1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO			
2				
3	In the Matter of the Rye : : Case No. 06-1280-TR-CVF			
4	Gentry Trucking, Inc. :			
5				
6	PROCEEDINGS			
7	before Mr. Kerry K. Sheets, Attorney Examiner, at the			
8	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad			
9	Street, Room 11-B, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10 a.m.			
10	on Thursday, February 22, 2007.			
11				
12				
13	2007			
14	T MAR			
15	PUCO PUCO PUCO			
16				
17	26 P			
18				
19	ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.			
20	185 South Fifth Street, Suite 101 Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201			
21	(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481 Fax - (614) 224-5724			
22				
23				
24	ORIGINAL			

This is to certify that the images erreating one an accurate and complete reproduction of a cess file document delivered in the regular course of business Pechnician (7) (8) 3/9/07 Date Processed

APPEARANCES:

Marc Dann, Ohio Attorney General By Mr. Duane W. Luckey, Senior Deputy Attorney General By Mr. Werner L. Margard III and Mr. John H. Jones, Assistant Attorneys General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

On behalf of the Transportation Staff of the PUCO.

ALSO PRESENT:

Ms. Vanessa Green, Rey Gentry Trucking, Inc. Ms. Corinna Coker, Motor Carrier Enforcement. Mr. R.D. Divjak, Motor Carrier Enforcement.

			3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	Witness	<u>Pac</u>	g <u>e</u>
4 5	Robert Divjak Direct Examination by Mr. Margard	6	5
6	Tom Forbes Direct Examination by Mr. Jones	26	5
7			
8	Staff Exhibit Ide	entified	Admitted
9	1 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	8	35
10	2 Notice of Preliminary Determination	28	35
11	3 Fine Schedule Out of Service Violations	31	35
13			
14	Joint Exhibit Ide	entified	Admitted
15	1 Photographs	25	35
16	-		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

Thursday Morning Session, 1 2 February 22, 2007. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has set for hearing at 5 this place and time Case No. 06-1280-TR-CVF in the 6 Matter of the Rye Gentry Trucking, Inc. 7 My name is Kerry Sheets. I am an 8 9 Attorney Examiner for the Commission, and I have been 10 assigned to hear this case. 11 May I now have the appearances of the parties, please. 12 Thank you, your Honor. 13 MR. MARGARD: 14 behalf of the Transportation Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio -- why don't we go off 15 the record. 16 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go off 18 the record. (Discussion off the record.) 19 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Go ahead. 21 Thank you, your Honor. MR. MARGARD: On 22 behalf of the Transportation Staff of the Public 23 Utilities Commission of Ohio, Marc Dann, Ohio

Attorney General, Duane Luckey, Senior Deputy

Attorney General, entering the appearance this morning of Assistant Attorney General Werner L. Margard, III, and John H. Jones, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

l

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you, Mr. Margard.

Do you wish to make an appearance, ma'am?

MS. GREEN: I am Vanessa Green

representing Rye Gentry of Warren, Michigan. The

terminal is located at 6134 West Jefferson in

Detroit.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Very good. Do we have any preliminary matters to take care of today?

MR. MARGARD: The only thing I would note, your Honor, is the Respondent is incorporated in the State of Michigan. And Ms. Green was advised of the Commission's rule, so with respect to the need for counsel for this kind of a hearing she's also aware of her opportunity to be able to make factual statements as part of the hearing.

But I would just request that you take administrative notice of the Director of the State of Michigan noting the corporate status of the

1 corporation. ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: I will do 2 that, I would take administrative notice. With that 3 said let's proceed with the case. 4 5 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor, and my first witness would be Officer Rob Divjak. 6 7 ROBERT DIVJAK 8 called as a witness on behalf of Staff, being first 9 duly sworn, testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 1.2 By Mr. Margard: Please state your full name. 13 0. Robert Divjak. 14 Α. And by whom are you employed? 15 Q. 16 Α. Ohio State Highway Patrol. 17 And what is your business address, Q. 18 please? 19 MS. COKER: 3201. 20 Α. 3201 North Main Street, Findlay, Ohio. 21 Ο. And in what capacity are you employed 22 with the Highway Patrol? 23 Motor Carrier Enforcement Inspector. Α.

And how long have you been employed in

24

Q.

1 | that capacity?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Since 1986.
 - Q. Prior to 1986 by whom were you employed?
 - A. Automotive industries, truck mechanic.
- Q. Thank you. Do you have any special training with respect to Motor Carrier Enforcement?
- A. All the regulations through the federal government and the state government pertaining to commercial enforcement.
- Q. Do you have a working familiarity with the regulations then?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you on your job as -- as an inspector as an Enforcement Officer on April 25,
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And in the course of your duties on that date, did you have an opportunity to inspect a vehicle operated by Rye Gentry Trucking?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And as a result of that inspection, did you -- did you prepare a report?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Officer Divjak, I am handing you what has

been marked for identification purposes as Staff
Exhibit 1 and ask if you can identify that document
for me, please.

