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**MOTION TO SCHEDULE A VIRTUAL “LOCAL” PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF FIRSTENERGY CONSUMERS TO TESTIFY REMOTELY REGARDING FIRSTENERGY’S PROPOSED RATE INCREASES**

**AND**

**REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED RULING**

**BY**

**OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS’ COUNSEL**

 FirstEnergy’s proposal for a so-called Electric Security Plan (“ESP V”), filed on April 5, 2023, offers more “security” to FirstEnergy than to consumers. That is not unexpected under Ohio’s 2008 energy law that, in creating electric security plans, favors utilities over consumers for electric ratemaking. Here, FirstEnergy’s proposal could allow it to collect an additional $1.4 billion in revenue from consumers over the next eight years.[[1]](#footnote-2)

 It is important that the public be given a convenient opportunity to have their voices heard by their state utility regulator, the PUCO, regarding FirstEnergy’s proposal to increase rates. R.C. 4928.141(B) requires the PUCO to schedule a hearing in the territory of any utility that applies for an ESP.

 *Appropriately, in-person* local public hearings have also been scheduled by the PUCO, to provide consumers a “reasonable opportunity” to participate.[[2]](#footnote-3) But in 2023, with modern remote meeting technology available, in-person hearings are not adequate for the public convenience without also scheduling virtual hearings.

 In-person public hearings present attendance challenges for some people. There are obstacles to attend to, such as jobs, health challenges, mobility issues including for seniors, disabilities, childcare, eldercare, and transportation limitations, among other things. Ohioans should be given a choice by their state government to participate and testify remotely. Indeed, the PUCO has permitted consumers to testify remotely where “financial hardship, work-related scheduling conflicts, and physical restrictions” prevented them from traveling to appear in person before the PUCO.[[3]](#footnote-4)

 The PUCO has conducted numerous evidentiary hearings remotely for the convenience of parties, including utilities.[[4]](#footnote-5) Consumers wishing to safely appear at local public hearings deserve the same consideration.

 The PUCO should set an additional “local” public hearing to be conducted virtually where consumers may testify remotely (via WebEx, Microsoft Teams, Zoom, or some similar platform) regarding FirstEnergy’s proposed rate increases. A virtual local public hearing should occur with adequate notice for the public, including in print media, broadcast media and social media.

 The evidentiary hearing is currently scheduled to begin on November 7, 2023.[[5]](#footnote-6) Thus, under O.A.C. 4901-1-12(C), OCC requests that the PUCO issue an expedited ruling on this motion. OCC cannot certify that no party objects to an expedited ruling. The reasons for this motion are explained more fully in the attached memorandum in support.
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**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

FirstEnergy seeks approval of an eight-year Electric Security Plan (“ESP V”) pursuant to R.C. 4928.143. FirstEnergy could increase revenues by approximately $1.4 billion – at consumer expense.[[6]](#footnote-7) The proposed changes will have far-reaching implications for two million FirstEnergy electricity consumers (plus their families).

Scheduling a virtual public hearing for those who have personal challenges in attending the in-person hearings is reasonable and respectful for the public. It is important that all FirstEnergy consumers have this particular opportunity to have their voices heard by the PUCO regarding FirstEnergy’s proposed rate increase.

Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143(C)(1), the PUCO’s approval of this rate plan is conditioned on the need for a finding that the plan is “more favorable in the aggregate” to consumers than a standard service offer under R.C. 4928.142. Whether a plan is more or less favorable will inevitably depend on its impact on consumers.

In-person public hearings present attendance challenges for some people. There are difficulties with jobs, health challenges, mobility issues including for seniors, disabilities, childcare, eldercare, and transportation limitations, among other things. Ohioans should be given a choice by their state government to participate and testify remotely. Indeed, the PUCO has permitted consumers to testify remotely in prior cases where “financial hardship, work-related scheduling conflicts, and physical restrictions” prevented them from traveling to appear in person before the PUCO.[[7]](#footnote-8)

The PUCO should be more inclusive and more accommodating when it comes to providing consumers with an opportunity to testify regarding the utility rates they are asked to pay. Scheduling a virtual public hearing would help accomplish this goal.

The Administrative Conference of the United States (ACUS) has noted, in a recommendation published in the Federal Register, that virtual hearings promote justice.[[8]](#footnote-9) It is stated that, in administrative procedures, virtual hearings “have the potential to expand access to justice for individuals who belong to certain underserved communities.”[[9]](#footnote-10) Expanding access to justice for people in underserved communities warrants virtual hearings.

The PUCO has the technology and resources available to conduct a virtual public hearing in this case. This request is hardly unprecedented; the PUCO has conducted numerous evidentiary hearings remotely, including public hearings in rate cases.[[10]](#footnote-11) Even though the PUCO has largely returned to in-person hearing processes post-pandemic, the PUCO has since permitted consumers to testify remotely on occasion. That is where “financial hardship, work-related scheduling conflicts, and physical restrictions” prevented them from traveling to appear in person before the PUCO.[[11]](#footnote-12) Consumers wishing to participate remotely in public hearings also deserve the same consideration.[[12]](#footnote-13)

In addition, consumers themselves have become more tech savvy. During the pandemic, many consumers developed the skills to interact with families, friends, businesses, and doctors, and to conduct other activities virtually. For those consumers who faced mobility, transportation, family and/or cost issues, virtual communications provided significant benefits, which enabled them to participate more fully in their communities. The PUCO should embrace this change by providing consumers the opportunity to testify remotely regarding FirstEnergy’s proposed rate increases.

 For these reasons, the PUCO should schedule a virtual public hearing in this case. Because the evidentiary hearing in these matters is currently scheduled for November 7, 2023, the PUCO should issue an expedited ruling on this motion under O.A.C. 4901-1-12(C). OCC cannot certify that no party opposes the request for expedited ruling.
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**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**
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