LARGE FILING SEPERATOR SHEET

07-551. El. AIR

CASE NUMBER: 07.552.EL-ATA

07.553- EL.AAM

07-554-EL.UNC

FILE DATE: 2.13-08

SECTION: 3 07 3

NUMBER OF PAGES: 21

DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT: Janscrista

EXHIBIT

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)	
)	
)	Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR
)	Case No. 07-552-EL-ATA
)	Case No. 07-553-EL-AAM
)	Case No. 07-554-EL-UNC
)	
)

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF

STEVEN E. OUELLETTE

ON BEHALF OF

OHIO EDISON COMPANY THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

	Management policies, practices, and organization
<u>X</u> _	Operating income
_	Rate base
	Allocations
	Rate of return
<u>X</u>	Rates and tariffs
	Other -Case Overview, Revenue Requirements Gross Rev. Conversion Factor

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.
- 2 A. My name is Steven E. Ouellette.
- 3 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME STEVEN E. QUELLETTE THAT PROVIDED
- 4 INITIAL TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
- 7 A. The purpose of my Supplemental Testimony is to address certain objections of Ohio
- 8 Edison Company ("OE"), The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company ("CEI")
- 9 and The Toledo Edison Company ("TE") (collectively, "Companies") to the Staff
- 10 Report that was filed with the Commission on December 4, 2007.
- 11 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE COMPANIES' OBJECTION THAT YOU WILL
- 12 **BE ADDRESSING.**
- 13 A. I will be addressing Objection No. V.b.1.
- 14 Q. DOES YOUR TESTIMONY REGARDING THESE OBJECTIONS APPLY
- 15 TO ALL THREE OPERATING COMPANIES?
- 16 A. Yes it does.
- 17 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE BASIS FOR THE COMPANIES' OBJECTION
- 18 NO. V.b.1
- 19 A. This objection deals with the up-front customer line extension payments in Section
- 20 VII Service Connections and Line Extensions of the Rates and Tariffs portion of
- 21 the Staff Report in which Staff unreasonably reduced the Companies proposed up-
- front line extension payments without explanation or support.

Q. PLEASE FURTHER EXPLAIN THE OPERATING COMPANIES' UNDERLYING RATIONALE FOR THEIR OBJECTION NO. V.b.1.

A. The basis for the Companies proposed up front line extension charges is the Commission's Opinion and Order approving the Companies Stipulation and Recommendation on line extension charges – Case Nos. 01-2708-EL-COI and 01-3019-EL-UNC ("Stipulation"). In its approval, the Commission correctly noted that the Stipulation, and the associated charges therein, (to which Staff itself was a supporting signatory party to such charges) was the product of serious bargaining among capable and knowledgeable parties, benefited ratepayers and the public interest, and did not violate any important regulatory principle or practice. In that proceeding Staff observed, pursuant to statute, that since line extensions constitute new distribution facilities, customers may be required to pay all or some of the reasonable, incremental cost associated with installation. The Companies' proposed up-front line extension charges in this proceeding support the policy of recovering reasonable incremental costs associated with installation and are consistent with the agreement reached among the parties in the Stipulation.

Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER REASONS TO SUPPORT THE COMPANIES' PROPOSED CHARGES?

A. Yes. The charges proposed by the Companies ensure that the Companies adequately recover their incremental line extension costs so that they can continue to build distribution facilities and thus fulfill their obligations to provide adequate service while providing for an equitable sharing of those costs among all customers requesting service from the new facilities. Without implementation of the proposed

1		charges, the Companies will not adequately recover the costs associated with line
2		extensions until the next base rate proceeding. Staff's reduction to up-front line
3		extension charges is unreasonable and unsupported and should be rejected.
4	Q.	WHY SHOULD THE COMPANIES BE PERMITTED TO RECEIVE 100%
5		OF DISTRBUTION RELATED LINE EXTENSION CHARGES FROM
6	:	CUSTOMERS TAKING SERVICE AT 69 KV AND ABOVE (GT
7		CUSTOMERS)?
8	A.	Without full, up-front recovery of distribution company line extension costs from
9		the GT customers (this distribution line extension would be for the construction of
10		either 1) a radial feed to the GT customer; or 2) the last span that connects the GT
11		customer to the transmission system), recovery of this relatively small component
12		of the cost to the customer will have to come from other ratepayers.

