3 1 APPEARANCES: McNees, Wallace & Nurick By Ms. Gretchen J. Hummel (via telephone) 3 Fifth Third Center, Suite 1700 21 East State Street 4 Columbus, Ohio 43215 5 On behalf of the Company. 6 Janine L. Migden-Ostrander Ohio Consumers' Counsel 7 By Ms. Maureen R. Grady Mr. Michael E. Idzkowski 8 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 9 On behalf of the Residential 10 Consumers of the State of Ohio. 11 ALSO PRESENT: 12 Vectren: Mr. Larry Friedeman (via telephone) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1		
		4
1	INDEX	
2	-	
3	WITNESS PAGE	
4	WIT Examination by Ms. Grady 5	
5	Examination by Ms. Grady 5	
6		
7	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED	
8	1 - Notice to Take Deposition 5	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

L. DOUGLAS PETITT

being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,
 deposes and says as follows:

EXAMINATION

By Ms. Grady:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Pettit.
- A. Good afternoon.

MS. GRADY: For exhibit purposes I would like to have marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 1 the Notice to Take Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Douglas A Karl, and Request for Production of documents as OCC Exhibit 1.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Mr. Pettit, just for the record, I'm only going to ask you this once, you are adopting the testimony of Mr. Karl from Vectren who retired; is that correct?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Mr. Pettit, the deposition notice requested you to produce at the time of your deposition all documents relating to your testimony or your knowledge and expertise with the subject matter of these proceedings and/or your responses to discovery, including but to the limited to, the

results of any studies done for these proceedings and any backup documents including raw data for those studies.

In response to that directive what did you with before you today, and can you identify what you brought with you today for purposes of deposition?

A. I can. I have the direct testimony of Douglas A. Karl, which I have adopted, dated December 4, 2007, I believe. I also have the supplemental testimony and exhibits that I filed, L. D. Petitt, on or about July 23, 2008. And I also have access on line at my desk to various other requests, follow-up to requests by staff and OCC.

Q. Okay.

MS. HUMMEL: May I interrupt you for just a second, Ms. Grady. Just to start out with a really clean record, in terms of the deposition of Mr. Karl's testimony, I would like to note the two exceptions that were addressed by-- the two exceptions to Mr. Pettit's adoption to Mr. Karl's testimony that were addressed at the question at the bottom of page 2 of Mr. Pettit's supplemental testimony carrying over to page --

1 Give me a moment, and I will MS. GRADY: 2 pull to that, the bottom of page 2 carrying over to. 3 MS. HUMMEL: Page 2 and the answers on page. He answered a categorical yes to the question 5 you posed to him and I just wanted to make sure the record was clear on that. 7 MS. GRADY: Very good. Thank you, 8 Ms. Hummel. 9 MS. HUMMEL: Thank you. 10 Q. (By Ms. Grady) Okay. I think we said 11 good afternoon. Mr. Pettit, what does the L stand 12 for in your name? 13 Loren, L-O-R-E-N. Α. 14 You're the second Loren I know. ٥. 15 Rusty Russell's name at our office as well. 16 Α. Very good. He obviously liked it as much 17 as I did. 18 I always wondered why people choose their 0. 19 middle initial and now I know. 20 Blame my mother.

Q. Yes, the mother's get blamed for quite a bit, I'm sure.

21

22

23

24

Let's go to page 2 of Mr. Karl's direct testimony which you've adopted, and at page 2 you

refer to schedule 3.15. Do you see that reference?

- A. I do see that reference.
- Q. Now, there on that schedule, on Schedule 3.15 you propose a \$2.9 million adjustment to reflect annual program costs associated with the conservation initiatives; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. If you look at the workpapers that back up that schedule, we can see that 4 million is the projected annual cost of the conservation program expenditures.
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And we can also see that during the test period .\$1.1 million is reflected, and that would be the three months actual and nine months projected expenditures.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And is that solely, the \$1.1 million, is that solely attributable to the low-income weatherization plan component, or is that something different?
- A. No, it is completely attributed to the low-income weatherization program known as Team 1.

 1.1 million is the annual budget for that program.

- Q. Okay. And of the \$1.1 million, how much was actually spent in the three-month figure, the actuals, if you know?
 - A. One moment please. Let me see if I have that at my fingertips. Ms. Grady, I do not have that at my fingertips. I can find that, what it was at that point in time.
 - Q. Okay, I would appreciate that when you get the chance, Mr. Pettit.
 - A. I'd be glad to do it.
 - Q. Now, you're proposing a 1.9 million pro forma adjustment to the three and nine; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

A

- Q. And the \$2.9 million figure, can you tell me, is that specifically related to program costs such as rebates, audits or kits, or if it's not, can you explain to me how that \$2.9 million is made up?
- A. The \$2.9 million is the all-inclusive costes associated with rolling out and developing and delivering the broad conservation programs that are described in Matthew Rose's testimony, and I believe in his testimony he breaks down the cost structure, and I'm not sure if you want me to list the testimony

to break it down for you.

- Q. Do you know where he does break that down, if you know offhand?
- A. Well, I'm looking at his testimony, and he provides -- I've moving to the page numbers here. Starting on page 7.
 - Q. Page 7, okay.
- A. I'm sorry, starting on page 6 of his testimony at the bottom he starts with the description of the various programs, and then he highlights the total program costs associated with that particular program through his testimony.
- Q. Okay. And I do see that reference. And as far as the total program costs, do you know whether those total program costs include education or advertising or is that something that Mr. Rose would know?
- A. Mr. Rose would be able to speak obviously to his testimony, but I can tell you that inclusive in the \$4 million per year is the amount requested for any awareness/education associated with the program.
- Q. And do you know on a sort of a general basis how much that amount is for the awareness? You

called it the awareness program or education pieces.

- A. I would define it broadly as awareness/education, anything that it would take to educate and motivate customers to participate in the program.
- Q. I guess I was questioning, Mr. Pettit, whether you knew or had an idea how much of the \$4 million figure is related to what you call the awareness/education component of the programs.
- A. No. I think I can get to that number pretty quickly, if you'll indulge me for a second.
 - Q. I certainly will indulge you, Mr. Pettit.
- A. Again, I'm looking through Mr. Rose's testimony. Ms. Grady, I believe I do have the number, and I would caveat it by stating that I would like to have Mr. Rose confirm the numbers --
 - Q. Okay.

A. -- at some point in time, but I believe the awareness/education component of the total program of the 2.9 million roughly per year that's associated with the broad conservation programs would be just under \$2 million of the \$14.3 million or so, so that would be -- I'll just do that calculation.

Approximately 14 percent is what I'm coming up with.

- I'm going to do that calculation one more time just
 to make sure I have it. Just under 14 percent is the
 number that I'm calculating.
 - Q. Now, Mr. Pettit, you mentioned

 14.3 million. Are you referencing -- and I'm looking
 at Mr. Rose's testimony at MFR Exhibit 1, page 6 of
 6. Are you referencing the 14.331 million total
 savings figure? Is that where you're getting the
 14.3 from?
 - A. I'm sorry, give me a page number again please.
- Q. I believe it is MFR Exhibit 1, page 6 of
 - A. Yes, that's actually the budget dollars spent is 14.331, correct.
 - Q. Now, I guess where my confusion is coming is that you called that last column budget dollars spent.
 - A. That's correct.

Q. So you're saying on a total, if approximately \$2.9 million is spent from 2008 to 2012 each year, that an additional \$2 million would be associated with this awareness or education program; is that right?

MS. HUMMEL: Excuse me, I'm going to just insert a little objection here. You've gone down this road like five or six or eight questions now, questioning Mr. Pettit on somebody else's testimony, and I just want a continuing objection with respect to this line of questioning.

MS. GRADY: Was there a pending question? (Record read.)

- A. My statement would be that our assertion would be that of the total over the five-year period 14.331 million would be spent on the programs, and of that approximately \$1,950,000 or thereabouts would be associated with the education and awareness component. Those items and efforts that are intended to drive participation would be part of the 14.331.
 - Q. Thank you, Mr. Pettit.
 - A. You're welcome 2.9.
- Q. Is any of the \$2.9 million per year that is part of your pro forma adjustment, is any of that related to costs associated with administering these programs?
- A. Yes. All administrative costs are inherent or embedded in those costs.
 - Q. Now, when we say administrative costs,

are we talking about internal administrative costs as opposed to a third-party administrator?

