

ORIGINAL



06-1142-GA-BIN

United States Department of the Interior
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY


2008 APR -8 P 3:55

Ecological Services
5353 Yellowstone Road, Suite 308A
Cheyenne, Wyoming 82009

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

In Reply Refer To:
ES-61411/W.15/WY08-F0010
WY-08-F0010

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Docket# CP-07-208-00
888 1st St. N.E., Room 1A
Washington D.C., 20426



Dear Ms Bose:

This biological opinion is provided in response to Rockies Express Pipeline letter to our office regarding the Rockies Express Pipeline Arlington Compressor Station Project (Project) site along the REX-Entrega pipeline route in Wyoming. Pursuant to 18 CFR 380.13, Rockies Express is acting as the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) non-federal representative for purposes of complying with section 7 (a) of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) (50 CFR §402.13).

A biological assessment and request for formal consultation was submitted to our office by Rockies Express Pipeline on October 2, 2007 and received in our office on October 9. The biological assessment describes the potential effects of the REX-Entrega Arlington Compressor Station, located in Carbon County, Wyoming (Township 19N, Range 78W, Section 19), on federally listed species and designated critical habitat.

In accordance with the streamlined section 7 consultation process under the Platte River Recovery Implementation Program (PRRIP), the completion of a Platte River Recovery Agreement with the State of Wyoming was required for this project prior to preparing this Biological Opinion. On March 4, 2008, we received a letter dated February 29, 2008, from the Wyoming State Engineer's Office, indicating that such an Agreement had been executed. Therefore, we were then able to proceed with the review of the biological assessment and complete this biological opinion.

I. Background

On June 16, 2006, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) issued a programmatic biological opinion (PBO) for the PRRIP and water-related activities¹ affecting flow volume and timing in

¹ The term "water-related activities" means activities and aspects of activities which (1) occur in the Platte River basin upstream of the confluence of the Loup River with the Platte River; and (2) may affect Platte River flow quantity or timing, including, but not limited to, water diversion, storage and use activities, and land use activities. Changes in temperature and sediment transport will be considered impacts of a "water related activity" to the extent

this is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. technician Date Processed 4-14-08

the central and lower reaches of the Platte River in Nebraska. The action area for the PBO included the Platte River basin upstream of the confluence with the Loup River in Nebraska, and the mainstem of the Platte River downstream of the Loup River confluence.

The Federal Action addressed by the PBO included the following:

- 1) funding and implementation of the PRRIP for 13 years, the anticipated first stage of the PRRIP; and
- 2) continued operation of existing and certain new water-related activities² including, but not limited to, Reclamation and Service projects that are (or may become) dependent on the PRRIP for ESA compliance during the first 13-year stage of the PRRIP for their effects on the target species³, whooping crane critical habitat, and other federally listed species⁴ that rely on central and lower Platte River habitats.

The PBO established a two-tiered consultation process for future federal actions on existing and new water-related activities subject to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA, with issuance of the PBO being Tier 1 and all subsequent site-specific project analyses constituting Tier 2 consultations covered by the PBO. Under this tiered consultation process, the Service will produce tiered biological opinions when it is determined that future federal actions are "likely to adversely affect" federally listed species and/or designated critical habitat in the PRRIP action area and the project is covered by the PBO. If necessary, the biological opinions will also consider potential effects to other listed species and critical habitat affected by the federal action that were not within the scope of the Tier 1 PBO (e.g., direct or indirect effects to listed species occurring outside of the PRRIP action area).

Although the water depletive effects of this Federal Action to central and lower Platte River species have been addressed in the PBO, when 'no effect', or 'may affect', but 'not likely to adversely affect' determinations are made on a site-specific basis for the target species in Nebraska, the Service will review these determinations and provide written concurrence where appropriate. Upon receipt of written concurrence, section 7(a)(2) consultation will be considered completed for those federal actions.

Water-related activities requiring federal approval will be reviewed by the Service to determine if: (1) those activities comply with the definition of existing water-related activities and/or (2)

that such changes are caused by activities affecting flow quantity or timing. Impacts of "water related activities" do not include those components of land use activities or discharges of pollutants that do not affect flow quantity or timing.

² "Existing water related activities" include surface water or hydrologically connected groundwater activities implemented on or before July 1, 1997. "New water-related activities" include new surface water or hydrologically connected groundwater activities including both new projects and expansion of existing projects, both those subject to and not subject to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA, which may affect the quantity or timing of water reaching the associated habitats and which are implemented after July 1, 1997.

³ The "target species" are the endangered whooping crane (*Grus americana*), the interior least tern (*Sternula antillarum*), the pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirynchus albus*), and the threatened northern Great Plains population of the piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*).

⁴ Other listed species present in the central and lower Platte River include the threatened bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), western prairie fringed orchid (*Platanthera praeclara*) American burying beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus*) and Eskimo curlew (*Numenius borealis*).

proposed new water-related activities are covered by the applicable state or the federal depletions plan. The Service has determined that the Project meets the above criteria and, therefore, this Tier 2 biological opinion regarding the effects of the Project on the target species, whooping crane critical habitat, or western prairie fringed orchid in the central and lower Platte River can tier from the June 16, 2006 PBO.

II. Consultation History

Table II-1 of the PBO (pages 21-23) contains a list of species and critical habitat in the action area, their status, and the Service's determination of the effects of the Federal Action analyzed in the PBO.

The Service determined in the Tier 1 PBO that the Federal Action, including the continued operation of existing and certain new water-related activities, may adversely affect but would not likely jeopardize the continued existence of the federally endangered whooping crane, interior least tern, and pallid sturgeon, or the federally threatened northern Great Plains population of the piping plover, western prairie fringed orchid, and bald eagle in the central and lower Platte River. Further, the Service determined that the Federal Action, including the continued operation of existing and certain new water-related activities, was not likely to destroy or adversely modify designated critical habitat for the whooping crane.

The Service also determined that the PBO Federal Action would have no effect to the endangered Eskimo curlew. There has not been a confirmed sighting since 1926 and this species is believed to be extirpated in Nebraska. Lastly, the Service determined that the PBO Federal Action, including the continued operation of existing and certain new water-related activities, was not likely to adversely affect the endangered American burying beetle.

The effects of the continued operation of existing and certain new water-related activities on the remaining species and critical habitats listed in Table II-1 of the PBO were beyond the scope of the PBO and were not considered.

The Service has reviewed the information contained in the Biological Assessment submitted by Rockies Express Pipeline on October 2, 2007 and received in our office on October 9.

We concur with your determinations of "likely to adversely affect" for the endangered whooping crane, interior least tern, pallid sturgeon, and the threatened northern Great Plains population of the piping plover, and the western prairie fringed orchid in the central and lower Platte River. We also concur with your determination of "likely to adversely affect" for designated whooping crane critical habitat.

III. Scope of the Tier 2 Biological Opinion

The Project is a component of "the continued operation of existing and certain new water-related activities" needing a federal action evaluated in the Tier 1 PBO, and flow-related effects of the Federal Action are consistent with the scope and the determination of effects in the June 16, 2006 PBO. Because the applicants have elected to participate in the PRRIP, ESA compliance for flow-related effects to federally listed endangered and threatened species and designated critical habitat from the Project is provided to the extent described in the Tier 1 PBO.

This biological opinion applies to the Project's effects to listed endangered and threatened species and designated critical habitat as described in the PBO for the first thirteen years of the PRRIP (i.e., the anticipated duration of the first PRRIP increment).

IV. Description of the Federal Action

The Arlington Compressor Station (certificated under REX-Entrega as a pig launcher/receiver site) will be constructed adjacent to an existing facility on approximately 15.0 acres of sagebrush steppe.

