

FILE

ORIGINAL

06-1142-GA-BIN 2

August 10, 2007

Secretary
Federal Regulatory Energy Commission
888 First St. NE
Washington, DC 20426

AUG 20 A 11:39

RE: Rockies Express Pipeline LLC; Docket No. CP07-208-000

Dear Secretary,

This letter is to follow up on a site visit made on August 8, 2007 to the Caesarscreek State park region. Those present included landowners Nancy & Dean Mowrey, numerous individuals associated with Rockies Express, including Jim Thompson, representatives from ODNr, PUCO and the FERC environmental team, including Medha Kochhar Ph.D.

As an affected landowner in the REX-East project I was notified by mail in June of 2006 of the purposed project. Nowhere on that correspondence did it state the actual address of the property Rockies was seeking to obtain easement on. Because I own more than one property in Warren Co., I made the assumption they were inquiring about the Gard Rd. property I own, because it has an existing pipeline on it and it only made sense they would want to use the existing right a way. It never occurred to me that REX-East would purpose an entirely different route not utilizing existing right a ways. WRONG! As time passed it became clear (although not stated anywhere on their correspondence), that they were referring to the property I own on Compton Rd. in Warren Co., where I have invested a significant amount of money in a residence and other improvements. This property has NO existing pipeline right a way on it and was selected for our residence because of the unique stand of mature woods it has on it. It was made clear to Rockies personnel early on that we were not interested in granting them any type of easement on this property, that we were not interested in their compensation. It was suggested that they seek an alternative route and the easement on the Gard Rd. property was even mentioned. Rockies personnel made NO effort to seek an alternative and our suggestions were promptly ignored. Considering there are two pipeline right a ways in the immediate area, Rockies failure to even consider an alternate route shows what little regard they have for the landowner. For the Rockies organization to state in their application that they have worked with the landowners to establish alternate routes, rings very hollow with us.

As a result of Rockies refusal to seek alternative routes we felt we had no alternative but to express our thoughts at this site visit. When a map of the existing right a way was shown to the FERC team and Rockies team, Mr. Jim Thompson from Rockies, stated that they never even considered this route. FERC representative Medha Kochhar then suggested that they do so. Mr. Jim Thompson stated that this would be a major reroute for them and would require obtaining survey permissions etc... Medha Kochhar then suggested that they do a "Desk Top" study and submit it to FERC, but they at least needed to look at the route. Mr. Jim Thompson stated they would do so. This alternate

2007 SEP 25 PM 3:26

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician AM Date Processed 9/25/07

route would follow existing right a ways and route the pipeline around the south portion of Caesarscreek Lake. A map is enclosed highlighting the purposed alternative route, which would minimize additional environmental impact to the region. While to a certain extent I feel like the "desk top" study was a jester made by FERC to appease us for the moment, I do hope that in they end they will see the validity in this proposed reroute.

We felt it necessary to submit this letter as documentation of the request made by FERC. It has been our history with Rockies personnel that they provide lip service, but rarely follow up on their promises. We will watch the FERC website postings closely to see if this "Desk Top" study is indeed done and submitted. We can only hope that the Rockies team gives this route the true consideration it deserves and does not simply report "something" to appease the FERC team.

We continue to be amazed and appalled at the conduct of the Rockies organization in this purposed project. Rockies blatant abuse of the affected landowner and of the whole regulatory process, (which relies too heavily on the assumption that pipeline companies will treat landowners and the commission with honesty and integrity), is simply WRONG. We are equally puzzled by the fact that FERC allows such behavior with no reprimands, and is even considering rewarding such behavior by granting Rockies permission to go ahead with this project.

As the commission continues to review this application we can only hope that they will put the interest of the environment and the American citizen above that of a for profit business venture.

Thank you for time and consideration in this matter. Thank you also for giving us the opportunity to express our concerns through site vists.

Respectfully Submitted,



Mr. Dean Mowrey
Concerned Landowner/taxpayer