A. We're talking all associated costs, internal or external.

- Q. Is the company looking at an external administrator for any of these programs, if you know?
- A. We are looking and probably will rely on external firms to provide assistance in delivering the measures.
- Q. Okay. Would that be in relation to the low-income programs or something beyond the low-income programs?
- A. Well, it's certainly true with the low-income programs as we contract with the Dayton Cap Agency, Community Action Partnership. They are the provider of the weatherization service and have been since the event of the low-income weatherization program known as Team 1.
- Q. That relates to the 1.01, right, not the 2.9?
- A. That relates to the 1.1, and then with respect to the projected 2.9 per year, I suspect very strongly that we will engage outside assistance in order to deliver the services.

- Q. And that is even for nonlow-income programs?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. And when you say assistance in delivering, are you talking about a community action agency, or are you talking about a third-party vendors or what?
- A. Third-party vendors primarily is what I'm thinking.
- Q. And would you know generally with respect to the programs -- and again if this is something Mr. Rose would be more familiar with or would know and you would not know, you can certainly so indicate. But are you familiar generally with respect to the use of third-party vendors about the level of administrative expense built into these programs?
- A. You know, I will defer to Mr. Rose on that. He's the expert, and he's got a lot of experience with respect to these programs. I respectfully request deference to him.
- Q. I understand. Now, Mr. Pettit, do you know that beyond the dollars associated with the Team 1 program, have there been any actual costs

incurred during the test year related to the proposed programs?

A. Other than the time that folks like myself and others have spent in preparation for the programs, we have not captured significant funds associated with those programs realizing that we had the rate case pending.

MS. GRADY: Would you read the answer, please.

(Record read.)

- Q. If you can you tell me -- you said there was some time spent that you and others related to the preparation for the programs. Can you tell me what you mean there by preparation for the programs and give me an estimate of the time that you've spent?
- A. Oh, gosh, it would be a rough estimate, but what I was referring to, I mean, for instance, we have done a lot of thought about how we will, you know, how we will be able to help our customers. We have spent a lot of internal time actually preparing our employees for the fact that we are in position to help other customers use less. So a lot of time has been spent internally in changing our culture as well

as, you know, preparing for the time when we will be able to -- providing customers with these kinds of programs. So how much time, it's hard to say, and it would be really a guess at this point.

- Q. Okay. I'll accept that. Now, you were talking about rolling out the programs. Can you tell me, Mr. Pettit, what the rollout time period for these programs is and exactly what the schedule for rolling them out is?
- A. Well, we don't have a hard and fast schedule, but I can tell you it is our intent to be up and running as absolutely fast as possible, and I'll tell you we have -- this winter is great motivation to try to be out in the marketplace helping customers for this winter.

You know, a month ago we were looking at \$14 natural gas. It's subsided a little bit into the 8-9 range, but still year over year extremely significant with respect to the run-ups, and we're really interested in providing hard and fast opportunities for our customers to use less.

And so specifically with respect to your question, I would say there's some programs that could be up and running as little as a couple, two to

- three months, and a couple may take a couple more
 months longer. We are really motivated to be up and
 running as quickly as possible.
 - Q. Do you know specifically the ones that can be up and running in two to three months? Can you go through those, or is that something you do not know the specifics of?
 - A. With the caveat that this is my best guess at this point, I'd be glad to talk to the programs.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And so, for instance, the residential programs which are highlighted starting on page 6 of Mr. Rose's testimony, you would hope would be up and running within two to three months.
 - Q. Yes.
- A. I think it would be probably for the high efficiency for gas water heating, page 7. The same could hold true for the on-line audit and water heating kit. That probably can be up within a shorter period of time. Energy efficient new construction, I would say 4 and 5 may take a little more time because it does require spending a great deal of time with our allies, but I would also say

that some of these can start trickling in in a short bit of time.

And then what I would also say is 5 and 6, all of the commercials, which are 6, 7 and 8, if you are following along with Mr. Rose's testimony, and I would say 5 as well probably have a little more lead time simply because we need to procure the right expertise with respect to those, and the audit in No. 5, the audit performance round, you know, requires providing, you know, the right technical help or acquiring the right technical help.

That's a rough guess, and I do again caveat that it's our position as we sit here and think about it today, but it could certainly change as we find the market, if we get started and labor is hard to find, those kinds of things, an appropriate skill set is harder to find than what we would think.

- Q. You mention the on-line audit and water heating kit --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. -- program. Is the on-line audit, is that the Nexus?
- A. It is now known as Aclara. They changed their name.

Q. What is it called?

- A. Aclara. I think it is A-C-L-A-R-A.
 - Q. What does that stand for?
- A. I don't know. It is literally a company name change, and I can't tell you what the genesis was.
- Q. The on-line audit has been up and running for quite sometime.
- A. It has. And it's a guess on my part but at least 18 months, probably closer to two years it's been up and running.
- Q. And would the costs of that, the running of that program during the past 18 months, be included in the \$2.9 million adjustment that you are proposing?
 - A. I believe it is.
- Q. Okay. Do you know what portion of the \$2.9 million adjustment would be related to Nexus costs associated with the program being up and running for the past 18 months?
- A. I do not have that at my fingertips, and I am obviously thinking about my answer to the previous question as to whether I've captured costs out of the \$2.9 million. So I really do need to

- check in terms of reconciling the two questions, and I apologize for not knowing the answer to that.
 - Q. That's all right. I would appreciate if you could, Mr. Pettit, advise me as to of the \$2.9 million adjustment if there are Nexus costs associated with that, and the Nexus costs I'm looking at would be Nexus costs that were incurred from implementing the program and having it running, any costs incurred prior to the test year is what I'm looking at.
 - A. Got it.

- Q. Thank you.
- A. I'll be glad to check. I apologize, I should probably know that answer, but I want to verify it.
- Q. That's all right. I appreciate you getting that information for me. Now, you indicate on WPC-3.15 that you intend to hire additional employees to assist in administering these programs, and in particular those would be the conservation analysts and the conservation program manager. Is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Now, I'm going to shift gears a bit and

go to page 7 of your testimony.

A. Okay.

1

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. They're you're talking about the need to enhance the sales group. Is the sales group the name of a department or is that -- let me strike that. Is the sales group the name of a department?
- A. It actually, as was focused on in Mr. Karl's and my subsequent adoption testimony, the actual group's name is Industrial Sales.
- Q. Industrial Sales, okay. So when Mr. Karl speaks of the enhancing of the sales group, that would be enhancing of the Industrial Sales group; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And there you speak of your plans to add the director of sales and the field sales representative. Do you see that?
 - A. I do see that.
- Q. And the total expenditures on an analyzed basis, and I'm looking at page 8, are around \$80,000, is that correct, if you add those two up?
- A. Looks right to me. Probably closer to 90, but yes.
 - Q. I like your math. Now, have you filled

the field sales representative positon, if you know?

- A. We have not filled the field sales representative, and, in fact, in ensuing testimony we have pulled that particular position and will not be filling it.
- Q. And I understand given the nature of the timing of the filing, that the point the direct was filed you had different plans and they changed from that date until the supplemental was filed; is that correct?
 - A. That's a fair statement.
- Q. Now, if I look at WPC-3.14 and I look at the 28,000 annual costs, has any of that been actually incurred, if you know?
- A. With respect to the field sales representative, no. It has not been -- no expenses have been incurred.
- Q. Okay. And also on that schedule, that's WPC-3.14, you have an 11,700 test year amount. Do you see that? Maybe I'm looking at the wrong thing. I'm looking at the workpaper, and I'm looking at the field sales representative. I'm looking at the same line. You have a column called annual costs of 28,080 and then a test year amount of 11,7. Do you

| see that reference?

- A. I do see the reference.
- Q. And the 11,7 found on line 11, can you tell me what that consists of related to the field sales representative position?
- A. No, I can't, but I will find it out for you.
- Q. Great, thank you. You indicate in your supplemental testimony, and you actually confirmed that earlier, that you reconsidered the need to create the new position, and, therefore, have eliminated the field sales representative position. Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. I do.
- Q. Would it be appropriate then in your opinion, Mr. Pettit, to reject your test year adjustment of 16,380?
- A. I would reserve the right to answer the previous question before I answer this question as I'm just not sure of what comprises it.
- Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Now, why did you determine -- I mean, what bore upon your determination to eliminate that position?
 - A. We have a department that represents the

small commercial class, small to medium class of customer field sales. We have an existing couple of employees that do exist in VEDO, and our intent really was with respect to making sure that we are being as efficient and as effective with respect to costs, we just decided we would remove that position and provide coverage with the existing positions. It was as simple as making the right decision with respect to allocation of resources.

MS. HUMMEL: Excuse me. Did someone just call in?

(Discussion off record.)

- Q. (By Ms. Grady) Now, Mr. Pettit, do you know if the economic development representative and the supervisor of measure services has been filled?

 And the place I'm getting those positions would again been WPC-3.14.
- A. The economic development manager was addressed in Mr. Keeping's testimony, and so I would -- what I would say because I did come late to the game, I would prefer to allow Mr. Keeping to answer that.
- Q. Okay. With respect to the supervisor of measure services, do you know if that position has

been filled?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. I have no idea.
 - Q. Would that also be Mr. Keeping?
- A. No. I don't know for sure, but it would be other than Mr. Keeping or myself, and I'm trying to think. It could possibly be Mr. Francis's testimony, but that's a quess.
- Q. Okay. So is it safe with respect to WPC-3.14, you are most familiar with the customer support or the sales/economic development piece; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And the other pieces there would be other witnesses addressing those.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, with respect to economic development representative, there's an indication on
- WPC 3.14 actual expenditures related to that were \$8,554. Do you see that?
 - A. I'm looking here. Okay, I do see it.
- Q. Do you know what the expenditures pertain to?
- A. I can't give you a specific answer, I
 apologize, and I again would tell you that

```
Mr. Keeping had that in his -- I'm sorry. He spoke to that position in his testimony.
```

- Q. Okay. Now, you have testimony,
 Mr. Pettit, about the director of sales, and I'm
 looking at -- unfortunately, I didn't write the page
 number. I have lines 15 through 19. Oh, yes, that
 is page 7, lines 15 through 19. You indicate that
 the director of sales will lead the customer addition
 activities. Do you see that?
- A. I do. I'm reading the description in my testimony, here. Yes, I see.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell me how the customer addition activities will be coordinated with the VEDO conservation strategy as you indicate there in your testimony?
- A. Well, let me in answering this refer to my supplemental testimony that was filed.
 - O. Yes.

- A. That position doesn't exist as we defined it in the original testimony.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Instead of having a director of sales, a position has been created as the director of conservation.

Q. Okay.

A. And that signifies a change in our corporate philosophy, and it primarily means that we have created an organization that is led by the director of conservation that does report to me.

And, by the way, my title as marketing and conservation speaks to this as well, because we have created an internal focus that says that we need to help our customers, every customer, use less of our product.

And so that's why we've created the position of director of conservation as opposed to director of sales. We're trying to mobilize all of our resources internally to that end, and that is lowering our customers' consumption and ultimately their bill.

- Q. Now, let's talk generally about the conservation portfolio that you propose. You are general familiar with those programs; is that correct?
- A. Yes, I am. I generally familiar with them.
- Q. Okay. Those programs were chosen,
 Mr. Pettit, after economic analysis; is that right?

- A. That is correct. Mr. Rose speaks to his process in his testimony, but essentially he looked at tried-and-true programs and then he provided a first pass at a cost/benefit test.
- Q. And I guess I was questioning whether in the cost/benefit test he used various forms. Is that correct, if you know?
- A. He did. And I believe, as I turn the page to his testimony, he gave -- yes, he used multiple tests.
- Q. And those tests would tell you whether the program was cost-effective or not, right?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. Now, when the tests were run, did the -if you know, and if you don't know this, you can
 certainly say so and I can proceed to depose
 Mr. Rose. But when he ran the tests, do you know if
 Mr. Rose factored into the tests the company's
 proposal in this case to transition to a straight
 fixed variable rate design?
- A. I don't believe so, but I can't answer that for sure.
- Q. Okay. That would be something Mr. Rose would be able to answer.

A. That is correct, and in answering or attempting to answer the question, I'm also -- I'm not sure the difference that it would make, but I just don't know so I will leave it with Mr. Rose.

- Q. Now, do you understand that the straight fixed available proposal filed by the company in this case would increase the customer charge by decreasing the volumetric rates. Do you understand that?
 - A. I understand that concept, yes.
- Q. And do you also understand that a move to the full straight fixed variable as the company proposes in subsequent years would mean that all revenues collected under -- all revenues would be collected under the customer charge with no volumetric rate?
 - A. Can you read back that question please.
 - Q. I can restate it if you would like.
 - A. That would be fine.
- Q. Do you also understand that under the company's approach to a full SFV, which would occur in later years, would mean that all the revenues would be collected under customer charge with no volumetric rate imposed.
 - A. I guess my answer would be I understand

614-224-9481

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. Columbus, Ohio

that the movement, certainly the closer you get to straight fixed variable, as you say in this case it's defined as full, and I understand you are certainly moving away from recovery of volumetric and moving to fixed. I understand in that case.

I'm not sure how our design -- the reason
I'm not giving you a precise answer here, I'm not
sure what we have proposed with respect to the end
point and where we wind up with straight fixed
variable, I'm just not part of the day-in and day-out
discussion where that end point is, but I do
understand generally the movement from volumetric
recovery to fixed.

- Q. Yes. That's all I'm really asking you, whether you understand the movement and the impact, not exactly when it occurs.
 - A. I understand that concept.
- Q. Would you agree that the rate design proposed by Vectren could have an impact on the cost effectiveness of your demand-side management programs?
- MR. MARGARD: Excuse me, Doug.

 Ms. Grady, this is Larry Friedeman here. I will
 object to that because I believe Mr. Pettit already

indicated he was not aware whether Mr. Rose had incorporated the concept of straight fixed variable rate into his studies and analysis relative to cost factor.

б

- Q. You can answer the question, Mr. Pettit.
- A. Right. So I think -- I got to recall the question. Can you read it back? I'm sorry.
- Q. I can just rephrase it or resay it.

 Would you agree that the rate design proposed by

 Vectren could have an impact on the

 cost-effectiveness of the programs that you are

 proposing to sponsor under the \$2.9 million

 adjustment?
- A. I suspect there may be a minor impact, but given the fact we're still talking about roughly 80 percent of the bill is commodity cost, I mean you're not talking about a significant impact, if there is one.
- Q. And can you explain to me what you mean by a minor impact and, if you understand, how the rate design would impact that?
- A. Well, my only support is here to the magnitude of any changes that might be inferred by having 20 percent of your bill not impacted by volume

reductions, and so to the extent 20 percent, I guess there could be some lever downward with respect to a cost/benefit analysis or test, but I'm not sure if it's a one-for-one relationship, so, you know, a general direction I can give you, but I don't understand complete magnitude if it is one for one or not.

- Q. Also, Mr. Pettit, and certainly if you don't understand or you can certainly so indicate, would it be your conclusion that the rate design would impact the payback period associated with certain measures?
 - A. It could have some impact, I suspect.
- Q. Okay. And would you imagine that the impact would be to extend the payback period?
 - A. Again, it could marginally.
- Q. Would you agree, Mr. Pettit, that under the straight fixed variable proposal by the company that customers would have less incentive to engage in DSM?
- A. Again, you're talking about fully
 80 percent of your bill is the gas costs or roughly
 thereabouts, and with gas costs as high as they are,
 I just cannot be convinced that somebody would not do

something or take some measure to impact their bill downward because there's a slight piece of the charge that's now not recovered through volume but rather fixed charge.

- Q. But as you move closer to straight fixed variable, would that incentive to try to reduce the bill, would that become lessened?
- MS. HUMMEL: Just have to put an objection in here. It's getting now beyond speculation and into crystal ballism. Is that a word?
 - MS. GRADY: I don't know. I was just thinking about that.
 - MS. HUMMEL: Well, I like it.
 - You can answer, Mr. Pettit, if you know.
 - A. Without attempting to be obstinate, I guess the way the question is asked, I don't see any one not participating or performing a measure because now there's a little less upside with respect to reducing consumption.

I mean, in other words, if I'm motivated to reduce my bill, as I can imagine most people are and will be as the costs rise, the impetus to take action I again contend will not diminish because of a

movement to straight fixed variable.

- Q. Mr. Pettit, do you think that when full straight fixed variable is reached, and, again, when I say full straight fixed variable, I mean all of the costs are recovered through fixed charge as opposed to any volumetric charge, is there any incentive at all to customers to engage in demand-side management?
 - A. Oh, absolutely.
 - Q. Then can you explain that to me?
- A. Sure. You're still talking about trying to save the cost of the commodity. If I understand straight fixed variable, the company's fixed costs and everything else that's tied up or defined under that are going to be recovered. If, in fact, as you stated, postulated, it's 100 percent straight fixed variable, then you're recovering the direct costs or fixed cost or what we know as distribution charges.