Yes, it does.

IEU - SET 3
Witness: Hussing

Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR, Case No. 07-552-EL-ATA, Case No. 07-553-EL-AAM, Case No. 07-554-EL-UNC

Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Distribution Service, Modify Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff Approvals

RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

IEU - SET 3 Ouestion-17 Toledo Edison Company Work Paper WPE-4.1p, page 5 of 5, lists a line item with the description "D, Muni, Voltage Discounts". For each customer classification listed, (RES, SECONDARY, PRIMARY, SUB-T, TRANSMISSION, Special Contract-PRIMARY, Special Contract-SECONDARY, Special Contract-TRANSMISSION, Street Ltg, Traffic and POL) please provide the derivation of the amounts identified with this line item, including a separate identification of the D, Muni and Voltage Discounts components and any subcomponents therein.

Response:

For each customer classification listed on WPE-4.1p, the amount on the line "D, Muni, Voltage Discounts" is comprised of distribution revenue, muni tax revenue, and discounts, (collectively, "subcomponents"). Please see "IEU Set 3 – 17_Attachment 1.xls" for the amounts associated with each subcomponent.

The total of each of these subcomponents is derived on Schedule E-4.1 (Current) based on the existing rate structure. The amounts from Schedule E-4.1 (Current) were then allocated to the customer classifications on WPE-4.1p based on historical average rates, where the average rates represented historical revenues (discounts) for each subcomponent divided by historical sales for the customers mapped to each customer classification.

	Discounts	Muni Tax	Distribution	10-11-10-11-1	
\$82,646,778	۳	\$231,453	_	RS	
\$40,774,726	(\$226,170)	3 336,813	\$40,663,083	GS	
\$9,709,856	(\$3,759,038)	\$37,482	\$13,431,412	GP	TARIFF
\$661,600	(\$347,720)	8	\$1,009,319	08 U	
\$2,977,501	(\$8,069,503)	\$24,396	\$8,722,610	GT I	
\$1,380,059	(\$29,088)	\$14,036	\$1,385,089	S	
\$303,134	(\$276,657)	\$294	\$579,497	GP	SPECIAL CO
\$6	so	8	8	GSU	NTRACT
(\$6,273,078)	(\$8,810,889)	\$ 0	\$3,637,811	GT	
\$5,693,309	\$0	SO	\$5,683,309	STL	
\$118,479	8	g	\$118,479	TRF	TARKE
\$1,365,365	\$0	**	\$1,365,356	POL	
\$140,057,719	(\$19,619,348)	\$644,473	\$159,032,593		TOTAL

()

Attachment 1

.

Original Filling

The Toledo Edisen Company Present Revenue Arabets		, F.	+	##.C	There	Tarif	Tuciff	Special Contact . Special Contact . Special Contract	Special Contract.	Ipedial Confract	Special Consula	Special Convect	t Hert	Tard	Tarif
Description	Total	Res	SEC	PRIMARY	Sue.7	TRANSM & XPMR CHARGE	THANSMISSION	BECONDARY	PRIMARY	808.7	TRANSM & XPMR CHARGE	TRANSM & TRAUSIMSSIOM	Street Lig	Truffic	ğ
					3			400000	707 500			4 44 9 9 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	9000 2000 2	118 470 · C	385 385
D, Muni, Voltage Discount	6140,067,083 \$52,646,778 \$40,774,728 \$ 8,708,000 \$ 8,708,000 \$ 4 0,778,000 \$ 8,888,000 \$ 8	\$ 52,046,778 \$ 11,340,020	\$ 40,74,728 \$ 8898 868	\$ 3,708,656 \$	110,892		2.080.613	\$ 500.182	100.67			\$ 329,569	\$ 918,791	13.256	56,335
State KWR Backout (5)	\$ (19,842,638)	\$ (9.453,493)	(18,842,635) \$ (8,483,493) \$ (4,385,437)	# (3,614,483)	_		\$ (1.523,253)	\$ (235,742)	\$ (102,496)			\$ (219,854) \$	(167,218) \$	(13,255) \$	(58,335)
Sett Assessor kivin Tax Credit Total	\$ (1,802,415) \$. \$. (4,967) \$. \$. (4,967) \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$	\$ \$ 84,524,205	\$ 45,271,198	\$ 10,064,024 S		•	\$ 2,403,843	\$ \$_11,644,510_1	\$ 308,207			\$ (4.629,829) \$ (6,629,829)	5,693,309 \$	118,479 \$	\$ 1.363.635
COS D Revenue COS D Kwn Tax Revenue COS Kwn Tax Revenue	\$118,512,730 \$73,183,285 \$38,374,332 \$ 8,071,058 \$ 19,942,536 \$ 0,483,430 \$ 4,396,437 \$ 3,614,463 \$ 7,084,856 \$ 1,877,427 \$ 4,501,429 \$ 368,503	\$73,163,286 \$ 8,483,493 \$ 1,877,427	\$ 38,374,332 \$ 4,395,437 \$ 4,501,429	\$ 8,071,059 \$ 3,614,463 \$ 368,503	276,831 110,892		\$ 322,830 \$ 1,523,253 \$ 557,380	\$ 736,742 \$ 236,742 \$ 264,650	\$ 200,636 \$ 102,498 \$ 6,073		 w w w	\$ (5,859,397) \$ \$ 219,864 \$ \$ 109,714 \$	5,505,994 \$ 167,316 \$	106,223 \$1	\$1,307,520 \$ 56,335

	≽	0	
) -	BURRAAR	Ę	
₫	3		
ĝ	ş	5	\$1,000
マロ の 日本	is Ye	8	1
	Σ		RATES
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY	COST OF SERVICE STUDY -	Test year ended 2/04 forecasted	
¥	Š	716	上人間の国と人
F	Ū	F	Ē.

IEU-Ohio Ex.

FRESENT RATES, \$1,000s											
RATE BASE	TOTAL	8 2	80	9	BUSO	5	7,TG	SLTG	ō	CONTRACT	
Plent in Service Depretation Reserve Nei Plent	785,202 (379,698) 405,604	490.866 (240,687) 249,679	208.112 (96.862) 111.281	20,820 12,882 1862 1864	86. 88. 88.	2,133 (858) 2,638	Eğ3	46,124 (28,747) 18,376	5,848 (2,738) 3,110	10,862 (3,164) 7,386	
Working Capital Allowanca Other Rale Bess (lerns Excluding RCP Rete Bess Other Total	5,894 28,416 32,410	2,366 19,335 21,700	2,633 6,322 7,858	2 5. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	885	27.3 349	 £	1,133	3.88 24.88 24.88		
Rate Base Subtotal	487.914	271,380	119,116	14,211		2,985	8	19,509	3,424	•	
DSM Deferral RCP Dathbulon Deferral Net of Tex RCP Fuel Deferral Net of Tex Reta Base Earning Cost of Debi	384 81,738 41,113	32,099 12,687 45,170	0 18,949 11,361 28,311	1,836 5,467 7,308	22.28		0 ¥ 5 \$	2557 256 513		535 535 7,836 7,391	
Total Mate Base	531,149	318,550	147,427	21,517	38	11,889	24.00	20,032	3,488	£90'&	
INCOME STATEMENT Revenue Total Tariff Revenue Total Other Revenue Total Revenue	146,141 11,287 187,428	24,526 7,806 92,331	45,271 2,382 47,663	10,04 287,01	388 8 ± 504	2,404.	5. 2	6.893 137 5.830	\$ C 5	(3,678) 361 (3,320)	
Expenses Total DEM Expense Total Depreciation Expense Total Americation Expense Tass Other than Income End CAT CAT Tax Total Operating Expense	81,006 25,834 8,879 63,673 251 169,543	57,410 15,811 4,011 27,879 147 105,069	80.85 845.87 145.75 15.85 85.85	2,808 1,557 1,588 1,198	3 3 8 8 5 ± 55	348 348 2 1 1 2 8 8 2 4 8 4 8 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	å•48°F	7. 2. 8. 8. 8. 8. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9.	22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	27.7 21.2 1.282.1 (3)	
Income Before Taxes	(12,116)	(12,728)	4,845	1,744	150	(978)	å	8	244	(6,381)	
Income taxes Current Local Income Tax Current State Income Tax Current Federal Income Tax Deferred Income Taxes Investment Tax Opedit Total Income Tax	(45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45)	(332) (458) (11,493) 3,532 (273) (8,524)	S. (5) (2) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8	# 25 88 12 # #	- 4-26	E : 25 : 25 : 25 : 25 : 25 : 25 : 25 : 2	o1480	. ©	<u> </u>	(84) (108) (2,2.18) (2,5.18) (3,5.18) (4,6.18)	
Net income After Tex	213	(3,404)	8.344	1,439	Ξ	(381)	Ħ	598	204	(3.892)	
Rate of Return	0.04%	-1.08%	8,00°,0	A.00%	11,64%	3.21%	14.5	4.29%	10.00 U	-42,84%	

CASE MG, DF-GRIFE, ANY
ANNUALSED TEST YEAR REVISIONS AT PROPOSED YE, MOST CARREST RATES
FOR THE TWELVE MONTHE BRIDED FEBRUARY 2008
EGG STROS SERVICE) THE TOLEDO EDIBON COMPANY

data- 2 martime actual - 9 months estrabiliza Tipe of Fring: Air citated Work paper reprence maskyppea, schedule each

- FEE 250

BOHECULE EN (CURRENT) PAGE 1 OF 1 WITHERE, G. HUBBING

i i 4.6 2007.0T G1,788,445) 59 8158,162,192 11.00.74 10.00.74 10.00.00 10.00.00 10.00.00 10.00.00 10.00.00 10.00.00 H 10,669 10/10/11 PUBL COST CURRENT VOTAL PENDAUS REVENUE ACHII 11,009 \$2,166,028 222 3#24 (250, 100) (4.7) 12,16,180 (19,42) (18,00,141) (1,44) SELECTION SELECT (TEMPERED) HEMBRUS PICK LESS FUS. COST REV (F40) \$1,390,971 \$ 12.20 #1250,1M \$70,538,798 N OF REV TO TOTAL LESS TOTAL COST TOTAL TO 4 S 50 50 90.00v ¥ 25442525 313 3 g 1821 (61,742,447) 611,828,380 58,522,813 MORE 12.187.12 12.005.12 12.005.12 12.005.12 12.005.12 13.005 ROT 413 \$0.0148 \$15E,15E,16Z \$2,188,028 F1.444.F81 CUMPLET ANNUALIZE #0700F 30.00 1,844,742 64,150,241 62,707,227 16,863,369 339,142,861 1,060,44,813 06.437,041 82,003,004 3,104,003 3,104,003 49.619.513 10,863,657,274 BILLING UNTS COMUS CO \$5.288,A48 \$6,001,186 4.508,948,294 1,104 101,742,038 200 3.611.786 20° - 10° 2 S 4 ä CUSTOMEN TRUE CONTROVAL GLECTRIC PROCESS HEATHO AND ELECTRIC GESTAGE SETTING WAVENINGS TOWNS AND GLECTRIC GESTAGE HEATHOF THAT GENERAL WESTAGE SETTINGS (SHEET W) COSAT LANGUE CHERTHE, FEATHOR (SHEET W) COSAS CANTROCK HEATHOR (SHEET W) COSAS CANTROCK HEATHOR SATE YOU IN THE YOUNG WHITE YOU HAVE SHEET WIS TOWN THE YOUNG WHITE YOU HAVE SHEET WIS TOWN THE YOUNG BEDEFING OFFICIAL HANDO BATE (\$1657 13)
BEDEFING OFFICIAL, HANDO BATE (\$1657 14)
BEDEFING, ANTE (WATER REATING) (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, ANTE (WATER REATING) (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, ANTE (WATER PEATING) (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, ANTE (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, ANTE (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, ANTE (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, \$16187 16)
BEDEFING, \$16187 (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, \$16187 (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, \$16187 (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, \$16187 (\$16187 16)
BEDEFING, \$16187 16)
B Mera, befevor blædfing æfaca coronionens Ret ets HINERTS 2-0)
FINAL BANK WATER RATH WHAT
BRIEFS 6-11
FROM BANK (BRIEF 40)
CAN RATE (BRIEF 41)
CAN RATE (BRIEF 42) AND WASHE WATER HATE WIR.1" BRIT BERRET LIGHTING BERINCE 'BLI' (SMEETS 71) TOTAL LIGHTING CLASS DESCRIPTION epecial Continacts (Manala), Bulls other misc Total 1880 TOTAL CONFINA 28 S

a

2 25422

z N

222 2

1. For the PCL, and STLF the Custoner bits 4. Current recent to includes dividualism, div 5. Revenue frances in beauted on the proper

