1

1 BEFORE 2 THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO 3 4 In the Matter of the Application) 5 Of Vectren Energy Delivery of 6 Ohio, Inc., for Approval of a) Case No. 7 General Exemption of Certain) 07-1285-GA-EXM 8 Natural Gas Commodity Sales 9 Services or Ancillary Services. 10 11 12 Public Hearing held at Sidney 13 Municipal Building, Council Chambers, 201 Poplar 14 Street, Sidney, Ohio, at 7:00 p.m., on Thursday, 15 February 28, 2008, before Deborah Moles-Werling, 16 Court Reporter and Notary Public within and for the 17 State of Ohio. 18 19 20 21 22

23

24 25 This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. _Date Processed_3 Technician

MS. PIRIK: The Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio has assigned for public hearing
at this time and place, Case No. 07-1285-GA-EXM,
being In the Matter of the Application of Vectren
Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for approval of a
general exemption of certain natural gas commodity,
sales, services, or ancillary services.

My name is Christine Pirik. I am the Deputy Director in the legal department of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, and I have been assigned to hear this case on behalf of the Public Utilities Commission.

In addition, those of you who are here from the public, as you came in, there are a couple of personnel from our service monitoring and enforcement department, Chris Rodman and Tonya Stewart. These PUCO representatives are here and available for any questions that you might have regarding utility services that are not addressed in this hearing tonight.

In addition, Ray Fuller with the Office of Consumers' Counsel is also here to help us answer any questions. The Office of Consumers' Counsel represents residential utility consumers in the State of Ohio and those that affected by this

proposal. So if you have any informal questions that you would like to address to any of those three individuals, I know they will be happy to help you.

At this time I will take appearance on behalf of the parties on behalf of the companies.

MS. HUMMEL: Thank you, Your
Honor, on behalf of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio
by McNees, Wallace & Nurick, by Gretchen J. Hummel,
21 East State Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215, and
Robert E. Heidorn, vice president and general
counsel of the Vectren Corporation, PO Box 209,
Evansville, Indiana 47709.

And I'd like to also point out that we have several customer service representatives from Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio here who are also available to answer questions that anyone might have about there bill or their service or any sort of assistance plans that we have, and we will stay around as long as anybody wants to, to answer questions and provide service.

MS. PIRIK: Thank you.

MS. HUMMEL: Thank you, Your

Honor.

MS. PIRIK: On behalf of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel.

MR. SERIO: Thank you. On behalf of the Residential Utilities customers of Vectren, Janine Migden-Ostrander, Consumers' Counsel, by Joseph P. Serio, 10 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

MS. PIRIK: Other intervenors or parties in this case include, the Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy; the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation; Integrys Energy; the Ohio Marketers Group, which is comprised of several marketers, including Direct Energy, Vectren Retail, SouthStar Energy, and Interstate Gas Supply; Dominion Retail; the Industrial Energy Users of Ohio; MXEnergy; DTE Energy Trading; Stand Energy Corporation; as well as the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission.

Each of these parties that I've listed represent their various constituents in the case before the Commission.

Vectren filed this application on December 21, 2007, and on February 4, 2008, a stipulation was filed in this proceeding which was signed by, or not opposed by, each of the

intervenors in this case.

The local public hearing, including one in Dayton that we held earlier today and the one tonight in Sidney, Ohio are only one aspect of the process in this case. Interested persons were permitted to submit comments in the docket by February 4th. In addition, we are holding an evidentiary hearing in Columbus, Ohio on March 3rd.

public hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding Vectren's application in this case. As you may have noticed, there is a court reporter present. She will be transcribing the hearing, which basically means that she will be recording all of the statements that are given today. So we are on the record and everything that I'm saying and everyone is saying is going be transcribed.

However, prior to proceeding with the public testimony, I'm going to ask the company representative to come forward and make a short presentation on the record regarding the substance of the application.

Before proceeding, could you state

your name and obviously your position with the company on the record.

MR. ULREY: Certainly. Thank you,
Your Honor. My name is Jerry Ulrey. I am Vice
President of Regulatory Affairs and Fuels of
Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio. I will present an
overview of Vectren's proposal that is before the
PUCO for its decision.

