por?

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission Investigation into the Treatment of Reciprocal Compensation for Internet Service Provider Traffic.

Case No. 99-941-TP-ARB

MOTION TO INTERVENE OF MCI WORLDCOM, INC.

MCI WorldCom, Inc. ("MCI WorldCom"), on behalf of its new entrant carrier ("NEC") subsidiaries duly authorized to provide local service within Ohio, including, but not limited to, MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc., hereby moves to intervene in the above-entitled proceeding pursuant to Rule 4901-1-11 of the Ohio Administrative Code and the Commission's entry in this docket of January 13, 2000. As more fully discussed in the memorandum accompanying this motion, MCI WorldCom submits that these MCI WorldCom operating companies have a real and substantial interest in this proceeding, that they are so situated that the disposition of this proceeding may, as a practical matter, impair or impede their ability to protect that interest, and that MCI WorldCom's participation in this proceeding on their behalf will contribute to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues involved. MCI WorldCom further submits that granting this motion to intervene will not unduly delay the proceeding or unjustly prejudice any existing party.

WHEREFORE, MCI WorldCom respectfully requests that its motion to intervene be granted.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician from Schuffur Date Processed 2 4-03

Respectfully submitted,

Judith B. Sanders Barth E. Royer

BELL, ROYER & SANDERS CO., LPA

33 South Grant Avenue

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927

(614) 228-0704

David W. McGann

MCI WorldCom, Inc.

**Suite 3700** 

205 North Michigan Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60601

(312) 470-4784

Attorneys for MCI WorldCom, Inc..

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission Investigation into the Treatment of Reciprocal Compensation for Internet Service Provider Traffic.

Case No. 99-941-TP-ARB

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO INTERVENE OF MCI WORLDCOM, INC.

On August 12, 1999, Time Warner Telecom of Ohio, L.P., ICG Telecom Group, Inc., CoreComm/Newco Inc., and the Telecommunications Resellers Association (collectively, "Petitioners") filed a joint application requesting that the Commission open a proceeding to investigate the appropriate treatment of inter-carrier compensation for ISP-bound traffic. Noting that this is a recurring issue in negotiations with ILECs, Petitioners urged the Commission to consider this issue on a generic basis in order to develop a uniform policy which would be applicable to all telecommunications providers in the state. GTE North Incorporated and Ameritech Ohio filed responses opposing the request on August 26, 1999 and September 15, 1999, respectively. By entry of January 13, 2000, the Commission, finding that all parties entering into interconnection agreements would benefit from a generic resolution of this issue, decided to conduct the requested investigation as an arbitration under its Case No. 95-463-TP-UNC guidelines and invited interested stakeholders to intervene. Accordingly, MCI WorldCom, Inc. hereby moves to intervene on behalf of its NEC operating subsidiaries.

Rule 4901-1-11(B), Ohio Administrative Code, the Commission's rule governing permissive intervention, provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

- (B) Upon timely motion, any person may be permitted to intervene in a proceeding upon a showing that the person has a real and substantial interest in the proceeding. In deciding whether to permit intervention under this paragraph, the commission, the legal director, the deputy legal director, or the attorney examiner assigned to the case may consider:
  - (1) The nature of the person's interest;
- (2) The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties;
- (3) The person's potential contribution to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues involved in the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether granting the requested intervention would unduly delay the proceeding or unjustly prejudice any existing party.

MCI WorldCom submits that it clearly satisfies the requirements of this rule. MCI WorldCom's NEC operating subsidiaries, such as MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc., are parties to interconnection agreements with various Ohio LECs and will be entering into additional agreements in the future as these existing agreements expire and as, over time, they seek to compete in the service areas of other LECs. Thus, these MCI WorldCom subsidiaries plainly have a real and substantial interest in a proceeding in which the policy governing intercarrier compensation for ISP-bound traffic will be determined. MCI WorldCom should be permitted to intervene to protect that interest.

Moreover, MCI WorldCom will bring to this case substantial expertise to assist the Commission in its investigation of the important issue involved. As the Commission well knows, MCI WorldCom and/or its affiliates have heretofore actively participated in a number of Commission proceedings involving competitive issues, including interconnection agreement arbitrations and pricing proceedings. Further, MCI WorldCom's participation will not unduly delay this proceeding. Indeed, MCI WorldCom urges the Commission to resolve this matter as promptly as possible, but in a manner which affords all stakeholders the opportunity to be heard.

Consistent with its January 13, 2000 entry, the Commission should permit MCI WorldCom to participate in this proceeding and should, therefore, grant its motion to intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

Judith B. Sanders

Barth E. Royer

BELL, ROYER & SANDERS CO., LPA

33 South Grant Avenue

Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927

(614) 228-0704

David W. McGann

MCI WorldCom, Inc.

**Suite 3700** 

205 North Michigan Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60601

(312) 470-4784

Attorneys for MCI WorldCom, Inc.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing motion and memorandum has been served upon the persons and parties listed below by first class mail, postage prepaid, this 3rd day of February 2000.

Barth E. Royer

Roger P. Sugarman Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter 65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Marsha Rockey Schermer Time Warner Telecom of Ohio, L.P. 250 West Old Wilson Bridge Rd., Suite 130 Columbus, Ohio 43085

Boyd B. Ferris
Ferris & Ferris
2733 West Dublin-Granville Road
Columbus, Ohio 43235-1798

Sally W. Bloomfield Bricker & Eckler, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

Thomas J. O'Brien CoreComm Newco, Inc. 450 West Wilson Bridge Road, Suite 100 Worthington, Ohio 43085

Thomas E. Lodge
Thompson, Hine & Flory LLP
One Columbus
10 West Broad Street
Suite 700
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3435

Michael T. Mulcahy Ameritech Ohio 45 Erieview Plaza Room 1441 Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Daniel R. Conway Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur 41 South High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Lee T. Lauridsen Sprint 8140 Ward Parkway Kansas City, Missouri 64114

Joseph R. Stewart Sprint 50 West Broad Street, Suite 3600 Columbus, Ohio 43215

William S. Newcomb, Jr. Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease 52 E. Gay St., PO Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

Douglas E. Hart Jack B. Harrison Frost & Jacobs, LLP 201 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Christopher J. Wilson Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company 201 Est Fourth Street, 6th Floor Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Richard M. Rindler Robin L. Redfield Swidler Berlin Schereff Friedman, LLP 300 K. Street N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20007

David L. Turano Harris, Turano & Mazza 941 Chatham Lane, Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43221

David C. Bergmann Dirken D. Winkler Assistant Consumers' Counsel Ohio Consumers' Counsel 77 South High Street 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550

Benita A. Kahn Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease 52 E. Gay St., PO Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

David J. Chorzempa AT&T Corporation 227 West Monroe Street 13th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606