BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO 1 2 3 In the Matter of the : Case No. 06-91-EL-UNC application for Recovery : No. 06-92-EL-UNC 4 of the Costs, Lost Margin,: No. 06-93-EX-UNC and Performance Incentive : 6A Associated with the 5 implementation of Electric: Residential Demand Side б Management Programs by the: Cincinnati Gas & Electric : 7 Company. 8 9 **PROCEEDINGS** 10 11 before Scott Farkas, Hearing Examiner, at the Public 12 Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room G, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:29 a.m. on 13 This is to certify that the images appearing are Thursday, June 27, 2007. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 185 South Fifth Street, Suite 101 Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 22 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481 accurate document d Fax - (614) 224-5724 23 24

2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 Duke Energy By Mr. Paul Colbert and Mr. Rocco D'Anscenzo 3 155 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 4 On behalf of Duke Energy Ohio. 5 McNees, Wallace & Nurick б By Mr. Daniel J. Neilsen Fifth Third Center, Suite 1700 7 21 East State Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 8 On behalf of the Industrial Energy 9 Users of Ohio. 10 Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry By Mr. David Boehm 11 Mr. Kurt Boehm 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 12 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-4454 13 On behalf of Kroger Company 14 and the Ohio Energy Group 15 Janine L. Migden-Ostrander Ohio Consumers' Counsel Mr. Larry S. Sauer 16 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 17 On behalf of the Residential 18 Consumers of the State of Ohio. 19 Mark Dann, Ohio Attorney General 20 Duane W. Luckey, Senior Deputy Attorney General Public Utilities Section 21 Mr. William Wright 22 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793 23 On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission. 24

				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3	WITNESS	PAC	E	i
4	Richard Stevie			
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Colbert		7	
6				
7	COMPANY EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD	
8	1 - Amended Application of Duke Energy	6	13	
9	JOINT EXHIBITS			
10	1 - Stipulation and Recommendation	6	13	
11	COMMISSION-ORDERED EXHIBITS			
12	1 - Staff Report	7	13	
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

Thursday Morning Session,
June 27, 2007.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: The Commission has called this hearing at this time and place In the Matter of the Application for Recovery of the Costs, Lost Margin, and Performance Incentive Associated with the Implementation of Electric Residential Demand Side Management Programs by the Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, Case No. 06 - 91-92-93 - EL-UNC.

My name is Scott Farkas, an attorney examiner assigned to these cases.

At this time I will take appearances.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the staff of Public Utilities Commission,
Bill Wright, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio
43215, and I would also like to enter the presence of several staff members, with us today Greg Scheck,
Steve Brennan, Steve Pican.

MR. COLBERT: On the Duke Energy Ohio,
Paul Colbert and Rocco D'Anscenzo, 2500 Atrium 2, 139
East Fourth street, Cincinnati, Ohio, 45201-0960.

MR. NEILSEN: Your Honor, on behalf of the Industrial Energy Users Ohio, with the office of

```
the McNees Wallace & Nurick, Daniel J. Neilsen, 21
East Street, 17th floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
```

MR. BOEHM: Your Honor, my name in Kurt Boehm, appearing on behalf of the Kroger Company and the Ohio Energy Group, from the law firm of Boehm, Kurtz and Lowery, Cincinnati Ohio, 452 much 0.

MR. SAUER: Your Honor, on behalf of the residential customers of Duke Energy Ohio, the office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Janine L.

Migden-Ostrander, consumers counsel, Larry Sauer, assistant consumers counsel 10 East Broad Street, Ohio 4215.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I note for the record a stipulation was filed in this case on June 14. Do you want to comment on that?

MR. COLBERT: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

Your Honor, I guess I have one preliminary matter, and then I would ask to mark several exhibits, and the company is also prepared to offer a witness in the case, but as a preliminary matter, I have had discussion with the Ohio School Board Association, general counsel, Mr. Rick Dickinson, and he has asked that and authorized me to

represent on the record that the School Board 1 2 Association supports the school incentive program, which is a part of the application and stipulation. 3 And I would ask that the Commission approve that as 5 soon as practical. 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. MR. COLBERT: With that, Your Honor, I 7 would offer as Joint Exhibit 1 a Stipulation and 8 Recommendation. It is signed by a number of parties 9 including staff, the Ohio Energy Group, the Ohio 10 Consumers' Counsel, Kroger and Duke Energy Ohio. 11 12 And I would mark as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit 1, an amended application filed on August 15, 13 in this docket. 14 And with that, I would ask that 1.5 16 Dr. Richard Stevie be called as company witness regarding the stipulation. 17 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Just for the record, the IEU does not oppose the 19 20 stipulation; is that correct? 21 MR. NEILSEN: That is correct, your 22 Honor. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. 23

24

Also for the record, I think the staff --

we will mark the staff report as Commission-Ordered 1 2 Exhibit No. 1. MR. WRIGHT: Yes, thank you. 3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Those be so 4 5 marked. 6 7 RICHARD STEVIE 8 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Colbert: 11 1.2 Good morning, Dr. Stevie. Q. 13 Α. Good morning. 14 Q. Dr. Stevie, can you state your full name 15 and your position with the company for the record, please? 16 17 My name is Richard G. Stevie, and I Α. 1.8 am the managing director of Customer Market Analytics 19 for the Duke Energy Shared Services Corporation. 20 Dr. Stevie, I'm going to ask about Ο. several topics here relating to the Commission's 21 22 standard for approval of stipulations. The first topic is whether the stipulation was a product of 23

serious bargaining among capable and knowledgeable

parties. In that regard, Dr. Stevie, were you present at discussions before the Duke Energy Community Partnership regarding the programs that appear in Duke Energy Ohio's application that has now been marked as Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit 1?

- A. Yes, I was present for those discussions.
- Q. And are you aware that both representatives of staff and the Ohio Consumers' Counsel participated in those discussions?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And are you aware that Hamilton County and Clermont County Community Action agencies participated in those discussions?
 - A. Yes, they did.
- Q. And are you aware that those two organizations are members of Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And are you aware of additional community organizations that participated in those discussions?
- A. Yes. They are actually listed in the amended application.
 - Q. Can you identify those organizations?
 - A. Yes. Working in Neighborhoods, People

- Working Cooperatively, looks like the Homeownership
 Center of Greater Cincinnati, the Adams and Brown
 County Economic Opportunities, Communities United for
 Action, and Cincinnati Public Schools, and the Ohio
 Department of Development.
- Q. Very good. And in your experience with the Duke Energy Community Partnership, are these organizations that have been, for the most part, consistently active in that partnership over the years?
 - A. For the most part, that's correct.
- Q. And do you know whether many of these organizations have professional experience in the contracting and implementation of energy efficiency and weatherization programs?
 - A. Yes, they do.
- Q. And those programs are similar to the programs that are included in the application and the Stipulation and Recommendation?
 - A. Yes.

1.9

Q. And, Dr. Stevie, are you aware of discussions to arrive at the Stipulation and Recommendation between Duke Energy Ohio and other parties to the stipulation, such as Kroger, Ohio

Energy Group, and Industrial Energy Users-Ohio?

A. Yes.

1.0

- Q. And to your knowledge are those parties that have extensive experience in matters before the Commission, including matters regarding energy efficiency and weatherization programs?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Dr. Stevie, moving on to the second criteria, whether these programs benefit the public, can you describe for the record some of the program content in this stipulation and how it would benefit consumers in DE-Ohio's certified territory?
- A. Yes. There are the number of programs, such as -- well there's the number of residential programs and commercial programs that will offer customers the opportunity to find ways to reduce their energy consumption through the design of the programs. For example, the Home Energy House Call Program provides residential customers with an opportunity to investigate ways in which they can reduce their energy consumption, and there are other programs that offer incentives for the installation of more efficient energy using equipment, so those are all, I think, examples of the way the consumers

the using public, have a way to benefit from the implementation of these programs.

- Q. Dr. Stevie, do affiliates of Duke Energy Ohio, Duke Energy Indiana and Duke Energy Kentucky, also, I believe, already offer programs similar to this?
 - A. Yes, they do.

- Q. And have their been demonstrated benefits regarding these programs in those states?
 - A. Yes, there have.
- Q. And, finally -- well, let me ask you one more question. Dr. Stevie, these programs, there are also as you mentioned programs for nonresidential customers, commercial and industrial customers. If those customers do not wish to participate in these programs, is there an opt-out provision for those customers?
- A. Yes. On page 65 of the Amended Application we note that consumers are permitted to opt out of participation and payment for the programs.
- Q. And, Dr. Stevie, is Duke Energy Ohio under the terms of the Stipulation and Recommendation and the amended application required to report to the

Commission regarding the benefits of these programs so that the Commission may measure the benefits?

1.2

1.6

- A. There are provisions in the amended application for the company to annually update what has been the actual participation by consumers, what dollars they spent, what dollars they collected and to reconcile differences associated with that, and that would be done each year.
- Q. And the Stipulation and Recommendation has amended the dates upon which those reports would be due to the Commission; is that correct?
- A. Well, those are the dates for -- that amends the dates for the provision of impact evaluation studies, which would be conducted at some point after the programs are implemented and customers have participated. That sets the dates for the completion or the analysis of the impacts that have been achieved.
- Q. Dr. Stevie, the last criteria by which the Commission judges such stipulations is whether it violates any important regulatory principle. Have you been involved with the implementation of energy efficiency, weatherization or demand side management programs before the Commission in prior years?

```
1
           Α.
                 Yes.
                       I've been looking at load
2
    management and demand side management programs for
    probably close to 30 years.
 3
                And are these programs consistent with
 4
 5
    the methodology to establish and implement programs
 6
    in your experience?
            Α.
 7
                 Yes.
                 MR. COLBERT: No further questions, your
 8
 9
    Honor.
                                        Does anybody want
10
                 THE HEARING EXAMINER:
    to ask any questions of the witness?
11
12
                 MR. SAUER: No questions.
13
                 MR. WRIGHT: No questions.
                 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're excused.
14
15
    Thank you for your testimony.
                 MR. COLBERT: Your Honor, at this time
16
17
    the company would move to admit Joint Exhibit 1, Duke
    Energy Ohio Exhibit 1 and Commission-ordered
18
    Exhibit 1.
19
20
                 MR. WRIGHT: I would join
21
    Commission-ordered Exhibit 1.
22
                 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Hearing no
23
    objections, those will be admitted.
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
24
```

MR. COLBERT: Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is there anything

3 | further.

б

MR. COLBERT: Not for the company.

MR. SAUER: No, your Honor.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I will note for the record no one from the public came forward, other than the people that have been made known their appearances here at the Commission hearing.

MR. COLBERT: Your Honor, there is one other matter, perhaps, and the company is prepared to implement these programs July 1, and it would be helpful, given that there's no opposition if it's possible to have an order from the Bench permitting that, either in an entry that might be put out before the end of the week or simply an oral entry pending actual Commission approval at a later date.

There are a number of parties and customers waiting for these programs, and that would be helpful. We, of course, will wait and implement on whatever schedule the Bench and the Commission deem appropriate, but we would at least ask for some consideration to accommodate that schedule.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I will take that

```
15
    under advisement.
1
                 MR. COLBERT: Thank you.
 2
                 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anything further?
 3
                 (No response.)
 4
                 THE HEARING EXAMINER: We will be
 5
    adjourned.
 6
                 Thank you.
 7
                 (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at
 8
    10:25 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, June 27, 2007, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Column J. Andrew J. Rosemary Foster Anderson,

Rosemary Foster Anderson, Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2009.

11 (RFA-7070)

1.5

1.7

	T -	I	1	1
A	August 6:13	comment 5:15	Daniel 2:6 5:1	6:10,11,12
about 7:20	authorized 5:24	commercial 10:15	Dann 2:19	7:19 8:2,4,5
accommodate	aware 8:7,11,15	11:14	date 14:17	8:17 9:7,14,23
*	8:19 9:21	commission 1:1	dates 12:10,12	10:1,1,5,17,18
14:23	a.m1:13 15:9	1:12 2:24 4:4	12:13,16	10:21,23 11:3
achieved 12:18		4:15 6:4 10:5	David 2:11	11:4,4,22
Action 8:12 9:4	В	12:1,2,11,20	deem 14:22	12:22 13:18
active 9:9	bargaining 7:24	12:24 14:9,17	demand 1:6 4:9	enter 4:17
actual 12:5		14:21 16:10	12:23 13:2	entry 14:15,16
14:17	before 1:1,11	Commission's	demonstrated	equipment 10:23
actually 8:21	8:2 10:4 12:24	7:21	11:8	establish 13:5
Adams 9:2	14:15	Commission-Or		evaluation 12:14
additional 8:19	behalf 2:5,9,13	r	Department 9:5	
adjourned 15:6	2:18,23 4:15	3:11 7:1 13:18	Deputy 2:20	EVIDENCE 13:24
admit 13:17	4:23 5:4,7	13:21	describe 10:10	Examination 3:4
admitted 13:23	being 7:8	Communities 9:3	design 10:17	7:10
13:24	believe 11:5	community 8:3,12	Development 9:5	examined 7:9
ADMTD 3:7	Bench 14:14,21	8:19 9:7	DE-Ohio's 10:12	examiner 1:11
advisement 15:1	benefit 10:9,11	company 1:7 2:13	Dickinson 5:24	4:4,12 5:13
affiliates 11:3	11:1	3:7 4:10 5:4	differences 12:7	6:6,18,23 7:4
Affordable 8:17	benefits 11:8	5:20 6:16 7:15	Direct 3:4 7:10	13:10,14,22
after 12:15	12:1,2	12:4 13:17	director 7:18	14:2,6,24 15:3
agencies 8:12	between 9:23	14:4,11	discussion 5:22	15:5
already 11:5	Bill 4:16	compared 16:5	discussions 8:2	example 10:18
amended 3:8 6:13	Board 5:23 6:1	completion 12:17	8:6,9,13,20	examples 10:24
8:22 11:18,24	Boehm 2:10,11,11	concluded 15:8	9:22	excused 13:14
12:3,10	5:3,4,5	conducted 12:14	docket 6:14	Exhibit 6:8,13
amends 12:13	both 8:7	consideration	dollars 12:6,6	7:2 8:5 13:17
among 7:24	Brennan 4:19	14:23	done 12:8	13:18,19,21
analysis 12:17	Broad 1:12 2:3	consistent 13:4	Dr 6:16 7:12,14	exhibits 3:7,9
Analytics 7:18	2:16,22 4:16	consistently 9:9	7:20 8:1 9:21	3:11 5:20
	5:11	consumers 2:15	10:8 11:3,12	13:24
Anderson 16:7	Brown 9:2	2:18 5:9,10,11	11:22 12:19	experience 9:6
annually 12:4		6:11 8:8 10:12	Duane 2:20	9:13 10:4 13:6
anybody 13:10	С	10:24 11:19	due 12:11	expires 16:10
anything 14:2	Call 10:18	12:5	Duke 2:2,5 3:8	extensive 10:4
15:3	called 1:13 4:5	consumption	4:20 5:8 6:11	
appear 8:4	6:16	10:17,21	6:12 7:19 8:2	F
appearances 2:1	came 14:7	content 10:11	8:4,5 9:7,23	Farkas 1:11 4:11
4:13 14:9		contracting 9:14	11:3,4,4,22	Fax 1:23
appearing 5:4	capable 7:24 carefully 16:5	Cooperatively	13:17	Fifth1:21 2:7
application 1:3	_	9:1	duly 7:8	filed 5:14 6:13
3:8 4:6 6:3,13	case 1:3 4:10	Corporation 7:19	D'Anscenzo2:3	
8:4,22 9:18	5:14,21	correct 6:20,21	4:21	finally 11:11 find 10:16
11:19,24 12:4	cases 4:12	9:11 12:11	ļ -	firm 5:5
appropriate	Center 2:7 9:2	16:3	E	first 7:8,22
14:22	CERTIFICATE 16:1	Costs 1:4 4:6	each 12:8	floor 2:22 5:2
approval 7:22	certified 10:12	counsel 2:15 5:9		
14:17	certify 16:2	5:10,11,23	East 1:12 2:3,7	follows 7:9
approve 6:4	Cincinnati 1:7	•	2:12,22 4:16	foregoing 16:2
April 16:10	2:12 4:9,22	6:11 8:9	4:22 5:2,11	forward 14:7
ARMSTRONG 1:21	5:6 9:2,4	County 8:11,12	Economic 9:3	Foster 16:7
arrive 9:22	Clermont 8:12	9:3	efficiency 9:14	Fourth 4:22
asked 5:24	close 13:3	course 14:20	10:6 12:23	from 5:5 11:1
assigned 4:12	Colbert 2:2 3:4	criteria 10:9	efficient 10:23	14:7,14
assistant 5:11	4:20,21 5:16	12:19	either 14:15	full 7:14
associated 1:5	6:7 7:11 13:8	Customer 7:18	Electric 1:5,7	further 13:8
4:7 12:7	13:16 14:1,4	customers 5:8	4:8,10	14:3 15:3
Association 5:23	14:10 15:2	10:16,19 11:14	EL~UNC 4:10	
6:2	collected 12:6	11:14,15,17	end 14:16	<u>G</u>
Atrium 4:21	Columbus 1:13,22	12:16 14:19	energy 2:2,5,9	G1:13 7:17
attorney 2:19,20	2:4,8,17,22		2:14 3:8 4:20	Gas 1:7 4:9
4:11	4:16 5:2	D	4:24 5:5,8	general 2:19,20
	}		1	
	L	·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

5:23 J2:6 5:1 8:16 order 14:14 10:15,18,22 given 14:13 Janine 2:15 5:9 mentioned 11:13 organizations 11:2,5,9,12,13 join 13:20 methodology 13:5 8:16,20,23 9:8 11:16,21 12:1 going 7:20 good 7:12,13 9:6 Joint 3:9 6:8 Migden-Ostrander 9:13 12:15,24 13:2 Greater 9:2 13:17 2:15 5:10 original 16:5 13:4,5 14:12 other 9:23 10:21 14:19 Greq 4:18 iudges 12:20 might 14:15 more 10:23 11:12 14:7,11 provides 10:19 Group 2:14 5:5 July 14:12 out 11:20 14:15 provision 11:16 6:10 10:1 June 1:14 4:2 morning 4:1 7:12 over 9:9 5:15 16:4 7:13 12:13 guess 5:18 Just 6:18 most 9:8,11 provisions 12:3 ___н move 13:17 public 1:1,11 K page 3:3 11:18 2:21,23 4:15 moving 10:8 Hamilton 8:11 much 5:6 9:4 10:9 11:1 part 6:3 9:8,11 hearing 1:11 4:4 Kentucky 11:4 14:7 16:8 participate 4:5 5:13 6:6 know 9:12 6:18,23 7:4 N put 14:15 knowledge 10:3 11:15 13:10,14,22,22 knowledgeable name 4:11 5:3 participated 8:9 Q 8:13,20 12:16 14:2,6,9,24 7:24 7:14,17 Neighborhoods participation question 11:12 15:3,5,8 known 14:8 Kroger 2:13 5:4 11:20 12:5 questions 13:8 helpful 14:13,20 8:24 parties 6:9 8:1 6:11 9:24 Neilsen 2:6 4:23 13:11,12,13 Home 10:18 5:1 6:21 9:24 10:3 Kurt 2:11 5:3 Homeownership R Kurtz 2:10 5:6 nonresidential 14:18 9:1Partners 8:16 Honor 4:14,23 11:13 Recommendation partnership 8:3 Notary 16:8 5:3,7,16,18 3:10 6:9 9:19 note 5:13 11:19 6:7,22 13:9,16 9:23 11:23 9:7.9 L2:15 5:9 Paul 2:2 4:21 14:6 14:5,10 12:9 Larry 2:16 5:10 payment 11:20 notes 16:6 reconcile 12:7 House 10:18 last 12:19 number 6:9 10:13 pending 14:16 later 14:17 record 5:14 6:1 I 10:14 14:18 people 8:24 14:8 law 5:5 7:8 6:19,24 7:15 Performance 1:4 Nurick 2:6 5:1 10:10 14:7 identify 8:23 least 14:22 4:7Recovery 1:3 4:6 let 11:11 **IDFD** 3:7 0 perhaps 14:11 IEU 6:19 like 4:17 9:1 reduce 10:16,21 permitted 11:19listed 8:21 objections 13:23 regard 8:1 impact 12:13 load 13:1 offer 5:21 6:8 permitting 14:14 regarding 6:17 impacts 12:17 Pican 4:19 implement 13:5 looking 13:1 10:15,22 11:5 8:3 10:5 11:9 place 4:5 14:12,20 looks 9:1 office 4:24 5:8 12:1 please 7:16 implementation Lost 1:4 4:7 Ohio 1:1,12,13 regulatory 12:21 point 12:15 1:5 4:8 9:14 Lowery 5:6 1:22 2:4,5,8,9 relating 7:21 position 7:15 Lowry 2:10 2:12,14,15,17 report 3:12 7:1 11:2 12:22 Luckey 2:20 2:18,19,22 possible 14:14 11:24 implemented practical 6:5 12:15 4:16,20,22,24 Reporter 16:8 preliminary 5:19 important 12:21 M 5:2,5,6,8,9,12 reports 12:10 5:21 INC 1:21 5:22 6:10,10 represent 6:1 made 14:8 prepared 5:20 incentive 1:4 6:11,12 8:5,8 representatives management 1:6 4:7 6:2 8:16 9:4,23,24 14:11 8:8 4:9 12:23 13:2 prescribed 7:8 incentives 10:22 13:2 11:4,22 13:18 required 11:24 presence 4:17 included 9:18 managing 7:18 16:9 residential 1:6 present 8:2,6 2:18 4:8 5:8 including 6:10 many 9:12 Ohio's 8:4 principle 12:21 Okay 6:6 10:14,19 10:5 Margin 1:4 4:7 prior 12:24 INDEX 3:1 OKEY 1:21 response 15:4 mark 2:19 5:19 Indiana 11:4 one 5:18 11:11 probably 13:3 RFA-7070 16:11 6:12 7:1 proceedings 1:10 industrial 2:9 marked 7:5 8:5 14:7,10 Richard 3:4 6:16 16:3 4:24 10:1 Opportunities 7:7,17 Market 7:18 product 7:23 Rick 5:23 11:14 9:3 matter 1:3 4:6 opportunity professional Rocco 2:3 4:21 installation 5:19,22 14:11 9:13 16:8 10:22 10:16,20 Room 1:13 16:4 program 6:2 investigate oppose 6:19 Rosemary 16:7 matters 10:4,5 10:10,19 10:20 opposition 14:13 may 12:2 programs 1:6 4:9 S involved 12:22 opt 11:20 McNees 2:6 5:1 8:3 9:15,17,18 opt-out 11:16 measure 12:2 S 2:16 _._..J oral 14:16 10:6,9,13,15 members 4:18 Sauer 2:16 5:7

