

## RECEIVED

AUG 1 5 2007

DOCKETING DIVISION
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

A report by the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Waterville Gas and Oil Company Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR













This is to certify that the images aprearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Tachnician

Date Processed 8.15.07

#### STAFF'S REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

In the Matter of the Application of Waterville	)	
Gas & Oil Company for Authority to Amend	)	Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR
Its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates and	)	
Charges for Gas Service and Related Matters.	)	

Submitted to The Public Utilities Commission

		•	
	•		

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Waterville	)	
Gas & Oil Company for Authority to Amend	)	Case No. 07-194-GA-AIR
Its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates and	)	
Charges for Gas Service and Related Matters.	)	

Alan R. Schriber, Chairman
Paul A. Centolella, Commissioner
Ronda Hartman Fergus, Commissioner
Valerie A. Lemmie, Commissioner
Donald L. Mason, Commissioner

#### To The Honorable Commission:

In accordance with the provisions of R.C. Section 4909.19, the Commission's Staff has conducted its investigation in the above matter and hereby submits its findings in the within Staff Report.

The Staff Report of Investigation has been prepared by the Commission's Utilities Department and Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department.

In accordance with R.C. Section 4909.19, copies of the Staff Report have been filed with the Docketing Division of the Commission and served by certified mail upon the mayors of all affected municipalities and other public officials deemed representative of the service area affected by the application. A copy of said report has also been served upon the utility or its authorized representative. Interested parties are advised that written objections to any portion of the Staff Report must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of the filing of said report after which time the Commission will promptly set this matter for public hearing. Written notice of the time, place, and date of such hearing will be served upon all parties to the proceeding.

The Staff Report is intended to present for the Commission's consideration the results of the Staff's investigation. It does not purport to reflect the views of the Commission nor should any party to said proceeding consider the Commission as bound in any manner by the representations or recommendations set forth therein. The Staff Report, however, is legally cognizable evidence upon which the Commission may rely in reaching its decision in this matter. (See Lindsey, et. al. v. PUC, 111 O.S. 6)

Respectfully submitted,

**Utilities Department** 

Steven R. Brennen

Director

Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department

Doris McCarter

Director

#### STAFF ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Staff Report components reflect the results of investigations conducted by the Staff of the Applicant's rate application. The Staff person responsible for each component is shown below:

#### **Utilities Department**

Operating Income and Rate Base Ross Willis

Rate of Return Steve Chaney

Rates and Tariffs Chuck Goins

#### Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department

Reliability and Service Analysis Division Peter Baker

Investigations and Audits Division Dianne Doss

			•
	•		
_			

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
BACKGRO	DUND	1
OPERATIN	IG INCOME AND RATE BASE	2
Rever Rate I Alloca	e of Investigation nue Requirement Base tions ting Income	2 2 2 5 5
RATE OF I	RETURN	11
RATES AN	D TARIFFS	12
SERVICE I	MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT	24
SCHEDUL	ES	
A-1 A-1.1 B-1 B-2 B-2.1 B-3 B-3.2 B-3.2a B-5 B-6 B-7 C-1 C-1.1 C-1.1a C-2 C-3 C-3.1	Revenue Requirements  Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor  Rate Base Summary  Jurisdictional Plant in Service Summary  Calculation of Jurisdictional Plant in Service  Calculation of Jurisdictional Depreciation Reserve  Calculation of Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense  Accrual Rate Comparison  Working Capital Allowance  Other Rate Base Items  Jurisdictional Allocation Factors  Proforma Operating Income Statement  Proforma Adjustments  Summary of Operating Revenues at Current and Proposed Rates  Adjusted Test Year Operating Income  Summary of Staff's Adjustments  Base Revenue Adjustment	27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 40 41 42
C-3.2 C-3.3 C-3.4 C-3.5 C-3.6 C-3.7 C-3.8 C-3.9	Gas Cost Revenue Adjustment  Late Payment Revenue Adjustment  Lease Revenue Adjustment  Gas Cost Expense Adjustment  Payroll Expense Adjustment  Employee Pension & Benefits Expense Adjustment  Interest on Customer Deposits Expense Adjustment  Insurance Policies Expense Adjustment	43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
C-3.10	Rate Case Expense Adjustment	51
C-3.11	Office Supplies & Other Expense Adjustment	52
C-3.12	Postage Expenses Adjustment	53
C-3.13	Supplies & Expenses Adjustment	54
C-3.14	Lease Expense Adjustment	55
C-3.15	Miscellaneous & Services Expense Adjustment	56
C-3,16	Regulatory Expense Adjustment	57
C-3.17	Miscellaneous General Expense Adjustment	58
C-3.18	Depreciation Expense Adjustment	59
C-3.19	Summary of Taxes Other Than Income Adjustment	60
C-3.19a	Calculation of Property Taxes	61
C-3.19b	Calculation of Ohio Gross Receipts Tax	62
C-3.19c	Calculation of FICA Taxes	63
C-3.19d	Calculation of State & Federal Unemployment Taxes	64
C-3.19e	Calculation of MCF Taxes	65
C-3.19f	Calculation of PUCO Maintenance and OCC Fund	66
C-3.20	Federal Income Tax Expense Adjustment	67
C-4	Calculation of Federal Income Taxes	68

#### BACKGROUND

The Applicant, The Waterville Gas & Oil Company, is an Ohio corporation and a public utility incorporated on July 10, 1888, under the laws of the State of Ohio. The Applicant provides natural gas service to approximately 5,420 customers, 3,324 of whom are customers located in the unincorporated areas of Middleton and Perrysburg Townships and the City of Perrysburg, Wood County, Ohio and Monclova and Waterville Townships in Lucas County.

On February 26, 2007, the Applicant filed a notice of intent to file an application for increase in rates to be charged and collected for providing natural gas service to customers located in the unincorporated areas mentioned above. The Applicant requested that the test year period begin January 1, 2006, and end December 31, 2006, and that date certain be December 31, 2006. The Commission approved the requested test year and date certain in its Entry dated March 21, 2007.

The Applicant filed its application on March 30, 2007, seeking Commission approval for an increase to its current rates. The Applicant requested a waiver of the Standard Filing Requirements (SFRs) and requested Commission authority to file an abbreviated application. By Entry issued March 21, 2007, the Commission found the application is in compliance with Standard Filing Requirements and accepted the abbreviated application as of its filing date.

The Applicant's current rates for distribution service were established in Case No.86-431-GA-AIR, and adjusted in Case No.03-2291-GA-ATA. These rates became effective respectively on April 2, 1985 and January 1, 2004.

The Applicant's proposed rates in this proceeding, when applied to test year sales volumes, would generate additional revenues of \$510,107. This is an increase of approximately 45.88% over current operating revenues.

		•
•		

#### OPERATING INCOME AND RATE BASE

#### SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION

The scope of investigation for an application filed pursuant to Standard Filing Requirements, Chapter V, Abbreviated Filing, was designed to determine the reliability and reasonableness of the Applicant's test year information concerning operating income, rate base and other data.

The Staff's investigation included a general review of the Applicant's operation through analyses of PUCO annual reports, other compiled data and numerous conversations with the utility operator's representatives. Actual revenues and expenses recorded on the Applicant's records were analyzed for reasonableness and acceptability for ratemaking purposes. The valuation study included a review of the utility plant records of the Applicant. In addition, the existence and the used and usefulness of the assets were verified through physical inspection of the property. Other analyses were performed by the Staff as considered necessary under the circumstances.

#### REVENUE REQUIREMENT

The Staff's determination of the Applicant's revenue requirement is based on examination of the accounts and records of the Applicant for the twelve months ending December 31, 2006, as summarized in this report and schedules which incorporate the Staff's recommended rate of return, rate base, and adjusted operating income. The revenue increase range and percentage increase over current rates is shown on Schedule A-1.

#### RATE BASE

The rate base represents the net value of the Applicant's property and other assets as of the date certain, December 31, 2006, which was used and useful in providing gas utility service to its customers, and upon which its investors are entitled to the opportunity to receive a fair and reasonable rate of return. The Staff's analysis of the rate base is divided into Plant In Service, Depreciation, Construction Work In Progress, Working Capital, and Other Rate Base Items.

The Staff's recommended rate base is shown on Schedule B-1. Schedules B-2 through B-6 provides additional support for the Staff's findings.

#### Plant in Service

The Plant in Service presented by the Applicant is the surviving original cost of the plant which is used and useful in providing gas utility service to the Applicant's customers. The Staff verified the existence and the used and usefulness of the plant by conducting a physical inspection of plant and a review of pertinent data including Annual Reports to the Commission, Applicant's plant ledgers, and prior rate case documents. As a result of its investigation, the Staff is of the opinion that the Applicant's property records can be relied upon for rate making purposes.

#### Depreciation

Depreciation accounting is the process, which distributes the original cost of depreciable assets, adjusted for net salvage, over the normal life of the property in a systematic and rational manner. The staff's investigation of depreciation is segregated into two areas: Depreciation Reserve, and Depreciation Accrual Rates and the corresponding Depreciation Expense. Each of these is discussed in detail in the following sections.

#### **Depreciation Reserve**

The Applicant maintains depreciation reserve, by account, on a total company basis. In order to determine if the Applicant's booked reserve for depreciation is proper and adequate, the Staff generally finds it useful to compare the booked reserve with a calculated theoretical reserve as a guide to whether past accrual rate calculations have been appropriate. The Staff compared the Applicant's total company booked reserve level with a calculated theoretical reserve based on December 31, 2006 plant balances and the Staff's recommended accrual rates discussed below. The Staff determined that the overall booked reserve is in close agreement with the theoretical reserve calculation. Therefore, the Staff is of the opinion that the Applicant's booked reserve as shown on Schedule B-3 is adequate and should be used for the purposes of this proceeding.

#### **Depreciation Accrual Rates and Depreciation Expense**

The Applicant's current depreciation accrual rates were prescribed by this Commission in Case No. 86-431-GA-AIR. The Applicant, without Commission authorization, changed its accrual rates in 2002 to correspond to rates set for Ohio Cumberland Gas in Case No. 02-1200-GA-AIR.

The Staff conducted an independent depreciation study utilizing actuarial and simulated methods and judgment to develop its accrual rate parameters based on the straight line whole life method for the Applicant's natural gas plant. The

THE WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR

Staff recommended accrual rates are shown on Schedule B-3.2a. The Staff recommends that the Applicant be ordered to utilize these new accrual rates for book purposes, effective concurrently with customer rates resulting from this proceeding.

The Staff's calculation of depreciation expense, based on the jurisdictional plant in service balances at date certain and the Staff recommended accrual rates discussed above, is shown on Schedule B-3.2.

#### Construction Work In Progress (CWIP)

The Applicant did not request an allowance for CWIP in this case and, as indicated on Schedule B-4, the Staff recommends none.

#### **Working Capital**

Working capital has been generally defined as the average amount of capital provided by investors in the company over and above the investments in plant and other specifically identified rate base items. This bridges the gap between the time expenditures are required to provide service and the time collections are received for the service.

The Staff calculated an allowance for working capital based on the formula approach which has been approved by the Commission in previous cases. Under this method, the expense lag dollars component of working capital represents one-eighth of the adjusted operation and maintenance expense due to the Applicant billing monthly, less one-fourth of adjusted operating taxes. The Staff included the date certain material and supplies component held for normal operation and maintenance. The Staff's calculation of working capital allowance is shown on Schedule B-5.

#### Other Rate Base Items

As shown on Schedule B-6, the Staff reduced the rate base by the date certain amount of customers' deposits and the deferred taxes resulting from tax accelerated depreciation.

#### **ALLOCATIONS**

The jurisdictional service territory affected by this application is the unincorporated portion of the Applicant's entire gas service territory. The Staff believes when possible, rate base and operating expenses should be directly assigned. When a clear distinction of costs is not possible, various allocations are necessary. The Applicant serves the Village of Waterville (The Village) through a contract rate and rural customers living outside the Corporate boundaries of The Village. Therefore, it is necessary to allocate certain costs to The Village to ensure the revenue requirements are sufficient for rendering service to the customers involved in this proceeding. The Staff recommends a five-factor allocation formula based on the weighted average of gross distribution plant, net distribution plant, customers, sales volumes in MCF, and operating revenues. This allocation methodology is similar to an allocation used by the Staff and approved by the Commission in several previous rate cases. The Staff's calculation of the allocation factor is shown on Schedules B-7.

#### **OPERATING INCOME**

The Applicant's test year operating income consists of twelve months of actual data covering the period January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006. The Staff adjusted the Applicant's test year operating income as required to render it appropriate as a basis for setting rates.

The Staff's proforma operating income is the Staff's adjusted test year operating income modified to reflect the Applicant's proposed increase in revenue, and federal income tax.

Schedule C-1 and C-2 present the Staff's determination of operating income. The calculation, methodologies and rationale used to develop the Staff's adjusted and proforma operating income is detailed on Schedule A-1.1, C-1.1, C-3.1 through C-3.20 and C-4.

#### **Proforma Adjustments**

Schedule C-1.1 presents an increase in operating revenues based on the Applicant's proposed rates and the number of customers as of date certain.

#### **Current Adjustments**

The Staff's recommended adjustments to operating income are as follows:

#### **Base Revenue**

The Staff adjusted test year base revenues to reflect customer levels at the end of the test year and adjusted base operating revenues to reflect a normalized two year average MCF consumption per customer. The Staff's adjustment is presented on Schedule C-3.1 and detailed on Schedule C-1.1a.

Moreover, the Staff excluded test year excise tax surcharge revenues because the Applicant is requesting an excise tariff rider in this proceeding. The revenue generated from this rider will not be affected by this process.

#### **Gas Cost Revenue**

The Staff eliminated gas cost recovery (GCR) revenue from test year operating revenues. The Applicant is requesting an excise tax tariff rider in this case. Therefore, the need for GCR revenues to be addressed in this base rate proceeding is not necessary. The Staff's adjustment is presented on Schedule C-3.2.

#### Late Payment Revenue

The Staff adjusted test year late payment revenue to reflect the adjustments to base revenues. The Staff's adjustment is presented on Schedule C-3.3.

#### Lease Revenue

The Applicant's test year revenue does not reflect revenue associated with a lease. The Staff adjusted test year revenue to include the annualization of a lease between Waterville Gas Company and Waterville Gas and Oil Company. The Staff's adjustment is presented on Schedule C-3.4.

#### **Gas Cost Expense**

The Staff eliminated gas cost recovery expenses from the test year in conjunction with the gas cost recovery revenue adjustment discussed above. The Staff's adjustment is detailed on Schedule C-3.5.

#### **Payroll Expense**

The Applicant's test year payroll expense included the wages of both hourly and salary Waterville Gas & Oil employees and outside services. The Staff adjusted payroll expense to reflect an increase to hourly employee wages. The Staff also adjusted outside services to exclude contract labor associated with new construction and legal fees associated with customers under special contracts. Moreover, the Staff's adjustment reflects the Staff's jurisdictional allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is detailed on Schedule C-3.6.

#### **Employee Pensions and Benefits**

The Staff annualized employee pensions and benefits to reflect the Applicant's most recent group and hospitalization coverage premiums and to exclude pension costs associated with holiday gift cards from test year operating expenses. Staff's adjustment also reflects the Staff's weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.7.

#### Interest on Customer Deposits Expense

Consistent with the treatment of customer deposits as an offset to the rate base, the Staff adjusted test year interest expense associated with these deposits to include a representative amount. Staff's adjustment also reflects the Staff's weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.8.

#### **Insurance Policies Expense**

The Staff adjusted test year life and property insurance policies expense to reflect the Staff's weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.9.

#### Rate Case Expense

The Staff requested an estimate of the Applicant's expenses related to this rate case application. The Staff reviewed the Applicant's estimate and believes it is overstated. The Applicant requested a waiver of the Standard Filing Requirements (SFRs) and requested Commission authority to file an abbreviated application. The Applicant stated that foregoing the costs for the necessary outside professional services would result in a lower rate case expense and benefit the utilities customers.

The Staff's adjustment amortizes a reasonable level of rate case expense for an abbreviated filing over five years. The Staff's adjustment is presented on Schedule C-3.10.

The Staff recommends the Commission review the Applicant's revised estimate of this expense, which should be filed as a late filed exhibit, before making a final determination of the appropriate level of rate case expense for use in this proceeding.

#### Office Supplies and Other Expenses

The Staff adjusted several expense accounts to exclude costs from the test year operating expenses that are non-utility related expenses. Staff's adjustment also reflects the Staff's weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.11.

#### Postage Expense

The Staff's adjustments to postage expense reflect an increase in postage for bulk rate mailings. Staff also applied the weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.12.

#### Supplies Expense

The Staff adjusted test year supplies and expenses expense to reflect the Staff's weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.13.

