BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

LE	THE RECEIPTOR 42
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMI	MISSION OF OHIO
In the Matter of the Application of The East Ohio Gas Company dba Dominion East Ohio for Authority to Increase Rates for its Gas Distribution Service.	Case No. 07-829-GA-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of The East Ohio Gas Company dba Dominion East Ohio for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan for its Gas Distribution Service.	: Case No. 07-830-GA-ALT :
In the Matter of the Application of The East Ohio Gas Company dba Dominion East Ohio for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.	: Case No. 07-831-GA-AAM :
In the Matter of the Application of The East Ohio Gas Company dba Dominion East Ohio for Approval of Tariffs to Recover Certain Costs Associated with a Pipeline Infrastructure Replacement Program Through an Automatic Adjustment Clause, and for Certain Accounting Treatment.	: Case No. 08-169-GA-ALT : :
In the Matter of the Application of The East Ohio Gas Company dba Dominion East Ohio for Approval of Tariffs to Recover Certain Costs Associated with Automated Meter Reading and for Certain Accounting Treatment.	: Case No. 06-1453-GA-UNC : :

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF

STEPHEN R. CHANEY UTILITIES DEPARTMENT **CAPITAL RECOVERY & FINANCIAL ANALYSIS DIVISION** PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Staff Exhibit

August 1, 2008

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician m ____Date Processed 8/

1	1.	Q.	Please state your name and business address?
2		А.	My name is Stephen R. Chaney. My business address is 180 East Broad
3			Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
4			
5	2.	Q.	Who are you employed by?
6		А.	I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO).
7			
8	3.	Q.	What is your current position with the PUCO?
9		A.	I am employed as a Utilities Specialist in the Capital Recovery and
10			Financial Analysis Division of the Utilities Department.
11			
12	4	О.	Would you briefly state your educational and occupational background?
12	••	×.	
12		A.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from
12 13 14		A.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Purdue University in December, 1978, and a Master's Degree in City and
12 13 14 15		Α.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Purdue University in December, 1978, and a Master's Degree in City and Regional Planning from Ohio State University in December, 1981. I have
12 13 14 15 16		A.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Purdue University in December, 1978, and a Master's Degree in City and Regional Planning from Ohio State University in December, 1981. I have been employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio since January,
12 13 14 15 16 17	••	A.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Purdue University in December, 1978, and a Master's Degree in City and Regional Planning from Ohio State University in December, 1981. I have been employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio since January, 1982. I have presented testimony supporting the Staff's rate of return
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	•••	A.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Purdue University in December, 1978, and a Master's Degree in City and Regional Planning from Ohio State University in December, 1981. I have been employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio since January, 1982. I have presented testimony supporting the Staff's rate of return recommendations in several rate proceedings before the Commission,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	•••	Α.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Purdue University in December, 1978, and a Master's Degree in City and Regional Planning from Ohio State University in December, 1981. I have been employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio since January, 1982. I have presented testimony supporting the Staff's rate of return recommendations in several rate proceedings before the Commission, including Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company's alternative regulation case,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	•••	Α.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Purdue University in December, 1978, and a Master's Degree in City and Regional Planning from Ohio State University in December, 1981. I have been employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio since January, 1982. I have presented testimony supporting the Staff's rate of return recommendations in several rate proceedings before the Commission, including Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company's alternative regulation case, 96-899-TP-ALT.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		A.	I have received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from Purdue University in December, 1978, and a Master's Degree in City and Regional Planning from Ohio State University in December, 1981. I have been employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio since January, 1982. I have presented testimony supporting the Staff's rate of return recommendations in several rate proceedings before the Commission, including Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company's alternative regulation case, 96-899-TP-ALT.

1		Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to address objections to the rate-of-return
2			on rate base (ROR) analysis included in the Staff Report docketed in this
3			proceeding on May 23, 2008, and to update that analysis to better reflect
4			changed conditions since that time.
5			
6	6.	Q.	What changes to your analysis have you made on testimony?
7		A.	The Staff made changes that resulted in a different capital structure, a
8			different cost of debt, and a different cost of capital. The Staff has adopted
9			a capital structure of 47.67% long term debt to 52.33%. National Fuel Gas
10			is dropped from the comparable group, as Value Line increased beta from
11			.85 to 1.00, which violated my selection criterion concerning Value Line
12			beta. Treasury yields, stock prices, dividends and analysts' growth rates are
13			updated to reflect their most recent values. In the Staff Report, yields,
14			prices and dividends fall within the period of February 22, 2007 to
15			February 21, 2008. On testimony, this period becomes July 26, 2007 to
16			July 25, 2008. Due to a merger, Reuters no longer has a website with
17			analysts' estimated growth rates, and is, therefore, removed from my DCF
18			cost estimation. Compared to the Staff Report recommendation, these
19			changes increase the equity cost by 3 to 4 basis points, and increase the
20			overall rate of return range by 5 to 6 basis points.

1	7.	Q.	Have you adjusted your recommenda	tion, on testimony, to take into
2			account the revenue stabilizing effect	of straight-fixed-variable rate design
3			or decoupling, and the Pipeline Infras	structure Replacement Program (PIR)?
4		A.	Yes. I have made a reduction in cost	of equity of 25 basis points, after the
5			issuance adjustment. Compared to th	e Staff Report recommendation, these
6			changes decrease the equity cost by 2	1 to 22 basis points, and decrease the
7			overall rate of return range by 7 basis	points.
8				
9	8.	Q.	What is Staff's updated return on rate	base recommendation for the
10			Companies?	
11		А.	Staff's adjusted ROR recommendation	on is shown below:
12			Long Term Debt Canitalization	17 67%
12			Common Equity Capitalization	57 330%
13			Control Equity Capitalization	6 5004
14			Deturn on Equity Dance	0.5070
15			Return on Poto Poso Panco	9,0470 - 10.0070
10			Return on Rate Dase Range	0.1470 - 0.0070
17	9.	Q.	How is your testimony organized?	
19		A.	I will address the other parties' objec	tions to the Staff Report by ROR
20			topic, and then discuss Staff's position	on. Objections to the Staff's ROR were
21			submitted by Dominion East Ohio (th	ne Company or the Applicant), by The
22			Office of the Ohio Consumers' Coun	sel (OCC), by the Ohio Partners For
23			Affordable Energy (OPAE), and by 1	Neighborhood Environmental
24			Coalition, The Empowerment Center	of Greater Cleveland, Cleveland
25			Housing Network, and the Consumer	s For Fair Utility Rates (NEC).

1	10.	Q.	What objections were submitted relating to the explicit reduction of return
2			on equity to compensate for rate structures or tariff provisions that tend to
3			stabilize revenue?
4		Α.	The Company's Objection No. 23 states: "DEO objects to Staff's
5			suggestion that decoupling or similar measures may reduce the type of risk
6			that affects the cost of capital to the Company, without discussion or
7			analysis demonstrating that systematic or non-diversifiable risk is in fact
8			reduced."
9			
10			OCC's Objection 6, relating to a, "Rate of Return Adjustment for
11			Alternative Regulation" states:
12			The OCC objects to the Staff Report's failure to make an
13			adjustment to reduce the recommended rate for common
14			equity in recognition of the reduced fisks that the Company
15			Commission approves any of the risk-reducing mechanisms
17			nronosed by the Company Although the Staff Report
18			acknowledged that these mechanisms would reduce the risk
19			faced by the Company, the Staff failed to make any
20			corresponding reductions to the rate of return to reflect these
2 1			reduced risks.
22			
23			OPAE Objection V. states:
24			OPAE objects to the Staff Report recommendation that the
25			rate of return be set in the range of 8.22% to 8.75% because it
26			provides an excessive return when compared to the risk faced
27			by DEO, and other factors. Staff acknowledges the need to
28			adjust rate of return to recognize the reduction in risk of
29			earning the revenue requirement because of decoupling or the
30			Staff's modified straight fixed variable rate, and the proposed

•

1 2 3 4 5 6 7			PIR. The Standard Service Offer bidding process also eliminates the risk of refunds under traditional gas cost recovery audits. Unfortunately, the Staff Report fails to quantify the level of reduction of the rate of return as a result of the reduced risk. The comparable companies utilized by Staff do not, in large part, have decoupling or a modified straight fixed variable rate or a PIR. The Staff Report errs in
8 9 10 11			not reducing the rate of return sufficiently to reflect the minimal risk faced by the Company for purposes of a return on its investment.
12	11.	Q.	What is Staff's position on the explicit reduction of return on equity to
13			compensate for revenue stabilization?
14		А.	Staff has made a 25 basis point reduction to the return on equity as there is
15			relatively low exposure of Staff's comparable group companies to the kind
16			of risk-reducing mechanisms proposed by the Company. Of the twelve
17			states in which the four comparable group companies have operating
18			companies, three are listed by the American Gas Association as having
19			natural gas revenue decoupling. Those three states, Maryland, North
20			Carolina, and New Jersey impact AGL Resources, Piedmont Natural Gas,
21			and South Jersey Industries, but not Atmos Energy Corporation. South
22			Jersey Industries is in New Jersey only. Piedmont is in North Carolina with
23			decoupling, and South Carolina and Tennessee without it. AGL Resources
24			is in Maryland and New Jersey with decoupling and Florida, Georgia and
25			Tennessee without it.

