

**BEFORE**

**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Review of the   )  
Reconciliation Rider of Duke Energy Ohio,            ) Case No. 20-167-EL-RDR  
Inc.    )

---

**DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.'S  
MOTION FOR CONTINUATION OF PROTECTIVE ORDER**

---

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Company) hereby moves this honorable Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) for an extension of the confidential treatment of certain information contained in the testimony of Duke Energy Ohio witness John D. Swez. The testimony was filed on October 19, 2021, under seal. Duke Energy Ohio has set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support its reasons why continued confidential treatment is necessary. For the reasons set forth in the Memorandum in Support, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission grant this motion and continue to provide confidential treatment as requested.

Respectfully submitted,

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery

Rocco D'Ascenzo (0077651)

Deputy General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery (0012172) (Counsel of Record)

Associate General Counsel

Larisa M. Vaysman (0090290)

Senior Counsel

Elyse H. Akhbari (0090701)

Senior Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services LLC

139 East Fourth Street, 1303-Main

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

[Rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com](mailto:Rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com) (e-mail)

[Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com](mailto:Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com) (e-mail)

[Larisa.vaysman@duke-energy.com](mailto:Larisa.vaysman@duke-energy.com) (e-mail)

[Elyse.akhbari@duke-energy.com](mailto:Elyse.akhbari@duke-energy.com) (e-mail)

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion for continuation of protective order to protect the confidentiality of information contained in the testimony.

Duke Energy Ohio is an Ohio corporation with its principal office in Cincinnati, Ohio. Duke Energy Ohio has the corporate power and authority, among others, to engage, and it is engaged, in the business of supplying electric distribution service to customers in the State of Ohio. Accordingly, Duke Energy Ohio is a public utility within the meaning of that term as used in R.C. 4905.02 and 4905.03. As such, Duke Energy Ohio is subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission in the manner and to the extent provided by the laws of the State of Ohio.

On October 19, 2021, Duke Energy Ohio filed,<sup>1</sup> together with its motion and memorandum in support, confidential testimony by Mr. Swez in support of its application in this proceeding. Mr. Swez includes, as attachments to his testimony, the following documents:

- Attachment JDS-1 is a copy of the internal operating procedures of OVEC. This document details and explains the internal functioning of OVEC, including the offer strategy. This is market information that would give any competitors an advantage. The result of making such information public would be economic harm to Duke Energy Ohio's customers.
- Attachment JDS-2 is a copy of the Daily Profit and Loss Report, prepared internally by Duke Energy Ohio, summarizing the projected energy market revenues from operation of the OVEC units in PJM, the variable costs to operate such units and the forecasted unit hourly loading, and the resulting hourly energy margin. Because it contains margin information for

---

<sup>1</sup> PUCO Case No. 20-167-EL-RDR, Confidential Direct Testimony of John D. Swez on behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., and confidential Attachments JDS-1, JDS-2, JDS-3.

the operation of units, it must be maintained as confidential so that a competitor cannot start with publicly available revenues and use the margins to back into variable costs.

- Attachment JDS-3 is a copy of a Preliminary Margin Report prepared by Duke Energy Ohio, based on actual PJM Day-Ahead awards, and used to monitor profitability of the OVEC units and the accuracy of information. Again, this document includes the Company's variable costs, a piece of market information that would, if public, allow competitors to gain an unfair advantage.

In view of these circumstances, continued confidential treatment of the information contained in the testimony and further outlined in the list above is appropriate, and is required by Ohio law and the Commission's regulations. For the foregoing reasons, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission grant its Motion for Continuation of Protective Order pursuant to O.A.C. 4901-1-24(F) and extend the period of protection for a period of twenty-four months. This confidential trade secret information, if publicly disclosed, would give competitive bidders access to competitively sensitive, confidential bidding information, which in turn could work to the detriment of Duke Energy Ohio and its customers. Furthermore, disclosure of information related to the Company's critical infrastructure could pose a security hazard.

The redacted information contained in the testimony constitutes trade secret information in accordance with Ohio's Uniform Trade Secret Act and relevant jurisprudence. Subsection (D) of R.C. 1333.61 defines "trade secret" as follows:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, **process, procedure**, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily

ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.

- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.<sup>2</sup>

In analyzing a trade secret claim, the Ohio Supreme Court has adopted the following factors as relevant to determining whether a document constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business;
- (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees;
- (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information; and
- (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.<sup>3</sup>

The information for which Duke Energy Ohio is seeking confidential treatment is not known outside of Duke Energy Ohio, and it is not disseminated within Duke Energy Ohio except to those employees with a legitimate business need to know and act upon the information.

The public interest will be served by granting this motion. By continuing to protect the confidentiality of the testimony, the Commission will prevent undue harm to Duke Energy Ohio and its customers, as well as ensuring a sound competitive marketplace.

WHEREFORE, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission, pursuant to O.A.C. 4901-1-24(D), grant its Motion for Continuation of Protective Order to protect the confidentiality of information contained in the testimony by making a determination that the redacted information continues to be confidential, proprietary, and a trade secret under R.C. 1333.61.

---

<sup>2</sup> R.C. 1333.61 (emphasis added).

<sup>3</sup> *State ex rel. Besser v. Ohio State Univ.*, 89 Ohio St. 3d 396, 732 N.E.2d 373 (2000).

Respectfully submitted,

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

*/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery*

Rocco D'Ascenzo (0077651)

Deputy General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery (0012172) (Counsel of Record)

Associate General Counsel

Larisa M. Vaysman (0090290)

Senior Counsel

Elyse H. Akhbari (0090701)

Senior Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services, LLC

139 East Fourth Street, 1303-Main

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

[Rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com](mailto:Rocco.dascenzo@duke-energy.com) (e-mail)

[Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com](mailto:Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com) (e-mail)

[Larisa.vaysman@duke-energy.com](mailto:Larisa.vaysman@duke-energy.com) (e-mail)

[Elyse.akhbari@duke-energy.com](mailto:Elyse.akhbari@duke-energy.com) (e-mail)

*Willing to accept service via email*

**Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on the following party this 6<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023, by electronic delivery.

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery  
Jeanne W. Kingery

John H. Jones  
Thomas Lindgren  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Public Utilities Section  
30 East Broad Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
[John.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:John.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov)  
[thomas.lindgren@ohioattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:thomas.lindgren@ohioattorneygeneral.gov)