06-1142-GA-BIN

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

January 10, 2008

2008 JAN 14 AM 8: 56

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First St. NE, Room 1A Washington, DC 20426

**PUCO** 

Reference Docket No. CP07-208-000

Dear Secretary,

This letter is to serve as follow up to a meeting held January 9, 2008 for the purpose of FERC receiving comments regarding the published DEIS for the REX-East Project. The FERC representative who conducted this meeting was Mr. Doug Sipe. Landowner Dean Mowrey spoke at the meeting and asked Mr. Sipe if it was FERC's policy to follow existing right a ways in a purposed project. Mr. Sipe's response was that it was a REQUIREMENT to co-locate with existing right a ways. Mr. Mowrey then ask why the Mowrey alternative had not been recommend in the DEIS, considering it follows existing right a ways over 96% of the time, while the route purposed by REX co-located only 27% of the time. Mr. Mowrey also noted that the existing line is a Dominion line, a company that has already shown interest in purchasing gas from Rockies. Mr. Sipe had no answer. Mr. Mowrey then continued to explain while the Mowrey Alternative would cross land that he owned, it was land that already had a pipeline on it, land that was known to be a poor water source, where the land affected by the REX route was known to be an excellent water source. When ask why you would place the pathway where water is known to be a valuable resource when a potential pathway already exist where water is not available, Mr. Sipe had no response. Mr. Sipe then ask Mr. Mowrey if FERC had this information and he indicated that comment letters had been sent. It is worth noting that a least two additional landowners in the course of the evening ask why the Mowrey Alternative was not being used, and showed support for this route.

As the commission moves forward in the process toward a FEIS, it must continue to consider the Mowrey Alternative. Through further evaluation and possible feasible slight variations, the commission will find that the Mowrey alternative offers a pathway that minimizes new environmental impact and meets the REQUIREMENT of FERC by colocating with existing right a ways over 90% of the time. To not incorporate the Mowrey Alternative in this project is a violation of FERC'S own requirements.

Respectfully Submitted

May R Many

Nancy R. Mowrey Landowner/taxpayer

20080111-5021	FERC PDF	(Unofficial)	01/11/2008	11:11:33	AM
---------------	----------	--------------	------------	----------	----

Submission Contents	
comment letter Nancy Mowrey on doc CP07-208, Rockies East Project Jan10.doc····································	1-1