.

1			Three out four of the companies are exposed to decoupling. But this occurs
2			in only three of the twelve states. Only South Jersey Industries is entirely
3			exposed, as it operates only in New Jersey. Atmos has no exposure. AGL
4			and Piedmont are partially exposed.
5			
6			OCC witness Woolridge supports a 50 basis point adjustment for
7			decoupling and the pipeline infrastructure replacement program. Given the
8			partial exposure of the Staff's comparable group, Staff believes that a 25
9			basis point adjustment is appropriate.
10			
11			Given the degree of revenue stabilization that would derive from
12			decoupling, and the 191 basis point difference between the Applicant's
13			6.50% cost of debt and the Staff's 8.41% recommended rate of return
14			midpoint, a 25 basis point adjustment seems conservative.
15			
16	12.	Q.	Dominion East Ohio has an objection supporting an explicit adjustment in
17			rate of return due to market value, Objection No. 22, which reads:
10			
10 10			DEO objects to Statt's failure to consider differences in market value conital structures at which DOE estimates are
17 20			market value capital structures at which KOE estimates are made. Staff should have used an adjustment that recognizes
20 21			differences in financial risk based on comparable companies?
21			market value canital structures rather than their book value
23			capital structures.
24			
25			What position does the Staff take on this objection?

1	13.	A.	Staff disagrees with this objection. No adjustment to the cost of equity
2			estimate should be made for market value. Current market valued capital
3			structures are inappropriate for rate of return estimation analysis where
4			such rates of return are to be applied to rate base. Book value capital
5			structures are entirely market determined and reflect the actual exposure to
6			risk of the actual investors for which a particular company needs to provide
7			compensation.
8			
9	14.	Q.	What objections were submitted relating to the selection of a point in the
10			rate of return range?
11		Α.	NEC Objection 7 states:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26			The Staff is recommending a rate of return of 8.72% with a range running from 8.22% to 8.75%. The Citizens Coalition does not understand why a figure near the top of the range should be recommended rather than either a rate of return at the bottom of the range or one in the middle. The Citizens Coalition is opposed to any rate increases at this time, when food prices, gasoline prices, and other costs for necessities are skyrocketing. It is time for the Company, its executives, and the stockholders to do their share to control prices for necessities such as energy. The Citizens Coalition urges the Staff to adopt the bottom of the proposed range for a rate of return of 8.22% for this Company, which is still within the Staffs overall recommendation.
27	15.	Q.	What is the Staff's position regarding this objection?
28		Α.	The Staff did not recommend 8.72% in the Staff Report and is not
29			recommending a specific point in the range on testimony. My testimony

1			concerns the cost of capital to the Applicant. My recommendation is the
2			range from 8.14% to 8.68%. The Staff does not argue for a point in the
3			range. The Commission will entertain any such arguments as it may see fit.
4			
5	16.	Q.	What objections were submitted relating to capital structure?
6		А.	Office of Consumers' Counsel Objection 2 concerning, "Capital Structure
7			and Debt Cost Rate" states:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20			The OCC objects to the Staff Report's use of a hypothetical capital structure which is the average book value capital structure of the five companies in the Staff's comparable group. This is not the capitalization used by the Company to attract and raise capital. This error in the selection of a capital structure is further exacerbated by the Staff's adoption of the Company's proposed long-term debt cost rate of 6.50 percent. There must be synchronization between the adopted capital structure for the proxy companies and DEO's debt cost rate, the Staff's capital structure and debt cost rate are not synchronized."
21	17.	Q.	What is the Staff's position regarding the use of a hypothetical capital
22			structure based on a comparable group?
23		Α.	The Staff's capital structure is reflective of the risk profile required for a
24			natural gas distribution company. It is also consistent with the average
25			capital structure of the comparable group companies used by Staff to
26			estimate the cost of common equity. Given the current industry structure,
27			any particular book consolidated capital structure may not reflect the risk
28			associated with a regulated utility operating company. In this case, a

1			capital structure based on a comparable group of gas distribution companies
2			makes more sense than the Applicant's parent consolidated capital structure
3			which involves electric operations to a significant degree. In addition,
4			given current industry financial practices, stand-alone capital structures for
5			operating companies, in general, may not reflect the risk associated with a
6			regulated utility operating company or the risk associated with the parent
7			company.
8			
9	18.	Q.	What objections were submitted concerning the selection of the Staff's
10			comparable group?
11		Α.	Dominion East Ohio's Objection No. 14 states: "DEO objects to Staff's
12			sample selection because it is unnecessarily restricted to companies with a
13			beta of less than 0.85." Dominion East Ohio's Objection No. 15 states:
14			"DEO objects to Staff's sample selection because it is unnecessarily
15			restricted to companies with a Standard & Poor's bond rating of A, A-,
16			BBB+, or BBB, omitting companies with a rating greater than A and
17			companies rated BBB-, all of which are investment grade companies."
18			
19			Office of Consumers' Counsel's Objection 1 states:
20 21 22 23 24			The OCC objects to the Staff Report's use of a group of only five companies in its group of "comparable utilities" that served as a basis of its capital structure and cost of capital analysis. Furthermore, this group of five companies includes National Fuel Gas Corporation ("NFG"), a company which is

1 2 3			considered to be an integrated natural gas company and not a natural gas distribution company.
4 5	19.	Q.	What is your response to these comparable group objections?
6		Α.	Concerning DEO's objections, Dominion's Value Line beta is .80. In the
7			Staff Report the comparables' VL betas were all clustered together at .85,
8			which is higher than Dominion's. It makes no sense that the Applicant
9			wants to go higher still. The Staff's bond rating criterion brackets tighter
10			than simply allowing all investment grade rated companies. Thus, it
11			actually functions as a selection criterion. There is nothing objectionable to
12			the use of bond rating as a criterion for comparable group selection.
13			
14			Regarding OCC's objection, the risk level for the comparables is
15			appropriate for distribution operations. Non-regulated enterprise permeates
16			the electric utility industry, both as affiliates and as integrated operations.
17			Overall, the comparable group reflects a degree of riskiness appropriate for
18			Dominion East Ohio. National Fuel Gas is deleted from the group now as
19			its beta now is equal to 1.00.
20			
21	20.	Q.	Dominion East Ohio's Objection No. 16, states: "DEO objects to Staff's
22			use, in its CAPM model, of an average of 10-year and 30-year bond yields
23			for the risk-free rate over the last 12 months. This information is stale and

1			out of date and should have matched the term of the risk-free rate and the
2			data used to estimate the MRP." How does the Staff respond?
3		A.	Staff will not predict economic conditions for the rate period when
4			formulating its CAPM recommendation. Staff believes that growth rates
5			occur in a manner independent of the preceding growth rate. Staff believes
6			the period in question is a reasonable tradeoff between stability and
7			timeliness.
8			
9	21.	Q.	Dominion East Ohio's Objection No. 17 states: "DEO objects to Staff
10			failure to consider a short-term, risk-free rate version of its CAPM model."
11			How does the Staff respond?
12		A.	Staff's CAPM is based on long term Treasury yields. This suits the
13			investment horizon consideration for equity investment and data
14			availability considerations. Staff's CAPM is as conceptually valid as a cost
15			of equity measure, and is internally consistent in its matching of datasets
1 6			which are available.
17			
18	22.	Q.	Dominion East Ohio's Objection No. 18 states: "DEO objects to Staff's
19			failure to recognize and adjust for the well-known shortcomings of CAPM
20			model by using the ECAPM model." How does Staff respond?
21		A.	Use of Value Line betas, which vary less with risk, compensate for these
22			shortcomings.

