EX	HIB	IT	No.	
EX	HIB	IIT	No.	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, for Authority to Amend its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates and Charges for Gas Service and Related Matters.

Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan For a Distribution Replacement Rider To Recover the Costs of a Program for The Replacement of Cast Iron Mains And Service Lines, a Sales Reconciliation Rider to Collect Differences between Actual and Approved Revenues, and Inclusion on Operating Expenses of the Cost of

Certain System Reliability Programs.

RECEIVED DOCKETHOOK Case No. 07-1081-GA-ALT

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN T. DONNELLAN ON BEHALF OF OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY

Filed: July 23, 2008

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician Date Processed

INDEX TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN T. DONNELLAN Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR

		Page No.
1.	Personal Data	1
2.	Purpose of Testimony	2
3.	Need for Low Income Assistance Programs	2
4.	History of Vectren Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs	. 6

1		BEFORE THE				
2 3		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN T. DONNELLAN				
4		ON BEHALF OF				
5 6		OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR				
7		3455 No. 57 1000 37 7 11 1				
8 9	Personal Data					
10	Q.	Please state your name and business address.				
11	A.	My name is John T. Donnellan and my business address is 719 South				
12		Main Street, Dayton, Ohio, 45402-2709.				
13						
14	Q.	Please indicate by whom you are employed and in what capacity.				
15	A.	am employed as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the				
16		Community Action Partnership of the Greater Dayton Area ("CAP				
17		Dayton"). CAP Dayton is a nonprofit community action agency serving				
18		Butler, Darke, Greene, Montgomery, Preble and Warren Counties in				
19		northeastern Ohio. We employ 200 people and act as coordinator for a				
20		number of programs designed to provide weatherization and energy				
21		efficiency services, and utility bill payment assistance to low income				
22		Ohioans including program funded by Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio				
23		(VEDO).				
24						
25	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational background and business				
26		experience.				
27	A.	I have a Bachelor of Arts degree and am also a licensed social worker. I				
28		spent four your on active duty with the United States Army and sixteen				

years with the Ohio National Guard, retiring in 1995 as a Full Colonel. I
have been the President and CEO of CAP Dayton since 1978. In addition,
I serve as Chairman of the Board of the National Community Action
Partnership.

- 6 Q. Have you previously submitted testimony in any regulatory proceedings?
- 7 A. Yes. I testified in Case No. 05-04-571-EL-AIR.

A.

Purpose of Testimony

10 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

The purpose of my testimony is to establish the need for low- and moderate-income customer assistance programs, both in the area of bill assistance, and energy efficiency, weatherization, and health and safety services. I recommend that the Company provide \$2.1 million per year to fund energy efficiency, weatherization and health and safety services for low-income customers. I also recommend that VEDO continue to fund the weatherization program targeted to households with incomes between 201 and 300 percent of the federal poverty line at \$2 million per year. My testimony also traces the history of our experience with low income energy efficiency programs funded by VEDO and its predecessor The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L).

Need for Low Income Assistance Programs

Q. Can you describe the general affordability problem faced by low income
 families in meeting their energy needs?

One basic measure of the impact of energy prices on families of all types is called the energy burden. Basically, for the average family the energy burden is approximately 5.9 percent; the family must spend 5.9 percent of its household income to pay for the costs of heating, cooling and operating lights and appliances. Low income families, on the other hand, have much higher energy burdens. Looking at the given income levels, prior to the application of any utility assistance or payment programs the numbers are staggering. For a household with an income under 50 percent of the federal poverty line, the home energy burden is 65.4 percent, meaning that well over half of the household's income must be used to pay for home energy bill. For those with incomes between 50 and 74% of the federal poverty line, the energy burden is 26.2 percent; for households with incomes between 75 and 99 percent, the energy burden is 18.7 percent; for those with incomes between 100 and 124 percent of poverty, the home energy burden is 14.6 percent; and, for families with incomes between 125 percent of the poverty line, the home energy burden is 12 percent. A complete summary is attached.

21

22

23

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

This data is based on energy expenditures that include the 2006-2007 winter heating season. Given the huge projected increases in the price of

natural gas, fuel oil and propane during this past winter and currently, this burden will undoubtedly increase. Current data from the Short-Term Energy Outlook, published by the Energy Information Administration ("EIA") on July 8, 2008, projects average price increase from \$13 per Mcf in 2007 to \$15.11 per Mcf in 2008, climbing further to \$17.64 per Mcf in 2009. The price increases that will result from this application will increase the burden for customers, but particularly for low- and moderate-income customers in the VEDO service territory.

Α.

Q. Have agencies you are involved with seen in increased demand for bill assistance and weatherization and energy efficiency services?

Absolutely. As I will detail below, we have seen consistent increases in the need for assistance in obtaining essential energy services since 2000, when the first wave of the recession began to be felt in Ohio. Combining the impact of the recession with the increases in natural gas, fuel oil and propane prices, many families have been forced to turn to community action agencies and other nonprofits for assistance in order to maintain essential energy services. We try to provide permanent assistance in the form of weatherization and energy efficiency services to reduce a household's use of energy. Even with the current contributions from VEDO and its ratepayers, our resources for this purpose are limited. We also provide households with bill payment assistance and enroll

customers in the Percentage Income Payment Plan when they are served
by regulated utilities or assist in arranging other payment plans.

3

Q. Can you indicate the number of customers throughout the VEDO system
who received bill payment assistance in Program Year 2007, the winter of
2006-2007?

Yes. In Program Year 2007, 23,285 customers received Regular Home
Energy Assistance Program (Regular HEAP) grants. In the same period,
9,954 received Winter Crisis benefits, also known as Emergency – Home
Energy Assistance Program grants (E-HEAP). In addition, on average
19,319 households participated in the Percentage Income Payment Plan
("PIPP"). These numbers have been growing consistently since Program
Year 2000.

14

15

- Q. How would you characterize the situation faced by these households regarding the affordability of essential energy services?
- A. Clearly, these households are unable to pay their bills and have been forced to turn to public sources of funds and/or payment programs in order to continue to receive electric service. In my experience, there are a number of other households that are eligible for these services but do not avail themselves of them either from lack of knowledge, a desire not to accept public assistance, or other factors. Given current energy prices,

more of these families are being forced by their situation to seek
assistance.

3

- Q. Can weatherization and energy efficiency programs benefit these low
 income households by reducing demand of energy?
- 6 A. Absolutely. A number of studies have validated the fact that the 7 weatherization services delivered by Ohio's nonprofit providers reduce the 8 total energy used for heating purposes when homes are heated by natural 9 gas, propane or fuel oil by 30 percent. The program design used in 10 Project TEEM I and Project TEEM II (Teaching Energy Efficiency 11 Measures) provide comparable savings and pass the Total Resource Cost Test (TRC). Clearly, the services we provide have a savings to 12 13 investment ratio of much greater than 1; in other words, the installation of 14 the measures will pay for itself and will then deliver additional savings in 15 the form of lower bills. The programs also reduce the arrearages of 16 customers served and minimize the costs of PIPP. This directly benefits 17 ratepayers as well as the customer.

18

19

History of Vectren Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs

20 Q. Has Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio and its predecessor, The Dayton
21 Power & Light Company, provided funding to assist low income customers
22 use energy as efficiently as possible?

Yes. Beginning in 1992, The Dayton Power & Light Company agreed to provide funding for three programs, the Personal Energy Profile Survey, Operation Volunteer Insulation Program, and the Helping Hands Program. Funding continued through calendar year 1999 when funding was terminated. Funding during the last year amounted to \$500,000. Vectren initiated funding of a low income weatherization program modeled on the Columbia Gas of Ohio Warm Choice® Program in 2003 in the amount of \$175,000 in shareholder funds annually for a period of five years pursuant to an agreement entered into with Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, the Community Action Partnership of the Greater Dayton Area and the Appalachian Peoples' Action Coalition.

Α.

This agreement was superseded by a Commission-approved stipulation in Case No. 04-571-GA-AIR, which provided \$1.1 million of funding to the TEEM I Program, which continues to utilize the program design modeled on Warm Choice®. The program, reviewed an approved by a collaborative created under the stipulation, serves customers with income up to 200 percent of the federal poverty line.

Subsequently, in Case No. 05-1444-GA-UNC, the Commission modified and approved a stipulation filed by the Company and OPAE to provide \$2 million in shareholder funds to support an innovative program providing

comprehensive weatherization services for customers up to the regional median income.

- Q. Do you see a need for new utility funding of energy efficiency programs
 and what level of funding would you suggest?
- A. Our agency and our subcontracting agencies throughout the VEDO service territory – primarily SOURCES in Auglaize and Mercer Counties; Tri-County Community Action of Champaign-Logan-Shelby Counties; Clinton County Community Action Program; Highland County Community Action Organization, and the Community Action Commission of Fayette County -- see a clear need for additional funding. We are seeing an increase in demand for services. When the TEEM II Program was announced we received over 3,000 applications though we had funding for only 300. Our low-income waiting lists continue to grow. In the fall, the

lists will grow at a more rapid pace.

I recommend funding Project TEEM I at \$2.1 million per year. I recommend that Project TEEM II be funded at \$2 million per year. There is ample opportunity to expand services and CAP-Dayton has the capacity to deliver services at this funding level. I should also note that we subcontract work to over 30 heating, ventilation, and air Conditioning (HVAC) contractors who are trained to our standards developed in Ohio which are the equivalent to certification under the national Building

1 Performance Institute standards. As a result, these contractors have the 2 training to provide other customers with the same high quality services we 3 provide to our clients.

4

5 Is funding at the \$2.1 and \$2 million level, for Project TEEM I and TEEM II, Q. 6 respectively, reasonable given the funding available from other 7 weatherization programs?

8 Α. The State traditionally transfers 15 percent of the funding it 9 receives from the federal government for Low Income Home Energy 10 Assistance Program into weatherization services. Two years ago that funding was cut by \$1 billion. Ohio's share of that funding is roughly \$50 12 million, so weatherization programs statewide absorbed approximately a 13 \$7.5 million cut or roughly 20 percent. Federal funding through the 14 Department of Energy was cut last year resulting in a funding reduction of

16

17

18

19

20

21

15

\$1.5 million statewide.

11

Currently there are no other funding sources to serve customers with incomes above 150 percent of the federal poverty line. We anticipate that the recent passage of SB 221 which includes energy efficiency requirements that must be met by electric utilities will result in funding for electric efficiency services that would complement the heating measures we currently provide to all the customers we serve.

23

- 1 Q. Do you have any additional recommendations?
- Yes. I believe that the cost effectiveness of Project TEEM II would be
- 3 enhanced by targeting services to high users and payment troubled
- 4 customers. This would increase the benefits to ratepayers. I also believe
- 5 the advertising associated with the current program increased awareness
- of the importance of weatherization investments for VEDO customers.

- 8 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 9 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the Direct Testimony of John T. Donnellan on behalf of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy was served by electronic transmission and by First Class Mail upon the parties of record in this case on this 23rd day of July, 2008.

David C. Rinebolt, Esq.

Counsel for Ohio Partners for

Affordable Energy

Gretchen J. Hummel McNees, Wallace & Nurick 21 East State Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215-4228

Ronald E. Christian Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio 1630 North Meridian St. Evansville, IN 47702-0209

Trent A. Dougherty Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 Duane C. Luckey, Section Chief Assistant Attorney General 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43266-0573

John W. Bentine Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe 65 E State St., Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215-4213 Joseph Serio
Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, 18th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3485

John M, Dosker Stand Energy Corp. 1077 Celestial St., Suite 110 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1629