V. Status of the Species/Critical Habitat

Species descriptions, life histories, population dynamics, status and distributions are fully described in the PBO on pages 76-156 for the whooping crane, interior least tern, piping plover, pallid sturgeon, and western prairie fringed orchid, as well as whooping crane critical habitat and are hereby incorporated by reference. On August 8, 2007, the bald eagle was removed from the federal endangered species list.

VI. Environmental Baseline

The Environmental Baseline sections for the Platte River and for the whooping crane, interior least tern, piping plover, pallid sturgeon, and western prairie fringed orchid, as well as whooping crane critical habitat are described on pages 157 to 219 of the Tier 1 PBO, and are hereby incorporated by reference. Since issuance of the Tier 1 PBO, there have been no substantial changes in the status of the target species or designated critical habitat.

VII. Effects of the Action

Based on analysis of the information provided in your Biological Assessment for the Project, the Service and the Wyoming State Engineer's Office concluded that the proposed Federal Action will result in an existing depletion to the Platte River system above the Loup River confluence. These depletions are associated with the Project and installation of the Arlington Compressor Station.

As an existing water-related activity, we have determined that the flow-related adverse effects of the Project are consistent with those evaluated in the Tier 1 PBO for the whooping crane, interior least tern, piping plover, pallid sturgeon, western prairie fringed orchid, and whooping crane critical habitat.

VIII. Cumulative Effects

Cumulative effects include the effects of future State, local, or private (non-federal) actions that are reasonably certain to occur in the action area considered in this biological opinion. A non-federal action is "reasonably certain" to occur if the action requires the approval of a State or local resource or land-control agency, such agencies have approved the action, and the project is ready to proceed. Other indicators which may also support such a "reasonably certain to occur" determination include whether: a) the project sponsors provide assurance that the action will proceed; b) contracting has been initiated; c) State or local planning agencies indicate that grant of authority for the action is imminent; or d) where historic data have demonstrated an established trend, that trend may be forecast into the future as reasonably certain to occur. These indicators must show more than the possibility that the non-federal project will occur; they must demonstrate with reasonable certainty that it will occur. Future federal actions that are unrelated to the proposed action are not considered in this section because they require separate consultation pursuant to section 7 of the Act and would be consulted on at a later time.

Cumulative effects are described on pages 194 to 300 of the Tier 1 PBO, and are hereby incorporated by reference. There have been no substantial changes in cumulative effects since the issuance of the PBO.

IX. Conclusions

The Service concludes that the Project is consistent with the Tier 1 PBO for effects to listed species and critical habitat addressed in the Tier 1 PBO. After reviewing site specific information, including: 1) the scope of the Federal Action, 2) the environmental baseline, 3) the status of the whooping crane, interior least tern, piping plover, pallid sturgeon, and western prairie fringed orchid in the central and lower Platte River and their potential occurrence within the project area, as well as whooping crane critical habitat, 4) the effects of the Project, and 5) any cumulative effects, it is the Service's biological opinion that the Project, as described, is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the federally endangered whooping crane, interior least tern, and pallid sturgeon, or the federally threatened northern Great Plains population of the piping plover, or western prairie fringed orchid, in the central and lower Platte River. The Federal Action is also not likely to destroy or adversely modify designated critical habitat for the whooping crane.

X. Incidental Take Statement

Section 9 of ESA and federal regulations pursuant to section 4(d) of ESA prohibit the take of endangered and threatened species without special exemption. Take is defined as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct, and applies to individual members of a listed species. Harm is further defined by the Service to include significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering. Harass is defined by the Service as intentional or negligent actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed wildlife by annoying it to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavior patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding or sheltering. Incidental take is defined as take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity. Under the terms of section 7(b)(4) and

section 7(o)(2), taking that is incidental to and not intended as part of the agency action is not considered to be prohibited taking under ESA provided that such taking is in compliance with the terms and conditions of this incidental take statement.