-

- 1
- 23. Q. Office of Consumers' Counsel's Objection 3 states:

2 The OCC objects to the Staff Report's inappropriate risk 3 premium of 6.5% in the CAPM. [Footnote omitted.] The 4 risk premium stated in the Staff Report was based on the 5 spread of the arithmetic mean of historical total returns 6 between large stocks for large companies and long-term 7 government bonds between 1926 and 2007. This approach is 8 subject to a myriad of empirical errors which make these 9 historical returns poor measures of expected returns. The use 10 of historical return to estimate an expected risk premium can 11 be erroneous because (1) expost returns are not the same as 12 ex ante expectations, (2) market risk premiums can change 13 over time, increasing when investors become more risk-14 averse, and decreasing when investors become less risk-15 averse, and (3) market conditions can change such that ex 16 post historical returns are poor estimates of ex ante expectations. This approach is outdated, ignores twenty years 17 of academic and professional research on the equity risk 18 premium, and is out of touch with the real world of finance. 19 The research and surveys of investment banks, consulting 20 21 firms, and Chief Financial Officers, who use the equity risk premium concept every day in making financing, investment, 22 and valuation decisions, indicates an equity risk premium in 23 24 the 4 percent range is appropriate. 25 Is this objection valid? 26 Α. No. Staff will not predict economic conditions for the rate period when 27 formulating its CAPM recommendation. Staff believes that growth rates 28 occur in a manner independent of the preceding growth rate. Short-term 29 forecasts involve arbitrarily selective guesses as to which conditions that 30 have occurred before will be prevalent in the near-term. Staff admits that it 31

32 cannot predict the future and, thus incorporates parameters that reflect

33 broad general conditions in its analysis.

34

1	24.	Q.	What objections were submitted concerning the Staff's DCF analysis?
2		A.	Dominion East Ohio's Objection No. 19 states: "DEO objects to Staff's
3			calculation, in its DCF model, of the dividend yield using prices and
4			dividends over the past year. That is too long a period to use for the
5			forward-looking DCF model." Dominion East Ohio's Objection No. 20
6			states: "DEO objects to Staff's use, in its growth estimates in its DCF
7			model, of non-independent sources such that some analyst estimates were
8			counted multiple times. Staff should have used independent sources of
9			estimates." Dominion East Ohio's Objection No. 21 states: "DEO objects
10			to Staff's failure to consider, in its DCF model, that DEO's dividends are
11			paid quarterly. Staff should have used a quarterly version of the model."
12			
13			Office of Consumers' Counsel's Objection 4 states:
14 15			The OCC objects to the Staff Report's use of a multistage DCF model which includes a growth rate that is a
16			combination (1) the average of projected EPS growth from
17			Wall Street analysts (as collected and compiled by Reuters.
18			Yahoo!, and MSN) and Value Line and (2) a long-term
19			growth rate equal to the projected GNP growth rate. It is well
20			known that the EPS growth rate projections of Wall Street
21			analysts are upwardly biased and produce an overstated DCF
22			equity cost rate. Furthermore, the Staff had provided no
23			theoretical or empirical support to justify using the projected
24			GNP growth rate as the expected long-term DCF growth rate.
25			

1	25.	Q.	What is the Staff's response to these DCF objections?
2		A.	In the Staff's estimation, the period of a year is a natural cycle for this
3			phenomenon, and it is also a reasonable tradeoff between stability and
4			timeliness.
5			
б			Reuters, MSN, and Yahoo do not identify their constituent analysts. Even
7			if they were known, weighting them equally would be arbitrary. The
8			Staff's method gives them relative importance in accordance with their
9			frequency of occurrence in the media.
10			
11			If it is recognized that revenues are collected monthly while dividends are
12			paid quarterly, then an adjustment should be made to reduce the cost of
13			equity estimate. Such a refinement, however, would be of little
14			consequence.
15			
16			Staff will not predict economic conditions for the rate period when
17			formulating its DCF recommendation. Staff believes that growth rates
18			occur in a manner independent of the preceding growth rate. Analysts
19			formulate company-specific growth estimates for the next five years. Staff
20			moderated these growth rates by merging them into the long term GNP
21			growth rate. In the absence of company-specific growth rates for beyond

1			five years, the long term GNP rate is a satisfactory proxy, as it would be an
2			average rate that companies on balance could not exceed.
3	26.	Q.	The Office of Consumers' Counsel has an objection concerning "flotation
4			costs," Objection 5, stating:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13			The OCC objects to the Staff Reports' incorporation of an excessive flotation cost adjustment to the cost of equity. This adjustment is erroneous for several reasons. The Staff has not identified any actual flotation costs for the Company, and the Company has not requested a flotation cost adjustment. Therefore, the Staff is recommending that the Company receives annual revenues in the form of a higher return on equity for flotation costs that have not been identified by either the Staff or the Company.
14 15			What is the Staff's response to this objection?
16		A.	OCC makes a recommendation for the next rate case, not this one. It is not
17			relevant if the Applicant or its parent or affiliates have plans to issue new
18			equity. Staff makes its equity issuance adjustment to support the portion of
19			the embedded balance of equity that was raised from equity issuance and
20			not generated internally. Merely, the Staff's adjustment is structured to
21			support this balance on an annual basis. The Staff has no intention of
22			reflecting issuance costs as annual operating expense in the revenue
23			requirement.
24			
25			OCC misconstrues Staff's issuance adjustment as including flotation costs.
26			Staff's adjustment in no way reflects flotation costs, if such a term is meant

.

.

1			to refer to dilution or price pressure. Staff's adjustment reflects only
2			properly included issuance costs.
3			
4	27.	Q.	What are common stock issuance costs?
5		Α.	Issuance costs include expenditures made directly by the company issuing
6			stock, for the purpose of issuing stock. Some of these expenditures would
7			be for filing with the SEC, accounting, legal representation, printing, and
8			exchange listing. Issuance costs also include the underwriting spread,
9			which is not an expenditure for the issuing company. Basically, the
10			underwriting spread is the difference between the proceeds to the company
11			and the price paid by the primary purchasers of an issue. Issuance costs are
12			the difference between the amount paid by the primary purchasers and the
13			net proceeds, which is the amount available for investment by the company.
14			
15	28.	Q.	Why is an adjustment for issuance cost necessary?
16		Α.	The cost of issuance is properly spread over the life of the stock issue. As
1 7			long as stock has been issued, an equity adjustment is necessary. It does
18			not matter what future financing plans have been prepared. The investor
1 9			requires a full return as long as the investor owns the stock. The company
20			issuing new equity, initially receives funds in the amount of the equity
21			issued. The amount of equity issued less the issuance cost is the amount
22			available to the company for investment, yet the investor is, as required,

.

1			paid a return on the full amount of investment. A greater return, therefore,
2			must be earned on the lesser amount that can be invested. This is made
3			possible by the Staff's adjustment to the baseline cost of equity.
4	29.	Q.	Should an adjustment be made to the cost of equity to reflect dilution or
5			price pressure?
6		A.	No. The investors pay the public offering price, which reflects any dilution
7			effect. The investors require a return on the amount they have invested, not
8			the amount that their investment would have entailed had they been able to
9			buy shares at market price prior to any public announcement of stock
10			issuance.
11			
12	30.	Q.	Why has the Staff applied its equity issuance adjustment to the common
13			equity balance less retained earnings?
14		А.	A fraction of invested funds, issuance expense, cannot earn a return. The
15			difference, total investment less issuance, is equity and is available for
16			company operations. As retained earnings accumulate, the proportion of
17			invested capital that can earn a return increases. By applying its equity
18			issuance adjustment to the common equity balance less retained earnings,
19			the Staff allows a premium to be earned to compensate for invested funds
20			the company could not commit to operations, but does not apply that
21			premium to retained earnings, which are available in their entirety for
22			reinvestment. As the proportion of investment, which can earn a return,

ب

1			increases, the adjustment commensurately decreases. Retained earnings
2			increase the available pool of capital, but issuance expense, which is not
3			available to the company, increases only with new stock issuance. The
4			adjustment increases commensurately with the occurrence of new stock
5			issuance, by virtue of the retained earnings' proportion of equity
6			decreasing.
7			
8	31.	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9		Α.	Yes.
10			

.

ą

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prefiled Testimony of Stephen R. Chaney, submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, was served by regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid, hand-delivered, and/or delivered via electronic mail, upon the following parties of record, this 1st day of August, 2008.

Anne L. Hammerstein Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

Joseph P. Serio Assistant Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215

David A. Kutik Jones Day North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Oh 44114-1190

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3900

Mark A. Whitt Andrew J. Campbell Jones Day P.O. Box 165017 Columbus, OH 43216-5017

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen Howard Vorys Sater Seymour & Pease 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Joseph P. Meissner Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44113

John M. Dosker General Counsel Stand Energy Corporation 1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1629

Todd M. Smith Schwartzwald & McNair 616 Penton Media Building 1300 East Ninth Street Cleveland, Oh 44114

W. Jonathan Airey Gregory D. Russell Vorys Sater Seymour & Pease 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 David Rinebolt Colleen Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793

•

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Oh 45202 Steve Beeler City of Cleveland Cleveland City Hall 601 Lakeside Avenue Room 206 Cleveland, OH 44114-1077

Samuel C. Randazzo Daniel J. Neilsen Joseph M. Clark McNees, Wallace & Nurick 21 East State Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215

ATTACHMENT 1

Schedule D-1

.

