

06-1142-CA-BIN

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

March 11, 2008

2008 MAR 14 PM 4:02

Kimberly D. Bose
Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E.
Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

PUCO

Re: Docket No. CP07-208-000 Rockies Express Pipeline LLC

Dear Commission,

For the past 8 months or so we have filed numerous comment letters with FERC regarding the REX-East project. We have expressed our concerns about the need for this project, we have purposed valid alternate routes and route variations, and we have expressed our disgust with the conduct of the Rockies personnel and their business practices. I have been assured by numerous FERC representatives, including project manager Laura Turner, that these comment letters are taken very seriously and their content considered when making decisions regarding such a project. As a taxpayer this is the only venue made available to us to make our voice heard so we have utilized it, playing by the rules unlike the Rockies Express organization. In addition my husband and I have attended site visits and numerous public meetings trying to assure that as American citizens and taxpayers our thoughts, concerns and pertinent suggestions were heard regarding this project. Since currently there is no system in place to offer any kind of feedback from these comments, we could only take the FERC representatives word that they were indeed considered.

WHAT A DISSAPOINTMENT then to read Ms. Rowe's recent submission and learn that all of our efforts could very well be an exercise in futility. That perhaps these venues are put in place for the taxpayer to vent, but never taken seriously. The fact that Ms. Lykens wasn't even familiar with the document submitted by the Ohio Power Siting Board, (another government entity), with its recommendations regarding this project, (including the use of the Mowrey alternative), is absurd. The fact that Mr. Doug Sipe while conducting a public meeting in Ohio regarding this project, indicated he was not familiar with the project or the taxpayers comments regarding it, lends further credibility to our concerns that the taxpayer, who funds your very job, and whose well being is at risk, is not really part of the equation when making decisions regarding these projects. It leads us to believe that perhaps all of these interactions with the citizens are no more than a talisman.

If this is indeed true, that citizen's comments are disregarded, it only feeds in to our fears regarding this project. The fear that political connection and money will yet again trump safety and good engineering. History would show that the end results of such actions are

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Tm Date Processed 3/14/2008

always injury and death followed by a monumental outflow of taxpayer time and money. All of this for a project that has questionable need at best. What a waste of time and resources for the gain of so few who just happen to be running the country at this time. Are we so blind as to watch this and say nothing and later say how did this happen, was someone not aware of the situation? The time for change is now, before the irreparable damage is done.

It is my hope that as the commission moves forward regarding a decision concerning this project that they will give the citizen's comments the true consideration they deserve. It is ultimately the citizen who will pay the price for the decisions made by the commission. It is the commission's responsibility to make wise decisions regarding this project based on ALL of the input given. Decisions that consider all the possible outcomes, for all the parties and resources involved, regardless of the political consequences.

Sincerely,

Nancy Mowrey
Landowner/taxpayer

Document Content(s)

Disappointment.DOC.....1-2