

COLUMBUS I CLEVELAND CINCINNATI-DAYTON

BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 MAIN: 614.227.2300 FAX: 614.227.2390

www.bricker.com info@bricker.com

Sally W. Bloomfield 614.227.2368 sbloomfield@bricker.com RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

March 15, 2007

2007 MAR 15 PM 3: 31

## **VIA HAND DELIVERY**

PUCO

Reneé Jenkins Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 13th Floor 180 East Broad Street Columbus OH 43215

Re: In the Matter of the Review of Chapters 4901-1, 4901-3 and 4901-9 of the Ohio Administrative Code Case No. 06-685-AU-ORD

Dear Reneé:

The Ohio Manufacturers' Association ("OMA") files this letter to support the Application for Rehearing filed March 9, 2007 by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") and several other consumer groups, as well as the cities of Toledo, Holland, Maumee, Northwood, Oregon, Perrysburg and Sylvania, and Lake Township and Lucas County. In its Application for Rehearing, OCC correctly, in our opinion, analyzed the most recent Ohio Supreme Court case, *Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Public Utilities Commission*, 111 Ohio St 3d, 384, wherein the Court discussed the criteria of Ohio Revised Code Section ("R.C.") 4903.221. In its rules the Commission attempts to add a factor—namely, whether or not a hearing will be held—as a criterion for intervention. This criterion does not appear in R.C. 4903.221. The OMA agrees with OCC that this additional criterion in the rule is unlawful and therefore adopts the argument of OCC at pages 3 through 6 in its Application for Rehearing with respect to the governance of R.C. 4903.221.

. W. Bloomfile, to pur enter

Very truly yours,

Sally W. Bloomfield

jb

cc: Parties of Record

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Date Processed 3.15.0 +