DUKE	ENERGY	OHIO	EXHIBIT
DUIL	ENERGI	OIIIO	LAMIDII

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the M	latter of	f the A	Applio	cation of D	uke)	
Energy	Ohio,	Inc.,	for	Approval	of)	Case No. 19-2223-EL-UNC
McManı	n Battery	y Stora	ge Pr	oject.)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

LINDA MILLER

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>PAGE</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	DISCUSSION	3
III.	CONCLUSION	4

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 2 A. My name is Linda Miller, and my business address is 550 South Tryon Street,
- 3 Charlotte, North Carolina, 28202.
- 4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
- 5 A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC (DEBS), as Manager
- 6 Accounting II. DEBS provides various administrative and other services to Duke
- 7 Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Company) and other affiliated
- 8 companies of Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy).
- 9 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND
- 10 **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**
- 11 A. I earned a Bachelor's degree in Accounting from Nyack College in Nyack, NY,
- and am a Certified Public Accountant (CPA), licensed in the state of New York. I
- began my career with Deloitte as an auditor of financial statements in 2001.
- Subsequently, I worked as a Financial Analyst at UBS beginning in 2003, and
- then as an Assistant Controller at Lennar Homes (Sarasota, FL, division)
- beginning in 2005. I began my utility career in 2008 when I was hired by Duke
- 17 Energy Florida (DEF) to prepare minimum filing requirements for its 2009 rate
- case. I then moved to DEF Asset Accounting, where I served in various roles
- related to project life cycle, capitalization, AFUDC, depreciation, and regulatory
- filings. After the Progress-Duke merger, I relocated to Charlotte, North Carolina,
- where I continued working in Asset Accounting, focusing on life cycle and

- 1 capitalization for all regulated jurisdictions. I assumed my current role as
- 2 Manager Accounting II in December of 2016.

3 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS MANAGER ACCOUNTING II.

- 4 A. I am responsible for the capital project lifecycle and capitalization governance for
- 5 all the Company's regulated electric and gas jurisdictions. In this role, I oversee
- 6 the initiation, completion, and unitization activities of capital projects regarding
- 7 the financial statement impacts. The main financial impacts of the capital project
- 8 are timely in-servicing, functional account classification, and retirement of assets.
- 9 I also oversee the capitalization policy and its application by the Company to
- ensure compliance with Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Code of
- 11 Federal Regulations (CFR) and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
- 12 (GAAP).
- 13 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC
- 14 UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THESE
- 17 **PROCEEDINGS?**
- 18 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an explanation of how the battery
- assets discussed in these proceedings will be treated, from an accounting
- standpoint.

II. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

1	Q.	WHAT CLASSIFICATION SHOULD THE BATTERY ASSETS
2		RECEIVE?
3	A.	The McMann Battery Storage Project should be classified as a solely Distribution
4		asset based on the fact that the intended services provide peak
5		shaving/management to regulated customers of the Company. As stated in the
6		testimony of Company witness Matthew Schultz, the primary application of the
7		McMann Battery Storage Project will be to reduce the load on the McMann
8		distribution circuit during peak load hours. This will ensure that the circuit load
9		stays below the maximum rating for the existing substation transformer and will
10		thereby defer the need to install an additional transformer and additional
11		distribution upgrades at this location. This benefit to the distribution grid
12		supports the classification as a distribution asset.
13	Q.	WHAT IS THE FERC GUIDANCE ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF
14		ENERGY STORAGE?
15	A.	Per the Code of Federal Regulations Title 18, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Part 101,
16		both the services the battery performs and regulatory approval should be factored
17		into the decision regarding its functional classification:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		363 - Energy Storage Equipment – Distribution: This account shall include the cost installed of energy storage equipment used to store energy for load managing purposes. Where energy storage equipment can perform more than one function or purpose, the cost of the equipment shall be allocated among production, transmission, and distribution plant based on the services provided by the asset and the allocation of the asset's cost through rates approved by a relevant regulatory agency. Reallocation of the cost of equipment recorded in this account shall be in accordance with Electric Plant Instruction No. 12, Transfers of Property.

1 Q. IF THE MCMANN BATTERY IS UTILIZED TO PARTICIPATE IN TH

2 PJM MARKET, WHAT IMPACT DOES THAT HAVE TO THE

3 FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION BASED UPON FERC GUIDELINES?

4 For the McMann Battery Storage Project, the facts and circumstances support the A. 5 classification of the battery as a distribution function because participation in the 6 PJM market will not interfere with the distribution purpose of the battery. Also, 7 FERC provides the ability to classify the function of the battery based upon "the 8 allocation of the asset's cost through rates approved by a relevant regulatory 9 agency" in their CFR for account 363. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 10 (Commission) qualifies as a relevant regulatory agency for this asset and, 11 therefore, the Commission has the authority to confirm that the entire McMann

13 Q. WHAT DEPRECIATION RATE IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING IN

battery asset should be classified as 100 percent distribution.

14 THIS FILING?

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

The battery storage project has two major components: cells and monitoring equipment and other battery related equipment (balance of plant). The overall expected useful life of these components is 15 years. There are no battery storage assets in the Company's most recently approved depreciation study; therefore, the Company is requesting the Commission's specific approval of the new depreciation rate based on the assets' expected useful life of 15 years.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

21 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?

22 A. Yes.