BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of Establishing the)	
Nonbypassable Recovery Mechanism for)	Case No. 19-1808-EL-UNC
Net Legacy Generation Resource Costs)	
Pursuant to R.C. 4928.148.)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

Now comes Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) and moves to intervene as a full party of record in the above-captioned proceedings pursuant to R.C. 4903.221 and O.A.C. 4901-1-11. Duke Energy Ohio has a real and substantial interest in this proceeding that is not adequately represented by existing parties and is so situated that the disposition of these proceedings may impair or impede its ability to protect that interest. Wherefore, for the reasons more fully set forth in the attached memorandum in support, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission grant this motion to intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery

Rocco D'Ascenzo (0077651)

Deputy General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery (0012172) (Counsel of Record)

Associate General Counsel

Larisa M. Vaysman (0090290)

Senior Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services LLC

139 East Fourth Street

1303-Main

Cincinnati, OH 45202

(513) 287-4320 (telephone)

(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)

Rocco.D'Ascenzo@duke-energy.com

Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com

Larisa. Vaysman@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Memorandum in Support

Am. Sub H. B. 6 (HB 6), signed into law on July 23, 2019, requires the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) to establish a nonbypassable rate mechanism for the retail recovery of prudently incurred costs related to a legacy generation resource for the period up to December 31, 2030. On September 25, 2019, the Commission Staff (Staff) filed a proposal for implementing this requirement. By entry dated September 26, 2019, the Commission opened this docket to receive comments from interested parties regarding Staff's proposal.

R.C. 4903.221(B) sets forth several criteria that the Commission is required to consider in ruling on applications to intervene. Those criteria are listed as follows:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings;
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

The Commission has, itself, added additional detail to the intervention requirements through the promulgation of O.A.C. 4901-1-11. That rule, in section (A), requires that the Commission allow intervention by a person who has a "real and substantial interest in the proceeding" and who "is so situated that the disposition of the proceeding may . . . impair or impede [its] ability to protect that interest, unless the person's interest is adequately represented by existing parties." The rule goes on to list several factors that the Commission is required to consider in determining whether a potential intervenor meets that standard:

566270 2

-

¹ R.C. 4928.148

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest.
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case.
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.
- (5) The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties.

A. Duke Energy Ohio's Interest in this Proceeding is Substantial

First, Duke Energy Ohio has a unique and substantial interest in this proceeding because it is an electric distribution utility under R.C. 4905.02 and 4905.03 and will be directly affected by R.C. 4928.148, the new statute being implemented here.

B. Duke Energy Ohio's Intervention Will Not Unduly Prolong or Delay this Proceeding

This proceeding has just recently commenced, and Duke Energy Ohio has met all established deadlines. Duke Energy Ohio's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay this proceeding.

C. Duke Energy Ohio's Intervention Will Contribute to the Development of a Full Record

Lastly, given its experience and intimate understanding of the issues these proceedings raise, Duke Energy Ohio will be able to significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues in these proceedings.

Duke Energy Ohio therefore respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene and be made a full party of record.

Respectfully submitted,

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery

Rocco D'Ascenzo (0077651)

Deputy General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery (0012172) (Counsel of Record)

Associate General Counsel

Larisa M. Vaysman (0090290)

Senior Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services LLC

139 East Fourth Street

1303-Main

Cincinnati, OH 45202

(513) 287-4320 (telephone)

(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)

Rocco.D'Ascenzo@duke-energy.com

Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com

Larisa.Vaysman@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was served this 28th day of October, 2019, by electronic transmission or U.S. mail, postage prepaid, upon the persons listed below.

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery
Jeanne W. Kingery

Michael L. Kurtz
Kurt J. Boehm
Jody Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com

Attorneys for the Ohio Energy Group

Matthew R. Pritchard McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com

Attorney for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Kimberly W. Bojko Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215 bojko@carpenterlipps.com

Attorney for Ohio Manufacturers' Association Energy Group

Michael J. Settineri Gretchen L. Petrucci Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 mjsettineri@vorys.com glpetrucci@vorys.com

Attorneys for the PJM Power Providers Group

Steven T. Nourse
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
stnourse@aep.com

Attorney for Ohio Power Company

Angela Paul Whitfield
Counsel of Record
Stephen E. Dutton
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 North High Street, Suite 1300
Columbus, Ohio 43215
paul@carpenterlipps.com
dutton@carpenterlipps.com

Attorneys for Kroger

Michael J. Schuler Counsel of Record The Dayton Power and Light Company 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, Ohio 45432 Michael.schuler@aes.com

Attorney for The Dayton Power and Light Company

Bryce McKenney
Counsel of Record
William J. Michael
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
65 East State Street, 7th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
Bryce.mckenney@occ.ohio.gov
William.michael@occ.ohio.gov

Attorneys for the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Brian J. Knipe
Counsel of Record
Scott J. Casto
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
Bknipe@firstenergycorp.com
scasto@firstenergycorp.com

Attorneys for Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company