- A. Yes. That's my inspection report.
- Q. And this is a copy of the inspection report that you prepared at the time of the inspection?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And this was prepared indeed at the time of the inspection?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I note that it indicates an inspection level of 2, a walk-around inspection. Can you briefly explain the circumstances of this inspection and how you conducted it, please?
- A. Yes. You check the truck for safety, the lights and underneath, the brakes, just visual, and as far as the truck goes, it's what you can visibly see. It's called a walk-around inspection.
 - Q. Was this at a weigh station?
 - A. No, the side of the road.
 - Q. This is roadside?
 - A. Roadside inspection.
 - Q. Was there a specific reason why you

- 1 | stopped this vehicle? Do you recall?
- A. It will be on the report. I don't recall at the time. Yes, obvious violation.
 - Q. Do you recall what the obvious violation was?
 - A. It would have been the lights.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have -- before we go further do you remember this inspection?
 - A. I remember the inspection, yes.
 - Q. You do have an independent recollection of this as you sit here today?
 - A. Yes, I do.

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Can you describe what kind of vehicle this was for me, please?
 - A. It was a Kenworth conventional tractor and a cargo container box trailer.
 - Q. In the report there is a section noted violations about the middle of the page. Do you see that section?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. There are a number of different violations noted there. There are also units and the unit, I presume, is the portion of the vehicle --
 - A. Correct.

- Q. -- that had the violation. And so unit 1 would be the tractor and unit 2 would be the container.
 - A. Correct.

- Q. The next column says OOS. Your understanding that stands for out of service?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And what does out of service mean?
- A. Out of service means they are taken out of service for safety violations, unsafe to be driven.
- Q. And the vehicle then can be placed back in service once the violation has been corrected; is that correct?
 - A. Correct, uh-huh.
- Q. In this instance you found one out of service violation for this vehicle; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And what was that violation, please?
- A. The cargo container wasn't secured properly to the chassis.
- Q. And can you explain to me exactly what you found when you inspected the vehicle in terms of how it was attached to the chassis?

- Α. Where they are locked in they have pins 1 that come out and then they got a latch that comes down, latches it in place, and then the latch, it's got a hole where you put a tie strap through, wrap it around, and then it can't go anywhere. It can't come unlatched. It didn't have any of those in it so those latches, a lot of them make this swing free, 8 and I've had situations where they've come unlatched, so then your cargo container can come off, unsecure.
 - Let me make sure I understand exactly Ο. what we are talking about. There is a pin that a latch comes down on top of; is that correct?
 - The -- there's different styles but. Α.
 - Ο. We are all using hand motions, and I want to make sure it is as clear as we can be.
 - The latch turns into place and they have got an arm that comes out, as this spins, the arm spins around in place, and then there's the lever that comes down that you wrap your tie strap through, and then that holds that in place.
 - Ο. The arm that you are talking about.
 - Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Holds the arm in place.
- Α. If that's not secure, these work loose.

They will come out, and they will rest on top of it.

I have seen them like that and I have seen them

behind them and I have seen those come loose and

there's times where you can move it with just one

finger and unlatch that. It all depends. Each

situation is different.

- Q. Do you have any experience fastening these latches on this type of vehicle? Do you know how that is done?
- A. Yes. They -- the industry, the biggest majority of them use tie straps, the plastic tie straps. Like I said, you just go through that hole, wrap it around that latch, and zip it. It can't go nowhere. It's locked in place. Some of them, they use wire, whatever is handy enough to -- strong enough to keep them from moving to hold it in place.
- Q. Have you ever inspected an intermodal vehicle that has been secured with tie straps where the latch has come loose?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you had occasion to inspect intermodal carrier vehicles that have not been tied down where the latches have, in fact, come loose?
 - A. Yes.

1.2

- Q. And the consequence of a latch coming loose is that the container then can come off of the chassis; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q. Have you ever had an experience of an intermodal vehicle where the container has come off because it was improperly secured?
- A. Not stopping them but I've had them where they've been shifted out of position where they could fall off.
- Q. In this case, in the instance of this inspection, am I correct in understanding that all four corners were latched; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Were any of the corners tied down as you have described this in any fashion at all?
 - A. No.
- Q. So none of the four corners were tied down?
- 20 A. Correct.
 - Q. Did you instruct the driver to correct the violation at the scene?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And did the driver do that?

1 A. Yes, he did.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

1.0

11

13

1.4

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And did you have an opportunity to reinspect the vehicle?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And what did you find under the inspection?
- A. He corrected the out of service violation.
 - Q. He had the latches tied down?
 - A. Yes, he did.
 - Q. Do you recall how he had them tied down?
- 12 A. No, I don't.
 - Q. But in your opinion at that point it was secure and --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- you were safe to release him.
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Let me ask you briefly about this report.

 Let me ask you how you prepare this report at the scene. Do you have a computer or some sort of device that you use?
 - A. Correct. We have a computer in our car.
 - Q. And what do you note on the computer in order to prepare this report specifically with

respect to the violations? Do you put in the section number? Do you put in a description? How is the information that appears in the violation section generated?

- A. You go in and you hit the section number or what the violation is. There's a violation section and it brings up which one you hit for chassis or suspension, driver violation, and it goes into category and you go up and it has the section there.
 - Q. It has a series of drop-down menus?
 - A. Yes, yes.

- Q. And then you select the appropriate violation?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you have any input yourself in terms of putting that in or do you just select the options that the computer gives you?
- A. It automatically brings it up. But then you can add to it or change it to suit what you have.
- Q. Okay. Did you make any other notes in the course of this inspection, anything else extraordinary about this inspection in your recollection?

- A. No, just the inspection, nothing beyond the inspection.
 - Q. Again, this is a complete and accurate copy of the inspection that you conducted as you conducted it?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Now, after you are done with your report, what do you do with that information?
- A. I give a copy to the driver, and I explain the inspection to the driver. And if they have any questions on any of the violations, I always show them in the book the regulations if they have questions. I always make it a point to ask them if they have any questions about everything and do they understand everything. And the copies that I give them, they would circle on the back all the information so they don't forget or get mixed up. So they get a copy and then what's in the computer is downloaded to Columbus then.
- Q. Okay. The signatures at the bottom of the first page of this document, that's your signature on the line?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And did you see the driver sign this

1 | document as well?

б

A. Yes, I did.

MR. MARGARD: That's all the questions I have for you, Officer Divjak.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go off the record here briefly.

(Discussion off the record.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go back on the record at this point.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. For purposes of clarifying Ms. Green prior to the hearing had provided Staff with copies of photographs taken of a comparable vehicle to the one that is the subject of the inspection here and specifically of the locking mechanism that Trooper Divjak has been describing for us. And to the extent it would be useful for us to be able to better describe what that mechanism looks like, we've agreed that these are not of the vehicle in question but are the comparable vehicle and that we would ask Officer Divjak to take a look at it and see if that helps him to clarify his understanding of what happened at the time.

- A. Yes, these are comparable.
- Q. These are on numbered pages and I think

perhaps just to aid us in being able to keep them
separate, if you can refer to the photograph by the
page number, if you want to go through those and
identify the different pieces, parts that you were
describing to us earlier or if there is anything else
you might be able to add to help us more fully
understand what this looked like.

- A. Okay. On this particular one --
- Q. And, again --

A. Page 2 of 10, the space between this, it should be -- this area should be tight against here.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. What are you pointing to?

THE WITNESS: The gap between it.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: The gap between what? What is this?

THE WITNESS: This is the chassis and -ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Chassis is on
the left; the container is on the right.

THE WITNESS: The space in between here, it shouldn't be here. You have got movement. This should be tight against.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: And there's something that needs to be threaded through there?

THE WITNESS: It's supposed to be --

MS. COKER: Flushed up.

THE WITNESS: -- tight against that. And page 3 of 10, the arm, it looks like it's bent. This should be up higher. You will see it's angled down. It should be straight across and this hole here, it should be below this and that's where you take your strap through that hole and you secure it to that arm here and that secures it all together.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Right now, this hinges on that bolt and this is loose.

MS. COKER: It goes back and forth.

THE WITNESS: Dangles freely, this right here, and then this would be able to spin and unlatch up here.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: And that -- part that you pointed out, you said up here, is that part of the truck body?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. This is actually the latch part that secures the container to the chassis, this mechanism.

Q. And before leaving this particular picture, you were indicating at least in this picture

the arm is not in the proper position.

- A. It looks like it appears to be bent out of shape. They get beat in the rail yards.
- Q. You don't have any recollection of that being the case with this vehicle that you inspected though, do you?
 - A. No.

б

- Q. Okay. I am just trying to make sure that I have differentiated it.
- A. Page 4 of 10, I believe this would be your latch here. It's hard to see with this one, but you would have a hole in this latch that would come around. There's a hole in this one and it secures that together so that can't move. The way this is looks like this one is set up to move either left or right so if that vibrates loose, it would come open just that easy and there's times where you can take these latches and move them with one finger.

MS. COKER: Just lift up.

THE WITNESS: Push them real easy and they come unlatched.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: What you called an armature before, is that the latch?

THE WITNESS: This arm comes up to the

latching mechanism up here. Page 5 of 10, this shows you that this is inside the container. This is where it latches. That arm when it spins, it spins inside of here and this would be unlatched if it was facing towards you. See, it's locked over the container here. So you can see all this movement in here how easy that could come unlatched. There's nothing real tight about it in this position.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: You are pointing to that dark space --

THE WITNESS: Correct.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: -- in the lower left-hand corner.

THE WITNESS: Yes, all this underneath of here. This gives you a better view, page 7 of 10, of the latch, how it's latching the container down when it's in this position, and they can just pick it up off of there.

MS. COKER: I'm not sure what that is.

THE WITNESS: I am not sure which direction that's supposed to be.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: What page is

23 | that?

1.1.

THE WITNESS: Page 9 of 10. I can't

22 tell. 1 MS. COKER: I don't know what that is 3 either. ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. You 4 can't identify 10 either? 5 MS. COKER: I don't know what that is. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. Page 1 of 10, the 7 same thing with this here --8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Pointing to 9 10 the --THE WITNESS: This is a different style 11 than what we was looking at in the previous pages. 12 13 This would be secured to this. It comes up and over. 14 This is just a different style. ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: So that's part 1.5 16 of a latch there you are pointing out? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, and this has to be secured together to secure this. 18 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: There are two 20 bars or armatures there, you are saying? THE WITNESS: Yes, yes, they must be 21 secured together. 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: And you are 23

saying tie down or some sort of rope would be used

for that?