THE TOLEDCO DIS COMPANY
CASE NO. WESSI-ELAIR
ANNUALIZED TEST YEAR REVENUES AT MOST CURRENT RATES
FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED: FEBRUARY 2008
(GLECTRIC SERVICE)

DATA - 3 MONTHS ACTUAL - 9 MONTHS ESTIMATED
TYPE OF FILING: ARE UPDATE
WORK PAPER REFERENCE NO(3): WPE-4.14 - WPE-4.14, SCHEDULE 6-2

SCHEDULE E-4.1 (CURRENT) Page 27 of 48 WITNESS: G. HUSSING

કે [.]				CLARRENT PRIVENUE LESS	NOTAL LESS	HEVENAE NOR LESS	% INCR IN REV LESS		CURRENT	TOTAL REVENUE %
JORSVID BOOK	SULS SULS	PILING CHITS	RATES	PURI COST REVENUE	REVENUE	REV (7-10	FLIEL COGT FIEV (MAK)	FUEL COST REVENTA	REVENUE K+10	MCREAS (AACC)
(B)	(2)	ē	\$	8	а	(M)	£	Ē	(KT)	(Q)
obetagobet general service heating rate (sheet as)			Ê	æ	€	€	£	3	Đ.	æ
WHITER WATHOUT DEMAND METER DRITTERENTION CHARGES										
CLUSTOMER CYANGES BINGLE TA-VASE REPAYIDE TA-V			\$5,4000	\$80,483 \$21 344	94.	85	•	21	280,085	•
EMERGY CHANGE: PREST 1000 KAN, PER KAN		A 125 RA	2000] ;	₹ 5	•	2 S		•
ALL ADDITIONAL KWA, PER KWA TOTAL DESTREAMENTON CHARGES	Jan 19	8 283.661 6 terr 200	\$0.03 8		228	2 52 5	•	2 22	111.0	
TOTAL WINTER	19201	9,286,209		\$400,578	23.77	8		2 2	\$460,376	
REPTRICTORIES DISCOLOG TRANSCOMER DISCOLOG TRAIT TRANSCOME				3 2(1-5-	350	2		8	96 A	ľ
STATE KNIH TAK ; FIRM 2000 MMs, PER MM MENT 12000 MMs, PER MM		20,142,142 24,448,190	10.0047	#107,811 ON ANX	\$ 6 6 6	28		25	\$107.611	
All Excess over 18000 man, per unit		37.72.22 37.72.22 33.44.52	10.0095	691,7018 GBI 1995	1,88 11,78	22		2 SE SE	\$137,340 \$350,140	
STATERWH 7AX BACKOUT GREDIT:		217 000 710		438,100	(21,78)	9	,	8	(389,15 9	
ETATE ISMH TAX GEL CABBERGOR CORECT; PREST 2000 MAIN, PER MAIN MENT 15001 MAIN, PER MAIN MAIL EXCERNING FOR MAIN PER MAIN		30,047 113,677	48.09c7 48.09c2 48.05c2	호 중 중 3	(1474) (1474) (1474)	222	• • •	332	2 4 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	, ,
		47.9TB		Ē	900	4		=	Į.	
TOTAL GBBT1, DBBT3	23,074	95.457.041		\$1,764,794	190,00	8		8	51,786,794	•

IEU-Ohio Ex.

THE TOLEDO DN COMPANY
CASE NO. 3-7567-EL-AIR
ANNUALIZED TEST YEAR REVENUES AT MOST CURRENT RATES
FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED: FEBRUARY 2008
(ELECTRIC SERVICE)

DATA - 3 MONTHS ACTUAL - 8 MONTHS ESTIMATED TYPE OF FILING: AIR UPDATE WORK PAPER REFERENCE NO(5): WPE-4.12 - WPE-4.14, SCHEDULE E-2

rie de autoria en la companie de la SCHEDULE E-4.1 (CURRENT) Page 28 of 48 WITNESS: G. HUSSING

RATE COOPE (A)	GLABBOES CONTION	CUSTOMER SILLS	BILLING UNITS	MOST CURRENT RATES	CURRENT REVENUE LEGS PUBL COST REVENUE (60	A OF REV TO TOTAL 1888 FUEL COST REVENUE	MEVENER PROFILESS FUEL COST REV (F-4)	A INCR IN A IV LIBES A UR. COST REV (IMC)	FUEL COST REVENUE	CURRENT TOTAL REVENUE (K++)	TOTAL PENERALIE S. PACKEASE (MRK1)
OS647 LP	LARGE GENERAL SERVIÇE (B) MET 61)				æ	Ž	S)	Œ	£	2	Ē
	GRATHMATICAL CACARGOSE: DEMAND CHARACE POR THE RIPET BOD DAY, PER KWY POR THE RIPET STOD DAY, PER KWY POR THE RIPET STOD DAY, PER KWY POR TAL ENCEGES (NR. PER NOW KOR LAL ENCEGES (NR. PER NOW		204,604.8 284,23.8 704,785.6	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$1,040,440 \$1,446,882 N3,220,487	51,36 44,46 102,07	282	* * *	888	31,840,416 11,403,462 83,220,497	
TOTAL DIST	CUSTONES CHANGE: THE MANY TOUR THE CHANGE AT PRIMARY LEVEL BHALL BE: THE BASET TANGENES CHALLEYE, CLESTONEST CHANGE SHALL BE: THE BASET TANGENES CHALEYE, CUSTONEST CHANGE SHALL BE: TOTAL DISTRIBUTION CHANGE	416 92.57142957 160.4216714 77	1988	\$291,0000 \$1,460,0000 \$1,360,0000	#14.210 #154.220 #154.200 #11.100.00	3755	2222		2222	14.28 14.28 14.28 14.28 14.28 14.28	
TOTAL TRA	TEANGSCRIABECTARGE: PER INV TOTAL TRANSPORMER CHARGE	• •	1,657,571.)	200	17000 SEE	562	82		22	100 PM 100 BY	
PERCTIME.	reactival delayors: Per ann Total reactive delayar consider	, ,	365,411.0	90.4800	918, ATT 20 518, 867 20	28.82 28.82 28.82	88	•	22	5164,UST 284	
TOTAL MAIN			01800/2057200		<u>.</u>		}				
TOTAL	•	æ	100.00		37,760,239	240,14	ä	•	\$	87, 704,X28	1
A CONTRACTOR	SECULAR SECULATION OF THE SECULAR SECU		-		4,28,30	133 PED GA71	 	.],	8 8	4.20.FF	
STATE KW	ETATE KOMETALL: HERT 6200 KMPL, PER LANN HERT 6200 KMPL, PER LANN ALL EDGES DVET 1800 KMPL, PER LANN TOTAL STATE KMP 724	•	1.349.784 1,771.384 606.190.300	\$0.00.7 \$0.00.2 \$0.00.00	\$6.274 \$504.700 \$2,199.746	8 는 8 년 8 는 8 년	2222		2222	\$4,271 \$34,780 \$2,08,780	
STATE IOM	STATE KAM TAK BADKOLIT OPEDIT.		10,000		04/84/44	(NOV)	æ			23,39,260	
MINITOTE NA	ATATE KWH TAK SELF-KRABBORG CHENT. FAREY 5000 KWA, PRE KWN MATT 1500 KWA, FRE KRN ALL BIXEBO CHER 18000 WAA, FER KWN TOKAL STATE KWH TAX SELF-ABRESHOW DREDYF		82.176 \$14,775 64.994,402 67.297,046	-80,0047 -\$0,0042 -\$0,0056	2454 2454 2454 2454 2454	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	3 4 8 8	. , .	2232	41.416 41.416 54.516	
TOTAL 68647	-	1	***************************************		1 1 1 1 1						

. .

IEU – SET 1
Witness: Hussing

Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR, Case No. 07-552-EL-ATA, Case No. 07-553-EL-AAM, Case No. 07-554-EL-UNC

Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Distribution Service, Modify Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff Approvals

RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

IEU - SET 1 Question- 11 On Updated Schedule E-4, (proposed) for Toledo Edison Company, cost of service study, the test year total tariff revenues from contract customers is listed as a negative \$3,377,761. Please explain how distribution revenues from this customer class can be negative.

Response:

Total test year distribution revenues, as presented on Schedule E-4 (Proposed), include distribution discounts. For transmission customers, the absolute value of the amount of distribution discounts including voltage discounts (\$6,729,615) exceeds the amount of revenue from distribution charges (\$1,810,159), which results in total net test year distribution revenues of negative \$4,919,456. GS Secondary and GP Primary are both positive and sum to \$1,541,693. Please see the response to IEU RPD Set 1 - 11 for more details.

IEU -RPD - SET 1 Witness: Hussing

Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR, Case No. 07-552-EL-ATA, Case No. 07-553-EL-AAM, Case No. 07-554-EL-UNC

Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Distribution Service, Modify Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff Approvals

RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

IEU --RPD SET 1 Please provide all writings, documents, work papers or other material referenced in, referred to, and/or supporting the Response to Interrogatory No. 11 above.

Question-11

Response:

Please see "IEU RPD Set 1-11_Attachment 1.xls" for a breakdown of total net test year distribution revenues for special contract customers, as referenced in

the response to IEU Set 1 - 11.

The Toledo Edison Company

SPC-Unique Revenue	Total Distribution	Distribution Discounts*	State kWh Tax Revenue	State kWh Tax Backout Credit	Total Revenue
GS - SECONDARY	\$1,186,608	(\$196,642)	\$307,697	(\$120,653)	\$1,177,010
GP - PRIMARY	\$450,976	(\$97,611)	\$129,778	(\$118,459)	\$364,684
GSU-SUBTRANSMISSION	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
GT - TRANSMISSION	\$1,710,998	(\$6,729,615)	\$99,161	\$0	(\$4,919,456)
TOTAL					

^{*}Includes voltage, substation, and transformer discounts, as well as special contract discounts.

IEU – SET 2
Witness: Hussing

Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR, Case No. 07-552-EL-ATA, Case No. 07-553-EL-AAM, Case No. 07-554-EL-UNC

Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Distribution Service, Modify Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff Approvals

RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

IEU – SET 2 Question- 39 In response to IEU-Ohio's Request for Production of Documents (RPD), Set One, Question 11, the company produced a spreadsheet listing the derivation of current distribution revenues for customers currently served under special contracts. The spreadsheet noted that amounts listed as distribution discounts included voltage, substation, transformer, as well as special contract discounts. For each customer class listed in the response to IEU-Ohio RPD Set One, Question 11 (GS-secondary, GP-Primary, and GT-transmission), what are the specific amounts, by customer class, associated with voltage, substation, transformer as well as special contract discounts that sum to the totals listed in the response to IEU-Ohio RPD Set One, Question 11?

a. How were the amounts associated with the response to Interrogatory Number 39 above identified as special contract discounts derived?

Response:

()

Please see IEU-SET 2 #39 Attachment 1.xls for the specific revenue amounts associated with voltage, substation, transformer, and special contract discounts.

a. The special contract discounts referenced above primarily consist of schools discounts associated with the Energy for Education Program. These discounts were derived in accordance with the contracts underlying this program.

The Toledo Edison Company
Revenues for Specific Discounts
(From the Update Filing)

IEU - SET 2 #39 Attachment 1.xls

TOTAL		GSU - SUBTRANSMISSION	GP - PRIMARY \$	GS - SECONDARY \$1,	SPC-Unique Revenue Dis				
	\$1,710,998	\$0	\$450,976	\$1,186,608	Distribution	Total			
(\$5,965,594) (\$796,532)	(\$6,962,441) (\$767,174)	\$0	(\$704)	(\$2,449)	Discounts	Voltage			
(\$796,532)	(\$767,174)	\$0	(\$15,921)	(\$13,437)	Discounts	Substation			
(\$39,696)	\$0	\$0	(\$7,761)	(\$31,935)	Discounts Discounts Discounts	Substation Transformer Contract			
(\$39,696) (\$222,046)] (\$7,02)	\$0	80	(\$73,224)				Special		
(\$7,023,868)	(\$6,729,615)	\$0	(\$97,611)	(\$196,642)	Discounts	Distribution	Total		
	\$99,161		\$129,778	\$307,697	Revenue	Tax	State KWh		
	\$0	80	(\$118,459)	(\$120,653)	Credit	Backout	Тах	State KWh	F
	(\$4,919,456)	\$0	\$364,684	\$1,177,010	Total Revenue				TO - CH - 2 1700 1 10000 (11110) 111 1110

THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O	"Manager and the control of the con	r al le al le le la calabata de l'astronome d'artal morte de l'allège de la laterate de la calabate de l'artal
Bank to the control of the control o	The first of the control of the first of the control of the contro	The standard field in the standard and the standard from the standard from the standard of the standard from the standar
\$400.0000 to the control of the cont		TO SELECTION OF THE SEL

New Teacher Orientation

Thursday, August 16, 2007 through Monday, August 20, 2007 Tuesday, August 21, 2007 Wednesday, August 22, 2007 Wednesday, Thursday, August 22-23, 2007

General Staff Meeting First Day for Students

August 22-23, 2007 Friday, August 24, 2007 Orientation Days for Kindergarten (Students and Parents) First Day for Kindergarten (Students only)

Monday, September 3, 2007

Labor Day - NO SCHOOL

Friday, October 12, 2007 Friday, October 26, 2007 NEOEA Day - NO SCHOOL End of First 9 Week Period

46 Days

Tuesday, November 6, 2007 Wednesday, November 21, 2007

Thursday-Friday,

Election Day - NO SCHOOL Parent Conference Day - NO SCHOOL

November 22-23, 2007 Monday, November 26, 2007 Fall Recess - NO SCHOOL School Resumes

Saturday, December 22, 2007 through Friday, January 4, 2008

Winter Recess - NO SCHOOL

Monday, January 7, 2008 Friday, January 18, 2008 Friday, January 18, 2008 Monday, January 21, 2008 School Resumes
End of Second 9 Week Period

46 Days 92 Days

End of First Semester

Martin Luther King Day - NO SCHOOL

Friday, February 15, 2008 Monday, February 18, 2008 District Inservice - NO SCHOOL FOR STUDENTS President's Day - NO SCHOOL

Thursday, March 20, 2008 Friday, March 21 through Friday, March 28, 2008 Monday, March 31, 2008 End of the Third 9 Week Period Good Friday and

41 Days

Spring Recess – NO SCHOOL School Resumes

Monday, May 26, 2008

Memorial Day - NO SCHOOL

TO BE ANNOUNCED Wednesday, June 4, 2008 Wednesday, June 4, 2008 Wednesday, June 4, 2008 Thursday, June 5, 2008 High School Graduation End of Fourth 9 Week Period End of Second Semester

47 Days 88 Days

Last Day for Students

Records Day

	Student Days	Professional Days
In 2007	82	84
In 2008	98 180	100 184
TOTAL	180	184

In the event that it is necessary to make-up days of school because of excessive school cancellations during the winter of 2007-2008, High School Graduation will be announced; June 4, 2008 will no longer be the Last Day for Students, the End of the Second Semester, nor the End of the Fourth 9 Week Period; and June 5, 2008 will no longer be Records Day. Instead, school will continue on weekdays without interruption beyond June 4, 2008 until a legally sufficient number of days has been "made up." High School Graduation will be on the next to last day of student attendance, and Records Day will be the first week day after the last day of student attendance. This calendar is subject to change by the Board of Education.

5/06

Ohio Schools Council – Set 1 Witness: Hussing

Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR, Case No. 07-552-EL-ATA, Case No. 07-553-EL-AAM, Case No. 07-554-EL-UNC

Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Distribution Service, Modify Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff Approvals

RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

OSC Set 1 No. 21 Referring to page 7 of Gregory F. Hussing's direct testimony, please provide a narrative detailing the historic basis for "school rates" for TE and CEI, including the cost of service basis for the rate design. Specifically address the supporting load research compared to other general service customers.

Response:

The proposed distribution rate schedules are differentiated by service voltage. Specific legacy schedules such as "School rates" are not being proposed in this case, therefore an analysis of such a rate was not performed.