ne ₍	EINED		
2007 OL		BOCKEN	Wm.
•		<i>'' '' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '</i>	A. A.
رم n of ohio	/^	4:	4
N OF OHIO)	

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Amendment of Certain)	
Rules to Revise Language Requirements)	Case No. 07-1042-AU-ORD
On Case No. 07-1042-AU-ORD Utility Bills and)	
Other Documents.)	

REPLY COMMENTS OF AT&T

Pursuant to the Commission's Entry dated October 3, 2007, AT&T submits these reply comments. AT&T¹ had no objection to the Commission's proposed rule changes, and as such, did not file initial comments. AT&T, however, does have concerns with the Consumer Coalition's initial comments filed in this docket on October 18, 2007. In general, AT&T supports the Commission's proposed language over the Consumer Coalition's because it is clear and more concise.

The Consumer Coalition proposes that the Commission should require that the relevant contact information, absent a waiver, appear as soon as possible on all utility materials and in any event "no later than 30 days after the effective date of the rules." This proposal is unreasonable and must be rejected. It is well known that the planning and programming required to make modifications to the customer's bill and to disconnection notices take significantly more time than 30 days. Because the Consumer Coalition is questioning the Commission's proposed changes as set forth in its original comments, companies cannot yet begin to plan, order and implement these changes until the

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician TM Date Processed 10/21,2007

¹ AT&T includes The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Ohio, AT&T Communications of Ohio, Inc., TCG Ohio, Inc., and SBC Long Distance, LLC d/b/a AT&T Long Distance.

Commission provides specific language and direction in its subsequent opinion and order. To support its request, the Consumer Coalition relies on a false conclusion that the utilities had expeditiously removed the Office of Consumers' Counsel's contact information in previous dockets. The removal of that information required additional planning and programming that took a significant amount of time, far more than 30 days to complete the project. Therefore, the Consumer Coalition's proposal should be rejected.

Furthermore, the timeframe for the new and additional modifications in this docket should be coordinated with the changes that are required under the MTSS rule changes in Case No. 05-1102-TP-ORD, some of which will take effect January 1, 2008, and the disconnection notice rule that will take effect June 1, 2008. These new modifications, with multiple effective dates, will clearly complicate the effort to meet these established timeframes, may result in waiver requests for additional time because of programming constraints, and add incremental costs to utilities. While AT&T has been working diligently to keep the MTSS modifications on track, it proposes that the deadline required in this docket and the MTSS rulemaking docket be uniform. This would eliminate the confusion involved with multiple changes being required on multiple pieces of information.

Finally, AT&T supports AEP Ohio's request made in its initial comments that the Commission make clear in its order that affected utilities will be permitted to use any bill stock on hand or already ordered before being required to begin using new bill stock in conformance with any such modifications. This is a sound approach and has been previously allowed.

In making such decisions, the Commission should recognize that modifications caused by this docket result in incremental costs that are not incurred by all of AT&T's competitors, e.g., VoIP and wireless providers. To the extent such costs are not incurred by all competitors, the imposition of such costs is a competitive disadvantage.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Ryan Penlon (Counsel of Record)

Jon F. Kelly

AT&T

150 E. Gay St.

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 223-3302

Its Attorneys

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served this 25th day of October, 2007, by first class mail, postage prepaid where noted, on the parties shown below.

APPALACHIAN PEOPLE'S

ACTION COALITION

Janine Migden-Ostrander Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485

OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

AARP OHIO

Ron Bridges AARP Ohio 17 South High Street, Suite 800 Columbus, OH 43215-3467

CITY OF TOLEDO

Kerry Bruce Leslie A. Kovacik City of Toledo 420 Madison Avenue, Fourth Floor Toledo, OH 43604

COUNSEL FOR OHIO PARTNERS FOR AFFORDABLE ENERGY

David C. Rinebolt 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, Ohio 45839Michael R. Smalz Ohio State Legal Services Association 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215

COUNSEL FOR EMPOWERMENT CENTER OF GREATER CLEVELAND, CONSUMERS FOR FAIR UTILITY RATES AND THE NEIGHBORHOOD ENVIRONMENTAL COALTION

Joseph P. Meissner Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

CITY OF CLEVELAND

Harold Madorsky Robert Triozzi City of Cleveland City Hall, Room 106 601 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114

COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP OF THE GREATER DAYTON AREA

Ellis Jacobs Advocates for Basic Legal Equality, Inc. 333 West First Street, Suite 500. Dayton, OH 45402

COLUMBUS SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY AND OHIO POWER COMPANY

Marvin Resnik American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, OH 43215