And, again, today that's roughly
20 percent of the bill. You have the full 80 percent
that's passed through every month from the utility to
the customer that is this cost of the commodity. So
you have all of that that can, and hopefully will be,
conserved. So every unit that you use less of, you
save the full component of the commodity, right?

So that's pretty significant and, in fact, today it's , I don't know, in the high \$8 range gas is trading for next month. As I said in answer to a previous question, last month we had \$14 gas that was trading. And I would contend to you that the amount that's fixed cost becomes pretty negligible when talking \$14 gas. No one that I know, I have not read anyone who had postulated or predicted that natural gas was going to come down anytime soon, at least from a fundamental market movement.

And so, yes, I disagree with the contention that someone would not be motivated to save or conserve if they're not paying for distribution charges in a volumetric manner.

- Q. Are you then saying, just so I understand it, are you saying that the customer would be responding to the GCR rate? Is that what you're talking about?
 - A. Absolutely.

- Q. And so if the customer is responding to the GCR rate, then the customer has the incentive to reduce that bill.
 - A. Absolutely.

1 But the incentive to reduce distribution Q. 2 charges would be completely gone when you reached a 3 straight fixed variable; is that correct? 4 MS. HUMMEL: Object to the form of the 5 question. 6 May I have that reread please. 7 (Record read.) В MS. HUMMEL: If you know, you can answer, 9 Mr. Pettit. If you know or understand it. 10 THE WITNESS: So read it again. 11 sorry. My memory gets short when there's an 12 objection. 13 MS. GRADY: You know what, I like that. 14 Maybe I should ask my questions in a more staccato 15 manner and get them all done with while you memory is 16 gone. 17 (Record read.) 18 From a customer perspective, I might add. Q. 19 A. So if the premise is that there is zero 20 distribution costs passed through volumetric if, by 21 definition, you moved to full SFV or straight fixed 22 variable. 23 Q. Yes.

Then the answer to your question is yes.

24

Α.

But, again, there's still the incentive on the greatest part of the bill, and that is to save of on the cost of the commodity. I would also contend that with enough focus -- this is the same argument we used with respect to being able to change the way we collect revenue from our customers, but with enough people focusing on the conservation piece of the equation using less natural gas, then I contend the results will be a lower cost commodify as well. Not only do you use less commodity and you're saving because you're using less, but for the units that you are using, you are spending less because the commodity price is down. The cost of the commodify is down.

- Q. Mr. Pettit, along those lines, if customers are using less and that then produces a lowering of the commodity cost, can we say the same thing for the distribution cost, that if a customer's usage goes down overall, and we're talking about the overall customers of VEDO, would that bring the distribution costs down as well for the company?
- A. You're outside of my area of expertise and you're probably in Jerry Ulrey's rate design questions. I understand the question, and the answer

```
1
    is the fixed costs -- the majority of our business is
2
    fixed costs, so from a theoretical perspective the
    reason that regulators have agreed to move to having
    more fixed costs recovered in a fixed manner by
5
    customer charge because the costs of our business
6
    just aren't that variable. And so with respect to
7
    the commodity price or cost going down, I'm just not
8
    sure that that has an impact on the distribution
9
    costs.
10
```

Q. I understand. I appreciate you're attempting to answer that.

MS. GRADY: Mr. Pettit, that's all the questions for you today.

THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going open up the deposition to any other counsel who wishes to depose Mr. Pettit.

(No response.)

MS. GRADY: Having heard no response, we will now go off the record. Thank you Mr. Pettit.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(The deposition concluded at 2:38 p.m.)

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

		40
1	State of Ohio :	
2	county of : SS:	
3	I, L. Douglas Petitt, do hereby certify that I	
4	have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition given on Monday, August 11, 2008; that together with	
5	the correction page attached hereto noting changes in form or substance, if any, it is true and correct.	
6		
7	L. Douglas Petitt	
8	b. bouglas recite	
9	I do hereby certify that the foregoing	
10	transcript of the deposition of L. Douglas Petitt was submitted to the witness for reading and signing;	
11	that after he had stated to the undersigned Notary Public that he had read and examined his deposition,	
12	he signed the same in my presence on the day	
13	of, 2008.	
14	Notary Public	
15		
16	My commission expires	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	•	
23		
24		

41

1	CERTIFICATE
2	State of Ohio : : SS:
3	County of Franklin :
4	I, Rosemary F. Anderson, Notary Public in and
5	for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, certify that the within named L. Douglas Petitt was by me duly sworn to testify to the whole
6	truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony was
7	taken down by me in stenotypy in the presence of said witness, afterwards transcribed upon a computer; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
8	testimony given by said witness taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified and
9	completed without adjournment.
LO	I certify that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney of any of the parties hereto, or of any
L1	attorney or counsel employed by the parties, or financially interested in the action.
L 2	_
L3	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Columbus, Ohio, on this 13th day of August, 2008.
L 4	
L5	Rosemary F. Anderson,
16	Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the
L 7	State of Ohio.
L 8	My commission expires April 5, 2009.
19	(RFA-8184)
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

	10:16	APPEARANCES 3:1	back 8:8 30:16	7:2
	advise 21:4	Application 1:4	32:7	case1:3,8 16:7
able 10:18 16:20	affixed 41:13	1:9	backup 6:2	29:19 30:7
17:2 29:24	aforesaid 41:6	appreciate 9:8	ballism 34:10	31:2,5
38:5	after 28:24	21:3,16 24:21	Bare 1:13	Cast 1:13
about 6:12 14:1	40:10	39:10	basis 10:24	categorical 7:4
15:5,6,15	afternoon 5:6,7	approach 30:20	22:20	cause 41:6
16:19 17:6	7:11	appropriate	become 34:7	caveat 11:15
19:14 20:22	afterwards 41:7	19:16 24:15	becomes 36:6	18:8 19:13
22:3 27:4 28:17 32:15,17	again 11:13	Approval 1:10	before 1:1 2:1	Center 3:3
	12:10 15:11	Approved 1:15	6:5 24:19	certain 1:17
33:21 34:13 35:10 36:19	19:12 25:16	approximately	behalf 3:5,9	33:12
38:19	26:24 33:16.21	11:24 12:21	being 5:2 20:19	certainly 11:12
absolutely 17:12	34:24 35:3,18	13:12	25:5 38:5	14:13 15:13
35:8 36:20,24	37:10 38:1	April 41:18	believe 6:10	19:14 29:16
Accelerated 1:12	agency 14:15	area 38:22	9:22 11:14,18	31:1,3 33:8,9
accept 17:5	15:6	argument 38:4	12:12 20:16	CERTIFICATE 41:1
access 6:13	ago 17:16	ARMSTRONG 1:21	29:8,21 31:24	certified 5:2
Aclara 19:23	agree 31:18 32:9	around 22:20	best 18:8	certify 40:3,9
20:2	33:17	asked 34:17	Between 1:15	41:5,10
acquiring 19:11	agreed 39:3	asking 31:14	beyond 14:11	chance 9:9
action 14:15	allies 18:24	assertion 13:9	15:23 34:9	change 19:14
15:5 34:24	allocation 25:9	assist 21:19	bill 28:16 32:16	20:5 28:2 38:5
41:11	allow 25:21	assistance 14:8	32:24 33:22	changed 19:23
activities 27:9	all-inclusive	14:23 15:4	34:1,7,22	23:8
27:13	9:19	associated 8:5	35:19 36:23	changes 32:23
actual 1:15 8:15	along 19:5 38:15	9:20 10:11,21	38:2	40:4
15:24 22:9	already 31:24	11:21 12:23	bit 7:22 17:17	changing 16:24
26:18	Alternative 1:10	13:13,20 14:3	19:2 21:24	charge 30:7,14
actually 9:2	always 7:18 Amend 1:5	15:23 16:6	Blame 7:20	30:22 34:2,4
12:14 16:21	amount 10:20,24	20:19 21:6 33:11	blamed 7:21	35:5,6 39:5
22:7 23:14	1	attached 40:4	bore 24:22 bottom 6:23 7:2	charges 1:6
24:9	23:19,24 36:6 analysis 28:24	attached 40:4 attempting 30:2	10:9	35:17 36:15 37:2
actuals 9:3	32:3 33:3	34:16 39:11	break 10:1,2	check 21:1,13
add 22:16,21	analysts 21:21	attorney 41:10	breaks 9:23	choose 7:18
37:18	analyzed 22:19	41:11	bring 38:20	chosen 28:23
addition 27:8,13	Anderson 2:1	attributable	broad 2:3 3:8	class 25:1,1
additional 12:22	41:4,15	8:19	9:21 11:21	clean 6:18
21:18	and/or5:23	attributed 8:22	broadly 11:2	clear 7:6
addressed 6:20 6:22 25:19	annual 8:5,10,24	audit 18:19 19:8	brought 6:6	closer 20:10
addressing 26:14	23:13.23	19:9,18,21	budget 8:24	22:22 31:1
adjournment 41:9	answer 16:8	20:7	12:14,17	34:5
adjustment 8:4	20:22 21:2,14	audits 9:17	built 15:16	collect 1:15
9:12 13:19	24:18,19 25:22	August 2:4 40:4	business 39:1,5	38:6
20:14,18 21:5	26:23 29:21,24	41:13	,	collected 30:13
24:17 32:13	30:2,24 31:7	Authority 1:5	C	30:14,22
administering	32:5 34:15	available 30:6	calculating 12:3	Columbus 1:22
13:20 21:19	36:3 37:8,24	aware 32:1	calculation	2:4 3:4,8
administrative	38:24 39:11	awareness 10:24	11:23 12:1	41:13
13:22,24 14:1	answered 7:4	11:1 12:23	call 11:8 25:11	column 12:17
15:16	answering 27:16	13:13	called11:1	23:23
administrator	30:1	awareness/edu	12:17 20:1	come 25:20 36:9
14:2,6	answers 7:3	10:21 11:3,9	23:23	coming 11:24
adopted 6:9 7:24	anyone 36:8	11:19	Cap 14:15	12:16
adopting 5:15	anything 11:3 anytime 36:10	away 31:4 A-C-L-A-R-A 20:2	caption 41:8	commercial 25:1 commercials 19:4
adoption 6:21	apologize 21:2		captured 16:5	commission 1:1
22:8	21:13 26:24	B	20:23	40:16 41:18
advertising	21.13 20.24	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	carrying 6:24	40.10 41.10
	<u> </u>	I	1	l

