1 **BEFORE** 2 THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO 3 - - -4 In the Matter of the : Application of The East : 5 Ohio Gas Company d/b/a : Case No. Dominion East Ohio for :07-1224-GA-EXM 6 Approval of a General : Exemption of Certain Natural: 7 Gas Commodity Sales Services: or Ancillary Services. : 8 - - -9 PROCEEDINGS before Chris Pirik, Attorney Examiner, held at 10 the offices of the Public Utilities Commission 11 of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room No. 11-C, 12 Columbus, Ohio, on Thursday, April 10, 2008, at 13 14 10:00 A.M. 15 - - -16 17 18 19 20

21	Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
	185 S. Fifth Street, Suite 101
22	Columbus, Ohio 43215
	(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
23	Fax - (614) 224-5724
24	

1 APPEARANCES:

2	Jones Day
	By Mr. Mark A. Whitt
3	77 West Wacker
	Chicago, Illinois 60601
4	
	On behalf of the Applicant.
5	
6	Bricker & Eckler LLP
	By Mr. Thomas J. O'Brien
7	100 South Third Street
	Columbus, Ohio 43215
8	
	On behalf of NOPEC.
9	
10	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP
	By Mr. Howard Petricoff
11	52 East Gay Street
	Columbus, Ohio 43215
12	
	On behalf of The Ohio Gas
13	Marketers Group.
14	
	Mr. Joseph P. Serio
15	Assistant Consumers' Counsel
	10 West Broad Street
16	Suite 1800
	Columbus, Ohio 43215
17	
	On behalf of the Office of the
18	Ohio Consumers' Counsel.
19	

Bell & Royer Co., LPA

20 21	By Mr. Barth E. Royer 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43215
22	On behalf of MXenergy Inc.
23	
24	

1	
2	APPEARANCES (Continued)
3	
4	Ms. Anne Hammerstein Assistant Attorney General
5	180 East Broad Street 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215
6	
7	On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2		
3	IDENTIFIED	
4	JOINT EXHIBITS	
5	1 Stipulation and Recommendation	7
6	2 Post SCO Auction Commodity Service Options	7
7		
8	DOMINION EXHIBITS	
9	1 Proofs of Publication 7	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	Thursday Morning Session,
2	April 10, 2008.
3	
4	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Whitt.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. The
6	parties most of the parties to the proceeding
7	have entered a stipulation which has been
8	docketed this morning. It's our understanding
9	the Staff will be presenting a witness to
10	testify in support of the stipulation.
11	We do not have signatures on
12	the stipulation from the Ohio Partners for
13	Affordable Energy or MXenergy. It's our
14	understanding that those parties may be
15	submitting a letter or taking other action
16	indicating support for some or all of the
17	stipulation.
18	We would ask for leave to file as a
19	late filed exhibit the notices of publication of

- 20 the public hearings. While we are waiting for
- 21 those notices the record could be left open and
- 22 any parties who have not yet indicated support
- 23 for the stipulation but intend to do so would
- 24 have an opportunity to do that.

1	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Do
2	you have a copy of the stipulation?
3	MR. WHITT: We do, Your Honor. I
4	believe everybody else has a copy.
5	And the stipulation, Your Honor, which I just
6	handed you is marked as Joint Exhibit 1. Also
7	included is a Joint Exhibit 2 attached to Joint
8	Exhibit 1.
9	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Before we go any
10	further I think I should note for the record
11	that Mr. Petricoff is here with us today.
12	I believe Mr. Howard did make an appearance on
13	your behalf, but I wanted to note for the record
14	since this is a separate day. And, Ms.
15	Hammerstein, I believe Mr. Reilly made an
16	appearance also on your behalf.
17	Okay. So we have a Joint Exhibit 1
18	which is entitled Stipulation and
19	Recommendation. And we have a Joint Exhibit 2

- 20 which is entitled Post SCO Auction Commodity
- 21 Service Options.
- 22 MR. WHITT: Correct, Your Honor.
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And you
- 24 would like to have a late filed exhibit. Late

2	publication.
3	MR. WHITT: That would be fine.
4	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will keep
5	the record open for that. Those three documents
6	will be so marked.
7	(EXHIBITS HEREBY MARKED FOR
8	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
9	MR. SERIO: Your Honor, that third
10	exhibit, that is a Dominion exhibit?

filed Exhibit 3 which will be the proof of

11 MR. WHITT: We can call that late

12 filed Exhibit 1.