5:10 13:12		Working 8:24 9:1	43215-52011:22	1
14:5		Wright 2:21 4:14	4525:6	
	take 4:13 14:24	4:16 7:3 13:13	45201-09604:22	
Scheck 4:18	taken 16:3	•	I .	
schedule 14:21	terms 11:23	13:20	45202-44542:12	<u>.</u>
14:23	territory 10:12			1
school 5:22 6:1	testified 7:9	Y	5	
6:2	testimony 13:15	year 12:8	516:10	
Schools 9:4	thank 4:14 5:16	years 9:10 12:24		
Scott 1:11 4:11	6:18,23 7:3	13:3	6	
second 10:8	13:15 14:1	l	63:8,10	ŀ
Section 2:21	15:2,7	0	614 1:22,23	
Senior 2:20	their 10:17,21	05:6	65 11:18	
serious 7:24	11:8 14:8	064:10		
Services 7:19	think 6:24 10:24	06-91-EL-UNC1:3	7	
Session 4:1	Third2:7	06-92-EL-UNC 1:3	73:4,12	
sets 12:16		06-93-EL-UNC1:4	, 3.4,12	İ
Seventh 2:12	through 10:17	00-93-EH-0NC 1.4	8	1
several 4:18	Thursday 1:14	1		i
5:20 7:21	4:1 16:4) ————————————————————————————————————	8001:22	
Shared 7:19	time 4:5,13	13:8,10,12 6:8		
side 1:6 4:9	13:16	6:13 7:2 8:5	9	
12:23 13:2	today 4:18	13:17,18,19,21	9th 2:22	
	topic 7:23	14:12	91-92-934:10	
signed 6:9	topics 7:21	10 2:16 5:11		
similar 9:17	transcript 16:3	10:25 15:9		
11:5	true 16:3	10:291:13		
simply 14:16	two 8:15	1011:21		
some 10:10 12:14		13 3:8,10,12		
14:22		1394:21		}
soon 6:5	under 11:23 15:1	14 5:15		
South 1:21	United 9:3	15 6 : 13		
spent 12:6	update 12:4	15102:12		
staff 2:23 3:12	Users 2:9 4:24	155 2 : 3		
4:15,18 6:10		17th5:2		
6:24 7:1 8:8	Users-Ohio 10:1	17002:7		
standard 7:22	using 10:23 11:1	1801:12 2:22		
state 2:7,18	Utilities 1:1,12	4:16	-	
7:14 16:9	2:21,24 4:15		1	
states 11:9		18002:16	İ	
stenographic	<u>v</u>	185 1:21		
16:5	Very 9:6		:	
Steve 4:19,19	violates 12:21	2		
Stevie 3:4 6:16		24:21		
3	W	20071:14 4:2		
7:7,12,14,17	W2:20	16:4		
7:20 8:1 9:21	wait 14:20	200916:10		
10:8 11:3,12	waiting 14:19	212:7 5:1		
11:22 12:19	Wallace 2:6 5:1	223-94811:22		
stipulation 3:10	want 5:15 13:10	224-5724 1:23		
5:14 6:3,8,17	way 10:24 11:1	224-948 11:22		
6:20 7:23 9:19	ways 10:16,20	25004:21		
9:22,24 10:11	ways 10:10,20 weatherization	271:14 4:2 16:4		
11:23 12:9	9:15 10:6	<u> </u>		
stipulations	1	3	1	1
7:22 12:20	12:23	30 13:3	!	
street 1:12,21	week 14:16	362:12		
2:3,7,12,16,22	well 10:14 11:11	202.12	i	
4:16,22 5:2,11	12:12	4		1
studies 12:14	were 8:1			
Suite 1:21 2:7	West 2:16	42155:12		
2:12,16	William 2:21	43215 2:4,8 4:17		
supports 6:2	wish 11:15	5:2		
sworn 7:8	witness 3:3 5:21	43215-34852:17		
1 2.0211 7.0	6:16 13:11	43215-37932:22]
		1	ŀ	

06.91-EL-UNC 06.92.EL-UNC Case Number 06.93.GA-UNC

The following exhibit(s) were prefiled and can be located with the pleadings:

Exhibits	Date Filed		
COMPANY EXHIBITS			
1 - Amended Application of Duke Energy	Aug 16, 2006		
JOINT EXHIBITS			
1 - Stipulation and Recommendation	June 14, 2007		
COMMISSION-ORDERED EXHIBITS			
'1 - Staff Report	Jan 12, 2007		
,			
•			
	·		