commissioned
41:4
commodify 38:9
38:13
commodity 32:16
35:11,21,24
38:3,10,13,17
39:7
community 14:15
15:5
company 3:5 14:5
20:4 30:6,11
33:18 38:21
company's 29:18
30:20 35:12
complete 33:6
completed 41:9
completely 8:22
37:2
component 8:20
11:9,19 13:14
35:24
comprises 24:20
computer 41:7
concept 30:9
31:17 32:2
concluded 39:22
conclusion 33:10
confirm 11:16
confirmed 24:9
confusion 12:16
conservation 8:5
8:10 9:21
11:21 21:20,21
27:14,24 28:5
28:7,12,18
38:7
conserve 36:14
conserved 35:23
consists 24:4
construction
18:22
Consumers 2:3
3:6,10
consumption
28:15 34:20
contend 34:24
36:5 38:3,8
contention 36:13
continuing 13:5
contract 14:14
convinced 33:24
coordinated
27:13
corporate 28:3
correct 5:17 8:6
8:7,12,17 9:13
9:14 12:15,19
15:3 21:22,23
22:14,21 23:10
,

26:11,12,15 28:20 29:1,7 29:13 30:1 37:3 40:5 41:7 correction 40:4 cost 8:10 9:23 31:19 32:3,16 35:11,17,21 36:6 38:3,9,13 38:17,18 39:7 costes 9:20 costs 1:11,17 8:5 9:16 10:11 10:14,15 13:20 13:22,23,24 14:1,3 15:24 20:12,19,23 21:5,6,7,9 23:13 22:25:6
23:13,23 25:6 33:22,23 34:23
35:5,12,16
37:20 38:21
39:1,2,4,5,9
cost-effective 29:12
cost-effectiv
32:11
cost/benefit
29:4,6 33:3
counsel 2:3 3:6 39:16 41:11
County 40:2 41:3
couple 17:24 18:1,1 25:2
18:1,1 25:2
coverage 25:7 create 24:11
created 27:23
28:4,8,11
crystal 34:10
culture 16:24 customer 25:2
26:9 27:8.12
26:9 27:8,12 28:9 30:7,14
30:22 35:21
36:17,21,22
37:18 39:5 customers 11:4
16:20,23 17:2
17:15,21 28:9
28:15 33:19
35:7 38:6,16 38:20
customer's 38:18
D
D6:12
data 6:2 date 23:9
3.1-36.0

dated 6:9

```
day 40:11 41:13
Dayton 14:14
day-in 31:10
day-out 31:10
deal 18:24
December 6:10
decided 25:6
decision 25:8
decreasing 30:7
defer 15:18
deference 15:21
define 11:2
defined 27:19
 31:3 35:13
definition 37:21
deliver 14:24
delivering 9:21
 14:8 15:5
Delivery 1:4,9
demand-side
  31:20 35:7
department 22:5
 22:6 24:24
depose 29:16
 39:17
deposes 5:3
deposition 1:20
 4:7,8 5:9,10
 5:19,21 6:7,18
 39:16,22 40:3
 40:9,11
described 9:22
description
 10:10 27:10
design 29:20
 31:6,18 32:9
 32:21 33:10
 38:23
desk 6:13
determination
  24:23
determine 24:22
developing 9:20
development
 25:14,18 26:10
 26:16
difference 1:15
 30:3
different 8:21
 23:8
diminish 34:24
direct 6:8 7:23
 23:7 35:16
direction 33:5
directive 6:4
director 22:16
 27:4,8,22,23
 28:5,12,13
```

```
31:11
distribution
 1:11 35:17
 36:15 37:1,20
 38:18,21 39:8
documents 5:12
 5:21 6:2
dollars 12:14,17
 15:23
done 6:1 16:19
 37:15
Doug 31:22
Douglas 1:20 5:1
 5:11 6:9 40:3
 40:7,9 41:5
down 9:23 10:1,3
 13:2 36:9
 38:13,14,19,21
 39:7 41:6
downward 33:2
 34:2
drive 13:15
DSM 33:20
duly 5:2 41:4,5
during 8:13 16:1
 20:13
E3:7
each 12:22
earlier 24:10
East 3:3
economic 25:14
 25:18 26:16
 28:24
educate 11:4
education 10:15
 11:1 12:23
 13:13
effective 25:5
effectiveness
 31:20
efficiency 18:18
efficient 18:21
 25:5
efforts 13:14
eight 13:3
eliminate 24:23
eliminated 24:12
else's 13:4
embedded 13:23
employed 41:11
employee 41:10
employees 16:22
 21:19 25:3
```

end 28:14 31:8

31:11

discovery 5:24

discussion 25:12

Energy 1:4,9 18:21 engage 14:23 33:19 35:7 enhance 22:4 enhancing 22:11 22:12 enough 38:4,6ensuing 23:3 equation 38:8 essentially 29:2 estimate 16:15 16:17 even 15:1 event 14:17 every 28:9 35:20 35:23 everything 35:13 exactly 17:8 31:16 Examination 4:4 5:4,10 examined 40:11 EXAMINER 39:15 exceptions 6:20 6:21 Excuse 13:1 25:10 31:22 exhibit 5:8,9,12 5:13 12:6,12 exhibits 4:7 6:11 exist 25:3 27:19 existing 25:2,7 expenditures 8:11,16 22:19 26:18,21 expense 1:1615:16 expenses 23:16 experience 15:20 expert 15:19 expertise 5:22 19:8 38:22 expires 40:16 41:18 explain 9:18 32:19 35:9 extend 33:15 extent 33:1 external 14:4,5 14:8 extremely 17:18 F2:1 41:4,15 fact 16:22 23:3

32:15 35:14

36:2

disagree 36:12

factor 32:4 factored 29:18 fair 23:11 familiar 15:12 15:14 26:9 28:19,21 far 10:14 **fast** 17:10,12,20 Fax 1:23 field 22:16 23:1 23:2,15,22 24:4,12 25:2 Fifth 1:21 3:3 figure 9:2,15 11:8 12:8 **filed** 1:5 6:11 23:8,9 27:17 30:6 **filing 23:7** filled 22:24 23:2 25:15 26:1 filling 23:5 financially 41:11 find 9:6 19:15 19:16,17 24:6 fine 30:18 fingertips 9:5,6 20:21 firms 14:8 first 5:2 29:4 five 13:3 five-year 13:10 fixed 29:20 30:6 30:11 31:2,5,9 31:13 32:2 33:18 34:4,5 35:1,3,4,5,12 35:12,15,17 36:6 37:3,21 39:1,2,4,4 focus 28:8 38:4 focused 22:7 focusing 38:7 **folks** 16:3 following 19:5 **follows** 5:3 follow-up 6:14 foregoing 40:3,9 41:7.8 form 37:4 40:5 forma 9:12 13:19 forms 29:6 found 24:3 Francis's 26:6 Franklin 41:3 Friedeman 3:12 31:23 31:23 34:12

from 5:16 12:9 12:21 21:7 23:8 31:4,12 35:20 36:10 37:18 38:6 39:2 full 30:11,20 31:3 35:2,4,19 35:24 37:21 fully 33:21 fundamental 36:10 funds 16:5