б

1.6

1.7

1.9

THE WITNESS: Yes. The industry, the biggest majority of them use plastic tie straps to secure them together.

- Q. With respect to page 1 of 10 that you just said was a different style, of the photographs that we've been looking at here, are you indicating that page 1 of 10 would not have been representative of the vehicle that you looked at? Is it possible that you would have different style latches on the same chassis?
- A. Could very well be. The fronts, there's different styles of latches on these. Just page 3 of 10, it looks like the bar itself is bent out of shape and this is all free to where the bar should be above the position of this latch so that that goes underneath and comes up the other side and goes through that side of the latch, secures it all together. It goes -- this bar should be up here. This is bent in so it's like this. The bar is here, the strap goes through that eye, up through this eye, and it secures it all together.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Do you have any more questions?

MR. MARGARD: Are these photographs 1 sufficient, your Honor, to be able to describe? 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: I think they 3 might prove useful, Mr. Margard. 4 No. I have no further MR. MARGARD: 5 I think perhaps we ought to mark this questions. 6 document or a copy of the document of 10 pages, the 7 10 photographs in question here, and I would propose 8 that we have a couple of other documents premarked 9 10 here, so I quess I would propose that we mark this as Staff Exhibit No. 4. 11 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: 13 MR. MARGARD: And I would move for the 14 admission of both --15 MR. JONES: Do you want this to be a 16 joint since it's your document? 17 MS. GREEN: I am just letting Mr. Margard 18 talk, but I thought about that too. 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Why don't we 20 make it a joint exhibit. 21 MR. MARGARD: Joint Exhibit 1. 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: You just want the photos without the text or? 23 24 MR. MARGARD: I was just looking at the

text, your Honor. I think in as much as we've all agreed this is not necessarily the vehicle in question but is representative, I'm not that concerned about the substance of the text, so we can either use this document, or we can substitute another document of the same 10 pages in the same order. It is not significant to me one way or the other, but we certainly can identify this as Joint Exhibit 1 and move for that admission as well.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Well, let's label that as Joint Exhibit 1.

(EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES.)

MR. JONES: Your Honor, would it be best to remove the pages that could not be identified so it's a little bit clearer exhibit?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: I kind of -- I don't think those are relevant if you can't identify them.

MR. MARGARD: Inasmuch as he has described them in his testimony, your Honor, at least he indicated he can't identify them, I am kind of reluctant to take them out.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's keep

26 them intact then. 1 Do you have another witness, Mr. Margard? 2 MR. MARGARD: Yes, your Honor, Mr. Forbes 3 on behalf of the Staff, and Mr. Jones will be 4 conducting his examination. 5 MR. JONES: Mr. Forbes. 6 7 TOM FORBES 8 9 called as a witness on behalf of Staff, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 By Mr. Jones: Good morning, Mr. Forbes. 13 ο. Good morning, Mr. Jones. 14 Α. 15 Could you state your name for the record, Q. 16 please. Tom Forbes, F-O-R-B-E-S. 17 Α. And could you please state where you are 18 Ο. 19 employed. 20 I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, 14th 21 Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 22

And what department of the Commission do

23

24

Q.

you work in?

- A. I work in the Civil Forfeiture Compliance
 - Q. And what is your job title?

1.0

1.5

- A. I am a Hazardous Materials Investigator and Compliance Officer.
- Q. Okay. What are your job duties and responsibilities in that position?
- A. As a Compliance Officer, I review inspection reports to determine forfeiture calculations. I handle telephone and in person conferences with the respondents, reference their cases. I handle technical calls from motor carriers, drivers, and shippers about the regulations, and I am also a Certified Inspector, and I do roadside inspections to maintain all my levels of certification.
- Q. And let's go through a little bit of your background, your education, and training for your qualifications.
- A. Since I have been with the Commission I have attended the North American Level 1 driver and vehicle training, bulk packages, and cargo tank training, hazardous materials, compliance reviews, and level 6 radioactive inspections training. Prior

to my time with the Commission I spent 14 years with an environmental company as a shipper and carrier of hazardous materials. Prior to that I spent eight years with the state fire marshall's office in the hazardous materials and underground tank sections.

- Q. And how long have you been with the Compliance Division of the Commission?
 - A. About a year and a half.
- Q. Okay. All right. And have you had the opportunity to make yourself familiar with the -- this case and this file involving Rye Gentry Trucking, Incorporated?
 - A. Yes, sir.

б

- Q. And I want to hand you -- I think I gave you a copy of what's marked as Staff Exhibit 2.
 - A. I have that Exhibit 2.
- Q. Maybe you didn't get that. Did I give you that?
 - A. I have got 2, yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Could you please identify that document for the record, please.
- A. This is a Notice of Preliminary

 Determination letter that was mailed by the

 Commission to Ms. Green of Rye Gentry Trucking on

1 | September 26, 2006.

- Q. Okay. And what date was that letter sent out?
 - A. September 26 of 2006.
- Q. Okay. And what information is provided on this document, Staff Exhibit 2?
- A. The mailing address and Respondent's name as well as the case number, the compliance officer, description of the violation and forfeiture amount, the fact that the Respondent had an opportunity for conference, and the outcome of the conference was that the forfeiture amount remained the same.
- Q. Okay. How does this document cross-reference the Staff Exhibit 1 which is the examination report?
- A. The case number in the center of Staff
 Exhibit 2 should be the same as the inspection number
 on Staff Exhibit 1 with the addition of a C to
 indicate it as a carrier violation.
- Q. Okay. And, further, what's provided here as to the code and violation noted and the amount of the forfeiture that's assessed in this case?
- A. The code was 393.126(b). The violation was all four corners unsecure securement devices, and

the total forfeiture was \$200.

б

- Q. Okay. And is this a record kept in the ordinary course of business for your department?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And, now, let me understand for the record how do you receive copies of the examination report from the field when an inspection is done?
- A. Once the field inspector's completed an inspection on a frequent basis they upload those inspections electronically into a system called Safety Net, and the Safety Net system captures all the data, continues to upload it to the federal government, and also passes it off to the Civil Forfeiture Division electronically.
- Q. Okay. All right. And then please walk us through the process as to when your department receives one of these examination reports and note it for the code and violation number, how the assessment is then applied.
- A. Okay. Once the inspection report is transferred to the Civil Forfeiture Division for non-hazardous material inspections a computer program generates the forfeiture amount based on the

violation code or codes listed on the inspection report. And there are four groups of forfeitures for non-Hazmat violations based on the severity of the violation.

- Q. Okay. Now, take us to the next exhibit I have before you, Staff Exhibit 3. Could you please identify that document for the record, please.
- A. Yes. Staff Exhibit 3 is the fine schedule for violations for non-hazardous material violations.
- Q. Okay. And this is a schedule that's -- schedule that's developed by who?
 - A. The Compliance Division.
- Q. Okay. And as to this particular schedule here, what was the date this was developed, this schedule?
 - A. This was effective January 2, 2005.
- Q. Okay. And as to your review of this examination report in this case and knowing the inspection date, is this the -- the applicable schedule that would apply to this case based on the date of the inspection in this case?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Now, could you please describe the

process for this particular case in checking as to the assessment made in this case was -- how was this done from using this fine schedule that you double checked to make sure the assessment was correct?

- A. The securement violations are group 1 violations falling under the safe loading category. And if there is one violation of a group 1, it is a \$100 forfeiture. If there are two violations on one inspection of a group 1, it is a \$250 forfeiture. And if there are three group 1 violations, it would be a \$400 forfeiture.
- Q. Okay. So you are referring to the first group box on page 1 of Staff Exhibit 3?
- A. Yes, sir, group 1 where it's marked group 1.
- Q. And under group 1 violations there is a notation there for safe loading; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1.0

11.

- Q. Okay. And in this case we have one violation per this group 1?
 - A. That is true.
- Q. Okay. And so that's a \$100 violation as indicated in the maximum fine boxes to that group?
 - A. Based on the fine schedule, yes.

- Q. Okay. And why in this case do we have a \$200 assessment?
- A. Rye Gentry Trucking has -- is a history carrier with the Commission. And if you are a history carrier, that would double the forfeiture amount up to \$1,000 for a non-Hazmat violation. No violations can exceed \$1,000 non-Hazmat.
 - Q. Okay.

1.0

- A. So it doubled the one violation of \$100 to \$200.
- Q. Okay. And this was done automatically through the software Safety Net program?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And you have double checked this information to make sure that was a correct calculation?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So in doing that you checked the history of Rye Gentry as to past violations within the past 12 months from this inspection?
- A. We looked at the computer and identified they were a history carrier at that time.
- Q. Okay. So is it your opinion then that the assessment for the forfeiture in this case, the

\$200, is the correct amount that should be applied in compliance with the Staff Exhibit 3, the fine schedule?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And the fine schedule that we have, Staff Exhibit 3, again, this is a record kept in the ordinary course of business?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. To conduct business in your section?
- A. Yes, sir.

б

- Q. Okay. And, further, is this fine schedule consistent with the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And is the state of Ohio a partner in that federation of states making up the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance Group?
- A. Yes. The state of Ohio is a member of the CVSA.
 - Q. Okay. So in this case then we have in your opinion an accurate assessment for the forfeiture in this case for the violation and the past history being taken into account; is that correct?

1 A. That is correct.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I have no further questions of this witness. I would move for admission subject to cross-examination of Staff Exhibits 2 and 3 to the record.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Very good. I will admit all of Staff's exhibits in the record at this time.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: And, now, we have no more witness, Mr. Margard?

MR. MARGARD: None, your Honor. Thank
13 you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: We will proceed with the Respondent's side of the case.

Ms. Green.

