

FILE

FirstEnergy

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308

James W. Burk
Senior Attorney

2008 JUN -3 AM 10:06

330-384-5861
Fax: 330-384-3875

PUCO

June 2, 2008

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Ms. Renee J. Jenkins
Director, Administration Department
Secretary to the Commission
Docketing Division
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215


RE: PUCO Case No. 08-124-EL-ATA, Case No. 08-125-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Modify
Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff Approvals
Motion to Suspend Proceedings; Memorandum in Support

Dear Ms. Jenkins:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and twelve (12) copies of *Motion To Suspend Proceedings*; and *Memorandum in Support* for docketing regarding the above-referenced case which was fax-filed today. Please file the attached. File-stamp the two extra copies and return them to the undersigned in the enclosed envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Very truly yours,


James W. Burk

JWB:ls
Enclosures 12

This is to certify that the images appearing are an
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician Date Processed 6.3.08


**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)	
Edison Company for Authority to)	Case No. 08-124-EL-ATA
Modify Certain Accounting Practices)	Case No. 08-125-EL-AAM
and for Tariff Approvals)	

MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS

Come now Ohio Edison Company (hereinafter "OE"), The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (hereinafter "CEI"), and The Toledo Edison Company (hereinafter "TE", with OE, CEI and TE, collectively referred to as the "Companies"), and respectfully request the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") to suspend all filings, discovery, testimony, and proceedings in the abovementioned cases otherwise required by the Commission's April 4, 2008 Entry in this matter, including the hearing scheduled in this matter for July 15, 2008, for the reason that the issues in this case may be resolved in another proceeding. A memorandum in support of this motion is attached providing the detailed basis for this motion.

Respectfully submitted,



James W. Burk, Counsel of Record
Senior Attorney
Mark A. Hayden
Attorney
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308
(330) 384-5861
Fax: (330) 384-3875
Email: burkj@firstenergycorp.com
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com
On behalf of Ohio Edison Company,
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company,
and The Toledo Edison Company

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)	
Edison Company for Authority to)	Case No. 08-124-EL-ATA
Modify Certain Accounting Practices)	Case No. 08-125-EL-AAM
and for Tariff Approvals)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Following a prehearing conference that occurred in this proceeding on March 27, 2008, the Attorney Examiner issued an Entry setting forth a procedural schedule for the aforementioned matter and addressing other procedural matters. That procedural schedule required the filing of a staff report on or before June 4, Company and intervener testimony on June 15, motions for interventions to be filed on or before June 20, discovery requests submitted no later than July 1, staff testimony on July 3, and a hearing commencing on July 15.

Simultaneously, at the time of the prehearing conference, the state legislature was considering and debating new energy legislation for the state of Ohio, with one of the primary issues centering around how generation pricing will be accomplished commencing in 2009. This legislation, known as Am. Sub. S.B. 221, was passed by both houses and later signed into law by the Governor on May 1, 2009. One outcome of that legislation was that all electric distribution utilities are required to file an electric security plan ("ESP") with the Commission. Such ESP must include a proposal for the supply and pricing of retail generation service, and may include any number of other proposals, including without limitation, deferrals and the recovery of deferrals.

While the Companies' ESP has not yet been filed with the Commission, the Companies expect at this time that such filing will contain a proposal addressing the recovery of deferred fuel costs, which is the subject matter of the instant proceeding. At the time of the prehearing conference, the requirements of

the new legislation, that the Companies' ESP would address the issue of the recovery of the deferred fuel costs, and the fact that the Commission's consideration of the instant proceeding and the ESP would almost completely overlap all were not known.


With this motion, the Companies request that the procedural schedule previously established in this proceeding be suspended in its entirety. Granting such request will permit the issue of recovery of deferred fuel costs to be considered and resolved in a single proceeding. This will avoid having the same issue under consideration in two separate, simultaneous proceedings. Further, it will allow the Commission, the Companies, and all interveners to focus their time and resources on a single case, the ESP, which will address and resolve a host of issues within the same proceeding including the recovery of deferred fuel cost issue. This approach is preferable to the alternative of dealing with identical issues on a piecemeal basis scattered across numerous dockets. Granting the Companies' request will result in avoiding duplicative proceedings and provide the most administratively efficient process to address and resolve the issues pending in this proceeding.

If resolution of the recovery of deferred fuel costs issue is not reached in the ESP, then the suspension of this matter may be lifted, and this proceeding may then be reinstituted at that time to finalize the issue.

The Companies are also requesting the Commission to rule on this matter on an expedited basis, given that filings under the Commission's April 4, 2008 Entry are otherwise required to occur commencing this week, less than the 15 days normally permitted for other parties to file a response. O.A.C. 4901-1-12(C). The Companies served this request by email on all parties to the proceeding, as specified in the April 4th Entry, asking each party to respond whether they had any objections to the motion. Given the compressed time schedule, however, responses from all the parties have not been received at the time this motion was filed with the Commission.

For the reasons set forth above, the Companies respectfully request that the procedural schedule as set forth in the Commission's April 4, 2008 Entry be suspended, and that such motion be granted on an expedited basis, and for all other relief just and proper in the premise.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "James W. Burk". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a horizontal line.

James W. Burk, Counsel of Record

Senior Attorney

Mark A. Hayden

Attorney

FirstEnergy Service Company

76 South Main Street

Akron, OH 44308

(330) 384-5861

Fax: (330) 384-3875

Email: burkj@firstenergycorp.com

haydenm@firstenergycorp.com


On behalf of Ohio Edison Company,

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company,

and The Toledo Edison Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing Motion to Suspend Proceedings has been served upon the parties listed below by electronic mail transmission and by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 2nd day of June, 2008.


James W. Burk

John Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe LLP
65 E. State St., Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215-7197
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com

David Fein
Senior Regulatory Counsel
Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661
David.fein@constellation.com

Ann A Hotz
Office of Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 W. Broad Street, 18th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
hotz@occ.state.oh.us

Michael L. Kurtz
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 E. 7th St., Ste. 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
mkurtz@BKLLawfirm.com

Samuel C. Randazzo
Joseph M. Clark
McNees, Wallace & Nurick
21 E. State St., 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
jclark@mwncmh.com

David C. Rinebolt
Colleen L. Mooney
231 West Lima Street
P.O. Box 1793
Findlay, OH 45839-1793
drinebolt@aol.com
cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

Garret A. Stone
Michael K. Lavonga
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson St.
8th Floor, West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20007
gas@bbrslaw.com
mkl@bbrslaw.com

M. Howard Petricoff (0008287)
Stephen M. Howard (0022421)
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vssp.com
smhoward@vssp.com