G game 25:21 gas 1:6 17:17 18:18 33:22,23 36:3,4,7,9 38:8 gave 29:9 GCR 36:18,22 gears 21:24 general 10:23 28:19 33:5 generally 15:10 15:14 28:17,21 31:12 genesis 20:5 gets 37:11 getting 12:8 21:17 25:16 34:9 give 7:1 12:10 16:15 26:23 33:5 given 23:6 32:15 40:4 41:8 giving 31:7 glad9:10 18:9 21:13 go 7:23 18:6 22:1 39:20 goes 38:19 going 5:15 12:1 13:1 21:24 35:14 36:9 39:7,15 gone 13:2 37:2 37:16 good 5:6,7 7:7 7:11,16 gosh 16:17 Grady 3:7 4:4 5:5,8 6:17 7:1 7:7,10 9:5 11:14 13:7 16:8 25:13

37:13 39:12,19 great 17:13 18:23 24:8 39:14 greatest 38:2 Gretchen 3:2 group 22:4,4,6 22:11,12 group's 22:9 guess 11:6 12:16 17:4 18:9 19:12 20:9 26:7 29:5 30:24 33:1 34:17

H hand 41:13 hard 17:3,10,20 19:16 harder 19:17 having 21:8 27:22 32:24 39:3,19 heard 39:19 **HEARING 39:15** heating 18:18,20 19:19 help 16:20,23 19:11,11 28:9 helping 17:15 hereinafter 5:2 hereto 40:4 41:10 hereunto 41:12 high 18:17 33:23 36:2 highlighted 18:13 highlights 10:11 him 7:5 15:21 hire 21:18 hold 18:19 hope 18:14 hopefully 35:22 **Hummel** 3:2 6:16 7:3,8,9 13:1 25:10 34:8,14

____I idea 11:7 26:2 IDENTIFICATION 5:13 IDENTIFIED 4:7 identify 6:5 Idzkowski 3:7 **imagine** 33:14 34:22

37:4,8

impact 31:15,19 32:10,14,17,20 32:21 33:11,13 33:15 34:1 39:8 impacted 32:24 impetus 34:23 implementing 21:8 imposed 30:23 Inc 1:5, 10, 21 incentive 33:19 34:6 35:6 36:22 37:1 38:1 include 10:15 included 20:14 including 5:24 Inclusion 1:16 inclusive 10:19 incorporated 32:2 increase 1:6 30:7 incurred 16:1 21:7,9 23:14 23:17 INDEX 4:1 indicate 15:14 21:17 24:8 27:7,14 33:9 indicated 32:1 indication 26:17 indulge 11:11,12 Industrial 22:9 22:10,12 inferred 32:23 information 21:17 inherent 13:23 initial 7:19 initiatives 8:6 insert 13:2 instance 16:18 18:12 Instead 27:22 intend 21:18

intended 13:14

interested 17:20

internal 14:1,4

internally 16:24

16:21 28:8

interrupt 6:16

intent 17:11

25:3

41:11

28:14

Iron 1:13

items 13:14**....**.... J J3:2 Janine 3:6 Jerry 38:23 July 6:12 just 5:14 6:16 6:17 7:5 11:22 11:23 12:1,2 13:1,5 24:20 25:6,10 30:4 31:10 32:8 33:24 34:8,12 36:16 39:6,7 K **Karl** 5:11,16 6:9 22:10 Karl's 6:19,21

7:23 22:8 Keeping 25:21 26:3,5 27:1 Keeping's 25:19 kinds 17:2 19:16 kit 18:20 19:19 kits 9:17 knew 11:7 know 7:14,19 9:3 10:2,3,14,17 10:23 14:6 15:10,12,13,18 15:23 16:20 17:1,16 18:4,7 19:9,10 20:4 20:17 21:14 23:1,14 25:14 25:24 26:4,21 29:7,15,15,17 30:4 33:4 34:12,15 35:17 36:2,7 37:8,9 37:13 knowing 21:2 knowledge 5:22 known 8:23 14:18 19:23

L1:20 3:6 5:1 6:12 7:11 40:3 40:7,9 41:5 labor 19:15 Larry 3:12 31:23 last 12:17 36:4 late 25:20 later 30:21 lead 19:7 27:8 least 20:10

36:10	30:3	Monday 2:4 40:4	Nurick 3:2	16:23 26:5,13
leave 30:4	making 25:4,8	monday 2:4 40:4 month 17:16	METANN J.C	26:13 34:21
led 28:4	management 31:20	35:20 36:3,4	•	39:16
less 16:23 17:21	management 31:20	months 8:15,15	1221 - 117 -	others 16:4,12
28:9 33:19	manager 21:21	18:1,2,5,15	object 31:24 37:4	out 6:17 9:20
34:19 35:23	25:18	20:10,13,20	objection 13:2,5	17:6,9,14
38:8,10,11,12	manner 36:15	more 12:1 15:12		20:24 24:6
38:16	37:15 39:4	18:1,23 19:6	34:9 37:12	outside 14:23
lessened 34:7	MARGARD 31:22	37:14 39:4	obstinate 34:16	38:22
let 9:4 22:5	marginally 33:16	most 26:9 34:22	obviously 7:16 10:18 20:22	over 6:24 7:2
27:16	marked 5:9,13	mother 7:20	OCC 5:12 6:14	13:10 17:18
let's 7:23 28:17	market 19:15	mother's 7:21	occur 30:20	overall 38:19,20
level 15:16	36:10	motivate 11:4	occurs 31:16	0001211 30.13,20
lever 33:2	marketing 28:6	motivated 18:2	off 25:12 39:20	p
like 5:9 6:19	marketplace	34:21 36:13	offhand 10:3	page 4:3 6:23,24
11:16 13:3	17:14	motivation 17:14	office 7:15	7:2,3,4,23,24
16:3 22:24	math 22:24	move 30:10 34:5	41:13	
30:17 34:14	matter 1:3,8	39:3	offices 2:2	10:5,6,7,8
37:13	5:23	moved 37:21	4	12:6,10,12
liked 7:16	Matters 1:7	movement 31:1,12	Oh 16:17 27:6	18:13,18 22:1 22:20 27:5,7
limited 5:24	Matthew 9:22	31:15 35:1	0hio 1:1,4,9,22	22:20 27:5,7
line 6:13 13:6	Maureen 3:7	36:11	2:2,3,4 3:4,6	part 13:15,19
23:23 24:3	may 6:16 18:1,22	moving 10:5 31:4	3:8,10 40:1	20:9 31:10
lines 1:14 27:6	32:14 37:6	31:4	41:2,4,13,17	38:2
27:7 38:15	Maybe 23:20	much 7:16 9:1	okay 6:15 7:10	participate 11:4
list 9:24	37:14	10:24 11:7	9:1,8 10:7,13	participating
literally 20:4	McNees 3:2	17:3	11:17 14:10	34:18
little 13:2	mean 16:14,18	multiple 29:10	17:5 18:11	participation
17:17,24 18:22	24:22 30:12,21	myself 16:4 26:5	20:17 22:2,10	13:15
19:6 34:19	32:16,19 34:21	-3 -6 - 2 - 2 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3	22:15 23:18	particular 10:12
longer 18:2	35:4	N	24:21 25:23	21:20 23:4
look 8:8 23:12	means 28:3	name 7:12,15	26:8,20 27:3	parties 41:10,11
23:12	measure 25:15,24	19:24 20:5	27:12,21 28:1	Partnership
looked 29:2	34:1,18	22:4,6,9	28:23 29:23	14:15
looking 10:4	measures 14:9	named 41:5	33:14	pass 29:4
11:13 12:5	33:12	natural 17:17	OKEY 1:21	passed 35:20
14:5,7 17:16	medium 25:1	36:9 38:8	once 5:15	37:20
21:6,10 22:20	memory 37:11,15	nature 23:6	one 9:4 12:1	past 20:13,20
23:20,21,21,22	mention 19:18	need 19:7 20:24	32:18 33:6,6	payback 33:11,15
26:20 27:5	mentioned 12:4	22:3 24:10	34:18 36:7	paying 36:14
Looks 22:22	MFR 12:6,12	28:8	ones 18:4	pending 13:7
Loren 7:13,14	Michael 3:7	negligible 36:7	one-for-one 33:4	16:7
lot 15:19 16:19	middle 7:19	new 18:21 24:11	only 5:14 32:22	people 7:18
16:21,23	Migden-Ostrander	next 36:3	38:10	34:22 38:7
lower 38:9	3:6	Nexus 19:22	on-line 18:19	per 10:20 11:20
lowering 28:15	might 32:23	20:18 21:5,6,7	19:18,21 20:7	13:18 14:22
38:17	37:18	nine 8:15 9:12	open 39:15	percent 11:24
low-income 8:19	million 8:4,9,14	nonlow-income	Operating 1:16	12:2 32:16,24
8:23 14:11,12	8:18,24 9:1,11	15:1	opinion 24:16	33:1,22 35:15
14:14,17	9:15,18,19	Notary 2:1 40:10	opportunities	35:19,19
L-O-R-E-N7:13	10:20 11:8,20	40:14 41:4,16	17:21	performance 19:9
	11:22,22 12:5	note 6:19	opposed 14:2	performing 34:18
M	12:7,21,22	notice 4:8 5:10	28:12 35:5	period 8:14
made 9:18	13:11,18 20:14	5:19	Oral 5:10	13:10 17:7
magnitude 32:23	20:18,24 21:5	noting 40:4	order 14:24	18:21 33:11,15
33:6	32:12	number 11:10,15	organization	perspective
Mains 1:13,13	minor 32:14,20	12:3,10 27:6	28:4	37:18 39:2
majority 39:1	mobilize 28:13	numbers 10:5	original 27:20	pertain 26:21
make 7:5 12:2	moment 7:1 9:4	11:16	other 6:13 16:3	Petitt 1:20 5:1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

