RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

## BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

2008 AUG -5 PM 4: 01

PUCO

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
36 EAST SEVENTH STREET
SUITE 1510
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202
TELEPHONE (513) 421-2255

TELECOPIER (513) 421-2764



Via Telefax Transmission and Overnight Mail

August 5, 2008

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio PUCO Docketing 180 E. Broad Street, 10th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

In re: Case Nos. 08-777-EL: ORD

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please find enclosed an original and twelve (12) copies of the RESPONSE COMMENTS OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP, THE CHEMISTRY TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL, THE OHIO CAST METALS ASSOCIATION, THE OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION, THE OHIO AGGREGATES AND INDUSTRIAL MINERALS ASSOCIATION and THE OHIO MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION fax-filed today in the above-referenced matter.

Please place this document of file.

Respectfully yours,

David F. Boehm, Esq. Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.

BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

MLKkew Encl.

Case No. 08-777-EL-ORD

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Adoption of Rules for Standard Service Offer, Corporate Separation, Reasonable Arrangements, and Transmission Riders for Electric Utilities Pursuant to Sections 4928.14,4928.17, and 490531, Revised Code, as amended by Amended Substitute Senate Bill No. 221.

RESPONSE COMMENTS OF THE ONIO ENERGY GROUP,
THE CHEMISTRY TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL,
THE OHIO CAST METALS ASSOCIATION,
THE OHIO MOSPITAL ASSOCIATION,
THE OHIO AGGREGATES AND INDUSTRIAL MINERALS ASSOCIATION
and THE OHIO MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION

There has been an explosion of Comments on the PUCO draft of rules proposed in an Entry July 2, 2008. The Ohio Energy Group (OEG) has submitted its Comments along with The Chemistry Technology Council, The Ohio Cast Metals Association, The Ohio Hospital Association, The Ohio Aggregates and Industrial Minerals Association and The Ohio Manufacturers' Association on July 22, 2008. Very shortly thereafter all the Ohio utilities have filed their ESP and/or MPO Plans on August 1, 2008. Appreciating the difficulty of promulgating rules a process that is already underway, and after reviewing the extensive and sometimes very specific proposed amendments to the Rules, OEG, et. al., have concluded that our Response Comments should be limited and general.

First, we reaffirm our initial Comments. Nothing that we have seen in the initial Comments of others causes us to reconsider any of our initial Comments.

Second, as a general statement we believe that many of the recommendations of the Office of Consumer Counsel have merit. To the extent that these Comments call for greater disclosure by the utilities, for more demanding burdens of proof to support claimed rate increases, and more opportunities for ratepayer participation in the approval process we endorse them.

Respectfully submitted,

David F. Boehm, Esq. Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.

BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Ph: (513) 421-2255 Fax: (513) 421-2764

E-Mail: dbochm@BKLlawfirm.com

mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com

Tom O'Brien, Esq.
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP

100 South Third Street Columbus OH 43215

Ph: (614) 227-2300 Fax: (614) 227-2390

E-mail: tobrien@bricker.com

August 5, 2008