OCC	<b>EXHIBI</b>	T		

## **BEFORE** THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., to Adjust and Set the Annually	)	Case No. 06-1085-EL-UNC	
Adjusted Component of its Market	, )	Case 140: 00-1005-EE-014C	
Based Standard Service Offer.	)	•	MED-DOCKETHIS BY
PREI	PARED TESTIN	MONY	0
	OF		

MICHAEL P. HAUGH

ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

10 West Broad St., Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215

November 28, 2006

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>rage</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	PLACEMENT OF AAC ON CUSTOMER BILLS4
III.	AUDITS OF CURRENT AND FUTURE AAC CALCULATIONS5
ΙV.	RETURN ON CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS CALCULATION6
V.	CONCLUSION8
CERT	TFICATE OF SERVICE10

# **EXHIBITS AND ATTACHMENTS**

MPH Exhibit 1

MPH Attachment 1

## I. 1 INTRODUCTION 2 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND POSITION. QI. 3 *A1*. My name is Michael Haugh. My business address is 10 West Broad Street, Suite 4 1800, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485. I am employed by the Office of the Ohio 5 Consumers' Counsel ("OCC" or "Consumers' Counsel") as a Senior Regulatory 6 Analyst. 7 8 WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND? 9 *Q2*. A2. I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration with a 10 specialization in Finance from The Ohio State University. I have also attended 11 12 the Institute of Public Utilities Advanced Regulatory Studies Program at 13 Michigan State University. 14 15 *Q3*. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR WORK EXPERIENCE. 16 *A3*. I have over 10 years of experience in the energy industry. This experience 17 includes three years with Enron Energy Services as a Natural Gas Trading 18 Analyst; five years with AEP Energy Services working in natural gas risk management, generation optimization and energy trading and one year with 19

1

MidAmerican Energy as a Senior Product Manager. I joined the OCC in October

of 2004. Currently, my primary area of responsibility is regulatory policy –

focusing on retail and wholesale energy market development.

20

21

22

23

1	Q4.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY IN UTILITY CASES
2		BEFORE REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?
3	A4.	I filed testimony in Monongahela Power Company, Case No. 04-1047-EL-ATA
4		before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission"). I
5		pre-filed testimony and testified in the following cases before the PUCO:
6		American Electric Power Company, Case No. 05-376-EL-UNC;
7		Dayton Power & Light Company, Case No. 05-276-EL-AIR;
8		Dominion East Ohio Company, Case No. 05-474-GA-ATA; and
9		Dominion East Ohio Company, Case No. 05-219-GA-GCR.
10		
11	<b>Q</b> 5.	WHAT DOCUMENTS HAVE YOU REVIEWED IN THE PREPARATION OF
12		YOUR TESTIMONY?
13	A5.	From the current case I have reviewed the Application filed by Duke Energy
14		Ohio, Inc. ("Company" or "DE-Ohio" in this testimony), the Company's
15		responses to discovery by the OCC and testimony filed by DE-Ohio. I have also
16		reviewed relevant documents from other DE-Ohio cases, including but not limited
17		to PUCO Case No. 03-93-EL-ATA and the cases consolidated with that case
18		(referred to collectively as "Case No. 03-93-EL-ATA").
19		
20	Q6.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
21		PROCEEDING?
22	A6.	The purpose of my testimony is to address the Application made by DE-Ohio to
23		increase its Annually Adjusted Component ("AAC") and to offer

recommendations regarding an adjustment to how the AAC is placed on customer bills, how AAC calculations should be reviewed, and how Construction Work in Progress ("CWIP") should be treated. My testimony does not address the initiation of the AAC charges themselves, which was the subject of Case No. 03-93-EL-ATA. Likewise, my testimony does not address the issues raised by the Ohio Supreme Court's recent decision in the appeal of Case No. 03-93-EL-ATA that remanded the case for further PUCO consideration.

A7.

## Q7. WHAT ARE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS PROCEEDING?

The AAC is currently classified as a "Distribution Charge" on residential customers' bills. However, since the AAC charge is for generation related costs I recommend it should be placed in the "Generation Charge" portion of customers' bills. I also recommend that the 2007 and 2008 AAC should be audited in a similar fashion to DE-Ohio's System Reliability Tracker ("SRT") and Fuel and Purchased Power ("FPP") riders. Finally, I recommend that a return on CWIP should be excluded from the revenue requirement determined for recovery through the AAC.

1	П.	PLACEMENT OF THE AAC ON CUSTOMER BILLS
2		
3	Q8.	WHERE IS THE AAC CURRENTLY LOCATED ON DE-OHIO'S
4		RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER BILLS?
5	A8.	As shown on MPH Attachment 1, the Rider AAC is in the "Delivery Charge"
6		portion of the bill.
7		
8	Q9.	WHAT IS MPH ATTACHMENT 1?
9	A9.	This is a sample bill faxed to the OCC by a DE-Ohio representative on February
10		1, 2006. The customer name, account number and address have been redacted to
11		protect the identity of the customer.
12		
13	Q10.	WHERE SHOULD THE AAC BE LOCATED ON A CUSTOMER'S BILL?
14	A10.	The AAC was created in the Commission's 03-93-EL-ATA case regarding
15		Duke's "market based standard service offer," not the recently concluded
16		distribution rate case (PUCO Case No. 05-59-EL-AIR). The AAC rider deals
17		with generation costs, not distribution costs. As stated by DE-Ohio Witness
18		Wathen on page 2 of his testimony, the AAC is a component of the Company's
19		Market Based Standard Service Offer, the generation rate approved by the PUCO
20		under the 03-93-EL-ATA case. The calculations for the proposed AAC deals
21		with environmental compliance on DE-Ohio's generating units, as discussed on
22		pages 4-6 of Duke Witness Wathen's testimony. The decrease in the AAC

component for changes in the tax rate, explained on page 7 of Duke Witness

1		Wathen's testimony, is also entirely related to the generation of electricity.
2		Hence, the AAC should be in the generation portion of a customer's bill. DE-
3		Ohio should be required to accurately state the nature of its charges on customers'
4		bills.
5		
6	m.	AUDITS OF CURRENT AND FUTURE AAC CALCULATIONS
7		
8	Q11.	ARE THE CURRENT AAC CHARGES SUBJECT TO A REVIEW
9		THROUGH A COMMISSION ORDERED AUDIT?
10	A11.	To my knowledge, there has not been any Commission order or entry requiring
11		audits of current or any future AAC filings. However, the November 23, 2004
12		Entry on Rehearing in PUCO Case No. 03-93-EL-ATA (page 10) stated that, "in
13		the context of its audits," the Commission "will continue to consider the
14		reasonableness of expenditures" in areas concerning the Company's riders.
15		
16	Q12.	WHY ARE YOU OF THE OPINION THAT A COMMISSION ORDERED
17		AUDIT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO THIS PROCEEDING?
8	A12.	Similar audits conducted most recently in the SRT and FPP cases, along with
9		Management Performance audits in the natural gas industry, are very helpful in
20		locating errors and in identifying issues related to the calculation of charges. DE-
21		Ohio has many riders and trackers that resulted from Case No. 03-93-EL-ATA,
22		and the Commission should have audit information available to provide
23		accountability and assurance that charges are reasonable and have been

determined in a manner consistent with the Commission's Order. I believe an audit of the charges associated with the AAC rider is the only way the PUCO would be able to conclude whether the proposed AAC charge is reasonable and was calculated as ordered. The audit of the AAC should be included with the audit of the 2007 and 2008 SRT and FPP riders.

### IV. RETURN ON CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS CALCULATION

# Q13. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCERNS WITH THE CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS IN THE CALCULATION OF THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AAC?

A13. I do not agree that the Company's inclusion of a return on CWIP results in a reasonable AAC charge. The Company's charges should be appropriate for a deregulated generation environment, but even a revenue requirement determined in Ohio through a traditional regulatory cost calculation would require that any CWIP be at least 75% complete before the PUCO would consider allowing a return on CWIP. The Company testimony does not demonstrate that the CWIP portion of the "environmental compliance net plant" is or will be at least 75% complete during the time the AAC is being collected. 

