FILE

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

RECEIVED-DI)]a.
RECEIVED-DO	CKETING DI
Pi.	PM 4: 11
PUCC)

Case No. 07-646-EL-UNC

In the Matter of the Commission's Response
To Provisions of the Federal Energy Policy
Act of 2005 Regarding Net Metering, Smart
Metering and Demand Response,
Cogeneration and Power Production
Purchase and Sale Requirements, and
Interconnection.

MOTION TO INTERVENE

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC"), on behalf of all the Ohio residential consumers of the electric distribution utilities ("EDUs"), moves to intervene, pursuant to R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, in the above-captioned proceeding. OCC is the representative of Ohio's residential utility consumers, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911. The reasons in support of OCC's motion are more fully stated in the attached memorandum.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Ann M. Hotz, Counsel of Record

Jacqueline Lake Roberts

Assistants Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

(614) 466-8574 (Telephone)

(614) 466-9475 (Facsimile)

hotz@occ.state.oh.us roberts@occ.state.oh.us

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed 6.27.0

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's Response)	
To Provisions of the Federal Energy Policy)	
Act of 2005 Regarding Net Metering, Smart)	
Metering and Demand Response,)	Case No. 07-646-EL-UNC
Cogeneration and Power Production)	
Purchase and Sale Requirements, and)	
Interconnection.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

I. INTRODUCTION

On March 28, 2007, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO") issued a Finding and Order in response to the Energy Policy Act of 2005 that adopted recommendations of Commission Staff with regard to Smart Metering. The Commission's May 24, 2007 Entry on Rehearing denied all applications for rehearing and clarified the Finding and Order. On May 30, 2007 the Commission opened this docket to facilitate the PUCO Staff's initiation of a Commission-Ordered Workshop Regarding Smart Metering Deployment.

Smart Metering or Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) refers to systems that measure, collect and analyze energy usage, from advanced electricity meters through various communication media on request or on a pre-defined schedule. This infrastructure includes hardware, software, communications, customer associated systems and data management software.

The network between the measurement devices and business systems allows collection and distribution of information to customers, suppliers, utility companies and service providers. This enables these businesses to either participate in, or provide,

demand response solutions, products and services. By providing information to customers, the system facilitates changes in energy usage from their normal consumption patterns, either in response to changes in price or as incentives designed to encourage lower energy usage use at times of peak-demand periods or higher wholesale prices or during periods of low operational systems reliability.

This workshop could affect all of the approximately 4.5 million electric residential customers in the State of Ohio because Commission determinations regarding Smart Metering could result in a change in the rates and charges residential customers would be required to pay for service or could affect the terms and conditions of service and energy supply options for residential customers. The Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene in this proceeding so that the OCC can fully protect the interests of all Ohio's residential electric customers.

II. INTERVENTION

OCC moves to intervene under its legislative authority to represent all residential utility consumers in Ohio, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911. R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential consumers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the consumers are unrepresented in a proceeding regarding the terms, conditions, rates and charges of Smart Metering electric service. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

(1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;

- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest in representing the residential consumers lies in developing effective and appropriate choices through Smart Metering that will allow, at a reasonable cost, residential customers to better control their energy use costs. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of shareholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for consumers will include advancing the position that the rates resulting from this docket should be no more than what is reasonable and permissible under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. This interest includes that the rates for Smart Metering should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceeding.

OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC was an active participant in PUCO Case No 05-1500-EL-COI, and is knowledgeable in the areas of smart metering, consumer response to energy efficiency, and rates. OCC will obtain and develop

information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the residential utility consumer advocate, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case in which the Smart Metering Technology that will be made available to residential consumers will be considered.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the "extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility consumers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio. No other party is representing OCC's interest in the case.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio recently confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in ruling on an appeal in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its intervention. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's intervention and that OCC should have been granted intervention.¹

¹ Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶18-20 (2006).

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio's residential consumers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

III. CONCLUSION

This case, including the Smart Metering workshop, could impact the approximately 4.5 million electric residential consumers in Ohio, ultimately through changes in services, in terms, in charges and in rates that may be made available to the consumers. For the reasons stated above, the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene on behalf of all the residential electric consumers of Ohio who have an interest in the outcome of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Janine L. Migden-Ostrander Consumers' Counsel

Ann M. Hotz, Counsel of Record

Jacqueline Lake Roberts

an an ox

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 W. Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

614-466-8574 (Telephone)

614-466-9475 (Facsimile)

hotz@occ.state.oh.us

roberts@occ.state.oh.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing *Motion to Intervene of the Office of* the Ohio Consumers' Counsel was served by first class United States Mail, postage prepaid, to the persons listed below, on this 27th day of June 2007.

Ann M. Hotz

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Duane Luckey, Esq. Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, OH 43215