#### Lease Expense

The Applicant's test year operating expense includes two leases. The Staff's adjustment excludes both leases; one exclusion for property owned by the Applicant and the other based upon there being sufficient room at the warehouse. The Staff's adjustment is presented on Schedule C-3.14.

#### Miscellaneous and Services Expense

The Staff's adjustment to miscellaneous and services expense reflect the Staff's weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.15.

#### Regulatory Expense

The Applicant booked PUCO and OCC fee assessments to regulatory expense. The Staff excluded these expenses from operating expenses. The Staff will calculate PUCO and OCC fees using the most recent assessments. This adjustment is reflected in the Summary of Taxes Other Than Income. In addition, the Staff applied the weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.16.

#### Miscellaneous General Expense

The Staff adjusted several expense accounts associated with miscellaneous general expense to reflect the Staff's weighted five-factor allocation factor. The Staff's adjustment is shown on Schedule C-3.17.

#### **Depreciation Expense Adjustment**

Depreciation expense is adjusted to reflect the Staff's recommended depreciable plant in service as of the date certain. This adjustment is presented on Schedule C-3.18 with the supporting calculations shown on Schedule B-3.2. Further discussion on depreciation can be found in the Rate Base Section of this report.

#### **Taxes Other Than Income Taxes**

Taxes other than income taxes were adjusted to reflect the proper base and the latest known tax rates. For example, property taxes were computed by applying the latest known property tax rates to property valuations at date certain. F.I.C.A. taxes were calculated based on test year adjusted labor expense.

The Applicant has proposed all Ohio excise taxes and MCF taxes be recovered through two riders. Therefore, the Staff excluded Ohio excise taxes and MCF taxes from test year operating taxes and included the impact of both taxes on the Staff's working capital and federal income tax calculations. Further discussion of the excise and MCF tax riders can be found in the Rates and Tariffs section of this report.

Schedule C-3.19 provides a summary of the calculated taxes and the resultant tax adjustment. The supporting calculations are detailed on C-3.19a through C-3.19f.

#### **Federal Income Taxes**

The Staff computed test year federal income taxes to reflect the recommended adjustments to operating revenues and expenses. This computation also reflects the normalization of accelerated tax depreciation.

Schedule C-3.20 provides the calculation of the federal income tax adjustment. Schedule C-4 shows the supporting calculations for federal income taxes.

#### RATE OF RETURN

In determining a fair rate of return, three economic criteria were established in the landmark *Bluefield* and *Hope* cases. These cases establish that the rate of return should be sufficient to enable the regulated utility to: (1) maintain its credit standing and financial integrity; (2) attract new capital at reasonable costs; and (3) earn returns commensurate with those being earned on investments attended by corresponding risk. However, they also indicate that a utility is not entitled to speculative return. These criteria serve as a basis for appropriate rate of return determination. A regulated company must be able to generate sufficient revenues from the rates set by the regulatory agency to cover all costs, both operating expenses and capital costs, incurred under prudent, honest, and efficient management. The regulatory commission must, therefore, give the investor the opportunity to receive fair compensation.

The Staff has reviewed the financial condition of the Applicant and believes that under prevailing interest rates and general economic conditions, a rate of return in the range of 9.5% to 10.5% is fair and reasonable.

#### RATES AND TARIFFS

By its application in Case No. 07-194-GA-AIR, the Applicant requests authority to increase rates to be charged and collected for gas service within its service territory.

Staff has investigated the rate and tariff matters proposed by the Applicant. The results of the Staff's investigation pertaining to rates and tariff matters are reported in this section. It is Staff's intent to provide analysis with regard to the acceptability and reasonableness of the changes in revenue recovery mechanisms contained in the proposed tariffs. Proposals made by the staff may require adjustments based on the revenue and rate structure authorized by the Commission.

The Staff's tariff analysis addresses changes specific to individual rate schedules and changes which apply to more than one specific rate class. Rate Design will analyze the Current, Proposed and Staff-Recommended mechanisms for rate recovery. Rate and Revenue Analysis is dedicated to the propriety and impact of the rate schedule proposal. Tables which portray the effects of Current, Proposed and Staff-Recommended rates on typical bills are presented at the end of this section.

#### TARIFF ANALYSIS

The Applicant is proposing various changes, additions and deletions to its tariffs throughout Sections I through IV. Unless noted, Staff recommends approval of these changes as proposed by the Applicant. Staff proposed changes are provided as follows:

#### Section 1 Service

- 1. Sheet No. 2, # 9: Access to Premises: The Applicant should add information pertaining to Employee ID from Rule 4901:1-13-07 O.A.C, in this paragraph. The "Access to Premises" paragraph the company's right to access the premise, and customers should know their right to ask for employee ID in that situation.
- 2. Sheet No. 4, #15 (f): The Applicant needs to correct its reference to Rule 4901:1-13-02. Waterville should reference 4901:1-18-02 (G) (1) and (2) not f (1) and (2) regarding refusal or denial of access to premise.
- 3. **Sheet No. 4**, **# 15 (j)**: The Applicant needs to correct the reference to 4901:1-13-**08** (C). Waterville did not include the number "08" in its reference to the rule.

4. Sheet No. 7, # 19 (e): The Applicant should also reference Rule 4901:1-13-04 (G) (3) O.A.C. in the sentence that reads: "Subject to the requirements of Revised Code, Section 4933.28, the customer shall pay the Company the amount of such underpayment." O.A.C. Rule 4901:1-13-04 (G) (3), addresses collection from small commercial customers for any undercharged usage as the result of a meter or metering inaccuracy or other continuing problem under the gas or natural gas company's control. Section 4933.28, Revised Code, only references residential customers.

#### Miscellaneous Charges and Revenue

Staff has historically supported cost-based miscellaneous charges, in that they are incidental costs imposed by individual customers on the Applicant and, ultimately, the rest of the customers. Such costs decrease the funds available for the Applicant to meet its obligations with resulting:

- 1. Additions to working capital requirements
- 2. Increased interest expense associated with short-term borrowing; and
- 3. Labor and non-labor expenses associated with those activities

Staff believes the provisions and resulting charges should reflect the actual cost(s) incurred by the Applicant. These charges should be recovered from customers who cause the expense(s), rather than customers in general. The collection of these charges is generally not dollar-for-dollar, but a reasonable approximation of cost recovery.

#### **Late Payment Charge**

The Applicant is proposing new language that specifies which customers are excluded from being assessed the five percent (5%) late payment penalty, and is combining the "Payment of Bills" section of their current tariffs with the "Late Payment Charge" section of their proposed tariffs in this filing. The new language reads as follows:

"If a bill payment is not received by the company offices or by the Company's authorized agent within fourteen (14) days of the date of the invoice, a one-time additional charge of five (5) percent of the customer's billing shall be made. This provision is not applicable to unpaid account balances of any customer enrolled in PIPP or a payment plan pursuant to Rule 4901:1-18-04, Ohio Administrative Code".

Staff feels this new language provides clarity to the Late Payment Charge provision of the Applicant's tariffs and recommends approval.

#### Dishonored Check and ACH Return Charge

The Applicant is proposing new language in this section to address not only returned checks, but to also include electronic debit transactions from checking accounts. The new language reads as follows:

"Whenever a customer pays a bill by check and the check is returned to the Company by the customer's financial institution for lack of sufficient funds in the customers account, there shall be a dishonored check assessed for each check returned. Whenever customer pays by electronic debit and the ACH is rejected for insufficient funds, there shall be a dishonor charge assessed for each such return. Such customer shall be charged twenty-three dollars (\$23.00) for processing the dishonored check or ACH return".

Staff finds the new language and the associated charges to be just and reasonable and recommends approval.

#### **Reconnection Charge**

The Applicant is proposing an increase from \$25.00 to \$50.00 for reconnecting service that has been disconnected involuntarily for non-payment of service. Staff realizes this represents a 100% increase in the charge for this service, and the concept of gradualism is very important in rate implementation, but considering the Applicant has not filed for a rate increase since 1986 in Case No. 86-431-GA-AIR, Staff feels this fee better represents the charge for this service and recommends approval.

#### Tie-in Charge

The Applicant is proposing this new charge in order to add a provision for restoring service to an account where that service has been stopped for unauthorized use. The tariff states that if a tie-in is required to restore service to the same customer whose service line was cut and plugged because of repeated detection of unauthorized use of service, a charge of \$300.00 or the actual amount incurred by the Applicant to restore service, whichever is less, will be assessed to the customer. Staff agrees that the Applicant should recover expenses associated with restoring this service. Staff recommends approval, however, the customer shall be advised of this charge before the company employee is dispatched to the premise.

#### RATE DESIGN AND REVENUE ANALYSIS

#### Rate and Revenue Guidelines

General guidelines or objectives are followed in Staff's review of rate schedules and design. The applicable schedules should provide the utility the opportunity of recovering an authorized revenue. The various schedules should represent a reasonable distribution of revenue between and among the various customer groups. The particular schedules should be equitable and reasonable, should provide for customer understanding and continuity of rates, and should cause minimal customer impact.

Rate design criteria are to be viewed as a package, in that they are interrelated. Although each item can be separately identified and applied to rate schedule determinations, no single standard is overriding in determining proper rate design. The rate schedules which comprise a particular utility's tariffs should provide for recovery of expenses found proper in the course of a regulatory proceeding. If the rate schedule is designed on the basis of cost causation, it will reflect expense characteristics. Normally, and to the extent sufficient information is available, cost of service studies and related expense analyses are necessary to determine the appropriate level of revenue to be generated and the appropriate recovery of such revenue.

From a practicable rate design standpoint, absolute equality between costs and revenues may be difficult to achieve in the short term. While it may be viewed as equitable to set rates at cost, if there is a substantial divergence in the current rates, the resulting impact on individual customers may be viewed as unreasonable. While desiring cost supporting charges, Staff considers such items as resulting typical customer billings and resulting revenue increases which would necessarily occur. These tests help provide benchmarks with regard to reasonableness of charges in rate forms. While it is Staff's position that rate schedules reflect costs, it is also important to consider the continuity associated with current and proposed pricing structures. This may result in movement towards more closely aligning revenue with costs rather than an absolute match at a particular time period.

The following general guidelines or objectives are considered in Staff's review of revenue allocations, rate schedules and rate design. The applicable schedules should strive to achieve some, if not all of the following:

- provide the utility the opportunity of recovering the authorized revenue;
- provide economic efficiency;
- provide fairness or equity;
- provide simplicity and administrative ease;
- promote conservation of resources;
- use stability and gradualism where change is needed;
- promote social goals;
- promote environmental protection;
- promote employment opportunities; and
- represent a reasonable distribution of revenue among the various customer groups.

#### **Cost of Service Analysis**

Generally, there are three capacity allocation methodologies that are commonly used – coincident demand, non-coincident demand and average and excess demand. The standard filing requirements allow the selection of any of these approaches, or alternatives, when, in the utility's opinion, the procedure best represents the utility's system characteristics.

The Company's class cost of service study was developed using information taken from the Company's records. Based on these records, it was possible to directly assign the cost of certain assets to the different classes of customers.

The Applicant developed several allocation factors for the purpose of distributing common plant and operations-related costs to the two classes. Certain costs were distributed using an allocation factor based on relative winter throughput. Other allocation factors were developed using number of customers, total throughput, net property, plant, and equipment allocation.

Based upon the above information, Staff accepts the information provided by the Applicant in its Annual Report for the purpose of developing a cost of service study.

#### **REVENUE ANALYSIS**

Analyses in this section reflect Staff's recommended rates and charges, which are based on the revenue requirement found proper by the Staff, as fully described in this Staff Report of Investigation. Staff-Recommended revenue is based upon billing determinants as reflected in the Operating Income section of the staff report. Rates and charges shown in the rate schedule tables may require adjustment based on the revenue requirement granted by the Commission, and/or changes in the rate areas, or changes in rate structure approved by the Commission.

Staff recommended revenue, compared with the Applicant's current and proposed increase, is shown in Tables 1, 1(a), 2, and 2(a) excluding and including gas costs. The Staff recommended revenue reflects the adjustments at the point of time this section was written. Further adjustments may be made to the final recommendation.

## <u>Table 1</u>

#### Applicant Proposed Revenue Distribution Excluding Gas Costs

	Current	rrent Proposed	
General Service	<u>\$ 1,029,648</u>	<u>\$ 1,520,200</u>	<b>\$ 490,552</b>

#### Table 1(a)

### Staff Recommended Revenue Distribution Excluding Gas Costs

	Current	Staff <u>Proposed</u>	<u>Increase</u>
General Service	<u>\$ 1,029,648</u>	<u>\$ 1,354,204</u>	<u>\$ 324,556</u>

Table 2

Total Revenue Distribution Including Gas Cost and Miscellaneous Revenues

	Current	Company <u>Proposed</u>	<u>Increase</u>
General Service	\$ 6,479,212	\$ 6,969,764	\$ 490,552
Late Payment charges Misc. Revenue	\$ 25,781 \$ 33,000	\$ 25,781 \$ 33,000	\$ 0 \$ 0
Total Revenue	\$ 6,537,993	\$ 7,028,545	\$ 490,552

Table 2(a)

## Staff Recommended <u>Total Revenue Distribution Including Gas Cost and Miscellaneous Revenues</u>

	Current	Staff <u>Proposed</u>	Increase
General Service	\$ 6,479,212	\$ 6,803,768	\$ 324,556
Late Payment Charges Misc. Revenue	\$ 21,726 \$ 33,000	\$ 32,076 \$ 33,000	\$ 10,350 \$ 0
Total Revenue	\$ 6,533,938	\$ 6,868,844	\$ 334,906

The revenue increase and revenue distribution tables are based on Staff's adjustments as shown in the schedules included in this report. These adjustments are carried forward and reflected on all such tables.

The Staff-Recommended revenue distribution, compared with Applicant's current and proposed revenue distribution, is shown in Tables 3 and 4, excluding and including gas costs.

Table 3

#### Total Revenue Distribution Excluding Gas Costs

**Applicant** 

	Current	Company Proposed	Staff <u>Proposed</u>
General Service	100%	100%	100%

Current and Company Proposed based on Table 1
Staff Recommended based on Table 1(a)

Table 4

Total Revenue Distribution Including Gas Costs and Miscellaneous Revenues

		Applicant	
	Current	Company <u>Proposed</u>	Staff <u>Proposed</u>
General Service	99.10%	99.16%	99.05%
Late Payment Charges Misc. Revenues	0.3943% 0.5047%	0.37% 0.47%	0.47% 0.48%
Total Revenues	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Current and Company Proposed based on Table 2 Staff Recommended based on Table 2(a)

#### Rate Design and Schedules

#### **Staff Customer Charge Analysis**

Certain, generally unvarying, costs occur as a result of customer connections to the utility's system, regardless of usage. Staff has found it appropriate to separately recognize these costs and to continue this recognition in the form of customer charges in the design of rates.

THE WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR

Staff's general approach to calculating a customer-related cost was established in 1978. Since its establishment, Staff has periodically reviewed the costs included; yet has made few changes to the formula. Customer charges do not represent a dollar-for-dollar collection of the actual cost, but a reasonable approximation of the costs incurred. In recommending customer charges, Staff recognizes and prescribes to the established ratemaking principle of gradualism within the revenue distributions.

Table 5 illustrates the Staff's method for the calculation of the customer charges.

Given the results of the analysis, Staff supports a customer charge of \$10.00 for the General Service customers. If approved, the new charge represents an increase of \$4.50 per month, or 82%. This recommendation differs from the Applicant's proposal which set the customer charge for General Service customers at \$11.00 per month.

#### Table 5

#### **Customer Charge Analysis**

<u>Account</u>	Distribution Frances		
878	Distribution Expenses:		0
879	Meter and House Regulators Customer Installations		<u>0</u>
019	Total Distribution expenses		42,297
	Total Distribution expenses		42,207
	Customer Accounting and		
	Expenses		•
901	Supervision		34,907
902	Meter Reading		37,847
903	Customer Records and Collection		50,991
905	Customer Assistance Information		<u>22,478</u>
	Total Customer Expenses		146,223
	Total Distribution expenses		<u>42.297</u>
	Total Distribution and Customer Expenses		<u>188,520</u>
	Net Plant		
	Expenses		
380	Services		
381	Meters		
383	House Regulators		<u>0</u>
	Total Plant		
	Accounts		<u>80,735</u>
	Return on Plant Accounts	10.50%	8,477
	Property Taxes		67,718
	Depreciation Expenses		<u>134,946</u>
	Total		211,141
	Total Distribution and Customer Expenses		<u>188,520</u>
	Customer Charge Revenue		399,661
	Customer Bills	39,888	
	Average Monthly Customer	·	
	Charge		\$10.02
	Staff Panammandad Manthly Oustomer charge		\$10.00
	Staff Recommended Monthly Customer charge		φ10.00

#### **Current and Proposed Rate Design**

The Applicant proposes to continue its current rate design. Staff recommends Applicant's general rate design, with adjustments made to compensate for differences in revenue requirements.