In addition, under a traditional regulatory paradigm the Company might propose allowing a return on CWIP that customers would pay up front during plant construction, with a claim that the return on CWIP will provide lower capital

1		costs for customers at a future date when the plant is in service. However, in
2		today's deregulated generation environment, the future is too uncertain to
3		guarantee this claimed benefit would ever be realized by the consumers who will
4		pay the 2007 AAC because it is unknown which customers will receive service
5		from DE-Ohio's generating units in the future.
6		
7	Q14.	WHAT IS YOUR SUGGESTION FOR THE HANDLING OF THE RETURN
8		ON CWIP PORTION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE COSTS?
9	A14.	I recommend the CWIP portion be removed from the "Return on Environmental
10		Plant" calculation in DE-Ohio Witness Wathen's Attachment WDW-2, Schedule
11		2, for purposes of setting a reasonable AAC charge.
12		
12 13	Q15.	HAVE YOU CALCULATED THE EFFECT OF YOUR
	Q15.	HAVE YOU CALCULATED THE EFFECT OF YOUR RECOMMENDATION?
13	Q15.	
13 14	~	RECOMMENDATION?
13 14 15	~	RECOMMENDATION?  Yes, using DE-Ohio Witness Wathen's Attachment WDW-2, Schedule 2, I
13 14 15 16	~	RECOMMENDATION?  Yes, using DE-Ohio Witness Wathen's Attachment WDW-2, Schedule 2, I removed the \$244,413,759 CWIP amount from the "Return on Environmental"
13 14 15 16 17	~	RECOMMENDATION?  Yes, using DE-Ohio Witness Wathen's Attachment WDW-2, Schedule 2, I removed the \$244,413,759 CWIP amount from the "Return on Environmental Plant." This reduces the "Pre-Tax Return" to \$53,938,303, and reduces the "Total
13 14 15 16 17	~	RECOMMENDATION?  Yes, using DE-Ohio Witness Wathen's Attachment WDW-2, Schedule 2, I removed the \$244,413,759 CWIP amount from the "Return on Environmental Plant." This reduces the "Pre-Tax Return" to \$53,938,303, and reduces the "Total
13 14 15 16 17 18	A15.	RECOMMENDATION?  Yes, using DE-Ohio Witness Wathen's Attachment WDW-2, Schedule 2, I removed the \$244,413,759 CWIP amount from the "Return on Environmental Plant." This reduces the "Pre-Tax Return" to \$53,938,303, and reduces the "Total Environmental Compliance Increase" to \$50,429,411.

Wathen's methodology, this reduction results in the AAC being set at 5.6% of "little g." MPH Exhibit 1 also shows the resulting Rate RS AAC rates.

3

4

1

2

## V. CONCLUSION

5

### 6 Q17. PLEASE DISCUSS YOUR OVERALL CONCLUSIONS.

7 A17. The Application filed by the Company should not be approved by the Commission because it is incomplete and proposes an unreasonable AAC rate for 8 9 2007. The Application is incomplete because it does not allow for an independent audit. An independent audit would promote accountability that would help the 10 Commission assure that proposed AAC charges are reasonable in the same 11 manner that audits ordered by the Commission regarding DE-Ohio's other 12 generation-related riders have served this function. The Application fails to 13 address the fact that AAC charges, that are clearly generation related, are 14 15 currently placed on the distribution portion of a customer's bill when AAC 16 charges should be on the generation portion of the customer's bill. Finally, the Company's calculations for the 2007 AAC results in an unreasonable charge 17 18 because the calculations are based upon a return on CWIP assets that should not 19 be included in rates.

20

21

22

23

As part of any order regarding an AAC charge for 2007, the Commission should
(1) require that an independent audit be conducted on all costs requested and
recovered through the AAC Rider such as has been ordered regarding DE-Ohio's

1		FPP and SRT charges, (2) order the Company to place the AAC Rider on the
2		generation portion of customers' bills, and (3) remove the return on CWIP portion
3		of the Environmental Compliance revenue requirement.
4		
5	Q18.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY AT THIS TIME?
6	A18.	Yes, it does. However, I reserve the right to incorporate new information that
7		may subsequently become available.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Prepared Testimony of Michael P. Haugh on behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel has been served upon those persons listed below via first class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 28<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2006.