Rate of Return Summary The East Ohio Gas Company

.

.

	% of Total	% Cost	Weighted Cost %
Long Term Debt	47.67%	6.50%	3.10%
Preferred Stock	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Common Equity	52.33%	9.64% -10.66%	5.04% -5.58%
Total Capital	100.00%		8.14% -8.68%

.

Schedule D-1.1

Equity Issuance Cost Adjustment The East Ohio Gas Company March 31, 2007

(1) Retained Earnings ¹	\$267,427,353
(2) Total Common Equity ²	\$878,726,386
(3) Ratio of (1) to (2)	0.68833
(4) Generic Issuance Cost, f	3.50%
(5) External Equity Ratio, w [1.0 - (3)]	0.69566
(6) Net Adjustment Factor, (w/(1 - f)) + (1 - w)	1.02523
(7) Low End Equity Cost [9.64% x (6)]	9.89%
(8) High End Equity Cost [10.64% x (6)]	10.91%
(9) Adjusted Low End Equity Cost	9.64%
(10) Adjusted High End Equity Cost	10.66%

Sources:

•

1 East Ohio Gas Company's Balance Sheet, March 31, 2007 2 Applicant's Schedule D-1

Schedule D1-2 Page 1 of 6

ATTACHMENT 1

CAPM Cost of Equity Estimate

4

.

Date:	Closing 10Yr Yld (%)	Clasing 30Yr Yld (%)
26-Jul-07	4.78	4.95
27-Jul-07	4.79	4.95
30-Jul-07	4.80	4.96
31-Jul-07	4.77	4.92
1-Aug-07	4,76	4.91
2-Aug-07	4.75	4,90
3-Aug-07	4.70	4.87
6-Aug-07	4.73	4.91
7-Aug-07	4.74	4.90
8-Aug-07	4.86	5.02
9-Aug-07	4.79	5.03
10-Aug-07	4.78	5.01
13-Aug-07	4.78	5.01
14-Aug-07	4.73	4.99
15-Aug-07	4.71	5.01
16-Aug-07	4.60	4.93
17-Aug-07	4.67	5.00
20-Aug-07	4.63	4.97
21-Aug-07	4.59	4.94
22-Aug-07	4.62	4.95
23-Aug-07	4.62	4.92
24-Aug-07	4.63	4.90
27-Aug-07	4.60	4.86
28-Aug-07	4.53	4.86
29-Aug-07	4.55	4.88
30-Aug-07	4.50	4.82
31-Aug-07	4:54	4.83
4-Sep-07	4.56	4.84
5-Sep-07	4.47	4.78
6-Sep-07	4.50	4,79
7-Sep-07	4.37	4.69
10-Sep-07	4.32	4.64
11-Sep-07	4.36	4.65
12-Sep-07	4.41	4.69
13-Sep-07	4.48	4.74
14-Sep-07	4.46	4.72
17-Sep-07	4.47	4.71
18-Sep-07	4.48	4.76
19-Sep-07	4.52	4.82
20-Sep-07	4.67	4.94
21-Sep-07	4.63	4.89
24-Sep-07	4.62	4.88
25-Sep-07	4.61	4.89
26-Sep-07	4.62	4.89
27-Sep-07	4.57	4.84
28-Sep-07	4.58	4.83
1-Oct-07	4.56	4.80
2-Oct-07	4.53	4.78
3-Oct-07	4.54	4.79

Schedule D1-2 Page 2 of 8

ATTACHMENT 1

CAPM Cost of Equity Estimate

-

•

Oate:	Closing 10Yr Yid (%)	Closing 30Yr Yid (%)
4-Oct-07	4.52	4.77
5-Oct-07	4.64	4.87
8-Oct-07	4.64	4.86
9-Oct-07	4.65	4.85
10-Oct-07	4.65	4.88
11-Oct-07	4,66	4.88
12-Oct-07	4.69	4.91
15-Oct-07	4.67	4,91
16-Oct-07	4.66	4.91
17-Oct-07	4.55	4,81
18-Oct-07	4:50	4.78
19-Oct-07	4.40	4.69
22-Oct-07	4.39	4.67
23-Oct-07	4.41	4.69
24-Oct-07	4.33	4.64
25-Oct-07	4.35	4.66
26-Oct-07	4.39	4.68
29-Oct-07	4.38	4.66
30-Oct-07	4.38	4.67
31-Oct-07	4.47	4.75
1-Nov-07	4.36	4.65
2-Nov-07	4.29	4.59
5-Nov-07	4.32	4.62
6-Nov-07	4.36	4.65
7-Nov-07	4.33	4.67
8-Nov-07	4.27	4.00
9-Nov-07	4.22	4.60
12-IVOY-U7	4.21	4.08
1.3-INOV-07	4.20	4.01
14-NOV-U/	4.27	4.00
10-INOV-07	4,10	4.00
10-Nov-07 10-Nov-07	4.10	20.4 81. k
19-N0Y-07	4.00	90-17 81. k
20-NOV-07	4.00	4.40
21-NOV-07	4.02	4.41
28-Nov-07	3.85	4 28
27-Nou-07	3.00	4 36
28-Nov-07	4.03	4 4 1
29 Nov 07	3.94	4.35
30-Nov-07	3.97	4.40
3-Dec-07	3.89	4.35
4-Dec-07	3.89	4.35
5 Dec 07	3.91	4.39
6-Dec-07	4.00	4.48
7.Dec-07	4.12	4.59
10-Dec-07	4.15	4.61
11-Dec-07	3.99	4.48
12-Dec-07	4.08	4.53

Schedule D1-2 Page 3 of 6

ATTACHMENT 1

CAPM Cost of Equity Estimate

.

.

Date:	Closing 10Yr Yld (%)	Closing 30Yr Yld (%)
13-Dec-07	4.17	4.61
14-Dec-07	4.23	4.66
17-Dec-07	4.19	4.62
18-Dec-07	4.12	4.54
19-Dec-07	4.07	4.49
20-Dec-07	4.03	4.45
21-Dec-07	4.17	4.57
24-Dec-07	4.21	4.62
26-Dec-07	4.28	4.69
27-Dec-07	4.20	4.61
28-Dec-07	4.10	4.51
31-Dec-07	4.03	4.46
2-Jan-08	3.90	4.35
3-Jan-08	3:90	4.37
4-Jan-08	3.85	4.36
7-Jan-08	3.64	4.34
8-Jan-08	3:84	4.36
9-Jan-08	3.79	4.32
10-Jan-08	3.89	4.44
11-Jan-08	3.81	4.39
14-Jan-08	3.79	4.37
15-Jan-08	3:70	4.29
16-Jan-08	3.71	4.32
17-Jan-08	3.64	4.25
18-Jan-08	3.65	4.30
22-Jan-08	3:48	4.23
23-Jan-08	3.43	4.18
24-Jan-08	3.64	4.35
25-Jan-08	3.58	4.28
28-Jan-08	3.59	4.28
29-Jan-08	3.66	4.34
30-Jan-08	3.73	4.43
31-Jan-08	3.64	4.35
1-Feb-08	3.60	4.32
4-Feb-08	3.64	4.37
5-Feb-08	3.59	4.34
6-Feb-08	3.61	4.37
7-Feb-08	3.74	4.50
8-Feb-08	3.65	4.44
11-Feb-08	3.62	4.41
12-Feb-08	3.68	4.46
13-Feb-08	3.69	4.51
14-Feb-08	3.82	4.65
15-Feb-08	3.78	4.59
19-Feb-08	3:88	4.66
20-Feb-08	3.92	4.64
21-Feb-08	3.78	4.55
22-Feb-08	3.79	4.58
25-Feb-08	3.90	4.66

Schedule D1-2 Page 4 of 6

ATTACHMENT 1

CAPM Cost of Equity Estimate

-

Date:	Closing 10Yr Yld (%)	Closing 30Yr Yld (%)
26-Feb-08	3.88	4.66
27-Feb-08	3,85	4.65
28-Feb-08	3.71	4.55
29-Feb-08	3.53	4.42
3-Mar-08	3.53	4.43
4-Mar-08	3.58	4.48
5-Mar-08	3.69	4.61
6-Mar-08	3.62	4.58
7-Mar-08	3.54	4.54
10-Mar-08	3:44	4,45
11-Mar-08	3.60	4.53
12-Mar-08	3.48	4.41
13-Mar-08	3.53	4.45
14-Mar-08	3.42	4.35
17-Mar-08	3.31	4.28
18-Mar-08	3.45	4.33
19-Mar-08	3.36	4.22
20-Mar-08	3.33	4.16
24-Mar-08	3.62	4.31
25-Mar-08	3.49	4.30
26-Mar-08	3.49	4.33
27-Mar-08	3.53	4.38
28-Mar-08	3.47	4.34
31-Mar-08	3.43	4.31
1-Apr-08	3.55	4.38
2-Apr-08	3.58	4.39
3-Apr-08	3:59	4.39
4-Apr-08	3.48	4.32
7-Apr-08	3.56	4.37
6-Apt-08	3.56	4.38
9-Apr-08	3.47	4.31
10-Apr-08	3.53	4.34
11-Apr-08	3.47	4.30
14-Apr-08	3.50	4.54
10-Apr-08	3.57	4,41
10-Apr-08	3.70	4.53
17-Apr-08	5.73	4.52
16-Apr-06	3.74	4.02
21-Apr-08	3.71	4.40
22-Apr-00		4,47
23-Apr-08	3.13	4,48
24-Mpi-06	3.03	4.04
20-mpi-00 28-Apr-09	10.0 N 0 0	4.08 A 57
20-mpi-00 20-Apr-02	J.04 2:02	4.37 A EE
20-Apr-08	3.03 3.78	4.00
1. Max 08	3.70 97£	UC.P RAA
2. Mai/-08	3.10 9.85	4.40 A 57
5-May-00	00.0 20.0	4.07 A 69
0-14100	3.00	4.00

Schedule D1-2 Page 5 of 5

ATTACHMENT 1

CAPM Cost of Equity Estimate

.

Date:	<u>Closina 10Yr Yld (%)</u>	Closing 30Yr Yld (%)
6-May-08	3:89	4.64
7-May-08	3.87	4.62
8-May-08	3.81	4.56
9-May-08	3.77	4.52
12-May-08	3.78	4.52
13 May-08	3,91	4.62
14-May-08	3.94	4.64
15-May-08	3.84	4.58
16-May-08	S.85	4.58
19-May-08	3.84	4.57
20-May-08	3.78	4.53
21-May-08	3.82	4.56
22-May-08	3.92	4.63
23-May-08	3.83	4.56
27-May-08	3.92	4.64
28-May-08	4.01	4.70
29-May-08	4.08	4.76
30-May-08	4.05	4.71
2-Jun-08	3.97	4.68
3-Jun-08	3.90	4.62
4-Jun-08	3.94	4.68
5.Jun-08	4.03	4.73
8-Jun-08	3.94	4.65
9-Jun-08	3.99	4.62
10 -Jun-0 8	4.10	4.70
11-Jun-08	4.07	4.70
12-Jun-08	4.20	4.76
13-Jun-08	4.26	4.80
16-Jun-08	4.24	4.78
17-Jun-08	4.22	4.79
18-Jun-08	4.15	4.73
19-Jun-08	4.20	4.75
20-Jun-08	4.14	4.70
23-Jun-08	4.17	4.71
24-Jun-08	4.11	4.66
25-Jun-08	4.11	4.66
26-Jun-08	4.03	4.60
27-Jun-08	3.99	4,54
30-Jun-08	3.98	4.53
1-Jul-08	3.99	4.54
2-Jul-08	3.96	4.50
3-Jui-08	3.97	4.53
7-Jul-08	3.93	4.50
8-Jul-08	3.88	4.46
9-Jul-08	3:63	4,43
10-Jul-C8	3.81	4.42
11-Jul-08	3.94	4.52
14-Jul-08	3.88	4.47
15-Jul-08	3.84	4.47

Schedule D1-2 Page 6 of 6

ATTACHMENT 1

CAPM Cost of Equity Estimate

Date:	Closing 10Yr Yld (%)	<u>Closing 30Yr Yld (%)</u>
16-Jul-08	3.93	4.58
17-Jul-08	4.04	4.64
18-Jul-08	4.08	4.66
21-Jul-08	4.07	4.65
22-Jul-08	4.10	4.66
23-Jul-08	4.15	4.70
24-Jul-08	4.02	4.61
Averages:		
Last 63days	3.9677	4.6128
Last 126 days	3.7921	4.5236
Last 188 days	3.6773	4.5124
Last 251 days	4.0577	4.5983
Average	3.9237	4.5618
Average of 10 and 30 Year Yields	4.24	27
CAPM Cost of Equity Estimate	9.76	77

Source: Yahoo.com

.

.

ı.

CAPM = risk free return + β (large company total return - risk free return) = 4.4398% + .85(8.5%)

Value Line Betas:

0	.85	ATG
0	.85	ATO
0	.85	PNY
C C	.85	SJI

0.85 ave

Schedule D1-3 Page 1 of 5

ATTACHMENT 1

DCF Cost of Equity Estimate.

Stock Prices1 (\$):

.

	ATG	ATO	PNY	<u>S.H</u>
07/26/07	38.6800	29.2100	23.9900	33:0000
07727/07	37.9000	28.4500	23.4900	32.7200
07/30/07	38.0900	28.4400	23.4800	32.8400
07/31/07	37.7000	28.0700	23.1900	32.7700
08/01/07	38.3/00	28.4500	25,9800	33,6600
05/02/07	39.000	28.3/00	24.2000	33.0000
00103101	37.7800	27.3100	20.0400	31.8000
00/00/07	39,0100	27.0900	24.1300	33,7200
03/08/07	37 0700	20 7200	25 0800	34 0203
06/09/07	38.2700	26.4700	26,2400	35.3000
08/10/07	38.3500	27,8000	28.5400	35.0300
08/13/07	38,3500	27.4200	25,5000	33.8700
08/14/07	37.5500	27.0100	24.7500	33.1400
08/15/07	36.5100	26.6900	24.5200	33.0500
08/16/07	37.9500	26.8500	28.3300	33,1700
08/17/07	37.7900	27.2300	27.0100	33,1500
08/20/07	37.7900	27.3500	26.9700	33.2300
08/21/07	38.1000	27.4800	26.6700	33.6300
08/22/07	38.8100	27.5700	26.6700	33.9500
08/23/07	39.0400	27.3500	26.5100	33.9000
08/24/07	39.5800	27.6400	26.9100	34.6500
00121101	39.0500	27.6500	26.2000	39.0000
08/29/07	38.3000	27.0000	23.0200	33.2000
00/20/07	30 5100	27.0000	20.3300	39.7600
08/31/07	39 7100	28 1100	26.4000	33,9100
09/04/07	40 1100	28 1600	26 4600	34.0200
09/05/07	39,2500	27.9200	26,0500	33.3400
09/06/07	39.3800	27,6300	26,2800	33.0200
09/07/07	39.0100	27.5400	25.8900	32.4200
09/10/07	38,9700	27.6800	24.7400	32.3800
09/11/07	39.3200	28.2000	25.4400	32.2300
09/12/07	39.6900	26.1200	25.2800	33.1700
09/13/07	39.7300	27.7600	24,6900	33,4000
09/14/07	39.9500	27.5900	24.9600	33.2400
09/17/07	39.4400	27.4200	24.5900	33,5500
09/18/07	39.6700	27.8700	25.5300	34.6500
09/19/07	39.8800	28.1800	25.2400	33.37.00
09/20/07	39.7300	26.2300	20.0000	33.3000
00/21/07	70.0400	20.0000	20.4400	25.5900
09/25/07	39.8400	28,2500	25,7900	35,3000
09/26/07	39 7700	28 5000	25 8300	35.5300
09/27/07	39.8000	28.4400	25,7500	35:4500
09/28/07	39,6200	26.3200	25.0900	34.8000
10/01/07	40.0300	26.9200	25.7300	35.5200
10/02/07	39,9900	26.6300	25.6000	35.8900
10/03/07	40.1000	28.9200	25.8300	35.5300
10/04/07	40.4300	29.0000	25.8600	36,1300
10/05/07	40.6800	29.2600	26.5700	37.0600
10/08/07	40.6500	29.1700	26.2600	36.7000
10/09/07	41.0000	29.4400	26.5700	37.4700
10/10/07	40.4100	29.3200	26.3400	37.0300
10/11/07	40.3800	29.4600	26.2000	37.0300
10/12/07	40.0600	29.3600	26.2300	3/,44U/) 20 E402
10/10/0/	39.0100	20.0000	23.3800	36 9000
10/17/07	38.2000	20.0000	20.0700	36,0000
10/18/07	39,8500	20.2700	20.0200	36 1700
10/19/07	38,2500	27.7800	24,3900	34,5500
10/22/07	37.2300	27.9200	24.8300	35.6400

Schedule D1-3 Page 2 of 5

.

ATTACHMENT 1

DCF Cost of Equity Estimate

Stock Prices1 (\$):

•

•

	<u>ATG</u>	ATO	PNY	<u>SJI</u>
10/23/07	37.4100	28.0600	24.9900	35.1900
10/24/07	37.6400	28.1200	24.8800	36.1100
10/25/07	38.0400	28.0800	25.0600	36.5100
10/26/07	36,7200	28.1200	25,6600	37.4400
10/29/07	30.7700	27,8600	25.2100	38.6200
10/24/07	30.7300	27.7700	23.0900	30.3200 27 6600
11/01/07	39.3300	20.0000	23,3300	36 3700
11/02/07	38 2800	28 0800	25 1100	38.6200
11/05/07	37 7800	27 8300	25 0000	36:4100
11/06/07	38,1900	27.8400	25.3000	36.9000
11/07/07	37,1600	26.9500	24.5200	35.7500
11/08/07	38,3300	26.9600	25.4500	36.9400
11/09/07	38,0100	28.8000	25.4500	36.6200
11/12/07	37.6400	26.7700	25.5100	36.7700
11/13/07	37,7500	27.1200	25.6500	37.6400
11/14/07	36.6300	27.0200	25,4800	37.7900
11/15/07	36.8100	27.2100	25.6700	37.9200
11/16/07	36,9300	26.9000	25.5600	37.8400
11/19/07	36,6700	26.9000	25.5100	37.8500
11/20/07	36.7200	26.9200	25.5000	37,9300
11/21/07	36,4000	26.4700	25.4100	37,7500
11/23/07	30.1400	28.5800	25.8300	30.0200
11/20/07	30.1200	20.2100	20.4200	27 6400
14/32/07	30.0700	20.1300	23.7000	37.0100 37.0000
11/29/07	36 9400	26,2000	26.0200	37 5000
11/30/07	37 0800	26 1900	26 0400	36,9000
12/03/07	37.1500	28.1800	26,2500	36,7100
12/04/07	37.5400	26.4900	26.2100	36,7300
12/05/07	38.1100	28.8200	26.5300	36.9400
12/06/07	38.5100	27.3900	27.4200	37.0500
1 2/07/07	38.32 0 0	27.0700	26.8200	36.5200
1 2/10/07	38.2200	27.1900	26.6200	36.3100
12/11/07	37.6400	27.0300	26.1900	35.5900
12/12/07	37.3100	26.9400	26.5200	36.2300
12/13/07	37.1300	27.2800	26.7200	36.1300
12/14/07	36.2500	25.8800	26,1800	35.4100
12/17/07	35.8100	25.7400	25.8800	34.8500
12/16/07	30.1000	27.1000	20.3300	35.4400
12/12/07	30.2400	27.9300	20,0900	98 1300
12/20/07	37 1700	25.0000	20.9000	37 8700
12/24/07	37 2600	28,7600	27 7600	37 9800
12/26/07	37 2700	78 3900	27,6900	37.7900
12/27/07	37,3800	26.2100	26,9700	36.7200
12/28/07	37.4200	26.1000	26.6400	36.6100
12/31/07	37.6400	28.0400	26,1600	36:0900
01/02/08	37.3000	27.9500	25.5000	36.2700
01/03/08	36.9300	27.7900	25.4600	35.9700
01/04/08	38.8000	27.6700	25.5100	38,1500
01/07/08	37.2700	27,9400	25.8400	37.2700
01/08/08	37.3700	28.0000	25.9400	36.8700
01/09/08	38.0000	28.1700	26.3300	37.5900
01/10/08	36.0800	28.0200	25.6800	38.0800
01/11/08	36.3900	27.8500	26.2800	37.2200
01/14/08	37.9600	27.8/00	20.6600	37.4000
01/15/08	37.3800	27.3500	20.0000	32 3400
01/10/08	37.1200	27.0000	20,0000	31,3400
01/18/08	38,6900	26.9200	25.5500	36 1400
01/27/08	36,3800	26,9100	25 1100	34,9400
		20.000		

Schedule D1-3 Page 3 of 5

ATTACHMENT 1

DCF Cost of Equity Estimate

Stock Prices1 (\$):

_

.

-

|

	AIG	ATQ	PNY	<u>SH</u>
01/23/08	37.6900	27.8500	26.2900	36.4900
01/24/08	36.8200	27.5800	24.7200	35.3300
01/25/08	36,8800	27.4700	24.5400	34.9900
01/28/08	37.2200	27.8000	24.9200	35.4600
01/29/08	37.4900	28.3200	24.9300	35.3400
01/30/08	36.9600	28.2500	24.3200	34.5100
01/31/08	37.5500	26.7400	25.0700	35.0390
02/01/08	20,4000	20.9000	25.5200	35,7800
02/04/00	37 0400	20.9100	20.0000	30,0000
02/03/06	37.0400	20.0000	20.0000	33.0000
02/07/08	36 7600	27 4600	24.5400	35 3800
02/08/08	38 9200	27 5100	24,9800	35 1500
02/11/08	36 8800	27.9600	24.8600	35 0200
02/12/08	37,1000	27 6300	25.0500	35 3400
02/13/08	36.4400	27 5100	25 7100	35 9400
02/14/08	36,4300	27.2400	25,1300	35,2800
02/15/08	36,3800	27,0400	25,1100	35,5600
02/19/08	36.2700	27,2300	25,2200	35,7000
02/20/08	36.5000	27.6500	25.5100	35.9200
02/21/08	35.7700	26.8500	24.5500	35.3000
02/22/08	35.6500	26,7200	24.8900	35.3700
02/25/08	36.4200	27.0700	25.0700	36.0300
02/26/08	36.4400	27.1300	25,3700	36.3600
02/27/08	36,1600	26.7400	25.3100	36:7300
02/28/08	35.8000	26.6100	25.0100	35.9400
02/29/08	34.6800	26.0000	24.6000	34.1700
03/03/08	35.0300	26.0700	24.6800	34.1800
03/04/08	35.5400	26.3400	24.9800	34.2300
03/05/08	35.3300	26.2400	24,7700	33.9400
03/06/08	34.4400	25.8700	24.4100	32.8500
03/07/08	34.5500	25.7800	24.4100	32.6200
03/10/08	34.3600	25.6000	24.3700	32.3400
03/11/08	34.7800	26.0300	25.7700	32.6300
03/12/08	34.4600	25.6700	25.3900	32.4600
03/13/08	34.7300	25.8500	26.0000	33.4700
03/14/08	34.4300	25.9000	25.9400	33.4600
03/17/08	33.6200	20.0100	20.1900	33.7500
03/10/06	34.3900	20.0000	20.7000	14 4000
03/20/08	33.0000	20.2000	20.1000	14 6600
00/20/00	34 1900	25.1100	25.8700	34 7600
03/25/08	34,5100	25 1000	25,7800	34 9100
03/26/08	33.8000	25 2400	25 8900	35,1800
03/27/08	34.0500	25,3000	25,7800	34.8100
03/28/08	33.8500	25.0900	25,6800	34.8200
03/31/08	34.3200	25.5000	26.2600	35,1100
04/01/08	35.2700	26.2700	26.6600	35,7300
04/02/08	34.9100	26.7600	26,9800	36.8000
04/03/08	34.6000	26,7200	26.6800	36.6300
04/04/08	34.0500	26.4400	26.4300	36.0900
04/07/08	34.6000	26.8200	26.5 00 0	.36.3900
04/08/08	34.6200	26.4100	26,7100	36.5500
04/09/08	34.2700	26.2500	25.4100	36.4100
04/10/08	34.0300	26.0500	26.8600	36.7000
04/11/08	33.8500	25.6100	26.3200	36.0900
04/14/08	33.9200	25.8300	26.4800	35.8600
04/15/08	34.2000	26.3000	26.6500	36.6400
04/16/08	35.2700	27.0700	27.5300	37.3900
04/1/208	35.2000	27.1100	27.0900	36.9600
04/04/00	35.3800	27.3000	21.42VU	37,0000
04021/08	33.0100	21.0100	21.0400	37.0000

Schedule D1-3 Page 4 of 5

ATTACHMENT 1

DCF Cost of Equity Estimate

Stock Prices1 (\$):

-

.

•

	ATG	ATO	PNY	<u>81</u>
04/22/08	34,7300	26.8100	26,7800	36.7900
04/23/08	34.8600	27.3100	27.1700	37.1200
04/24/08	35,0800	27.6400	27.2000	37.2100
04/25/08	35,1000	27.8800	26,8700	37.0100
04/28/08	35.0900	26.0700	26.6100	37.0100
04/29/08	35.0000	27.9400	26.4500	36.8000
04/30/08	34.0000	27.6800	26.2900	36.5100
05/01/08	35.1600	28,1000	26.8600	37.4000
05/02/08	34.9700	27,9700	28,4500	37.2200
05/05/08	35.5500	28.4400	25.6700	37.6500
05/06/08	35.8200	28.4300	26:5300	37.7200
05/07/06	33.6600	27.8000	25.9800	35.5900
05/06/06	35.7100	27,0000	20.2000	37.1300
05/09/06	33.0200	27.0000	20.4100	26 2200
05/12/09	30.2300	27.0000	20.0700	20.2300
05/14/08	36.3300	29,1300	27 1400	30.0300
05/15/08	38 3400	28.3400	20.3400	38 5600
05/16/08	35,7200	28,3400	26.0000	38,5900
05/19/08	35 8900	28 2100	26.9400	38.3700
05/20/08	35 7500	28 0500	26 7500	38,5900
05/21/08	35 6000	28 0200	26 7900	38 3200
05/22/08	35.7000	27.5300	26.9700	38.8000
05/23/08	35.2000	27.3100	26.7200	38,1800
05/27/08	35,4600	27.5400	27.1200	38.8400
05/28/08	35,6600	27.5600	27.1000	36,7900
05/29/08	36.0500	27.4000	27.1100	38.5300
05/30/08	35.7000	27.3900	27.0300	38,2500
06/02/08	35.7300	27.1600	26.7800	37.9500
06/03/08	35.7000	27.0600	26.5500	36.0400
06/04/08	35.9500	27.2200	27.0100	38.6100
06/05/08	36.4200	27.4100	27.7900	39.1800
06/06/08	35.8900	27.0600	27.4500	38.1700
06/09/08	35.8890	27.1500	26,1000	38.2900
06/10/08	35.3800	27.0500	25.9000	38.2000
06/11/08	34.8500	26.8500	25.7600	37.7100
08/12/08	34.2900	28.5500	26.0400	37.8700
06/13/08	34.2300	28.4300	26.2500	38.2500
06/16/08	34.0800	28.6700	27.0600	38.0800
06/1//08	34.1500	26.8800	27.1300	36.0300
06/18/08	34.6200	27.3200	27.3900	20.3400
00/19/00	34.0000	27,4400	27.7300	28 6200
00/20/00	34.2500	27.2000	27.2800	30.0000
06/24/08	33 5300	27.0100	26 7100	38.4100
08/25/08	33,9306	27 4400	27 4200	38-6600
06/28/08	33 9400	27 0600	26 3800	37 9000
06/27/08	33,9400	26,8000	25,7700	36,9900
06/30/08	34.5800	27.5700	26.1600	37.3600
07/01/08	34.2200	27.7500	26.37.00	37.3200
07/02/08	33.5600	27,7300	25,9400	37.4700
07/03/08	32.7200	27.2100	25,7800	36.8800
07/07/08	32.9900	26.6500	25.3500	36.2200
07/08/08	33.6300	26,5800	26.0100	37.4800
07/09/08	34.0400	26.7200	26,3500	37.3900
07/10/08	33.7400	26.7800	26.3000	37.9800
07/11/08	33.8000	26,6800	26.7500	38.3700
07/14/09	33,6200	26.2600	25.9400	37.9900
07/15/08	33.5000	26.0100	25,8100	38,0000
07/16/08	33.2700	25.8200	25.3300	37.5100
07/1//08	33,4000	23.4900	20.4400	37.0600
07718/08	33,3400	25.5100	25.0400	35.8100

.

Schedute D1-3 Page 5 of 5

ATTACHMENT 1

···--

-

.

DCF Cos	t of Equity Es	timate			
Slock Prices1 (\$):					
	ATG	ATO	PNY.	SH	
07/21/08	33.2400	25.5100	25.2200	37.0800	
07/22/08	33.5000	25.7500	26.0700	37.8000	
07/23/08	33,3900	26.0100	25.6900	37.1200	
07/24/08	33,5400	25.9500	25,9800	37.3800	
07/25/08	33.5300	25.7700	26.0400	37.2400	
AVERAGE (\$)	36.6475	27.3395	25.9251	36.1324	
QUARTERLY DIV. ² (\$)	0.4100	0.3200	0.2500	0.2450	
	0.4100	0.3250	0.2500	0.2700	
	0.4200	0.3250	0.2600	0.2700	
	0.4200	0.3250	0.2800	0.2700	
ANNUAL DIVIDEND (\$)	1.5600	1.2950	1.0200	1.0550	
YIELD	4.53%	4.74%	3.93%	2.92%	
EARNINGS GROWTH ESTIMATES:					
MSN ⁴	4.80%	5.30%	5.40%	8.30%	
YAHOO'	5.25%	4.67%	5.75%	7.00%	
TOT EADNINGS (\$)	3 76	2.00	4 EE	2.25	
	2.75	2.00	1.00	2.23	
VALUE LINE CALCULATED	3,20	2.40	1,00	3.00	
VALUE LINE CALCOLATED	3.10%	0.07%	9.4270	7.1070	
VALUE LINE, "BOXED"	3.50%	4.50%	6.00%	6.00%	
VALUE LINE (AVERAGE)	3.64%	4.79%	5.21%	6.60%	
DCF GROWTH ESTIMATE	4.56%	4.92%	5.45%	7.30%	
DCF COST OF EQUITY ESTIMATE	10. 62 %	10.96%	10. 43%	10.07%	
DCF AVERAGE			10,52%		Sources: 1 MSN hivestor
CAPM COST OF EQUITY ESTIMATE			9.77%		2 MSN Investor & Value Line Investment Guide 3 Investor.reuters.com
COST OF EQUITY ESTIMATE			10.14%		4 moneycentral.msn.com 5 finance.yahoo.com
				l	b Value Line Investment Guide

ATTACHMENT 1

Schedule D-1.4

ATG Non-Constant DCF Calculation

g=	4.56%	non const dcf≕	10.62%	const dcf=	9.30%
D=	\$1.66			g(e)=	6.77%
		P=	\$36.65		
	GROWTH				
<u>YEAR</u>	<u>RATE</u>		DIVIDEND		
1	4.56%		\$1.74		
2	4.56%		\$1.82		
3	4.56%		\$1.90		
4	4.56%		\$1.98		
5	4.56%		\$2.08		
6	4.67%		\$2.17		
7	4.79%		\$2.28		
8	4.90%		\$2.39		
9	5.01%		\$2.51		
10	5.12%		\$2.64		
11	5.23%		\$2.77		
12	5.34%		\$2.92		
13	5.45%		\$3.08		
14	5.56%		\$3.25		
15	5.67%		\$3.44		
16	5.78%		\$3.63		
17	5 80%		\$3 95		

17 5.89% **33 8**5 18 6.00% \$4.08 6.11% \$4.33 19 20 6.22% \$4.60 21 6.33% \$4.89 22 6.44% \$5.20 23 \$5.54 6.55% 24 \$5.91 6.66% 25 6.77% \$6.31 26 6.77% \$6.74 27 6.77% \$7.20 28 6.77% \$7.68 29 6.77% \$8.20 30 6.77% \$8.76

This schedule is truncated; the calculation extends to 400 years to ensure the stability of the calculation.

g, D, P are from Schedule D-1.3 g(e) is from Schedule D-1.13

ATTACHMENT 1

-

.

Schedule D-1.5

ATO Non-Constant DCF Calculation

		non const		const	
g=	4.92%	dcf=	10.96%	dcf=	9.89%
D=	\$1.30			g(e)=	6.77%
		P=	\$27.34		
	GROWIH				
YEAR	RAIE	<u>l</u>			
1	4.92%		\$7.36		
2	4.92%		\$1.43		
3	4.92%		\$1.50		
4	4.92%		\$1.57		
5	4.92%		\$1.65		
6	5.01%		\$1.73		
7	5.10%		\$1.82		
8	5.20%		\$1.91		
9	5.29%		\$2.01		
10	5.38%		\$2.12		
11	5.47%		\$2.24		
12	5.57%		\$2:36		
13	5.66%		\$2.50		
14	5.75%		\$2.64		
15	5.84%		\$2.79		
16	5.94%		\$2.96		
17	6.03%		\$3.14		
18	6.12%		\$3.33		
19	6,21%		\$3.54		
20	6.31%		\$3.76		
21	6.40%		\$4.00		
22	6.49%		\$4.26		
23	6.58%		\$4.54		
24	6.68%		\$4.84		
25	6.77%		\$5.17		
26	6.77%		\$5.52		
27	6.77%		\$5.89		
28	6.77%		\$6,29		
29	6.77%		\$6.72		
3D	6.77%		\$7.17		

This schedule is truncated; the calculation extends to 400 years to ensure the stability of the calculation.

g, D, P are from Schedule D-1.3 g(e) is from Schedule D-1.13

ATTACHMENT 1

.

.

Schedule D-1.6

PNY Non-Constant DCF Calculation

g=	5.45%	non const dcf=	10.43%	const dcf=	9.60%
D=	\$1.02	P≖	\$25,93	g(e)=	6.77%
	GROWTH				
YEAR	RATE	1	DIVIDEND		
1	5.45%	-	1.08		
2	5.45%		1.13		
3	5.45%		1.20		
4	5.45%		1.26		
5	5.45%		1.33		
6	5.52%		1.40		
7	5. 59%		1.48		
8	5.65%		1.57		
9	5.72%		1.66		
10	5.78%		1.75		
11	5.85%		1.85		
12	5.91%		1.96		
13	5.98%		2.08		
14	6.05%		2.21		
15	6.11%		2.34		
16	6.18%		2.49		
17	6.24%		2.64		
18	6.31%		2.81		
19	6.37%		2.99		
20	6.44%		3.18		
21	6.51%		3.39		
22	6.57%		3.61		
23	6.64%		3.85		
24	6.70%		4.11		
25	6.77%		4.38		
26	6.77%		4.68		
27	6.77%		5.00		
28	6.77%		5.33		
29	6.77%		5.70		
30	6,77%		6.08		

This schedule is truncated; the calculation extends to 400 years to ensure the stability of the calculation.

g, D, P are from Schedule D-1.3 g(e) is from Schedule D-1.13

ATTACHMENT 1

-

Schedule D-1.7

SJI Non-Constant DCF Calculation

		non const		const	
g =	7.30%	dcf≃	10.07%	dcf=	10,43%
~	£1 00				£ 770/
U=	φ1.UO	P=	\$36 13	g(e)=	0.//70
		. –	400.10		
	GROWTH				
YEAR	RATE]	DIVIDEND		
1	7.30%	-	\$1.13		
2	7.30%		\$1.21		
3	7.30%		\$1.30		
4	7.30%		\$1.40		
5	7.30%		\$1.50		
6	7.27%		\$1.61		
7	7.25%		\$1.73		
8	7.22%		\$1.85		
9	7.19%		\$1,98		
10	7.17%		\$2.13		
11	7.14%		\$2.28		
12	7.11%		\$2.44		
13	7.09%		\$2.61		
14	7.06%		\$2.80		
15	7.03%		\$2,99		
16	7.01%		\$3.20		
17	6.98%		\$3.43		
18	6.95%		\$3.67		
19	6.93%		\$3.92		
20	6.90%		\$4.19		
21	6.87%		\$4.48		
22	6.85%		\$4.78		
23	6.82%		\$5.11		
24	6.79%		\$5.46		
25	6.77%		\$5.83		
26	6.77%		\$6,22		
27	6.77%		\$6.64		
28	6.77%		\$7.09		
29	6.77%		\$7.57		
30	6.77%		\$8.09		

This schedule is truncated; the calculation extends to 400 years to ensure the stability of the calculation.

g, D, P are from Schedule D-1.3 g(e) is from Schedule D-1.13

Schedule D1-8 Page 1 of 2

ATTACHMENT 1

Growth in U.S. Gross National Product, 1929 to 2005

•

,

Year	GNP	Change	Growth%
	(\$billion)	(\$billion)	
1000			
1929	104.4	<i>(</i>) 70	
1930	91,90	-12.70	-12.32%
1931	77.00	-14.60	-15.15%
1932	59.10	-17.00	-23.4079
1955	50.7U	-2.40	-9.1476
1004	73 60	3.50	10.0970
1036	84.00	10.20	14.57176
1937	92.20	7.90	9.58%
1938	86 50	-5.70	-6.31%
1939	92.50	6.60	7 79%
1940	101.70	9 10	9 97%
1941	127.20	25.10	25.00%
1942	162.30	33.50	26.69%
1943	198.90	33.70	21.19%
1944	220.10	18.70	9.70%
1945	223.40	2.00	0.95%
1946	222.90	-1.00	-0.47%
1947	245.30	22.80	10.73%
1948	270.60	26.40	11.22%
1949	268.60	-1.20	-0.46%
1950	295.20	27.90	10.71%
1951	341.20	45.10	15.64%
1952	360.30	18.20	5.46%
1953	381.30	20.00	5.69%
1954	382.50	0.90	0.24%
1955	417.20	33.40	8.97%
1956	440.30	22.30	5.49%
1957	464.10	22.80	5.32%
1958	469.80	5.80	1.29%
1959	509.30	53.50	11.71%
1960	529.50	20.30	3.98%
1901	048.2U	18.70	3.52%
1902	208.7U 622.20	41.40	7.34%
1064	622.20	32.30	5.50% T 410/
1065	724.40	40.20	F.41170 9 3 8 %
1965	792 90	69.00	0.50%
1967	838.00	45.00	5.68%
1968	916.10	78 10	9.30%
1969	990.70	73.90	8.05%
1970	1,044.90	54.60	5,51%
1971	1,134.70	90.10	8.61%
1972	1,246.80	112.90	9,94%
1973	1,395.30	149.10	11.94%
1974	1,515.50	118.50	8.48%

Schedule D1-8 Page 2 of 2

Growth in U.S. Gross National Product, 1929 to 2005

Year	GNP (\$billion)	Change (\$billion)	Growth%
1975	1,651.30	131.70	8.68%
1976	1,842.10	192,60	11.68%
1977	2,051.20	211.10	11.47%
1978	2,316.30	265.90	12.96%
197 9	2,595.30	281,30	12.14%
1980	2,823.70	231.50	8.91%
1981	3,161.40	335.30	11.84%
1982	3,291.50	129.60	4.09%
1983	3,573.80	276.10	8.38%
1984	3,969.50	396.30	11.10%
1 98 5	4,246.80	270.30	6.81%
1986	4,480.60	229,90	5,42%
1 9 87	4,757.40	287.90	6.44%
1988	5,127.40	370.60	7.79%
1989	5,510.60	382.60	7.46%
1990	5,837.90	322.80	5.86%
199 1	6,026.30	178.70	3.06%
1992	6,367.40	331.40	5.51%
1993	6,689.30	324.40	5.11%
1994	7,098.40	404.40	6.07%
1995	7,433.40	349.80	4.95%
1996	7,851.90	410.30	5.53%
1997	8,337.30	473.80	6.05%
1998	8,768.30	445.00	5.36%
1999	9,302.20	486.20	5.56%
2000	9,855.90	553.70	5.95%
2001	10,171.60	315.70	3.20%
2002	10,514.10	342.50	3.37%
2003	11,059.20	545.10	5.18%
2004	11,778.90	719.70	6.51%
2005	12,520.80	741.90	6.30%
A			B 77W

Average

6.77%

Sources: (1) National Income and Product Accounts (NIPA) from the U. S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and Econostats; BEA Data; NIPA index; Section 1. Domestic Product and Income Table 1.7.5 Relation of Gross Domestic Product; Gross National Product, Net National Product, National Income, and Personal Income. (2) U. S. Department of Commerce; Survey of Current of the United States Business and Historical Statistics

Schedule D-1.9

.

Average Capital Structure for The Comparable Group 2006 Balances

Holding Company Name	Stock Ticker	Lang Term Debl \$	Total Equity \$	Total Capitalization \$	Debt Ratio	Equity Ratio
AGL Resources inc Atmos Energy Corp Piedmont Natural Gas Co Inc South Jersey Industries Inc	ATG PNY SJI	6,389,000,000 8,256,067,000 3,299,867,000 1,431,796,000	6,634,000,000 8,008,332,000 3,615,188,000 1,887,532,000	13,023,000,000 16,264,419,000 6,915,075,000 3,319,328,000	49.06% 50.76% 47.72% 43.14%	50.94% 49.24% 52.28% 56.86%
Avarage					47.87%	52.33%

Source: Ventyx's Energy Velocicty Suite, Fuels Dataset, SEC 10K

ATTACHMENT 1

Staff's Comparable Group Companies' Exposure To Revenue Decoupling		
Company	States With Operating Companies	
Atmos Energy Corporation	CO GA KS KY LA MS MO TX VA	
AGL Resources	FL GA *MD NJ TN	
Piedmont Natural Gas	NC SC TN	
South Jersey Industries	NJ	

*States With Potential Exposure To Revenue Decoupling Are In Bold

,e