#### Rate Schedule Comparison

A table showing Applicant's Current and Proposed rate schedules, along with Staff recommended rate schedules are shown in Table 6.

#### Table 6

	Current	Company <u>Proposed</u>	Staff <u>Proposed</u>
General Service	\$ 5.50	\$ 11.00	\$ 10.00
All Mcf	2.3002	3.07	2.712

Table 7

General Service/Commercial

	Applicant	Applicant	Dollar	Percent	Staff	Dollar	Percent
	Current	Proposed	Increase	Increase	Proposed	Increase	Increase
	5.50	\$ 11.00	\$ 5.50	100.00%	\$ 10.00	\$ 4.50	81.82%
	7.80	14.07	\$ 6.27	80.38%	\$ 12.71	\$ 4.91	62.97%
	17.00	26.35	\$ 9.35	54.99%	\$ 23.56	\$ 6.56	38.58%
	28.50	41.70	\$ 13.20	46.31%	\$ 37.12	\$ 8.62	30.24%
	51.50	72.40	\$ 20.90	40.57%	\$ 64.24	\$ 12.74	24.73%
	74.51	103.10	\$ 28.59	38.38%	\$ 91.36	\$ 16.85	22.62%
	97.51	133.80	\$ 36.29	37.22%	\$ 118.48	\$ 20.97	21.51%
	120.51	164.50	\$ 43.99	36.50%	\$ 145.60	\$ 25.09	20.82%
	178.02	241.25	\$ 63.24	35.52%	\$ 213.40	\$ 35.39	19.88%
	235.52	318.00	\$ 82.48	35.02%	\$ 281.20	\$ 45.68	19.40%
	580.55	778.50	\$ 197.95	34.10%	\$ 688.00	\$ 107.45	18.51%
	1,155.60	1,546.00	\$ 390.40	33.78%	\$1,366.00	\$ 210.40	18.21%
			Current Rates (\$)	Pro	Proposed Rates (\$)	Staff Propo	Staff Proposed Rates (\$)
Sustomer Charge	a).		5.5		1	•	10
Volumetric Rate (\$/Mcf)	\$/Mcf)		2.3002		3.07		2.712

#### SERVICE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT

The Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department's (SMED) Investigation and Audit Division (IAD) educates customers about utility issues, mediates disputes, and audits utilities' customer service activities. Staff receives complaints and inquiries through letters, referrals, and calls to its toll-free hotline.

#### **Customer Service Audit**

Staff performs customer service audits to endure compliance with pertinent statutes, rule and tariffs.

Staff completed a customer service audit in June 2007 regarding the customer service performance, practices, and procedures of the company. Staff found that overall, the company was in compliance with the rules and regulations set forth by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

The company also operates a website. The website allows customers to apply for service online, new service and tap forms can be printed by businesses and builder and customers may print bank payment forms. The new service online applications are not maintained in a secured format.

The company should be commended for its flexible payment plans afforded its customers. These flexible plans offer customers weekly and monthly payment plans that exceed what are required by Commission rules.

The company serves less than 6,000 customers and employs two staff members (three in cases of call overflow) who are available to answer incoming calls during the business hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

#### **Customer Contacts**

Staff reviewed the contacts made by the company's customers to IAD for the period of January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007. Thirteen contacts were made during this period, with eight in calendar year 2006 and five in 2007 to date. Six of the contacts related to rates and competition issues. Three contacts were about disconnection issues. Two customers contacted the PUCO to protest the company's rate case. One contact regarded a utility easement and the final contact was regarding the Village rates which are non-jurisdictional.

THE WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR

#### Recommendation

The company should develop a secure website for new online service application.

# WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Revenue Requirements

		_	Lower Bound	 Upper Bound
(1)	Rate Base (a)	\$	3,067,232	\$ 3,067,232
(2)	Adjusted Operating Income (b)		126,479	126,479
(3)	Rate of Return Earned (2) / (1)	\$	4.12%	\$ 4.12%
(4)	Rate of Return Recommended (c)		9.50%	10.50%
(5)	Required Operating Income (1) x (4)	\$	291,387	\$ 322,059
(6)	Income Deficiency (5) - (2)	\$	164,908	\$ 195,580
(7)	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (d)		1.515152	1.515152
(8)	Revenue Increase Required (6) x (7)	\$	249,861	\$ 296,334
(9)	Revenue Increase Recommended		249,861	296,334
(10)	Adjusted Operating Revenue (b)		1,084,374	1,084,374
(11)	Revenue Requirements (9) + (10)	\$	1,334,235	\$ 1,380,708
(12)	Increase Over Current Revenue (9) / (10)		23.04%	27.33%

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Schedule B-1

<sup>(</sup>b) Staff's Schedule C-2

<sup>(</sup>c) Refer to Rate of Return Section

<sup>(</sup>d) Staff's Schedule A-1.1

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor

(1)	Gross Revenue	100.000000
(2)	Uncollectibles (1) x 0.000000%	0.000000
(3)	Net Revenue (1) - (2)	100.000000
(4)	Ohio Gross Receipts Tax (3) x 0.000000%	0.000000
(5)	Income Before Federal Income Taxes (3) - (4)	100.000000
(6)	Federal Income Taxes (7) x 34.0000%	34.000000
(7)	Operating Income Percentage (5) - (6)	66.000000
(8)	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (1) / (7)	1.515152

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Rate Base Summary As of Date Certain, December 31, 2006

(1)	Plant in Service (a)	\$	4,350,078
(2)	Depreciation Reserve (b)	_	1,347,902
(3)	Net Plant in Service		3,002,176
(4)	Construction Work in Progress (c)		0
(5)	Working Capital Allowance (d)		85,017
(6)	Other Rate Base Items (e)	_	(19,961)
(7)	Rate Base (3) Thru (6)	\$_	3,067,232

- (a) Staff's Schedule B-2
- (b) (c) Staff's Schedule B-3
- Staff's Schedule B-4, Subject to 10% Limitation
- (d) Staff's Schedule B-5
- Staff's Schedule B-6 (e)

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Jurisdictional Plant in Service Summary

### Major Property Groupings

Distribution Plant (a)	\$ 4,097,041
General Plant (a)	 253,038
Total Plant in Service	\$ 4,350,078

(a) Staff's Schedule B-2.1

#### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of Jurisdictional Plant in Service

						Staffs			Staffs
Acct.			Applicant's			Adjusted	Allocation		Adjusted
<u>No.</u>	Description		Unadjusted	<u>Adjustments</u>		Total Company	Factor %		Jurisdictional
_			(a)	(b)	_	(c)	(d)		(e)
	Distribution Plant								
374	Land and Land Rights	\$	28,976		\$	28,976	54.62%	\$	15,827
375	Structures & Improvements	•	57,546			57,546	54.62%		31,432
	Mains-Corporation		1,082,784			1,082,784			·
	Mains-Rural		1,724,452			1,724,452	100.00%		1,724,452
376.1	Mains-Corp. to Rural		388,369			388,369	54.62%		212,127
	Mains-Common to Total System		54,650			54,650	54.62%		29,850
	Mains-Common to Corp. & Rural		12,562			12,562	54.62%		6,861
	Mains-Rural		597,080			597,080	100.00%		597,080
377	Compressor Station Equipment		,						
378	Meas, and Reg. Sta.EquipGeneral		64,549			64,549	54.62%		35,257
379	Meas, and Reg. Sta.EquipCity Gate		281,742			281,742	54.62%		153,887
380	Services-Corporation		415,400			415,400			
380	Services-Rural		900,237			900,237	100.00%		900,237
381	Meters		653,826			653,826	54.62%		357,120
382	Meter Installations		·						
383	House Regulators		60,255			60,255	54.62%		32,911
384	House Reg. Installations		,			-			·
385	Industrial Meas, and Reg. Sta. Equip.								
386	Other Prop. on Customers' Premises								
387	Other Equipment	_			_			_	
	Total Distribution Plant		6,322,428			6,322,428			4,097,041
	General Plant								
389	Land and Land Rights								
390	Structures and Improvements								
391	Office Furniture and Equipment		31,523			31,523	54.62%		17,218
391	Computer Equipment		36,125			36,125	54.62%		19,731
391	Computer Software		21,131			21,131	54.62%		11,542
392	Transportation Equipment		246,773			246,773	54.62%		134,787
393	Stores Equipment								
394	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment		114,169			114,169	54.62%		62,35 <del>9</del>
395	Laboratory Equipment								
396	Power Operated Equipment								
397	Communication Equipment		13,548			13,548	54.62%		7,400
398	Miscellaneous Equipment								
399	Other Tangible Property				-				
	Total General Plant		463,269			463,269			253,038
	Total Plant in Service	\$_	6,785,697		\$	6,785,697		\$.	4,350,078

Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report

<sup>(</sup>a) (b) Staff's Schedule B-2.2

<sup>(</sup>c) Columns (a) + (b)

<sup>(</sup>d) Refer to Text

<sup>(</sup>e) Columns (c) x (d)

#### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of Jurisdictional Depreciation Reserve

Acct. No.	Description	Applicant' <u>Unadjuste</u> (a)		Staff's Adjusted <u>Jurisdictional</u> (c)	Allocation <u>Factor %</u> (d)	Staff's Adjusted <u>Jurisdictional</u> (e)
	Distribution Plant					
374	Land and Land Rights	\$	\$	\$		\$
375	Structures & Improvements	30,6	527	30,627	54.62%	16,728
376	Mains					
	Mains-Corporation	280,8		280,895		
376.1	Mains-Rural	447,3		447,356	Direct	447,356
376.1	Mains-Corp. to Rural	100,7	750	100,750	54.62%	55,030
376.2	Mains-Common to Total System	14,1		14,177	54.62%	7,743
	Mains-Common to Corp. & Rural		258	3,258	54.62%	1,780
	Mains - Rural	154,8	394	154,894	Direct	154,894
	Compressor Station Equipment			40.074	24 65N	A DE4
378	Meas, and Reg. Sta.EquipGeneral	18,2		18,274	54.62%	9,981
	Meas. and Reg. Sta.EquipCity Gate	49,8	306	49,806	54.62%	27,204
380	Services-Corporation			204 460	Disease	204 450
	Services-Rural	391,4		391,452	Direct 54.62%	391,452
381	Meters	152,6	003	152,663	94.QZ76	83,385
	Meter Installations	20.	140	39,140	54.62%	21,378
	House Regulators	39,1	140	38, I <del>4</del> U	34.02 /6	21,010
	House Reg. Installations					
385	Industrial Meas, and Reg. Sta. Equip.					
386	Other Prop. on Customers' Premises					
387	Other Equipment					
	Total Distribution Plant	1,683,	292	1,683,292		1,216,931
	General Plant					
389	Land and Land Rights					
390	Structures and Improvements					
391	Office Furniture and Equipment	41,7	746	41,746	54.62%	22,802
392	Transportation Equipment	143,	879	143,879	54.62%	78,587
393	Stores Equipment					
394	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment	48,	679	48,679	54.62%	26,588
395	Laboratory Equipment					
396	Power Operated Equipment					
397		5,4	481	5,481	54.62%	2,994
398	Miscellaneous Equipment					
399	Other Tangible Property	<u></u>	<del></del>			****
	Total General Plant	239,	785	239,785		130,971
	Total Plant in Service	\$ <u>1,923,</u>	077	\$ <u>1,923,077</u>		\$1,347,902

Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report Staff's Schedule B-2.2 (a)

<sup>(</sup>b) (c)

Columns (a) + (b)

<sup>(</sup>d) Refer to Text

Columns (c) x (d) (e)

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR

#### Calculation of Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense

Acct.	<u> Description</u>	_	Jurisdictional Plant In Service	Accrual Rate		Jurisdictional Depreciation Expense
			(a)	(b)		(c)
	Distribution Plant					
374	Land and Land Rights	\$	15,827		\$	
375	Structures & Improvements		31,432	2.27%		714
	Mains					
-	Mains-Corporation					
	Mains-Rural		1,724,452	2.50%		43,111
	Mains-Corp. to Rural		212,127	2.50%		5,303
	Mains-Common to Total System		29,850	2.50%		746
	Mains-Common to Corp. & Rural		6,861	2.50%		172
376.3	Mains - Rurat		597,080	2.50%		14,927
377						
378			35,257	3.33%		1,175
379	<del>-</del>		153,887	3.55%		5,461
	Services-Corporation					
	Services-Rural		900,237	3.88%		34,884
381	Meters		357,120	3.33%		11,904
382	Meter Installations					
383			32,911	3.33%		1,097
384	House Reg. Installations					
	Industrial Meas, and Reg. Sta. Equip.					
386	Other Prop. on Customers' Premises					
387	Other Equipment	-	<del></del>			<del></del>
	Total Distribution Plant		4,097,041			119,494
	General Plant					
389	Land and Land Rights					
390	Structures and Improvements					
391	Office Furniture and Equipment		17,218	4.55%		783
391	Computer Equipment		19,731	20.00%		3,946
391	Computer Software		11,542	20.00%		2,308
392	Transportation Equipment		134,787	14.29%		19,255
393	Stores Equipment					
394	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment		62,359	6.67%		4,157
395	Laboratory Equipment					
396	Power Operated Equipment					
397	Communication Equipment		7,400	10.00%		740
398	Miscellaneous Equipment					
399	Other Tangible Property				_	
	Total General Plant		253,038			31,189
	Total Plant in Service	\$ ,,,	4,350,078		\$_	150,683

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Schedule B-2.1

<sup>(</sup>b) Staff's Schedule B-3.2a

<sup>(</sup>c) Columns (a) x (b)

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Accrual Rate Comparison

		Curr	ent		Staff Prop	osed	
Acct.		Avg Svc	Net	Accrual	Avg Svc	Net	Accrual
No.	Description	<u>Life</u>	Salv. %	<u>Rate %</u> (a)	<u>Life</u>	<u>Salv. %</u>	Rate % (b)
	Distribution Plant						
374	Land and Land Rights			0.00%			0.00
375	Structures & Improvements	44	(10)	2.50%	44	. 0	2.27%
376	Mains	51	(13)	2.22%	50	(25)	2.50%
378	Meas. & Reg. Sta. EquipGeneral	33	(3)	3.12%	33	(10)	3.33%
379	Meas, & Reg. Sta. EquipCity Gate	36	(14)	3.17%	<b>3</b> 1	(10)	3.55%
380	Services	40	(72)	4.30%	40	(55)	3.88%
381	Meters and Installations	46	9	2.38%	30	0	3.33%
383	House Regulators	29	6	3.51%	30	0	3.33%
	General Plant						
391	Office Furniture & Equipment	25	<b>4</b>	3.84%	22	0	4.55%
391	Computer Equipment			10.11%	5	0	20.00%
391	Computer Software			10.11%	5	0	20.00%
392	Transportation Equipment			9.56%	7	0	14.29%
394	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	12	23	6.42%	15	0	6.67%
397	Communication Equipment	16	8	5.75%	10	0	10.00%

<sup>(</sup>a) Case No. 86-431-GA-AIR

<sup>(</sup>b) Staff Workpaper WPB-3.2a

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Working Capital Allowance

(1)	Operation & Maintenance Expense (a)	\$ 658,121
(2)	Expense Lag Dollars (1) / 8	82,265
(3)	Materials & Supplies (b)	36,912
(4)	1/4 of Operating Taxes (c)	 34,160
(5)	Working Capital (2) + (3) - (4)	\$ 85 <u>,017</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Schedule C-2

<sup>(</sup>b) Applicant's 2006 General Ledger Times Allocation Factor

<sup>(</sup>c) Represents 1/4 of Operating Taxes Excluding FICA and Deferred Income Taxes

# WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Other Rate Base Items

(1)	Customers' Deposits (a)	\$ 22,822
(2)	Customer Advances (a)	0
(3)	Deferred Taxes (b)	(2,861)
(4)	Total (1) + (2) + (3)	\$ <u>19,961</u>

<sup>(</sup>a) Applicant's PUCO 2006 Annual Report

<sup>(</sup>b) Staff's Schedule C-4

WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Jurisdictional Allocation Factors

Weighted Average Allocation			54.62%
Revenues	3,954,624	7,072,038	55.92%
Volume	298,834 \$	525,654	56.85%
Customers	3,324	5,420	61.33%
Net Distribution Plant In Service	2,228,067	4,639,136	48.03%
Gross Distribution Plant In Service	\$ 3,221,769 \$	6,322,428	20.96%
District	(1) Rural Distribution Plant	(2) Total Distribution Plant	(3) Allocation Factor

Source: Applicant's Response to Staff's Data Request 1

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Proforma Operating Income Statement For The Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2006

	-	Adjusted Revenues & Expenses (a)	Proforma Adjustments (b)	Proforma Revenues & Expenses (c)
Operating Revenues Base Gas Cost Late Payment	\$	1,029,648 \$ 0 21,726	490,552 \$ 10,351	1,520,200 0 32,076
Lease Total Operating Revenues		33,000 1,084,374	500,903	33,000 1,585,277
Operating Expenses Operation and Maintenance Depreciation Taxes, Other Than Income Federal Income Taxes	_	658,121 150,683 114,805 34,286	0 0 170,307	658,121 150,683 114,805 204,593
Total Operating Expenses		957,895	170,307	1,128,202
Net Operating Income	\$_	126,479 \$	330,596 \$	<b>457</b> ,075
Rate Base (d)	\$_	3,067,232	\$	3,067,232
Rate of Return (e)		4.12%	,	14.90%

(a)	Starr's Schedule C-2
(b)	Staff's Schedule C-1.1
(c)	Columns (a) + (b)

Staff's Schedule B-1 (d)

Net Operating Income / Rate Base (e)

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Proforma Adjustments

(1)	Proposed Revenue Increase (a)	\$	490,552
(2)	Late Payment Revenue (1) x 2.110000% (a)	_	10,351
(3)	Total Proposed Revenue Increase (1) + (2)	\$	500,903
(4)	Uncollectible Accounts Expense (3) x 0.000000%	\$	0
(5)	Ohio Gross Receipts Tax	\$_	0
(6)	Federal Income Tax (b)	<b>\$</b>	170,307

- (a) Staff's Schedule C-1.1a
- (b) Staff's Schedule C-4

WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY
Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR
Summary of Operating Revenues at Current and Proposed Rates

	Annuai	Teet Year	Circina	Current		Proposed	Revenue	% Increase
	Number of Customers	Consumption	Monthly Rate	Excluding Gas Cost Revenue	Proposed Rate	Excluding Gas Cost Revenue	Excluding Gas Cost Revenue	шС
	(a)	<b>(a)</b>	<b>(3)</b>	(g)	(e)	()	(B)	ı
(1) Customer Charge (2) 1st 1000	3,324	352,258	5.50 \$ 2.3002	219,384 \$	11.00 \$ 3.070	438,768	\$ 219,384	100.00%
(3) Total				1,029,648		1,520,200	490,552	47.64%
Other Revenue (4) Late Payment Revenue (3) x 2.11% (k) (5) Lease Revenue				21,726 (f) 33,000		32,076 (Û 33,000	10,351	47.64% 0.00%
(6) Total Other Revenue				54,726		65,076	10,351	18.91%
(7) Total Revenue (3) + (6)			69	1,084,374	62	1,585,277	\$ 500,903	46.19%

Applicant's Response to Data Request 1
Staff's Workpaper WPC 1.1a

c) Applicant's Current Tariff on File

(d) Customer Charge: columns (a) x (c), Base Rate: columns (b) x (c)

e) Applicant's Proposed Rates

(f) Customer Charge: columns (a) x (e), Base Rate: columns (b) x (e)

g) Culumns (f) - (d)

g) Columns (g) / (d)

Total Current Revenue x .0211

Total Proposed Revenue x .0211

Total Proposed Revenue x .0211

Actual Late Payment Revenue to Total Current Revenues (\$22,294/\$1,056,583)

**39999999** 

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Adjusted Test Year Operating Income

		Test Year Revenues & Expenses	Adjustments	Adjusted Revenues & Expenses
		(a)	(b)	(c)
Operating Revenues Base Gas Costs Late Payment Lease	\$	2,136,809 \$ 5,449,564	(1,107,161) <b>\$</b> (5,449,564) 21,726 33,000	1,029,648 0 21,726 33,000
Total Operating Revenues		7,586,373	(6,501,999)	1,084,374
Operating Expenses Gas Costs Other Operation & Maintenance		5,449,564 1,377,695	(5,449,564) (719,574)	0 658,121
Total Operation & Maintenance		6,827,259	(6,169,138)	658,121
Depreciation & Amortization Taxes, Other Than Income Income Taxes	_	218,901 515,164	(68,218) (400,359) 34,286	150,683 114,805 34,286
Total Operating Expenses		7,561,324	(6,603,429)	957,895
Net Operating Income	\$	25,049 \$	101,430 \$	126,479

<sup>(</sup>a) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report

<sup>(</sup>b) Staff's Schedule C-3

<sup>(</sup>c) Columns (a) + (b)

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Summary of Staff's Adjustments

	Total Operating Expenses and Taxes	\$	(6,603,429)
C-3.20	Federal Income Taxes	\$	34,286
C-3.19	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		(400,359)
C-3.18	Depreciation Expense	\$	(68,218)
	Total O & M Expenses	\$	(6,169,138)
C-3.17	Miscellaneous General Expense	_	(54,034)
C-3.16	Regulatory Expense		(12,362)
C-3.15	Miscellaneous & Service Expense		(1,881)
C-3.14	Lease Expense		(45,600)
C-3.13	Supplies & Expenses Expense		(40,739)
C-3.12	Postage Expense		(11,497)
C-3.11	Office Supplies & Other Expenses		(31,119)
C-3.10	Rate Case Expense		3,000
C-3.9	Insurance Policies Expense		(30,258)
C-3.8	Interest on Customer Deposits		685
C-3.7	Employee Pensions & Benefits		(69,073)
C-3.6	Payroll Expense	•	(426,694)
C-3.5	Operating Expenses Gas Cost Expense	\$	(5,449,564)
	Total Revenue Adjustments	\$	(6,501,999)
U-3.4	Lease Reveilue	_	33,000
C-3.3 C-3.4	Late Payment Revenue Lease Revenue		21,726 33,000
C-3.2	Gas Cost Revenue		(5,449,564)
C-3.1	Base Revenue Annualization	\$	(1,107,161)
	Operating Revenues		(A + A = 4 O 4)

Source: Staff's Schedules C-3.1 Through C-3.20

### SCHEDULE C-3.1

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Base Revenue Adjustment

(1)	Annualized Revenues (a)	\$ 1,029,648
(2)	Test Year Revenues (b)	 2,136,809
(3)	Adjusted Annualized Revenues (1) - (2)	\$ (1,107,161)

- (a) Staff's Schedule C-1.1a(b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report Less Gas Cost

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Gas Cost Revenue Adjustment

(1) Elimination of Test Year Gas Cost Revenues (a)

\$ (5,449,564)

(a) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Late Payment Revenue Adjustment

(1)	Base Revenues (a)	\$	1,029,648
(2)	Late Payment Ratio (b)	_	2.11%
(3)	Late Payment Revenue (1) x (2)		21,726
(4)	Test Year Late Payment Revenue (c)		0
(5)	Adjustment (4) - (5)	\$	21,726

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Schedule C-1.1a

<sup>(</sup>b) Late Payment Revenue / Current Revenue (\$22,294/\$1,056,583)

<sup>(</sup>c) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Lease Revenue Adjustment

(1)	Lease Revenue (a)	\$ 33,000
(2)	Test Year Lease Revenue (b)	 0
(3)	Adjusted Lease Revenues (1) - (2)	\$ 33,000

- (a) Derived from Staff's Data Request 1
- (b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Gas Cost Expense Adjustment

(1) Elimination of Test Year Gas Cost Expenses (a) \$ (5,449,564)

(a) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Payroll Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Payroll Expense (a)	\$ 367,865
(2)	Test Year Payroll Expense (b)	 794,559
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$ (426,694)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.6

<sup>(</sup>b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Employee Pensions & Benefits Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Employee Pensions & Benefits Expense (a)	\$	99,827
(2)	Test Year Employee Pensions & Benefits Expense (b)	·	168, <del>9</del> 00
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$	(69,073)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.7

<sup>(</sup>b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

# WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Interest on Customer Deposits Expense Adjustment

(1)	Jurisdictional Customer Deposits (a)	\$ 22,822
(2)	Interest Rate (b)	 3.00%
(3)	Interest on Customer Deposits (1) x (2)	\$ 685

<sup>(</sup>a) Derived from Applicant's Financial Records Times the Allocation Factor

<sup>(</sup>b) Derived from Staff's Field Investigation

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Insurance Policies Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Insurance Policies Expense (a)	\$	36,419
(2)	Test Year Life Insurance Expense (b)	<del></del>	66,677
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$	(30,258)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.9(b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Rate Case Expense Adjustment

(1)	Estimated Rate Case Expense (a)	\$ 15,000
(2)	Amortization Period (Years)	5_
(3)	Adjusted Rate Case Expense (1) / (2)	\$ 3,000

(a) Derived from Staff's Data Request 3

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Office Supplies & Other Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Office Supplies & Other Expenses (a)	\$ 27,158
(2)	Test Year Office Supplies & Other Expenses (b)	 58,277
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$ (31,119)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.11

<sup>(</sup>b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Postage Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Postage Expense (a)	\$ 15,266
(2)	Test Year Postage Expense (b)	 26,763
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$ (11,497)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.12

<sup>(</sup>b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Supplies & Expenses Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Supplies & Expense (a)	\$ 49,034
(2)	Test Year Supplies & Expense (b)	 89,773
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$ (40,739)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.13(b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Lease Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Test Year Lease Expense (a)	\$ 0
(2)	Test Year Lease Expense (b)	 45,600
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$ (45,600)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.14

<sup>(</sup>b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Miscellaneous & Services Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Miscellaneous & Services Expense (a)	\$ 2,265
(2)	Test Year Miscellaneous & Services Expense (b)	 4,146
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$ (1,881)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.15

<sup>(</sup>b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Regulatory Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Regulatory Expense (a)	\$ 322
(2)	Test Year Regulatory Expense (b)	 12,684
(3)	Adjustment (1)- (2)	\$ (12,362)

- (a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.16
- (b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Miscellaneous General Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Miscellaneous General Expense (a)	\$ 56,283
(2)	Test Year Miscellaneous General Expense (b)	110,317
(3)	Adjustment (3) - (4)	\$ (54,034)

- (a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.17(b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Depreciation Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Depreciation Expense (a)	\$ 150,683
(2)	Test Year Depreciation Expense (b)	 218,901
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$ (68,218)

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Schedule B-3.2(b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Summary of Taxes Other Than Income Adjustment

		Schedule Reference		Adjusted Taxes
(1)	Ohio Property Taxes	3.19a	\$	92,343
(2)	Ohio Gross Receipts Tax (a)	3.19b		0
(3)	Calculation of FICA Taxes	3.19¢		15,312
(4)	State and Federal Unemployment Taxes	3.19d		601
(5)	MCF Taxes (a)	3.19e		0
(6)	PUCO Maintenance and OCC Taxes	3.19f	_	6,549
(7)	Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes (1) thru (6)			114,805
(8)	Test Year Taxes Other Than Income Taxes (b)			515,164
(9)	Adjustment (7) - (8)		<b>\$</b>	(400,359)

<sup>(</sup>a) Refer to Text (b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of Property Taxes

(1)	Applicant's 2006 Real Property Taxes (a)	\$ 400
(2)	Applicant's 2006 Personal Property Taxes (a)	130
(3)	Adjustment (1) + (2)	\$ 530

(a) Staff's Workpaper WPC-3.19a

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of Ohio Gross Receipts Tax

•	•		Adjusted Operating Income		Proforma Operating Income	
(1)	Adjusted Operating Revenues (a)	\$	1,084,374	\$	1,585,277	
(2)	Less: Uncollectible Accounts Expense (1) x .00000		0		0	
(3)	Less: Non-Taxable Receipts (1) x .00000		0		0	
(4)	Less: Statutory Exemption	_	25,000	_	25,000	
(5)	Net Taxable Revenue (1) - (2) - (3) - (4)		1,059,374		1,560,277	
(6)	Tax Rate	_	4.75%		4.75%	
(7)	Ohio Gross Receipts Tax (5) x (6)	\$	50,320_	\$	74,113	

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Schedule C-1

## WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of FICA Taxes

(1)	Total Taxable FICA Wages (a)	\$ 366,447
(2)	FICA Tax Rate (b)	7.65%
(3)	Total Waterville Gas & Oil Company FICA Taxes (1) x (2)	28,033
(4)	Allocation Factor (c)	54.62%
(5)	Jurisdictional FICA Taxes (3) x (4)	\$ 15,312

<sup>(</sup>a) Derived From Staff's Schedule C-3.3

<sup>(</sup>b) Latest Known Rate

<sup>(</sup>c) Refer to Text

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of State & Federal Unemployment Taxes

		State	<u>Federal</u>	
(1)	Taxable Wages	\$ 9,000 \$	7,000	
(2)	Number of Employees (a)	10	10_	
(3)	Taxable Wages (1) x (2)	90,000	70,000	
(4)	Unemployment Tax Rate (b)	0.0060	0.0080	
(5)	Unemployment Taxes (3) x (4)	540	560	
(6)	Allocation Factor (c)	54.62%	54.62%	
(7)	Jurisdictional Unemployment Taxes (5) x (6)	\$ 295 \$	306	

<sup>(</sup>a) Derived From Applicant's Payroll Information(b) Applicant's Unemployment Tax Rates(c) Refer to Text

# WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of MCF Taxes

		General Service	,	Rate Blocks			Total Test Year		
		Mcf Sales		100		101 - 2,000		Over 2,000	Mcf Sales
		(a)			_				
(1)	January	45,010		100		1,900		43,010	45,010
(2)	February	48,562		100		1,900		46,562	48,562
(3)	March	47,819		100		1,900		45,819	47,819
(4)	April	17,899		100		1,900		15,89 <del>9</del>	17,899
(5)	May	12,810		100		1,900		10,810	12,810
(6)	June	8,129		100		1,900		6,129	8,129
(7)	July	6,090		100		1,900		4,090	6,090
(8)	August	6,894		100		1,900		4,894	6,894
(9)	September	7,279		100		1,900		5,279	7,279
(10)	October	18,564		100		1,900		16,564	18,564
(11)	November	36,596		100		1,900		34,596	36,596
(12)	December	43,182		100	_	1,900	_	41,182	43,182
(13)	Total Test Year Mcf Sales	298,834		1,200		22,800		274,834	298,834
(14)	Mcf Tax Rate		\$	0.1593	\$_	0.0877	\$_	0.0411	
(15)	Mcf Tax (13 x (14)		\$	191	\$	2,000	\$	11,296	\$ 13,486

<sup>(</sup>a) Derived from Staff's Data Request 1

# WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of PUCO Maintenance and OCC Fund

(1)	PUCO Maintenance Assessment (a)	\$ 9,820
(2)	OCC Funds Assessment (a)	2,170
(3)	Total Assessment (1) + (2)	11,990
(4)	Allocation Factor	54.62%
(5)	Adjustment (3) x (4)	\$ 6,549

(a) Latest Known Assessments

### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Federal Income Tax Expense Adjustment

(1)	Adjusted Federal Income Taxes (a)	\$ 34,286
(2)	Test Year Federal Income Taxes (b)	0
(3)	Adjustment (1) - (2)	\$ 34,286

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Schedule C-4(b) Applicant's 2006 PUCO Annual Report and Federal Tax Return

#### WATERVILLE GAS & OIL COMPANY Case No. 07-0194-GA-AIR Calculation of Federal Income Taxes

		Adjusted Operating Income		Proforma Operating Income	
(1)	Operating Income Before FIT (a)	\$ 160,765	\$	661,668	
(2)	Reconciling Items: Interest Charges (b)	(59,924)		(59,924)	
(3) (4)	Book Depreciation (c) Tax Accelerated Depreciation (b)	 150,683 142,268	_	150,683 142,268	
(5)	Excess of Tax Over Book Depreciation (3) - (4)	8,415		8,415	
(6)	Total Reconciling Items (2) + (5)	(51,509)		(51,509)	
(7)	Federal Taxable Income (1) + (6)	109,256		610,159	
(8)	Federal Taxable Income Rate	 34.00%		34.00%	
(9)	Federal Income Taxes (7) x (8)	37,147		207,454	
(10) (11)	Deferred Income Taxes: Tax Accelerated Depreciation (b) Tax Straight Line Depreciation (c)	 142,268 150,683	_	142,268 150,683	
(12) (13)	Excess of Tax Accelerated over Tax S/L Deprec. (10) - (11) Deferred @34%	(8,415) (2,861)		(8,415) (2,861)	
(14)	Total Federal Income Taxes (9) + (13)	\$ 34,286	\$_	204,593	

<sup>(</sup>a) Staff's Schedule C-1
(b) Applicant's 2006 Federal Tax Return Times Allocation Factor of 54.62%
(c) Staff's Schedule B-3.2