## BEFORE

## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Intrado	)	
Communications, Inc. for Authority to	)	
Provide 9-1-1 Emergency Services	)	Case No. 07-941-TP-UNC
Throughout the State of Ohio.	)	

## <u>ENTRY</u>

## The Commission finds:

- (1) On August 22, 2007, Intrado Communications, Inc. (Intrado) filed an application requesting that the Commission grant it authority to provide 9-1-1 emergency services throughout the State of Ohio.
- (2) On September 14, 2007, Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company, LLC (CBT) moved to intervene. In addition to filing a motion to intervene, CBT filed a memorandum in opposition to the application and the motion for protective order.
- (3) On September 28, 2007, Intrado filed a motion to extend for one week the time for filing responsive pleadings.
- (4) On October 1, 2007, Intrado filed a notice that it withdraws its application. Intrado requests that the Commission close the record in this proceeding.
- (5) Pursuant to the request of the applicant, this matter shall be dismissed and closed of record. As a consequence, the motion for extension of time is rendered moot.
- (6) Along with its application, Intrado sought protection of Exhibit D. Exhibit D contains documents relating to the company's emergency services processes, call processing, and other information that Intrado deems proprietary. Intrado alleges that public disclosure of the information would damage the company competitively and financially. In addition, Intrado believes that public disclosure of the information would negatively impact the consuming public.
- (7) On September 14, 2007, CBT filed a memorandum in opposition to the motion for protective order. CBT argues that Intrado does not cite any authority to support its request for

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician 5 10 Date Processed 10/10/67

confidential treatment. In short, CBT contends that the motion for protective order does not meet the requirements of Rule 4901-1-24(D), Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.). Moreover, CBT argues that Intrado cannot seek authority to provide services while simultaneously claiming that the services are secret. CBT urges the Commission to deny the motion for protective order.

(8) Upon reviewing the information contained in Exhibit D, the Commission believes that it would be prudent, at this time, to grant the material protective status. Granting protective status where a party withdraws an application will maintain the status quo and avoid any unnecessary and unpredictable adverse consequences. Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(F), O.A.C., Exhibit D shall remain under protective status for a period of 18 months.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That this matter is dismissed and the motion for extension of time is moot. It is, further,

ORDERED, That, pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24, O.A.C., the motion for protective order is granted for a period of 18 months beginning from the date of this entry. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon Intrado and its counsel, CBT and its counsel, and all interested persons of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Alan R. Schriber, Chairman

Paul A Centolella

Valerie A. Lemmie

Ronda Hartman Fergus

Donald L. Mason

LDJ:ct

Entered in the Journal

OCT 1 0 2007

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary