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# I. INTRODUCTION

FirstEnergy filed a Memorandum Contra to the Motion for Limited Stay filed by the Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel, Ohio Manufacturers’ Association Energy Group and the Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition (“Joint Movants”). FirstEnergy’s Memorandum Contra is virtually identical to the one filed by Ohio Energy Group’s (“OEG”), which Joint Movants responded to on December 26, 2023. Both filings are without merit and should be denied.

 FirstEnergy initially states that the relief requested by Joint Movants’ Motion is “based on speculation and conjecture.”[[1]](#footnote-2) FirstEnergy further argues that Joint Movants incorrectly assert that FirstEnergy engaged in a scheme to defraud customers related to settlement payments from the ESP IV case, because nothing in the indictment supports Joint Movants’ claim.[[2]](#footnote-3)

 In response, Joint Movants note that OEG made a nearly identical argument in its Memorandum Contra.[[3]](#footnote-4) Joint Movants incorporate their response made to OEG’s argument on this point,[[4]](#footnote-5) and will not repeat that argument here.

 FirstEnergy further argues that the Joint Movants’ Motion should be denied because the potential loss of revenue from Rider DCR, which could result from a stay, could harm FirstEnergy and its consumers.[[5]](#footnote-6) FirstEnergy’s argument is nearly identical to the argument raised in OEG’s Memorandum Contra.[[6]](#footnote-7) Joint Movants respond to FirstEnergy’s argument by incorporating their response to the same argument raised by OEG.[[7]](#footnote-8)

# II. CONCLUSION

 The PUCO should reject FirstEnergy’s and OEG’s arguments and grant Joint Movants’ Motion for Limited Stay.
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