Let me start by observing that the purpose of Vectren's proposal is to gradually eliminate the regulated utility sales service and over time replace it with a more competitive market for gas commodity in the Vectren service area.

That should result in many suppliers actively competing directly for customers by offering a variety of commodity products and services at competitive prices that meet the customers' specific needs.

In fact, Vectren has been moving in this direction for over five years now. In 2003, Vectren introduced its Customer Choice program that allows residential and small commercial customers to select a natural gas commodity supplier other than Vectren.

It has been successful in that

over 25 percent, or 75,000 of our customers, are enrolled in that program buying commodity directly from PUCO approved Choice suppliers.

Those Choice customers and their agreements with their existing Choice suppliers will not be effected by Vectren's proposal we are discussing here today, but we do hope that our proposal, when implemented, will lead to more customers selecting alternative suppliers in the future.

The remainder of our customers, those that are currently purchasing their commodity from Vectren at monthly GCR prices approved by the PUCO, will be impacted, favorably, we believe, by our proposal.

Vectren's proposal has two phases.

In the first phase, Vectren will replace its GCR service with a Standard Sales Offer, or SSO, service. The biggest change from current GCR service is that in SSO, the standard price applicable to customers will be set in an auction that is approved by the PUCO. The suppliers who would sell that gas to Vectren will be those who match the lowest price bid in the auction. Vectren would not make any profit on the reale of that gas

commodity, just as it does not today under the GCR service. All customer billings at that SSO price will be passed on to the winning auction suppliers dollar for dollar each month.

What are the benefits of replacing the GCR pricing with SSO pricing? First, the GCR pricing we currently use is fairly complicated. It is based on estimated gas cost and those estimates obviously never match the actual gas costs.

In order to ensure the company makes no profit, balancing accounts must be maintained that are added to the estimated gas costs which result in impacting our GCR prices up to a year later.

Therefore, GCR customers are never paying current gas prices in the GCR prices and they can't make their gas usage decisions based on the actual gas costs for that month. Very complicated, hard to understand, and a bit misleading to customers.

Second benefit, and related to the first, the new SSO price that will be posted on the PUCO's apples-to-apples comparison will be much easier to compare to competing offers from the Choice suppliers active in Vectren's market. Our

proposed SSO pricing is relatively simple to understand. It is the current month's gas cost, based on the NYMEX, plus an SSO price adder as determined in the auction.

В

Both of those benefits lead to the key benefit in that it creates a more level playing field which should attract more Choice suppliers, and thereby, increase competition in the Vectren service area.

The second phase of Vectren's proposal, called Standard Choice Offer, or SCO, service, is similar to the first phase. Again, there will be an auction to determine both the SCO price and the suppliers who will provide the gas commodity.

One difference in the SCO phase is that Vectren will no longer be buying and reselling the gas supply to its customers. Instead, the suppliers, who must be PUCO approved Choice suppliers, will sell gas commodity directly to customers, but they will sell that gas at the PUCO approved auction price and under the PUCO approved terms in the Vectren Tariff.

The benefits of this phrase are the same as in phase one, but with the additional

benefit that customers can now receive information about alternative Choice commodity offers directly from their SCO supplier.

established in the SCO phase should provide customers with more information about the Choice market, so they can decide if they want to accept the Choice offer or check with other Choice suppliers to see what they are offering. It's all meant to be part of an evolution to a fully competitive market for gas commodity in Vectren's service area.

To be clear, throughout both phases, customers will always have the option of a PUCO approved Standard price, governed by the auction and Vectren's tariff. They will not be required to select a Choice supplier in phases one or two.

In addition, Vectren will be providing information throughout both phrases that will inform customers about their options for gas commodity, both the Standard Service options and the Choice options.

Thank you. That concludes our overview.

MS. PIRIK: At this time I will begin calling public witnesses forward to make your statements on the record. Before you begin your statement I'm going to ask that you state your name and your address and spell your name and address so that it will be clearly put on the record. Your testimony will be considered part of the official record to be reviewed by the Commissioners in making their decision in this case, and before presenting your testimony, I will ask that you take a sworn oath.

Attorneys for the company, as well as the Office of Consumers' Counsel will have the opportunity, I will ask them whether or not they want to ask you questions.

If you have questions for the company, OCC, or staff members, they will all be present after the hearing and you will have an opportunity to ask them questions at that time. Should you decide that you do not want to testify when I call your name, feel free to pass and you can still ask your questions after the hearing of any of the members of any of the various constituencies.

So the first person I have on the

1 list is a Mr. John Laws. Mr. Laws, could you come 2 up to the podium, please. 3 My name is John Laws, MR. LAWS: 1000 Norwood Drive, Sidney, Ohio. I'm a lifelong 4 5 resident of the community. MS. PIRIK: Thank you. Could you 6 7 please raise your right hand. 8 MR. LAWS: Yes, ma'am. 9 (WHEREUPON Mr. John Laws was 10 sworn.) 11 MR. LAWS: After reading quite a long brochure with a lot of fine print, I'd like to 12 13 just make a few comments. It's just been two years 14 ago when Vectren came and asked for an increase to 15 the PUCO. I think it was April of 2005, three 16 years coming up in April. At that time they were 17 going for a \$15.7 million increase if their article 18 That meant a 4.3 percent increase to 19 the customers' bills. That's only been three years 20 ago. 21 So I've got a little complaint in 22 that regard. I believe that's a little high for 23 asking for another increase right at this time. I

guess that's not bearing on what we're talking

about this evening.

24

I read today in the Dayton paper about the distribution of the gas and the auction I believe that to be a fine idea. of the rates. believe it would help all the residents that are tied in with Vectren. I'm not against Vectren. Ι think their company is good. They've been excellent with me all my live. I happen to know a good man that's a Vectren -- Don Burger. He's one of my close friends, very good friend. I'd just like to make sure that under the Ohio law, I guess, PUCO will make sure they have these bids and they will all have to abide by that same price.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only place that Vectren then would in turn to get our money would be the utility profit, that would have to come off the distribution of the gas itself. That's what I read in the newspaper, Dayton Daily News, today.

I'd like to throw two things out.

Residential sales service is going to go up about

7.8 percent if this is granted, and the whole thing
may not be relative tonight. Transportation

services, and that includes many things. I didn't

realize the transportation services met so many

things. But if the whole service thing would go

through, there would be a 34.36 percent on

1 residential customers. Of course, miscellaneous 2 charges for sales, services, and et cetera, would 3 go up 3.37 percent. If all these percentages went 4 through, the PUCO, you're talking about a 5 45 percent increase to residential customers. 6 sure that that's unjust to us. 7 I'd like to also commend PUCO. did write a letter to the PUCO in January, and I 8 9 wrote a letter to my governor Stickland and Senator 10 Fedor who is our 12th district senator in the State 11 of Ohio. I received a letter back just last 12 Saturday from PUCO, which I thank you for that. I've never received anything from the governor and 13 14 the senator. So certainly thank them too. 15 all I have, and I will answer any questions I 16 possibly can. Thank you. 17 MS. PIRIK: Our next witness is 18 Ms. Terry. 19 MS. TERRY: I prefer to pass 20 because he told me earlier that what we were 21 concerned with was the gas recovery charges and 22 that didn't pertain to this meeting. 23 MS. PIRIK: We can go off the 24 record for just a minute. 25 (WHEREUPON, discussion was held

off the record.)

MS. PIRIK: Go back on the record. In light of the fact that some of the issues that the witnesses are bringing up pertain to the rate case, I think what we will do is, I will talk with the staff that are here with me tonight and I will have them send you a note letting you know the case number of that case. That why you can track it, go online at the PUCO website, adopting and information service, and then you can look for that notice in the newspaper because there will be public hearings in that cases also. I think that would be appropriate.

But there are company personnel here tonight, if you have questions regarding that case and to the extent they can answer them, I know they would be willing to do that also.

Are there any other witnesses that would like to come before us to make public testimony? Hearing none, I will consider this hearing adjourned. Thank you.

(Hearing adjourned 7:21 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Moles-Werling, a Court Reporter and Notary Public do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcript of my notes taken in the above-styled case and thereafter