Sections 7(b)(4) and 7(o)(2) of ESA do not apply to the incidental take of federally listed plant species (e.g., Colorado butterfly plant, Ute ladies' tresses orchid, and western prairie fringed orchid). However, limited protection of listed plants from take is provided to the extent that ESA prohibits the removal and reduction to possession of federally listed endangered plants or the malicious damage of such plants on non-federal areas in violation of state law or regulation or in the course of any violation of a state criminal trespass law. Such laws vary from state to state.

The Department of the Interior, acting through the Service and Bureau of Reclamation, is implementing all pertinent Reasonable and Prudent Measures and implementing Terms and Conditions stipulated in the Tier 1 PBO Incidental Take Statement (pages 309-326 of the PBO) which will minimize the anticipated incidental take of federally listed species. In instances where the amount or extent of incidental take outlined in the Tier 1 PBO is exceeded, or the amount or extent of incidental take for other listed species is exceeded, the specific PRRIP action(s) causing such take shall be subject to reinitiation expeditiously.

XI. Conservation Recommendations

Section 7(a)(1) of ESA directs Federal agencies to utilize their authorities to further the purposes of ESA by carrying out conservation programs for the benefit of endangered and threatened species. Conservation recommendations are discretionary agency activities to minimize or avoid adverse effects of an action on listed species or critical habitat, to help implement recovery plans, or to develop information. Conservation recommendations are provided in the PBO (pages 328-329) and are hereby incorporated by reference.

XII. Closing Statement

Any person or entity undertaking a water-related activity that receives federal funding or a federal authorization and which relies on the PRRIP as a component of its ESA compliance in section 7 consultation must agree: (1) to the inclusion in its federal funding or authorization documents of reopening authority, including reopening authority to accommodate reinitiation upon the circumstances described in Section IV.E. of the Program document, which addresses Program termination; and (2) to request appropriate amendments from the federal action agency as needed to conform its funding or authorization to any PRRIP adjustments negotiated among the three states and the Department of the Interior, including specifically new requirements, if any, at the end of the first PRRIP increment and any subsequent PRRIP increments. The Service believes that the PRRIP should not provide ESA compliance for any water-related activity for which the funding or authorization document does not conform to any PRRIP adjustments (Program Document, section VI).


Reinitiation of consultation over the Project will not be required at the end of the first 13-years of the PRRIP provided a subsequent Program increment or first increment Program extension is adopted pursuant to appropriate ESA and NEPA compliance procedures, and, for a subsequent increment, the effects of the Project are covered under a Tier 1 PBO for that increment addressing continued operation of previously consulted-on water-related activities. Requests for

reinitiation, or questions regarding reinitiation should be directed to the Service's Wyoming Field Office at the letterhead address above.

This concludes formal consultation on the actions outlined in the October 2, 2007 request from Rockies Express Pipeline. As provided in 50 CFR § 402.16, reinitiation of formal consultation is required where discretionary Federal agency involvement or control over the action has been retained (or is authorized by law) and if: 1) the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded; 2) new information reveals effects of the agency action that may affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not considered in this opinion; 3) the agency action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to the listed species or critical habitat not considered in this opinion; or 4) a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be affected by the action. In instances where the amount or extent of incidental take is exceeded, the specific action(s) causing such take shall be subject to reinitiation expeditiously.

The Service appreciates your efforts to ensure the conservation of endangered, threatened, and candidate species and migratory birds. If you have further questions regarding this biological opinion or your responsibilities under the Act, please contact Jan McKee of my staff at the letterhead address or phone (307) 772-2374, extension 242.

Sincerely,



Brian T. Kelly
Field Supervisor
Wyoming Field Office

cc: Mark Butler, Platte River Liaison, Region 6
Phillip Stump, HDR Engineering, Inc.
Sue Lowry, Wyoming State Engineer's Office
Bart Jensen, Natural Resource Group

LITERATURE CITED

Final Platte River Recovery Implementation Program Document. October 24, 2006.
www.platteriver.org.

U.S. Department of the Interior. 2006. Platte River Recovery Implementation Program Final
Environmental Impact Statement.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. Biological opinion on the Platte River Recovery
Implementation Program.

APR 10 AM 1:39