6:12 40:3,7,9 41:5 Pettit 5:6,14,19 7:11 9:9 11:6 11:12 12:4 13:4,16 15:22 17:7 21:4 24:16 25:13 27:4 28:24 31:24 32:5 33:8,17 34:15 35:2 37:9 38:15 39:12,17 39:20 Pettit's 6:21,23 philosophy 28:3 piece 26:10 34:2 38:7 pieces 11:1 26:13 place 25:16 41:8 plan 1:10 8:20 plans 22:15 23:8 please 9:4 12:11 16:9 30:16 37:6 point 9:7 11:18 17:4 18:9 23:7 31:9,11 portfolio 28:18 portion 20:17 posed 7:5 position 16:22 19:13 23:4 24:5,11,12,23 25:6,24 27:2 27:19,23 28:12 positions 25:7 25:16 positon 23:1 possible 17:12 18:3 possibly 26:6 postulated 35:15 36:8 precise 31:7 predicted 36:9 prefer 25:21 premise 37:19 preparation 16:4 16:13,14 preparing 16:21 17:1 presence 40:11 41:6 PRESENT 3:11 pretty 11:11 36:1,6 previous 20:23 24:19 36:4

price 38:13 39:7 primarily 15:8 28:3 prior 21:9 pro 9:12 13:19 probably 14:7 18:17,20 19:6 20:10 21:14 22:22 38:23 proceed 29:16 proceedings 5:23 process 29:2 procure 19:7 produce 5:20 produces 38:16 product 28:10 Production 5:11 Professional 41:16 program 1:12 8:5 8:10,23,24 9:16 10:11,12 10:14,15,22 11:1,5,20 12:23 14:18 15:24 19:21 20:13,19 21:8 21:21 29:12 programs 1:17 9:21 10:10 11:9,21 13:11 13:21 14:6,11 14:12,14 15:2 15:11,17,20 16:2,5,6,13,14 17:3,6,8,23 18:10,13 21:19 28:19,23 29:3 31:21 32:11 projected 8:10 8:15 14:22 proposal 29:19 30:6 33:18 propose 8:4 28:18 proposed 16:1 31:8,19 32:9 proposes 30:12 proposing 9:11 20:15 32:12 provide 14:8 25:7 provided 29:3 provider 14:16 provides 10:5 providing 17:2 17:20 19:10 Public 1:1 2:2

40:11,14 41:4

41:16 pull 7:2 pulled 23:4 **purposes** 5:8 6:6 put 34:8 p.m2:5 39:22 Q qualified 41:5 question 6:22 7:4 13:7 17:23 20:23 24:19,19 30:2,16 32:5,7 34:17 36:4 37:5,24 38:24 questioning 11:6 13:4,6 29:5 questions 13:3 21:1 37:14 38:24 39:13 quickly 11:11 18:3 quite 7:21 20:8 R3:7 ran 29:17 range 17:18 36:2 rate 1:10 16:7 29:20 30:15,23 31:18 32:3,9 32:21 33:10 36:18,22 38:23 rates 1:6 30:8 rather 34:3 raw 6:2 reached 35:3 37:2 read 13:8 16:8 16:10 30:16 32:7 36:8 37:7 37:10,17 40:3 40:11 reading 27:10 40:10

12:7 reflect 8:4 reject 24:16 33:4 41:10 rely 14:7 remove 25:6 1:12 report 28:5 realizing 16:6 26:17 really 6:17 17:4 17:20 18:2 20:24 25:4 15:21 reason 31:6 39:3 10:20 rebates 9:17 recall 24:13 Reconciliation reread 37:6 resay 32:8 reconciling 21:1 reconsidered 24:10

record 5:14 6:18 7:6 13:8 16:10 25:12 37:7,17 39:20 Recover 1:11 recovered 34:3 35:5,14 39:4 recovering 35:16 recovery 31:4,13 reduce 34:6,22 36:23 37:1 reducing 34:20 reductions 33:1 refer 8:1 27:16 reference 8:1,2 10:13 24:1,2 referencing 12:5 referring 16:18 reflected 8:14 regulators 39:3 related 1:7 9:16 11:8 13:20 16:1,12 20:18 24:4 26:18 relates 14:19,21 relating 5:21 relation 14:10 relationship relative 32:3 Reliability 1:17 rephrase 32:8 Replacement 1:11 Reporter 41:16 representative 22:17 23:1,3 23:16,22 24:5 24:12 25:14 represents 24:24 request 5:11 requested 5:20 requests 6:14,14 require 18:23 requires 19:10 reserve 24:18 residential 3:9 18:12

resources 25:9 28:14 respect 13:5 14:22 15:10,15 15:20 17:19,22 19:8 23:15 25:4,5,9,23 26:8,16 31:8 33:2 34:19 38:5 39:6 respectfully 15:21 responding 36:18 36:21 response 6:4 39:18,19 responses 5:23 restate 30:17 results 6:1 38:9 ratired 5:16 revenue 38:6 revenues 1:16 30:13,13,21 RFA-8184 41:19 Rider 1:11,14 right 12:24 14:19 19:7,10 19:11 21:3,16 22:13,22 24:18 25:8 28:24 29:12 32:6 35:24 rise 34:23 road 13:3 rolling 9:20 17:6,9 rollout 17:7 Rose 10:16,18 11:16 15:12,18 29:1,17,18,23 30:4 32:1 Rosemary 2:141:4,15 Rose's 9:22 11:13 12:6 18:14 19:5 rough 16:17 19:12 roughly 11:20 32:15 33:22 35:18 round 19:9 run 29:14 running 17:12,24 18:3,5,15 20:7 20:11,12,20 21:8 run-ups 17:19 Russell's 7:15

31:14

32:6

1:14

Rusty 7:15
S safe 26:8 sales 1:14 22:4 22:4,6,9,10,11 22:12,16,16 23:1,2,15,22 24:5,12 25:2 27:4,8,22 28:13
sales/economic 26:10 same 18:18 23:22 38:4,17 40:11 save 35:11,24 36:14 38:2 saving 38:10
saving 58:10 savings 12:8 saying 12:20 36:16,17 says 5:3 28:8 schedule 8:1,3,3 8:9 17:8,11 23:18
seal 41:13 second 6:17 7:14 11:11 see 8:1,2,9,13 9:4 10:13 22:17,18 23:20 24:1,2 26:19
26:20 27:9,11 34:17 service 1:13 14:16 services 1:6 14:24 25:15,24 set 19:17 41:12 SFV 30:20 37:21
<pre>shift 21:24 short 19:1 37:11 shorter 18:21 signed 40:11 significant 16:5 17:19 32:17</pre>
36:1 signifies 28:2 signing 40:10 simple 25:8 simply 19:7 since 14:17 sit 19:13
six 13:3 skill 19:17 slight 34:2 small 25:1,1 solely 8:18,19 some 11:18 16:12

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17:23 19:1
33:2,13 34:1
33:2,13 34:1
somebody 13:4
33:24
someone 25:10
36:13
something 8:20
10:16 14:11 15:11 18:6
15:11 18:6
29:23 34:1
sometime 20:8
soon 36:10
sorry 10:8 12:10
27:1 32:7
37:11
sort 10:23
South 1:21
speak 10:18
22:15
speaks 22:11
28:7 29:1
specific 26:23
specifically
9:16 17:22
18:4
specifics 18:7
specified 41:8
speculation
34:10
spending 18:23
38:12
spent 9:2 12:15
12:18,21 13:11
16:4,12,16,21
16:24
spoke 27:1
sponsor 32:12
SS 40:1 41:2
staccato 37:14
staff 6:14
stand 7:11 20:3
start 6:17 19:1
started 19:15
starting 10:6,8
18:13
starts 10:9
State 2:2 3:3,10
40:1 41:2,4,17
stated 35:15
40:10
statement 13:9
23:11
stating 11:15
Steel 1:13
Abanah
stenotypy 41:6
still 17:18
still 17:18 32:15 35:10
still 17:18

straight 29:19

30:5,11 31:2,9

```
32:2 33:18
 34:5 35:1,3,4
 35:12,15 37:3
 37:21
strategy 27:14
Street 1:21 2:3
 3:3,8
strike 22:5
strongly 14:23
structure 9:23
studies 6:1,3
 32:3
subject 5:22
submitted 40:10
subsequent 22:8
 30:12
subsided 17:17
substance 40:5
Suite 1:21 3:3,8
supervisor 25:15
 25:23
supplemental
 6:11,23 23:9
 24:9 27:17
support 26:10
 32:22
sure 7:5,22 9:24
 12:2 24:20
 25:4 26:4
 29:22 30:3
 31:6,8 33:3
 35:10 39:8
suspect 14:22
 32:14 33:13
sworn 5:2 41:5
        T
take 4:8 5:10
 11:3 18:1,22
 34:1,23
taken 2:1 41:6,8
talk 18:9 28:17
talking 14:1,3
 15:5,6 17:6
 22:3 32:15,17
 33:21 35:10
 36:7,19 38:19
Tariffs 1:5
Team 8:23 14:18
 15:24
technical 19:10
 19:11
telephone 1:20
 3:2,12
tell 9:15 10:19
 16:11,13 17:6
 17:11,13 20:5
 24:4 26:24
 27:12 29:11
```

```
terms 6:18 21:1
test 8:13 16:1
 21:9 23:19,24
 24:16 29:4,6
 33:3
testify 41:5
testimony 5:16
 5:21 6:8,11,19
 6:22,24 7:24
 9:22,23,24
 10:4,9,12,19
 11:14 12:6
 13:4 18:14
 19:5 22:1,8
 23:3 24:9,13
 25:19 26:7
 27:2,3,11,15
 27:17,20 29:2
 29:9 41:6,8
tests 29:10,11
 29:14,17,18
thank 7:7,9
 13:16 21:12
 24:8 39:14,20
 39:21
their 7:18 19:24
 28:16 34:1
theoretical 39:2
thereabouts
 13:12 33:23
thing 23:20
 38:18
things 19:16
think 7:10 11:10
 18:17 19:14,17
 20:2 26:6 32:6
 35:2
thinking 15:9
 20:22 34:13
Third 3:3
third-party 14:2
 15:6,8,15
thought 16:19
three 8:15 9:12
 18:1,5,15
three-month 9:2
through 10:12
 11:13 18:6
 27:6,7 34:3
 35:5,20 37:20
tied 35:13
time 5:20 9:7
 11:18 12:1
 16:3,12,15,21
 16:23 17:1,3,7
 18:21,23,24
 19:2,7 41:8
timing 23:7
title 28:6
```

today 6:5,6 19:14 35:18 36:2 39:13 together 40:4 total 10:11,14 10:15 11:19 12:7,20 13:10 22:19 trading 36:3,5transcribed 41:7 transcript 40:3 40:9 41:7 transition 29:19 trickling 19:1 tried-and-true 29:3 true 14:13 18:19 40:5 41:7 truth 41:6 try 17:14 34:6 trying 26:5 28:13 35:10 turn 29:8 two 6:19,20 17:24 18:5,15 20:10 21:1 22:21

```
Ţ
Ulrey's 38:23
ultimately 28:15
under 11:22 12:2
 30:13,14,19,22
 32:12 33:17
 35:13
undersigned
 40:10
understand 15:22
 23:6 30:5,8,9
 30:10,19,24
 31:3,5,12,15
 31:17 32:20
 33:6,9 35:11
 36:16 37:9
 38:24 39:10
unfortunately
 27:5
unit 35:23
units 38:11
until 23:9
upside 34:19
usage 38:19
use 15:15 16:23
 17:21 28:9
 35:23 38:10
used 29:6,9 38:5
using 38:8,11,12
 38:16
UTILITIES 1:1
```

•				
-	whole 41:5	8:23 12:6,12	19:3,6,9 41:18	
	wind 31:9	14:18 15:24		
<u> </u>	winter 17:13,15	1.01 14:19	6	
variable 29:20	wishes 39:16	1.18:24 14:21	610:8 12:6,7,12	
	WIT 4:4	1.99:11	12:13 18:13	
	witness 4:3	1:302:4	19:4,4	
34:6 35:1,3,4	37:10 39:14,21	102:3 3:8	6141:22,23	
		100 35:15	ř	
37:22 39:6	41:12	1011:21	7	
	witnesses 26:14	112:4 24:3 40:4	7 10:6,7 18:18	
	wondered 7:18	11,723:24 24:3	19:4 22:1 27:7	
	word 34:11	11,700 23:19	13,4 22.1 2,	
	words 34:21	13th 41:13	8	
J. 1	workpaper 23:21	14 11:24 12:2	8 19:4 22:20	
	workpapers 8:8	14.3 12:5,9	8-917:18	
	WPC 26:18	14.331 12:7,15		
		13:11,15	80 32:16 33:22	
15:15	23:19 25:17	15 27:6,7	35:19	
verify 21:15	26:9	16,380 24:17	8001:22	
	WPC-3.1521:18	17003:3	9	
2	write 27:5	18 20:10, 13, 20		
	wrong 23:20	18003:8	90 22:23	
volume 32:24		1851:21		
34:3	Y	19 27 : 6, 7		
	year 10:20 11:20			
30:15,23 31:4	12:22 13:18	2		
31:12 35:6		26:23 7:2,3,23		
36:15 37:20	14:22 16:1 17:18,18 21:9	7.24		
36:13 37:20	23:19,24 24:16	2.911:20 13:17		
W		14:20,22		
	30:12,21	2:3839:22		
Wallace 3:2	30:12,21	20 32:24 33:1		
want 9:24 13:5	Z	35:19		
21:14		2007 6:10		
wanted 7:5	zero 37:19	2008 2:4 6:12		
water 18:18,19				
19:18		12:21 40:4,12		
way 28:6 34:17	\$1,950,000 13:12	41:13		
38:5	\$1.18:14,18 9:1	2009 41:18		
weatherization	\$14 17:17 36:4,7	2012 12:21		
8:20,23 14:16	\$14.311:22	213:3		
14:17	\$2 11:22 12:22	223-94811:22	1	
welcome 13:17	\$2.98:4 9:15,18	224-5724 1:23		
well 7:15 10:4	9:19 12:21	224-9481 1:22		
14:13 16:24	13:18 20:14,18	23 6:12		
17:10 19:6	20:24 21:5	28,000 23:13	1	
27:16 28:7	32:12	28,08023:24		
32:22 34:14	\$4 10:20 11:8		ļ	
38:9,21	\$8 36:2			
were 6:20,22	\$8,55426:19	3.14 26:18	ļ	
17:5,16 21:7	\$80,000 22:20	3.158:1,4		
26:18 28:23	}			
29:14	, . <u></u>	4 46:10 8:9 18:22		
West 2:3 3:8	07-1080-GA-AIR			
we're 14:3 17:19	1:3	432153:4)	
28:13 32:15	07-1081-GA-ALT	43215-34853:8	1	
38:19	1:8	43215-52011:22]	
	1	l	1	
we've 28:11		<u> </u>	1	
we've 28:11 WHEREOF 41:12 while 37:15	1 14:8 5:9,12	5 54:4,8 18:22		