In the Matter of the Application of: Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.

for Approval, Pursuant to Revised Code Section 4929.11 of a Tariff to Recover

Conservation Expenses and Decoupling:

Revenues Pursuant to Automatic :

Adjustment Mechanisms and for such: Accounting Authority as May be:

Required to Defer Such Expense and :

Revenues for Future Recovery Through

Such Adjustment Mechanisms.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

PUCO

PUCO

Case No. 05-1444-GA-UNC

MOTION TO INCORPORATE STAFF REPORT OF INVESTIGATION AND UPDATED SCHEDULE A-1 ATTACHED TO STIPULATION FROM RATE CASE AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Marc Dann

Ohio Attorney General

Anne L. Hammerstein

John H. Jones

Assistant Attorneys General **Public Utilities Section** 180 East Broad Street, 9th Fl Columbus, OH 43215-3793

(614) 466-4397

FAX: (614) 644-8764

anne.hammerstein@puc.state.oh.us

john.jones@puc.state.oh.us

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business Date Processed

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of: Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.:

for Approval. Pursuant to Revised Code:

Section 4929.11 of a Tariff to Recover:

Conservation Expenses and Decoupling:

Revenues Pursuant to Automatic:

Adjustment Mechanisms and for such:

Accounting Authority as May be:

Required to Defer Such Expense and

Revenues for Future Recovery Through

Such Adjustment Mechanisms.

Case No. 05-1444-GA-UNC

MOTION TO INCORPORATE STAFF REPORT OF INVESTIGATION AND UPDATED SCHEDULE A-1 ATTACHED TO STIPULATION FROM RATE CASE AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

The Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Staff) moves to incorporate into the record of this alternative rate plan case the Staff Report of Investigation and the updated Schedule A-1 attached to the Stipulation that was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on April 13, 2005 in Vectren's recent Rate Case No. 04-571-GA-AIR. A Memorandum in Support of Staff's motion is provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Marc Dann

Ohio Attorney General

Anne L. Hammerstein

John H. Jones

Assistant Attorneys General

Public Utilities Section

180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 466-4395

Fax: (614) 644-8764

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

On November 28, 2005, Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. (Vectren) filed an application for approval of a tariff to recover conservation expenses and decoupling revenues pursuant to automatic adjustment mechanisms and for such accounting authority as may be required to defer such expenses and revenues for future recovery through those mechanisms (hereinafter, "Conservation Application"). Subsequently, on February 7, 2006, the Attorney Examiner issued an Entry in which it directed that the Conservation Application be "considered a request for an alternate rate plan as described in Section 4929.01(A), Revised Code and thus ...controlled by Section 4929.05, Revised Code." Conservation Application, Entry at 2 (February 7, 2006).

An alternative rate plan application under Section 4929.05 of the Revised Code must comply with the requirements of an application filed pursuant to Section 4909.18 of the Revised Code, to include, a written report of investigation by Staff as required by Section 4909.19 of the Revised Code and Rule 4901:1-19-07, O.A.C. In Vectren's recent Rate Case No. 04-571-GA-AIR, the Commission Staff filed a written report of investigation on November 24, 2004, and provided an updated Schedule A-1 attached to the February 4, 2005 Stipulation in Case No. 04-571-GA-AIR which together fully address the reasonableness of the current rates pursuant to Section 4909.15 of the Revised Code. Given the change directed by the Attorney Examiner's Entry and in the interest of the efficient processing of this case it is lawful and reasonable to incorporate the actual examination of the Company's current rates to fulfill this legal requirement.

Further, the Commission should grant Staff's motion to incorporate Staff's Report of Investigation and the updated Schedule A-1 in this case because the reasonableness of Vectren's current rates is not contested in this proceeding. The issues contested in this proceeding concern the scope of the residential class that would benefit under a conservation plan funded by Vectren and/or its ratepayers and whether a Sales Reconciliation Rider (SRR) that recovers the difference between Vectren's weather-normalized actual base revenues and the base revenues approved in Vectren's recent rate case (Case No. 04-571-GA-AIR) should be approved.

It is disingenuous of OCC to object to the incorporation by reference of the Staff Report of Investigation from Vectren's recent rate case, Case No. 04-571-GA-GCR, because neither OCC nor any other Party challenged the incorporation of the SFRs from Case No. 04-571-GA-AIR (Conservation Application, Entry at 2 (March 16, 2006) and the waiver of the requirements for alternative rate plan applications delineated in Rule 4901:1-19-05 (Conservation Application, Entry at 2 (April 5, 2006). These motions resulted from the Attorney Examiner's February 7, 2006 modification of Vectren's application to an alternative rate plan.

More significantly, the change in direction to litigation of an alternative rate plan and the motions for incorporation by reference and waivers occurred prior to the filing of the now voided April 10, 2006 Stipulation. But for the April 10, 2006 Stipulation being terminated on December 8, 2006, the signatory parties to the April 10, 2006 Stipulation proceeded to litigate the case by supporting the SRR through two Commission orders premised on the alternative rate plan application, as modified by the April 10, 2006 Stipulation, without objection. Only now, because the Commission rejected the April 10, 2006 Stipulation, does the OCC object to the alternative rate application meeting the application requirements under Section 4909.18 of the Revised Code. This objection being raised now by the OCC is disingenuous. None of the

parties are prejudiced by incorporation of the Staff Report of Investigation and the updated Schedule A-1.

For the foregoing reasons, staff respectfully requests the Commission grant their motion and order the Staff Report of Investigation and the updated Schedule A-1 incorporated into the record of this proceeding for good cause being shown.

Respectfully submitted,

Marc Dann Ohio Attorney General

Anne L. Hammerstein

John H. Jones

Assistant Attorneys General Public Utilities Section

180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 466-4395

Fax: (614) 644-8764

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Motion to Incorporate Staff Report of Investigation from Rate Case and Memorandum in Support submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio was served by regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid or hand-delivered to the following parties of record, this 5th day of February, 2007.

Anne L. Hammerstein

John H. Jones

Assistant Attorneys General

Parties of Record:

Gretchen J. Hummel McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-4228

Joseph Meissner Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

David Rinebolt, Law Director 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793

Maureen Grady Assistant Consumers' Counsel Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485