BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of Larry D. Jones,)
Complainant,	}
v.) Case No. 07-189-EL-CSS
Ohio Edison Company,	
Respondent.	'
EN	<u>JTRY</u>

The Commission finds:

(1) On February 23, 2007, Larry D. Jones (Mr. Jones or complainant) filed a complaint against the Ohio Edison Company (Ohio Edison). In his complaint, Mr. Jones alleges that Ohio Edison has double billed him for electric service. Mr. Jones alleges that the final billing for account number 110011773477 was \$168.81 for the period July 7, 2006 through August 8, 2006. He states that he paid the invoice in full on August 24, 2006.

Mr. Jones further alleges that Ohio Edison changed his account number to 110048765249 and billed him for \$599.77. He points out that this total reflects a charge of \$301.05 for the period July 7, 2006, through August 8, 2006, and \$298.72 for the period August 9, 2006, through September 7, 2006. Taking into consideration his other account, he contends that Ohio Edison double billed him for the July through August period.

Mr. Jones calculates that he owes \$647.81 for the December 2006 billing. He states that Ohio Edison has calculated that he owes \$869.45. Mr. Jones asks for a ruling from the Commission declaring that his calculation of \$647.81 is correct and should be accepted as payment in full.

(2) Ohio Edison filed an answer to the complaint on March 3, 2007. In its Answer, Ohio Edison acknowledged that the complainant owed a balance of \$869.45 on the account in December 2006, notwithstanding a payment of \$200 on December 29, 2006. Ohio Edison explained that it opened a new account for Mr. Jones incident to his bankruptcy proceeding. In addition to denying other material allegations in the complaint, Ohio Edison denies that it double billed the complainant.

This is to certify that the images appearing accurate and complete reproduction of a case document delivered in the regular course of but Technician	fil	e
Technician Ar Date Processed 4/12	2/0	2_

- (3) On March 27, 2007, the parties filed a joint motion to dismiss. The motion explains that the parties have entered into an agreement that is intended to settle all issues. The parties, therefore, request that the complaint be dismissed.
- (4) Upon joint motion of the parties and a declaration that all issues have been resolved, the Commission shall dismiss the complaint.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That, upon settlement of the issues by the parties, the complaint is dismissed. It is further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties and interested persons of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Alan R. Schriber, Chairman

Paul A. Centolella

Ronda Hartman Fergus

Valerie A. Lemmie

Donald L. Mason

LDJ/vrm

Entered in the Journal

APR 1 o 2007

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary