

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2007 AUG 29 AM 10:20
PUCO

BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Level 3 Communications, LLC)
)
 and)
)
 Broadwing Communications, LLC,)
)
 Complainants,)
)
 v.)
)
 Neutral Tandem-Michigan, LLC,)
)
 Respondent.)
)

Case No. 07-668-TP-CSS

JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
AND
REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED RULING

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-13, Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC"), Level 3 Communications, LLC and Broadwing Communications, LLC (collectively "Level 3") and Neutral Tandem-Michigan, LLC and Neutral Tandem, Inc. (collectively, "Neutral Tandem"), hereby move for a two-week extension of the otherwise applicable due dates for filings in this proceeding for the reasons set forth in the accompanying memorandum in support. An expedited ruling is requested.

WHEREFORE, Level 3 and Neutral Tandem respectfully request that their joint motion be granted and that an expedited ruling be issued.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician And Date Processed 8/29/07

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

Level 3 Communications, LLC)	
)	
and)	
)	
Broadwing Communications, LLC,)	
)	
Complainants,)	Case No. 07-668-TP-CSS
)	
v.)	
)	
Neutral Tandem-Michigan, LLC,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT
OF
JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
AND
REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED RULING**

By a joint motion filed herein on August 15, 2007, Level 3 and Neutral Tandem requested a two-week extension of otherwise applicable due dates for filings in this proceeding. As explained in the memorandum accompanying the motion, the purpose of the requested extension was to permit Level 3 and Neutral Tandem to engage in settlement discussions that might ultimately lead to a stipulation that will resolve all issues presented in this case without the need for further proceedings. On August 17, 2007, the attorney examiner issued an entry granting the joint motion and extending the due date for Neutral Tandem's response to Level 3's motion to dismiss Neutral Tandem's counterclaim to August 29, 2007, and the due date for Level

3's response to Neutral Tandem's motion to dismiss the complaint as moot to September 4, 2007.


Level 3 and Neutral Tandem have, in fact, engaged in settlement discussions, and believe they are close to an agreement that would result in a voluntary dismissal of the Level 3 complaint and Neutral Tandem counterclaim. However, the stipulation embodying the terms of the settlement agreement has not yet received all necessary approvals from the management of the respective parties. Thus, by the foregoing motion, Level 3 and Neutral Tandem have jointly requested a second two-week extension of the dates for the two filings identified above to afford the parties additional time to finalize the stipulation. Level 3 and Neutral Tandem regret any additional burden this second request for an extension may impose on the Commission, but note that the interests of all concerned will be best served if the efforts that would attend filings that may well ultimately prove to be unnecessary can be avoided. Level 3 and Neutral Tandem submit that this constitutes good cause for the requested extension within the meaning of that term as employed in Rule 4901-1-13(A), OAC. Further, Level 3 and Neutral Tandem are the only parties to this proceeding. Thus, no person or party will be prejudiced if their joint motion is granted.

Consistent with the foregoing discussion, Level 3 and Neutral Tandem respectfully request that the due date for Neutral Tandem's response to Level 3's motion to dismiss Neutral Tandem's counterclaim be extended from August 29, 2007 to September 12, 2007, and that the due date for Level 3's response to Neutral Tandem's motion to dismiss the complaint as moot be extended from September 4, 2007 to September 18, 2007. Because Neutral Tandem's response to Level 3's motion to dismiss Neutral Tandem's counterclaim would otherwise be due this date,

an expedited ruling is requested. As required by Rule 4901-1-12(C), OAC, undersigned counsel certify that no party objects to an expedited ruling on their joint motion.


WHEREFORE, Level 3 and Neutral Tandem respectfully request that their joint motion be granted and that an expedited ruling be issued.

Respectfully submitted,


David A. Turano
Shoemaker, Howarth & Taylor, LLP
471 East Broad Street, Suite 2001
Columbus, Ohio 43215
dturano@midohiolaw.com

Gregg Strumberger
Regulatory Counsel
Level 3 Communications, LLP
1025 Eldorado Blvd.
Broomfield, Colorado 80021
gregg.strumberger@level3.com

Attorneys for
Level 3 Communications, LLC and
Broadwing Communications, LLC


Barth E. Royer
Bell & Royer Co., L.P.A.
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3900
(614) 228-0704
(614) 228-0201 (fax)
BarthRoyer@aol.com

Ronald Gavillet
Executive Vice President &
General Counsel
Neutral Tandem, Inc.
One South Wacker, Suite 200
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 384-8000
(312) 346-3276 (fax)
rongavillet@neutraltandem.com

John R. Harrington
Jenner & Block LLP
330 N. Wabash Ave.
Suite 4700
Chicago, IL 60611
(312) 222-9350
(312) 840-7791 (fax)
JHarrington@jenner.com

Attorneys for
Neutral Tandem-Michigan LLC and
Neutral Tandem, Inc.