Jeffrey L/8mall

Assistants Consumers' Counsel

#### SERVICE LIST

Paul A. Colbert, Esq. Rocco D'Ascenzo, Esq. Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 2500 Atrium II, P.O. Box 961 Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960 Duane Luckey, Esq. Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Daniel Neilsen, Esq.
McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC
Fifth Third Center, 17<sup>th</sup> Fl.
21 East State Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

David C. Rinebolt, Esq. P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, Ohio 43839-1793

**Attorney for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio** 

Attorney for Partners For Affordable Energy

# Duke 2007 AAC PUCO Case No. 06-1085-EL-UNC MPH Exhibit 1

			@ 5/31/06	Le	ess: CWIP (a)	_	
Total Environmental Plant	(a)	\$	705,819,256	\$	(244,413,759)	\$	461,405,497
Pre-Tax Return at	(a)		11.69%		11.69%		11.69%
Pre-Tax Return	•	\$	82,510,271	\$	(28,571,968)	\$	53,938,303
Environmental O&M Exp	(a)	\$_	41,419,290			\$_	41,419,290
Total Revenue Req.	·	\$	123,929,561	\$	(28,571,968)	\$	95,357,593
Rev Req As of 12/31/00	(a)	\$	44,928,182			\$	44,928,182
Environmental Compliance							
Increase		\$	79,001,379	\$	(28,571,968)	\$	50,429,411
Homeland Security		\$	132,732			\$	132,732
Tax Changes		\$	(5,315,149)			\$	(5,315,149)
Total Revenue Requirement		\$	73,818,962	\$	(28,571,968)	\$	45,246,994
AAC Recovery % Calculation:							
Revised AAC Rev Req	(c)	\$	73,818,962			\$	45,246,994
Little g Revenue 12 ME 5/31/06	(c)	\$_	812,324,838			\$	812,324,838
Revised Rider AAC %	•		9.1%				<u>5.6%</u>
							:

Calculation of Rate RS Residential Se	_				3.170		00 kWh		_
(\$ per kWh)		Current AAC	New%/C	id% ratio .		Mo	nthly	<u> Anı</u>	rual
Summer, First 1000 kWh (c)	\$	0.002651		1.5167	\$ 0.004021	\$	4.02	\$	48.24
Summer, Additional kWh (c)	\$	0.003359		1.5167	\$ 0.005095				
Winter, First 1000 kWh (c)	\$	0.002651		1.5167	\$ 0.004021				1.
Winter, Additional kWh (c)	\$	0.000100		1.5167	\$ 0.000152				
Calculation of Rate RS Residential Se	rvic	ce AAC at:		<del></del>	 5.6%	100	00 kWh		
(\$ per kWh)	(	Current AAC	New%/C	ld% ratio		Mo	nthly	Anı	nual
Summer, First 1000 kWh (c)	\$	0.002651		0.9333	\$ 0.002474	\$	2.47	\$	29.64
Summer, Additional kWh (c)	\$	0.003359		0.9333	\$ 0.003135				
Winter, First 1000 kWh (c)	\$	0.002651		0.9333	\$ 0.002474				
Winter, Additional kWh (c)	\$	0.000100		0.9333	\$ 0.000093				
						Diff	ference	:	

<sup>(</sup>a) Case No. 06-1085-EL-UNC, Wathen Attachment WDW-2, Schedule 2

<sup>(</sup>b) Case No. 06-1085-EL-UNC, Wathen Attachment WDW-2, Schedule 1

<sup>(</sup>c) Case No. 06-1085-EL-UNC, Application Attachment WDW-4, page 1 of 2

Account Number 10 05 Feb 1, 2006 \$ 186.8

For less detailed billing information on your monthly bill, check box on right HeatShare Contribution (for Customer Assistance)

Limital International Inter

400 00000186813 03000073228 020120061 00000189618

					Page 1 of 4
Name Carvine Angres		E o sene)	irles Calling	distribution pA No.	construentes
	Cinergy/C	G&E	513-4	21-9500	
	:				
Marianos de la como de			icte as of halora	)akion	
PO Box 740124 Cincinnati OH 45274-0124		fier Jan 10 not it received Jar		prepared on Jan xt meter reading t	
jenning Mela-Spanibet de Fording			Meter Be	reling salah sa	Parit St. Proper
					16.190
Gas 000605267 Dec 06 Ja Elec 094887121 Dec 06 Ja		34 34	25 17744	157 19017	. 132 1,273
Site - Residential		Convent	Dunige week		***************************************
Jsage - 132 CCF	400401		- Previous Bill		\$ 186.81
Cinergy/CG&E - Rate RS	\$ 200.21 \$ 200.21	; 1 -	(s) Received		187.000
Current Gas Charges			Forward Billing Amt Due	•	0.19c
Gas Cost Recovery \$1.21810000/C			Amount Due		\$ 186.81
Lecture Hesidenhalt	e de la lega la la lega la leg Lega lega lega lega lega lega lega lega l	**	Balansi V.		
Jsage - 1,049 kWh	4-0	Current I	7		\$ 186.81
inergy/CG&E - Rate RS	\$ 76.16		Silling Balance		23.73
Jsage - 224 kWh			count Balance	<b>:</b>	\$ 210.54
Cinergy/CG&E - Rate RS	21,21				
Current Electric Charges	\$ 97.37				
Old Rate Effective Dec 06 To Jan 0 New Rate Effective Jan 03 To Jan 0					









Don't wait for the weather to cause your energy bill to jump; enroll in Budget Billing today. Go to www.CinergyComfort.com to sign up and learn about ways to reduce your energy bills

Pursuant to state law, the Universal Service Fund rider rate has been adjusted effective with this bill.

Under state law, the amount you are being billed includes:(1) kilowatt-hour taxes that have been in effect since 2001 and are currently at \$.00465 for the first 2,000 kWh, \$.00419 for the next 13,000 kWh and \$.00363 for all additional kWh and, (2) Assessments to assist in the support of the PUCO and the Office of the Consumers Counsel that have been in effect since 1912 and 1977 respectively.

PRICE TO COMPARE: In order for an average residential customer to save money, an electric supplier must offer a price lower than 6.43 cents per kWh. Your Price to Compare may be different based on your usage. Visit www.cinergycge.com to calculate your individual Price to Compare or contact Cinergy/CG&E for a written explanation.

		Explanational Current Chargest		<del>nuis e</del>
Gas Meter -	000605267	Cinergy/CG&E Rate RS - Residential Service		
CCF Usage -	132	Customer Charge	\$ 6.00	
Dec 06 • Jan 09 34 Days		Gas Delivery Charge 132 CCF @ \$ 0.18591000 Rider MSR-G Gas Delivery Riders Gas Cost Recovery	24.54 1.15cr 10.03	t secre
		132 CCF @ \$1,21810000	160.79	\$ 200.21
		ingerment with the court current ses	Charges	\$ 200.21

Name		Service Address (Salarian	ACCOU	ENEMBER
		ALL DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF		
Electric M <del>ole</del> r -	094887121	Cinergy/CG&E Rate RS - Residential Svc-Winter		
(Wh Usage - Dec 06 - Jan 09 34 Days	1,273	Old Rate Effective Dec 06 To Jan 03 Distribution-Customer Chg Delivery Charges	\$ 3.30	
34 Days		Distribution-Energy Cho 1,049 kWh @ \$0.01471000 Rider TCR Delivery Riders	15.43 7.90 5.48	
•		Total Delivery Charges Generation Charges Residential Generation Credit	\$ 28.81	
		824 kWh @ \$ 0.00253320cr 225 kWh @ \$ 0.00102730cr Generation Energy Chg	2.09cr 0.23cr	
		824 kWh @ \$0.05066400 225 kWh @ \$0.02054600	41.75 4.62	
	ļ	Total Generation Charges	\$ 44.05	76.16
		Cinergy/CG&E Rate RS - Residential Svc-Winter	4-141	
,		New Rate Effective Jan 03 To Jan 09 Distribution-Customer Chg Delivery Charges	\$ 0.79	
		Distribution-Energy Chg 224 kWh @ \$ 0.01994900 Rider TCR Rider RSC	4.47 1.69 1.29	
		Rider AAC Rider MSR-E Delivery Riders	0.52 0.32cr 3.12 \$ 10.77	
		Total Delivery Charges Generation Charges Generation Energy Chg 176 kWh @ \$0.03755300	1	
		48 kWh @ \$0.01416900 Rider FPP Rider RSS	6.61 0.68 2.66 0.30cr	
	ł	Total Generation Charges	\$ 9.65	21.21

en in Episage	s den des Geogétique	ogelan GBP) (rip) mpban	
Summary BBP Option : BBP Installment Amoun	Quarterly Plan at: \$ 187.00	Previous Budget Billing Balance Current Gas Charges Current Electric Charges Budget Billing Amt Due Budget Billing Balance	\$ 86.85cr 200.21 97.37 187.00cr \$ 23.73

