**BEFORE**

**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of the Alternative Energy Resources Report for Calendar Year 2012 from Energy Plus Holdings, LLC | ::: | Case No. 13-657-EL-REN |

**REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

 On March 13, 2013, Energy Plus Holdings, LLC (Energy Plus or Company) filed a Request for Waiver specifically seeking authorization to deviate from the baseline calculation methodology detailed in Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.) § 4901:1-40-03(B)(2)(b).

 O.A.C. 4901:1-40-02(B) indicates that the Commission may waive any portion of this rule provided that the request does not involve a statutory mandate. While R.C. 4928.64(B) does address the baseline computation for companies with sales during the preceding three calendar years, it does not present a methodology for competitive electric providers with a more limited Ohio electric retail sales history. Therefore, Staff concludes that the Commission may waive this particular rule requirement if it deems it appropriate to do so.

 The rule dictates that active electric service companies utilize a reasonable projection of sales for a calendar year as their alternative energy portfolio standard (AEPS) compliance baseline in the event that they do no have any retail electric sales in the state during the three calendar years preceding the compliance year.

 Energy Plus asserts that it had no sales during 2009-2011, but that it began serving Ohio customers during early 2012.[[1]](#footnote-1) In such a scenario, O.A.C. 4901:1-40-03(B)(2)(b) would require that Energy Plus’ AEPS compliance baseline for the 2012 compliance year consist of a reasonable projection of its sales for a calendar year. However, the Company is proposing to use its actual 2012 retail sales as its baseline rather than a projection of sales.

 The use of projected sales, as detailed in O.A.C. 4901:1-40-03(B)(2)(b), was intended to facilitate a company’s compliance planning in that it could quantify its compliance requirements earlier in the year. However, Staff acknowledges that the use of actual sales data, as proposed by Energy Plus, is more accurate than using projected data and therefore Staff recommends that the requested waiver be granted.

 If granted, the proposed use of actual Ohio sales from 2012 would comprise the compliance baseline for purposes of the Company’s filing requirement for the 2012 compliance year under O.A.C. 4901:1-40-05. The annual filing requirement under O.A.C. 4901:1-40-03(C) should be unaffected by this waiver request.
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Assistant Attorney General

1. Received its CRES certification in March, 2011 and began operations in Ohio in February, 2012 (Company’s Request for Waiver). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)