13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Dominion Exhibit

14 1. Late filed Dominion Exhibit 1 will be proofs

15 of publication. I will wait until the witness

16 is presented to actually ask for Joint Exhibits

17 1 and 2 into the record. However, with regard

18 to the late filed Dominion Exhibit 1 are there

19 any objections? Hearing none we will admit

- 20 those once they are filed in docketing.
- 21 MR. WHITT: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have a
- 23 time frame for the other two parties to the
- 24 case, OPAE and MX?

1	MR. ROYER: Your Honor, on behalf of
2	MXenergy I am 100 percent confident that MX will
3	be adding their name as a signatory party. It's
4	just a matter of getting internal approvals this
5	morning in time to get them to come here and
6	sign on their behalf. So, that would happen
7	even today, and certainly by the end of
8	the week.
9	MR. WHITT: Your Honor, it's
10	acceptable to the company if MX would like to
11	merely submit a letter as a late filed exhibit
12	indicating support for the stipulation. That is
13	acceptable to us as opposed to a signature on
14	the stipulation. However they would like to
15	handle it.
16	MR. ROYER: We will just file a
17	letter. I don't think we will call it an
18	exhibit, but we will file a letter.
19	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And that will be

- 20 fine as long as it's clear in the letter what
- 21 your position is. That will be fine. Do you
- 22 have any idea about OPAE timing-wise?
- 23 MR. WHITT: I can't say what their
- 24 time frame is. It's my understanding Mr.

1	Rinebolt had another commitment out of town.
2	I will attempt to contact him. I would think we
3	would have something by this time next week.
4	Obviously I can't speak for Mr. Rinebolt, but
5	that would be my assumption.
6	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Will you please
7	contact me if in fact there is something not
8	filed by the end of next week? That way I will
9	understand exactly where the process is at.
10	MR. WHITT: I would be happy to do
11	that.
12	MR. SERIO: Your Honor, there is a
13	third party that hasn't signed it, Industrial
14	Energy Users. And I noticed that they are also
15	absent.
16	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I didn't look.
17	I was just looking at the stipulation.
18	MR. WHITT: Yes, Your Honor. There
19	is a signature space for Industrial Energy

- 20 Users-Ohio who have received drafts of
- 21 the stipulation and its various iterations
- 22 throughout the possess. It our understanding
- 23 that IEU-Ohio will neither support or oppose the
- 24 stipulation. I would indicate for the record

1	that they	are not at t	the	hearing	today.
---	-----------	--------------	-----	---------	--------

2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you know

3 whether they will be filing something to that

4 effect, Mr. Whitt?

5 MR. WHITT: My understanding is they

6 are going to do nothing.

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank

8 you. Thank you for that clarification, Mr.

9 Serio. Before we call the first witness is

10 there any other procedural matter that we need

11 to discuss regarding the stipulation itself?

12 Ms. Hammerstein.

13 MS. HAMMERSTEIN: Thank you, Your

14 Honor. Staff calls Steven Puican to the stand.

15 (WITNESS SWORN)

- ---
- 17 STEPHEN PUICAN
- 18 called as a witness on behalf of the Staff,
- 19 being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

- 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 By Ms. Hammerstein:
- 22 Q. Good morning, Mr. Puican.
- A. Good morning.
- 24 Q. Would you please state your name for

1 the record and spell it?	1	the record	and	spell it?
----------------------------	---	------------	-----	-----------

- 2 A. Stephen E. Puican. First name is
- 3 S-T-E-P-H-E-N, last name P-U-I-C-A-N.
- 4 Q. Thank you. And what is your

5 business address?

6 A. 180 East Broad Street, Columbus,

7 Ohio.

8 Q. And you are employed by?

9 A. By the Public Utilities Commission

10 of Ohio.

11 Q. And what is your position with

12 the Commission?

13 A. I am currently the Co-chief of the

14 Rates and Tariffs Energy and Water Division.

15 Q. Could you give us a brief summary,

16 please, of your background with the Commission

17 and your educational credentials?

18 A. I have a Bachelor's degree in

19 economics from Kent State University and a

- 20 Master's degree in economics from Ohio State
- 21 University. I have been with the PUCO since
- 22 1985. Two years prior to that I was with the
- 23 Department of Development in the Division of
- 24 Energy.