MS. GREEN: My only concern about all of this and the whole reason I am here is that nowhere in 393.126 does it require additional tie downs of any kind for an intermodal chassis in addition to what is already factory made. And I agree with Trooper Divjak to the nth degree. Yes, they get beaten. That's why the Federal Motor Carrier is now going after people who supply these things because we

all use each other's equipment. But my only concern is that this was locked down, and I do understand his concern that, yes, the container can get lost, I agree, but there's nothing in 393.126(b) that says anything in addition needs to be used.

And when I went through the telephone conference, the safety investigator that I had on the telephone in the telephone conference with Ms. Wanda Williams told me he didn't want them zip tied down because it looks like it's being tampered with. So if this is something specific to the state of Ohio, if I can get something in writing, I would be eternally grateful, and I would put this out to my drivers in a minute to make sure that they are doing what you want them to do.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Does that state your position?

MS. GREEN: Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay.

Mr. Margard, do you have any questions?

MR. MARGARD: No questions, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. At this point let's go off the record briefly here.

(Discussion off the record.)

1.9

ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: We had a brief 1 discussion off the record about filing briefs, and 2 Mr. Margard and Ms. Green, we have all agreed that 3 March 30 should be a date for filing briefs in the 4 Ms. Green, of course, will file a letter 5 instead of a brief. 6 And if there is nothing more to add, I 7 will consider this case submitted on the record. 8 MR. MARGARD: Nothing further. 9 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you for 11 coming. (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at 12 13 10:50 a.m.) 14 15 16 17 1.8 19 20 21 22 23 24

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, February 22, 2007, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

(KSG-4626)

Merit Reporter.

EXHIBITS

Staff Exhibit 1



OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL MOTOR CARRIER ENFORCEMENT

DISTRICT 1 HEADQUARTERS TELEPHONE: (419)-423-1414

06 MAY -2 PM 1:54

Return certification to agency listed on back

DRIVER/VEHICLE EXAMINATION REPORT

Report Number: OH3265003416 Inspection Date: 04/25/2008

Start Time: 06:56 AM End Time: 07:53 AM Insp. Level: 2-Walk-Around, No HM Insp.

RYE GENTRY TRUCKING INC

12804 LUTZ AVE

WARREN, MI 48088-1833

USDOT#: 00303014 MC/MX#: 186155

Phone#: (313)843-2588

Fax#:

Driver: AVRAM, VIOREL License#: A165847015678

Date of Birth: 08/31/1967 CoDriver:

License#:

Date of Birth:

State:

CVSA#

State: MI

00S#

Υ

State#:

Location: ROADSIDE

Highway: 24

County: DEFIANCE, OH

MilePost: 10

Origin: DETROIT, MI

Shipper: CANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY

Bill of Lading: B1W

Destination: INDIANAPOLIS, IN

Cargo: General Freight

VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION

<u>Vin #</u> Unit Type Make <u>Year</u> State License # Company # **GVWR** 1996 RU3857 1447 1XKAD89X5TJ669260 1 TT ΚW ML 2 ST AJAX 1999 OR HR85088 811107 1AJCS4023XH000965

BRAKE ADJUSTMENTS: No Brake Measurements Required For Level 2

VIO	LAT	ION	S
-----	-----	-----	---

Section Code	St	<u>Unit</u>	<u>00s</u>	Citation #	<u>Verify</u>	Crash	Violations Discovered
, 393.126(b)		2	Y \		U	N	ALL 4 CORNERS UNSECURE SECUREMENT DEVICES
· 393.203(e)		1	N		N	N	Cab front bumper UNSECURE CRACKED AT MOUNTS AND R SIDE BRACKET MISSING
393.83(g)		1	N		N	N	Exhaust leak under truck sleeper
393.11		1	N		N	N	WITH L TURNSIGNAL ON -BOTH L AND R REAR LAMPS FLASH
393.9(a)		1	Ν		N	N	R REAR TURNSIGNAL INOP
393.203(d)		1	N		N	N	Cab seats not securely mounted BOTH SEATS LOOSE DRIVER SEAT LOOSE AT FLOOR AREA

HazMat: No HM Transported. Special Checks: No Data for Special Checks. Placard: No Cargo Tank:

Driver City: DEARBORN; RSN Code: OBVI; Driver Zip: 48125; Driver State: MI; Driver Address: 4989 EDGEWOOD ST; For-Hire Carrier:

Report Prepared By: DIVJAK,R.D.

Badge #: 3265

Copy Received By: AVRAM, VIOREL



Ohio State Highway Patrol Vehicle Inspection Report INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS TO DRIVER AND CARRIER

The vehicle listed on the reverse side of this form has been inspected by the Ohio State Highway Patrol (OSHP). The following explanations pertain to sections which may not be self-evident.

<u>Citation Number</u>: A number on this line refers to a separate court citation document (the citation will indicate the cited violation) which has been issued as a result of this inspection. These citations are issued into the Municipal or County Court nearest the inspection site, and any follow-up action regarding the citation will be indicated on the citation form. Citations may be issued only on violations including, but not limited to, speeding, overweight, fuel permit, etc. All other safety violations and hazardous materials violations are handled by the PUCO as explained below.

