FILE



## **COMMENT FORM**

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the REX East Project

Please include your name and address so that we can add you to our malling the like to receive subsequent information on the project. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your comments.

Name: Charlege Storck-Stump Ted and Sarah Storck

**Deadline to Submit Comments:** 

January 14, 2008

Address: 2160 Krucker Rd Hamilton Oh 45013

charlenestu @ CS. COM

Please provide comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). Please provide any edits, changes, or additions for the Final EIS. Please be as specific as possible in referencing the Draft EIS. 1-9-0%

DOCKET NO. CP 01-20	8 - 800
Tract No. OH-BU-	105.000
We will be reout	esting a variance pipeline through our station will be provided
ON the proposed	orneling through our
Deanerty Documen	itation will be provided
The property of the property o	
The information pro	vided tonight has been
110111	
Thank you	× × ×
	RECEIVED
	JAN 2 3-2008
	SAN 2 S-EUU
	DOCKETING DIVISION
	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

CIGINA

			<del></del>	<del></del>
	<del></del>			
			<del></del> _—	
Comments may be subm	nitted at a public m	secting or mailed	to:	
Kimberly D. Bose, Secre Federal Energy Regulat 888 First Street NE, Roc Washington, DC 20426	tory Commission			
		·		
	•			
				Diene
				Place Stamp Here

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street NE, Room 1A Washington, DC 20426 Charling

Stump
Jed +
Sarah
Stone

Docket
CP61-208-000



ala Duce - Par Row Diker

Stung Charlene Starce - Led + Sacal 2140 Kucker Id.

Docket No. CP07-208-000 Tract No. OH-BU-105,000

Concerning the proposed REX pipeline, we are requesting that the path of the pipeline through our property be changed. As currently planned, the pipeline dissects our roughly rectangular property diagonally, entering at the southwest corner and exiting at the northeast corner. Along the way, the pipeline makes a 70°, 250 foot jog to the north, crossing an already existing power line easement before resuming its original course to the northeast. The pipeline and power line easements do not run parallel, overlap, or abut against one another. Instead, on either side of the jog, the distance separating the two easements increases slowly. Consequently, a strip of land of varying width is created between the easements, both northeast and southwest of the jog that, because of its relatively small width, is rendered useless for development. We believe that failure to run closely parallel to or preferably abut against the existing power line easement unnecessarily reduces the utility and potential market value of our property.

We propose that the path of the pipeline through our property be changed in one of two ways. Instead of crossing the property diagonally, the pipeline could enter the property at the southeast corner and from there run parallel to the south property line and as near to that property line as possible. At the eastern edge of the property, the pipeline could jog north, running parallel to the eastern property line and as near to that property line as possible, until it meets the originally designated pipeline path at the northeast corner of the property. This path would minimize damage to the best cropland on the property and eliminate the small strips of land created by intersecting easements.

Alternatively, the pipeline could run diagonally through our property as is currently planned except that it would run parallel to and share an easement boundary with the power line (i.e. the two easements would abut against one another). The pipeline would turn north near the eastern boundary of the property and rejoin the original pipeline path at the northeast corner of the property. Under this option, the impact of easements on the potential uses of the land would be minimized.