1	Since being at the PUCO I have			
2	worked on gas, electric and water issues. Since			
3	approximately 1999 I have worked exclusively on			
4	gas issues. I am responsible for the GCR			
5	operations. I participate in all the natural			
6	gas rate cases as well as miscellaneous filings			
7	such as contracts and tariff matters.			
8	Q. Thank you, Mr. Puican. And do you			
9	have before you what has been marked as Joint			
10	Exhibit 1?			
11	A. Yes, I do.			
12	Q. Could you please identify that for			
13	the record?			
14	A. This is a stipulation and			
15	recommendation in Case 07-1224-GA-EXM.			
16	Q. Could you please tell us how you			
17	became acquainted with this document?			
18	A. This is the end result of many, many			
19	months of meetings among various parties that			

- 20 intervened in the case. And this was put
- 21 together and signed just this morning as a
- 22 resolution to all the issues.
- 23 Q. Okay. And do you believe that the
- 24 stipulation, Joint Exhibit 1, is the product of

1 serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable

2 parties?

- 3 A. Yes, I do. The participants to the
- 4 discussions have probably many decades of
- 5 cumulative experience in utility matters. There
- 6 was a great diversity of participation, a
- 7 diversity of interests in addition to the
- 8 company's staff, OCC. Many parties were
- 9 represented. Ohio Partners for Affordable
- 10 Energy, Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council,
- 11 industrial, transportation customers.
- 12 It's a wide diversity of interests
- 13 that ended up with a unanimous stipulation, or
- 14 at lease no one in opposition to the
- 15 stipulation. So, yes, I believe that criteria

16 has been met.

- 17 Q. Okay. And does the stipulation
- 18 considered as a package benefit ratepayers and
- 19 the public interest in your estimate?

- 20 A. I believe it does. The standard
- 21 service offer process that has been in place for
- 22 the last 18 months or so has provided benefits
- 23 to customers. There is substantial evidence
- 24 that customers that are receiving the SSO

14

1 service are paying a lower price than they would

2 have under the GCR regime.

3 I believe that this move from a

4 wholesale auction to a retail auction has

5 potential to provide even greater benefits. We

6 believe that auction participants will receive

7 additional value in being allocated actually as

8 customers as a result of the auction as opposed

9 to simply generic load. And so we think there

10 is potential for even greater savings by

11 customers.

12 In addition the public interest is

13 protected because the Commission retains the

14 authority to reject any auction result if it

15 believes it's not in the public interest or a

16 benefit to customers.

17 Q. Okay. And based on your experience

18 and understanding of regulatory principles in

19 Ohio do you believe the stipulation violates any

- 20 important regulatory principle?
- A. It does not. It's really just a
- 22 continuation and enhancement of the existing SSO
- 23 process. It takes that to another level by
- 24 moving from wholesale to retail. And I believe

1 it does so without violating any regulatory

2 principles.

- 3 And again even in this new retail
- 4 regime the Commission would retain the ability
- 5 to revert to GCR service if at any time it

6 believes that the auction procedures are no

7 longer serving the public interest.

8 MS. HAMMERSTEIN: Your Honor, Mr.

- 9 Puican is available for cross-examination.
- 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Whitt.
- 11 MR. WHITT: No questions.
- 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any party?

13 Hearing no questions from any of the parties,

14 thank you, Mr. Puican.

- 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Whitt, I
- 17 noticed in the Joint Exhibit 1 that on
- 18 the second page there is a list of different DEO
- 19 exhibits with different numbers. So will you be

- 20 moving the admission of Joint Exhibit 1 with
- 21 these attached exhibits referencing the
- 22 application?
- 23 MR. WHITT: We can do that, Your
- 24 Honor. The DEO exhibits that are listed have

1	been filed. They were filed with the part of
2	the company's original application. So those
3	are part of the docket. We can to the extent
4	deemed necessary move for the admission of those
5	exhibits as well.
6	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That will be
7	fine. As well as Joint Exhibit 2 which is
8	attached to Joint Exhibit 1?
9	MR. WHITT: Correct.
10	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Are there
11	any objections to the admission of Joint Exhibit
12	1 and Joint Exhibit 2 and these additional DEO
13	Exhibits as part of the stipulation? Hearing
14	none Joint Exhibit 1, Joint Exhibit 2 and the
15	DEO Exhibits referencing the application shall
16	be admitted into the record
17	(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
18	ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is there
19	anything else to come before us? Hearing none,

- 20 this case will be adjourned and submitted on the
- 21 record for the Commission's consideration.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 MR. WHITT: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 24 ---

1	(At 10:50 A.M. the hearing was			
2	concluded)			
3				
4				
5	CERTIFICATE			
6 7	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on April			
8	proceedings taken by me in this matter on April 10, 2008, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.			
9	original stenographic notes.			
7				
10	Michael O. Spencer,			
	Registered Professional			
11	Reporter.			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				

20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/24/2008 10:18:38 AM

in

Case No(s). 07-1224-GA-EXM

Summary: Transcript East Ohio Gas 4/10/08 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer D. Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc.