occ	EXHIBIT NO.	
$\sigma c c$	LAIMDEL IVO.	

#### **BEFORE** THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

BEF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES			ACCE.
In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for Authority to Amend its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates and Charges for Gas Services and Related Matters.	) ) )	Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR	Allo Sill 23 PH Si 20
In the Matter of the Application of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for Approval of An Alternative Rate Plan for a Distribution Replacement Rider to Recover the Costs of a Program for the Accelerated Replacement of Cast Iron Mains and Bare Steel Mains and Service Lines, a Sales Reconciliation Rider to Collect Difference Between Actual and Approved Revenues, and Inclusion in Operating Expense of the Costs of Certain Reliability Programs.	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	Case No. 07-1081-GA-ALT	- <i>O</i>

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY **OF** WILSON GONZALEZ

#### ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

10 West Broad St., Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215

July 23, 2008

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a contract domest delivered in the regular course

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	4
III.	VEDO'S DSM PROPOSAL	4
IV.	CONCLUSION	11

1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2		
3	<i>Q1</i> .	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND POSITION.
4	Al.	My name is Wilson Gonzalez. My business address is 10 West Broad Street,
5		Suite 1800, Columbus, Ohio, 43215-3485. I am employed by the Office of the
6		Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC" or "Consumers' Counsel") as a Senior
7		Regulatory Analyst.
8		
9	Q2.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
10		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
11	A2.	I have a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from Yale University and a Master
12		of Arts degree in Economics from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. I
13		have also completed coursework and passed my comprehensive exams towards a
14		Ph.D. in Economics at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. I have been
15		employed in the energy industry since 1986, first with the Connecticut Energy
16		Office (Senior Economist, 1986-1992), then Columbia Gas Distribution
17		Companies ("Columbia Gas"), (Integrated Resource Planning Coordinator, 1992-
18		1996) and American Electric Power ("AEP") (Marketing Profitability Coordinator
19		and Market Research Consultant, 1996-2002). I have been spearheading the
20		Resource Planning activities within OCC since 2004.
21		

1	Q3.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EXPERIENCE DIRECTLY RELATED TO
2		UTILITY DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT ("DSM") PROGRAMS AND
3		RATE DESIGN, COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS AND PROGRAM
4		MONITORING AND EVALUATION.
5	A3.	I have been involved with many aspects of DSM programs since 1986. While at the
6		Connecticut Energy Office I represented the office in one of the first DSM
7		collaborative processes in the country (Connecticut Department of the Public
8		Utilities Commission Docket No. 87-07-01). There I analyzed the performance and
9		cost-effectiveness of many efficiency programs for Connecticut's electric and gas
10		utilities that led to demonstration projects, policy recommendations, DSM programs
11		(including rate design) and energy efficiency standards. At Columbia Gas, I was
12		responsible for coordinating the Company's Integrated Resource Plan within the
13		corporate planning department and DSM program development activities in the
14		marketing department. I designed and managed residential DSM programs in
15		Maryland and Virginia. At AEP, I conducted numerous cost benefit analyses of
16		programs being sponsored by AEP's corporate marketing department, including their
17		residential load control water heater program. For the past 4 years at OCC I have:
18		Been involved in DSM negotiations resulting in over \$140 million
19		in Energy Efficiency programs with Ohio's investor owned utilities;
20		Prepared Demand Side Management testimony in six Public Utility
21		Commission of Ohio cases;
22		Testified before the Ohio House Alternative Energy Committee in
23		support of Energy Efficiency; and

1		Assisted in the preparation of Energy Efficiency and Renewable
2		Energy testimony and amendments for SB 221, HB 357, and HB
3		487.
4		
5	Q4.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE
6		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO?
7	A4.	Yes. I submitted testimony in the following cases before the Public Utilities
8		Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO"): Vectren Energy Delivery of
9		Ohio, Case No. 04-571-GA-AIR; Dominion East Ohio, Case No. 05-474-GA-
10		ATA; Dominion East Ohio, Case No. 07-829-GA-AIR; Vectren Energy Delivery
11		of Ohio, Case No. 05-1444-GA-UNC; Columbus Southern Company/Ohio Power
12		Company, Case No. 06-222-EL-SLF; Duke Energy of Ohio, Case No. 07-589-
13		GA-AIR, and FirstEnergy Companies, Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR.
14		
15	Q5.	WHAT DOCUMENTS HAVE YOU REVIEWED IN THE PREPARATION OF
16		YOUR TESTIMONY?
17	A5.	I have reviewed the DSM discussion in the Vectren Energy Delivery Company of
18		Ohio ("VEDO" or "the Company") Rate Case Application, the testimony of
19		Company witnesses Douglas A. Karl and Matthew F. Rose, and the June 16,
20		2008, Staff Report of Investigation ("Staff Report") on this topic. I have also
21		reviewed the relevant Company responses to OCC discovery and Commission
22		Staff data requests pertaining to DSM.
23		

1	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
2		
3	Q6.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
4	A6.	My testimony will support the Company's DSM proposal and Staff's
5		recommendations of that proposal. I concur with the funding levels proposed by
6		VEDO and the portfolio of programs selected for implementation.
7		
8		However, I have concerns over the high share of program funds directed towards
9		marketing and administration of the programs, and recommend a 20% cap on
10		such expenditures. I also recommend that VEDO consider the joint delivery
11		with Dayton Power & Light ("DP&L") of their Home Performance Programs and
12		initiate discussion with DP&L upon Commission approval of VEDO's portfolio
13		of programs.
14		
15	III.	VEDO'S DSM PROPOSAL
16		
17	<b>Q</b> 7.	PLEASE DESCRIBE VEDO'S DSM PROPOSAL.
18	A7.	VEDO has proposed a DSM program portfolio with an aggregate annual budget
19		of \$4 million. Of the \$4 million, \$1.1 million is specifically directed at low-
20		income weatherization with the remaining \$2.9 million for broader
21		comprehensive programs. <sup>2</sup> The DSM programs are scheduled to be implemented

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prefiled Direct Testimony of Douglas A. Karl, (December 4, 2007), at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. at 2.

i		over a five year period and consist of five residential and three commercial
2		programs. <sup>3</sup>
3		
4	Q8.	WHAT IS YOUR ASSESSMENT OF THE COMPANY'S DSM PORTFOLIO?
5	A8.	The Company has at last made a serious effort at devising DSM programs that
6		will benefit its customers. VEDO's DSM planning approach as articulated in
7		VEDO Witness Matthew Rose's testimony is sound and the six program design
8		criteria that the Company used to evaluate the programs are appropriate. <sup>4</sup> All but
9		one of the programs selected for implementation screened cost-effective using the
10		Total Resource Cost Test. <sup>5</sup>
11		
12		The one program that was marginally not cost- effective is the Home Performance
13		Program and it is being recommended as a pilot. I recommend that VEDO
14		consider jointly delivering this program with DP&L, now that DP&L is mandated
15		to implement energy efficiency programs under SB 221. Jointly delivering the
16		Home Performance program should reduce the administration and program
17		delivery costs, increase customer participation, and maximize total energy and
18		emissions savings.
19		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Prefiled Direct Testimony of Matthew F. Rose, (December 4, 2007, 2007) at 6-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Rose Testimony at 11-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See MFR Exhibit 1, Table 2, "Cost Effectiveness Results by Program," page 2 of 6.

1	<i>Q9</i> .	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE STAFF'S DSM RECOMMENDATION.
2	A9.	Staff stated that "VEDO's proposed DSM program appears to be well conceived
3		and designed providing a variety of DSM measures for residential and
4		commercial customers. These incentives should promote greater efficiency in the
5		usage of natural gas in the VEDO service market while assisting customers in
6		reducing their natural gas energy bills."6 Staff recommends approval of VEDO's
7		proposed DSM program and program funding level. Staff further recommends
8		that VEDO's DSM Program "include the coordination with their DSM
9		stakeholder or collaborative group for guidance in managing and implementing
10		the DSM Program for maximum effect in reducing ratepayer energy costs." Staff
11		also recommends that VEDO continue to fund the \$1 million Project TEEM 2
12		Low-Income Weatherization Program from shareholder dollars.8
13		
14	Q10.	DO YOU SUPPORT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING THE
15		COMPANY'S PROPOSED DSM PROGRAM?
16	A10.	Yes.
17		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Staff Report at 49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Staff Report at 50.

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  This million dollars per year shareholder funding is in addition to the \$1.1 million for TEEM 1 and \$2.9 million for other residential and commercial DSM funding

1	<b>Q</b> 11.	DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS WITH THE PROPOSED DSM
2		PROGRAM BUDGETS IN THE AREAS OF MARKETING AND
3		ADMINISTRATION COSTS?
4	A11.	Yes. The Company has indicated that its administrative cost accounts for 26
5		percent of the total program portfolio budget.9 I recommend that ratepayer
6		funding of administrative expenses and advertising/educational expenses
7		associated with comprehensive energy efficiency programs be determined in the
8		DSM stakeholder process, but that administrative expenses and
9		advertising/educational expenses should not exceed, in total, 20 percent of the
10		program cost, unless otherwise modified for a specific program by the DSM
11		stakeholder group. This goal is reasonable and was used in the recent Columbia
12		Gas of Ohio DSM Filing. <sup>10</sup>
13		
14	Q12.	ARE VEDO'S PROPOSED DSM PROGRAMS NEEDED AND TIMELY?
15	A12.	Yes. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration ("EIA"), natural
16		gas prices in Ohio have doubled in the last six years. 11 OCC has serious concerns
17		about the impact of increasing residential bills due to the increasing cost and
18		volatility of natural gas. Because of these concerns, OCC is interested in
19		promoting programs and policies that mitigate those increases and their impacts
20		on residential customers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Response to Staff Data Request No.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., for Approval of a Demand Side Management Program for Residential and Commercial Consumers, Case No. 08-0833-GA-UNC, Application (July 1, 2008).

<sup>11</sup> EIA, "Natural Gas Prices," http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/ng/ng pri sum dcu SOH a.htm.

To illustrate these concerns, natural gas, the fuel used to heat approximately 69 1 percent of all homes in Ohio, 12 "will cost about 52 percent more this year and in 2 2009 compared to 2007. The EIA's new forecast has increased 35 percent over 3 the price increase for 2008 that the government had projected just last month."13 4 5 Natural gas prices are expected to further escalate with the expected passage of 6 Federal Greenhouse Gas Legislation as natural gas is a cleaner fuel than coal for generating electricity. 14 7 8 9 *Q13*. IS THERE SUPPORT FOR NATURAL GAS DSM IN OHIO AND 10 REGIONALLY IN THE MIDWEST AT THIS TIME? 11 A13. Yes. Given the impact of rising natural gas costs around the country, Ohio and 12 many other states are promoting DSM as a low cost solution for providing energy services. 15 In Ohio, the PUCO approved significant DSM funding as part of the 13 14 Duke Energy (Case No. 06-91-EL-UNC), and Columbia Gas (Case No. 05-221-15 GA-GCR) cases that together stand to increase natural gas DSM funding to over 16 \$52.8 million over the next three years. 17 18 On January 17, 2007 Governor Strickland issued Executive Order 2007-02S, 19 Coordinating Ohio Energy Policy and State Energy Utilization that recognized the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> U. S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, Selected Housing Characteristics, Ohio (2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See "Add natural gas to worries," Cincinnati Enquirer, June 11, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ken Costello, "Natural Gas in a Carbon-Constrained World," National Regulatory Research Institute (March 2008). For example, Mr. Costello cites a recent Natural Gas Council Study that estimates that the passage of the McCain-Lieberman Bill will sharply increase the demand for natural gas and increase the price of natural gas by \$4.00 per Mcf by 2030.

<sup>15</sup> See Midwest Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Initiative at http://www.mwnaturalgas.org/.

need for energy efficiency programs. The Governor's Order sets forth a number of actions that state agencies, commissions, and boards are required to undertake to reduce and improve the energy consumption state government. The Governor's Order states that "it is the responsibility of state government to lead by example in reducing energy consumption in this era of steep energy prices, mounting environmental concerns, and persistent energy security risk." It further states that "by improving energy efficiency and adopting advanced energy utilization technologies, we can make the most of our existing energy resources and also stimulate activity and investment in the energy efficiency services sector."17 More recently, continuing state support for energy efficiency is demonstrated by the signing into law of Senate Bill 221 with its aggressive energy efficiency requirements on electric utilities and its natural gas revenue decoupling language provisions to remove the disincentive of making energy efficiency investments by Ohio's gas utilities. Finally, increased DSM funding in this case is consistent with the Energy Security

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

and Climate Stewardship Platform for the Midwest ("MESCSP")<sup>18</sup> that Governor

Strickland agreed to on November 15, 2007. The MESCSP recommends that 22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Id. at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Id. at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The State's energy efficiency commitment is as follows: "Meet at least 2 percent of regional annual retail sales of *natural gas* and electricity through energy efficiency improvements by 2015, and continue to achieve an additional 2 percent in efficiency improvements every year thereafter." (emphasis added) See <a href="http://www.midwesterngovernors.org/Publications/MGA">http://www.midwesterngovernors.org/Publications/MGA</a> Platform2WebVersion.pdf.

1		percent of Ohio's energy needs by 2025 be met through the use of energy
2		efficiency.
3		
4	Q14.	WHAT OHIO STATUTORY OR REGULATORY MANDATES DO THE
5		ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS SUPPORT?
6	A14.	Based on my experience with energy efficiency programs, my review of the
7		related Ohio regulations, and discussions with OCC counsel, it is my
8		understanding that the energy efficiency programs I propose support the
9		following:
10		R.C. 4905.70: The public utilities commission shall initiate
11		programs that will promote and encourage conservation of energy
12		and a reduction in the growth rate of energy consumption, promote
13		economic efficiencies, and take into account long-run incremental
14		costs.
15		• R.C. 4929.02 (A)(4): Encourage innovation and market access for
16		cost-effective supply-and demand-side natural gas services and
17		goods;
18		• R.C. 4935.01(A)(1): In its forecasting duties, the commission will
19		[estimate needs for energy that] reasonably balance requirements
20		of state and regional development, protection of public health and
21		safety, preservation of environmental quality, maintenance of a
22		sound economy, and conservation of energy and material
23		resources.
24		

1	IV.	CONCLUSION
2		
3	Q15.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
4	A15.	Yes. However, I reserve the right to incorporate new information that may
5		subsequently become available. I also reserve the right to supplement my
6		testimony in the event the PUCO Staff fails to support the recommendations made
7		in the Staff Report and/or changes positions made in the Staff Report.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that a true copy of the foregoing the *Direct Testimony of Wilson*Gonzalez on Behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel has been served via First

Class US Mail, this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2008.

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

PARTIES OF RECORD

Werner Margard Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 9\* Floor Columbus, OH 43215

John Dosker General Counsel Stand Energy Corp. 1077 Celestial Street Suite 110 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1629

Ronald E. Christian
Executive Vice President, General
Counsel
& Corporate Secretary
Vectren Corporation
P.O. Box 209
Evansville IN 47702-0209

John W. Bentine Counsel for Interstate Gas Supply Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Ste. 1000 Columbus, OH 43215-4259

Samuel C Randazzo
Gretchen J. Hummel
Lisa G. McAlister
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
Fifth Third Center
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

David C. Rinebolt Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lime Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793