<u>Violation Code</u>: Numbers in a 170 or 300 series (for example, 173.24 or 395.8) refer to section numbers in Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), commonly known as the Federal Hazardous Materials Regulations (FHMR) and the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSR). Copies of these regulations may be obtained from various trade associations and commercial supply firms. Some section numbers may have suffixes beyond those in the CFR; these are used for data collection purposes. Numbers in a format such as 2-5-11 refer to rules of the PUCO contained in Chapter 4901 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Numbers in a format such as 4919.78 OR 4511.19 refer to sections in the Ohio Revised Code.

QQS: Indicates whether the vehicle or driver was placed out-of-service (Yes or No) at the time of inspection, in accordance with criteria of the Federal Highway Administration. An out-of-service vehicle may not be driven until repairs have been made. A driver is out-of-service for a specified time or until certain problems are corrected. This may be determined from the nature of the violation or annotations in the "declaration of out-of-service" section of this form.

VERIFY: Indicates the status of the out of service vehicle at the completion of the inspection. "A" indicates the out-of-service violations were corrected during the inspection; "B" indicates the out-of-service vehicle was towed/escurted to a facility for repairs; and "U" indicates that the out-of-service violations still existed at the completion of the inspection and it was "unknown" to the Inspector what actions were to be taken to make repairs.

<u>VIOLATIONS DISCOVERED</u>: A brief description of the violation may be included. Abbreviations may be used to show the location of brake, tire, and light violations.

ACTION REQUIRED

DRIVER:

If your vehicle has been placed "out-of-service" it may not be driven until required repairs have been made. You are required to <u>return this form</u> to the carrier responsible for this shipment within 24 hours of inspection, even if that requires you to mail the form to them. Unless advised otherwise by the carrier, the person making any required repairs should complete the certification below before returning this form to the carrier.

CARRIER AND DRIVER:

- (1) If a citation number is listed on the Citation Number line above the "Violations" section, any follow-up action required will be shown on the separate court citation document which has been issued. All communications regarding the citation should be with the Court listed.
- (2) All violations of the FHMR and FMCSR or Title 49 of the Ohio Revised Code will be reviewed by the PUCO's Transportation Department to determine whether civil forfeitures should be assessed against any responsible parties in accordance with the penalty provisions of Title 49 of the Ohio Revised Code. If civil forfeitures are assessed, you will receive a separate notice by mail. These penalties may be assessed to carriers and/or drivers.
- (3) If any entries in the "Violations" section show a <u>number</u> in the "Unit" column (referring to the tractor or one of the trailers), the Certificate of Repair shown below nust be completed and returned by the carrier to the address indicated below within 15 days following inspection. This is required even if the driver or a mechanic made the repairs at the time of the inspection.

(D0140) Del	ach,
CERTIFICATION OF COM	IPLETED REPAIRS
"I certify that each prechanical defect for which a violation is listed on this inspection	
- Chart	4.26.06.
Signature of Person Making Repairs	Date Repairs Made
Vione turam	4989-Edgasof Dearborn Hts w 18125
Printed Name	Address
"I derity that all violations fisted on this inspection report have been satisfactorily con	rected."
Barread 17th	4627/06
Signature of Carrier's Officer Agent	Date frigned
Tanassa. 1). Cyrony	Jately 1141.
Ranged Typed Name	Title
Dye Genery Huering, Lhe.	
Nance of Cartier / / /	

Any intentional false statement on this inspection form or willful misrepresentation relative thereto may be a violation of Federal Law punishable by a fine not more than \$10,000 or imprisonment of not more than five years or both (18 U.S.C. 1001).

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO - TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
DATA MANAGEMENT DIVISION
180 B BROAD ST
COLUMBUS, OH 43215-3793

STAFF EXHIBIT 2



The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Bob Taft, Governor Alan R. Schriber, Chairman

Commissioners

Ronda Hartman Fergus

Judy A. Jones Donald L. Mason Clarence D. Rogers, Jr.

Monitoring marketplaces and enforcing rules to assure safe, adequate, and reliable utility services

September 26, 2006

MS VANESSA GREEN RYE GENTRY TRUCKING INC 12804 LUTZ AVE WARREN, MI 48093

> NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION RE:

> > Case No. OH3265003416C

Officer:

WW

Dear MS GREEN:

On April 25, 2006, a vehicle operated by RYE GENTRY TRUCKING INC, and driven by VIOREL AVRAM, was inspected within the State of Ohio. As the result of discovery of the following apparent violation the Staff timely notified Respondent pursuant to Rule 4901:2-7-07, O.A.C., that it intended to make a civil monetary assessment against Respondent in the following amount:

Code

Violation

393.126B

ALL 4 CORNERS UNSECURE SECUREMENT DEVICES

\$200.00 Total Forfeiture Assessed :

A conference was conducted pursuant to Rule 4901:2-7-10(B), O.A.C., at which the Respondent had a full opportunity to present any reasons why the violation did not occur as alleged, mitigating circumstances regarding the amount of any forfeiture, and any other information relevant to the action proposed to be taken by Staff.

(continued)



The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Bob Taft, Governor Alan R. Schriber, Chairman

Commissioners

Ronda Hartman Fergus Judy A. Jones Donald L. Mason Clarence D. Rogers, Jr.

September 26, 2006 MS VANESSA GREEN RYE GENTRY TRUCKING INC OH3265003416C

PAGE -2

As a result of the conference, Staff has made a Preliminary Determination that the Commission should make a civil monetary assessment against RYE GENTRY TRUCKING INC in the following forfeiture:

Code

Violation

393.126B

ALL 4 CORNERS UNSECURE SECUREMENT DEVICES

Total Forfeiture Agreed : \$200.00

Please include a certified check or money order for the total forfeiture Agreed, made payable to "Treasurer State of Ohio," and mail to: FUCO FISCAL, 180 E. Broad St, 13th floor, Cols. OH 43215-3793. FOR PROPER CREDIT, BE SURE TO PUT THE CASE NUMBER ON THE FACE OF THE CHECK.

Please consult the enclosed additional information concerning this Notice of Preliminary Determination.

Robert E. Marvin, Director

Transportation Department

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

COMPLIANCE DIVISION

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 2, 2005

FINE SCHEDULE OUT OF SERVICE VIOLATIONS

GROUP 1

VIOLATI	MAXIMUM FINE	
Braking Action Absent	Steering Gear Box	1 Violation = \$100
Missing or Broken Brake Component	Steering Modification	
Air Drop Test	Steering Wheel Play	2 Violations = \$250
Safety Devices-Chains or Hooks	Coupling, Towing Devices	
Tires, Retreads – on Buses	Frame	277 14 04004
Steering Column	Tire, Front Axle	3 Violations = \$400*
Other Steering Components	Safe Loading	·
Record of Duty Status-		
10 Hour Violation		1
15 Hour Violation		
60 in 7, or 70 in 8		
None in Possession/Not Current	•	
Falsification of Record of Duty Status (\$300	
Violation of Out of Service Notice (Eac	\$1,000	

GROUP 2

	MAXIMUM FINE	
Braking Pad	Lamps on the Rear	1 Violation = \$50
Brake Hose, Tube	Lamps on Projecting Load	
Parking Brake	Turn Signal-Rear	2 Violations = \$150
Low Air Warning Device	Fuel Tank Not Secure	
Air Reservoir	Adjustable Axle	2 77' 1-4' 0050*
Brake Adjustment	Wheels and Rims	3 Violations = \$250*
Headlamp Inoperative	Front End Structure	
Stop Lamp Inoperative	Suspension	
Violation of Out of Service	Notice (Each Notice, Not Each Offense)	\$1,000
	sued for a combination of two or more	
Violations from Group		•

GROUP 3

VIOLATIONS		MAXIMUM FINE
Fuel Tank Cap Missing Fuel System	Audible Air Leak Exhaust System	1 Violation = \$40
Windshield Glazing	Tires, Other Axles	2 Violations = \$90
Windshield Wipers	Waiver of Physical	
Disqualification Radio Active Material – Training Certificate	License-Improper Class	3 Violations = \$150*
		·
Violation of Out of Service Notice (Each Noti	\$1,000	
Note: Fines will only be issued for a combination Violations from Group 2 or Group 3.	tion of two or more	•

GROUP 4

VIOLATIONS	MAXIMUM FINE
No Operating Authority (intrastate)	\$500
Failure to Register (intrastate)	\$100
Age Under 21 (Interstate)	\$250
Intoxicants – Under the Influence	\$500
Intoxicants - Possession	\$100
Insurance Violations (intrastate)	\$1,000
SSRS Registration (Receipt)	\$100
Failure to Display Correct Ohio-Cab Card Stamp (ICC Exempt)	\$100
Failure to Display Tax Decal on Vehicle	\$100
Not Using Seat Belt	\$100
Radar Detectors	\$100
Medical Certificate (Driver)	\$ 50
Disqualifying Offenses	\$500
Drugs – Under the Influence	\$500
Drugs – Possessing	\$500

^{*} Over three violations in any group will result in a review by Commission Staff to determine additional fine amount.

Any questions in regards to this fine schedule please contact:

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Compliance Division

180 East Broad St.

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 466-0351

Vanessa Green

From:

"Vanessa Green" <vgreen@ryegentry.com>

To: Sent: <werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us>

Friday, December 08, 2006 12:49 PM

Attach:

2006_12080191.JPG; 2006_12080192.JPG; 2006_12080193.JPG; 2006_12080194.JPG; 2006_12080195.JPG; 2006_12080196.JPG; 2006_12080197.JPG; 2006_12080198.JPG; 2006_12080199.JPG; 2006_12080200.JPG

Subject:

Attached Files

Mr. Margard,

Attached are photos of the way that the container is supposed to look when it is locked down to the chassis with the turn-locks in the locked position. I took photos of each corner of the container and chassis. If have any questions, please feel free to contact me at the office at (313) 843-2588 or e-mail me at vgreen@ryegentry.com. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Vanessa K. Green Safety Manager Rye Gentry Trucking, Inc. Telephone (313) 843-2588 Facsimile (313) 843-2608



















