

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Administration of the)
Significantly Excessive Earnings Test under) Case No. 19-0460- EL-UNC
Section 4928.143(F), Revised Code, and Rule)
4901:1-35-10, Ohio Administrative Code.)

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

LIBBIE S. MILLER

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

May 15, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGES</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1
II. BACKGROUND	2
III. COMMISSION'S SEET GUIDELINES	5
IV. SCHEDULES SPONSORED BY WITNESS	10
V. CONCLUSION	12

Attachments:

LSM-1: Return Earned on Average Electric Common Equity

LSM-2: Adjusted Net Income for the year ended December 31, 2018

LSM-3: Summary of Net Income Eliminations

LSM-4: Average Electric Common Stock Equity

LSM-5: Net Plant Allocation Factor

LSM-6: Summary of Assumptions

LSM-7: Performance Benchmarks

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Libbie S. Miller. My business address is 139 East Fourth Street,
3 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.

4 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

5 A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC (DEBS) as Lead Rates and
6 Regulatory Analyst for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Company)
7 and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. DEBS provides various administrative and other
8 services to Duke Energy Ohio and other affiliated companies of Duke Energy
9 Corporation (Duke Energy).

10 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL**
11 **QUALIFICATIONS.**

12 A. I earned a Bachelor of Science in Accounting from Indiana State University, Terre
13 Haute, Indiana, in 1988. I also am a Certified Public Accountant licensed in
14 Indiana. I began my career with Public Service Indiana, in 1988, where I held
15 positions in Fuels Accounting, Corporate Accounting, and Financial Systems. I
16 transferred to Cincinnati, Ohio, in 1995 with the inception of Cinergy Corp., the
17 parent of Duke Energy Ohio, where I continued working in Financial Systems and
18 later held various accounting positions within the generation business. In 2015, I
19 worked in Program Performance supporting Energy Efficiency and Demand
20 Response customer programs for Duke Energy Indiana. In January 2018, I began
21 my current role as Lead Analyst, Rates and Regulatory Strategy for Duke Energy

1 Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky.

2 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS LEAD ANALYST, RATES AND**
3 **REGULATORY STRATEGY.**

4 A. As Lead Analyst, I am responsible for the preparation of various monthly, quarterly,
5 and annual rate recovery mechanisms. I also prepare other schedules used in retail
6 rate filings for Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky.

7 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES**
8 **COMMISSION OF OHIO (COMMISSION)?**

9 A. No.

10 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS**
11 **PROCEEDING?**

12 A. I first will provide a brief overview of the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test
13 (SEET) and then I will discuss the SEET calculation of Duke Energy Ohio and the
14 attachments supporting the calculation.

II. BACKGROUND

15 **Q. WHY IS IT NECESSARY FOR DUKE ENERGY OHIO TO SHOW THAT**
16 **IT DOES NOT HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY EXCESSIVE EARNINGS?**

17 A. Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143(F), following the end of each annual period of an
18 approved ESP, the Commission is required to evaluate the earnings of each electric
19 distribution utility to determine whether the adjustments included in the ESP
20 resulted in significantly excessive earnings.

21 The period at issue in this SEET filing is calendar year 2018. During all of

1 calendar year 2018, Duke Energy Ohio was providing a standard service offer
2 (SSO) pursuant to an ESP that was approved by the Commission on April 2, 2015
3 (ESP III). The terms of ESP III are set forth in the Commission's Opinion and
4 Order in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO, *et al.* (ESP III Order).

5 **Q. DO THE TERMS OF ESP IV, CASE NO. 17-1263-EL-SSO, ET AL.,**
6 **APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION ON DECEMBER 19, 2018, APPLY IN**
7 **THIS CASE?**

8 A. No. ESP III was originally approved with a termination date of May 31, 2018.
9 However, due to the delay in approval of the next ESP, ESP III remained in effect
10 until the approval of ESP IV, in Case No. 17-1263-EL-SSO, on December 19, 2018
11 (ESP IV). The effective date of new rates approved in ESP IV began no earlier than
12 January 1, 2019. Therefore, and because ESP IV did not change the methodology
13 for administering the SEET test, this SEET review is only addressing whether the
14 terms and conditions of ESP III produced significantly excessive earnings for
15 calendar year 2018.

16 **Q. DID THE ESP III ORDER THAT THE COMMISSION ISSUED ON APRIL**
17 **2, 2015, ADDRESS THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SEET TO DUKE**
18 **ENERGY OHIO?**

19 A. Yes. With regard to calculation parameters, the ESP III Order did not disagree with
20 the methodology proposed by Duke Energy Ohio and used in its prior SEET
21 proceedings. That methodology provides as follows:

- 22 • Net income as shown on page 117, column (c), line (78) of the
23 [FERC] Form 1, adjusted for the following, if necessary:

- 1 ○ Eliminate all impacts related to the purchase accounting
2 recorded pursuant to the Duke Energy/Cinergy merger.
- 3 ○ Eliminate all impacts of refunds to customers pursuant to R.C.
4 4928.143(F).
- 5 ○ Eliminate all impacts of mark-to-market accounting.
- 6 ○ Eliminate all impacts of material, non-recurring gains/losses,
7 including, but not limited to, the sale or disposition of assets.
- 8 ○ Eliminate all impacts of material, non-recurring revenue or
9 expenses.
- 10 ○ Eliminate all impacts of parent, affiliated, or subsidiary
11 companies and, to the extent reasonably feasible and prudently
12 justified in the opinion of Duke Energy Ohio, eliminate the
13 impacts of its natural gas distribution business.
- 14 The adjusted net income will be divided by Common Equity to determine
15 the resulting return on equity. Certain adjustments will be made to Common
16 Equity.
- 17 • Common equity used in the calculation will be the beginning and
18 ending average common equity of Duke Energy Ohio on a stand-
19 alone basis.
- 20 • Equity will be adjusted to eliminate the acquisition premium
21 recorded to equity pursuant to the Duke Energy/Cinergy merger.
- 22 • Eliminate the cumulative effect of the net income adjustments.
- 23 **Q. DOES THE ESP III ORDER ESTABLISH A FIXED THRESHOLD FOR**
24 **WHAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED “SIGNIFICANTLY EXCESSIVE**
25 **EARNINGS”?**
- 26 A. No. Pursuant to the ESP III Order, the threshold for significantly excessive
27 earnings will be determined within the context of this case for calendar year 2018.

III. COMMISSION'S SEET GUIDELINES

1 **Q. WHAT GUIDELINES DID THE COMPANY FOLLOW WHEN**
2 **PREPARING ITS 2018 SEET FILING?**

3 A. The Company has followed the guidelines found in the relevant provision of the
4 ESP III Order. Additionally, the Company has incorporated into its SEET the
5 Commission's recommendations from Case No. 09-786-EL-UNC (SEET Case).¹

6 **Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE**
7 **COMMISSION IN THE SEET CASE.**

8 A. The Commission's orders in that case generally defer to each company's specific
9 situation.

10 As I discuss further below, the Commission directed utilities to: (1) base
11 average equity balances on the average of the balances at the beginning and at the
12 end of the year;² (2) adjust out all impacts from affiliates and other services (*e.g.*,
13 natural gas distribution);³ and (3) address deferrals and other certain factors.⁴

14 **Q. DID THE COMPANY HAVE ANY ESP-RELATED DEFERRALS IN 2018**
15 **THAT IMPACTED EARNINGS?**

16 A. No, there were none related to ESP III.

¹ *In the Matter of the Investigation into the Development of the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test Pursuant to Amended Substitute Senate Bill 221 for Electric Utilities*, Case No. 09-786-EL-UNC.

² *Id.*, Entry on Rehearing, at pg. 6 (Aug. 25, 2010).

³ *Id.*, Finding and Order, at pg. 12 (June 30, 2010).

⁴ *Id.*

1 **Q. WILL YOU DESCRIBE THE OTHER INFORMATION THAT THE**
2 **COMMISSION DIRECTED COMPANIES TO PROVIDE AS PART OF ITS**
3 **SEET REVIEWS?**

4 A. On page 29 of its June 30, 2010, Order, the Commission provided a list of factors
5 it identified as worthy of its consideration in any SEET review. The listed factors
6 include the following:

- 7 • the electric utility's most recently authorized return on equity, and
- 8 • the electric utility's risk, including:
 - 9 ○ whether the electric utility owns generation;
 - 10 ○ whether the ESP includes a fuel and purchased power
11 adjustment or similar adjustments;
 - 12 ○ the rate design and extent to which the electric utility
13 remains subject to weather and economic risk;
 - 14 ○ capital commitments and future capital requirements;
 - 15 ○ indicators of management performance and benchmarks to
16 other utilities;
 - 17 ○ innovation and industry leadership with respect to meeting
18 industry challenges to maintain and improve the
19 competitiveness of Ohio's economy, including research and
20 development expenditures, investments in advanced
21 technology, and innovative practices; and
 - 22 ○ the extent to which the electric utility has advanced state
23 policy.

24 **Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S APPROVED RETURN ON COMMON**
25 **EQUITY FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2018?**

26 A. The Company's approved return on common equity for calendar year 2018 was

1 9.84 percent for its jurisdictional electric distribution service in Ohio.⁵

2 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY HAVE A MECHANISM FOR RECOVERY OF**
3 **PURCHASED POWER EXPENSES?**

4 A. Yes. The Company procured 100 percent of the generation services provided to its
5 SSO load in 2018 through an auction process approved in the ESP III Order, and
6 as extended until the approval of ESP IV. The Company recovers the cost of this
7 competitively procured power via riders. Duke Energy Ohio makes no profit or
8 loss on power that is procured via the auction process and is ultimately delivered to
9 its SSO customers.

10 **Q. DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S RATE DESIGN.**

11 A. The Company's rate design for noncompetitive service has been essentially the
12 same since its unbundled rates became effective on January 1, 2001. The
13 Stipulation in Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, *et al.*, eliminated some riders that existed
14 at the end of 2011 and added certain new riders for competitive retail services. As
15 a result, there were new rates for competitive retail services based on allocation
16 methods and rate design processes that were approved by the Commission in that
17 case. The ESP III Order eliminated other riders for competitive service and
18 modified the design of some riders. Depending on the rate class, some customers
19 may have energy-based rates, demand-based rates, or a combination of both. All
20 customers have some form of a customer charge and some non-residential

⁵ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates*, Case No. 12-1682-EL-AIR, *et al.*, Stipulation and Recommendation, at pg. 6 (April 2, 2013), and Opinion and Order, at pg. 6 (May 1, 2013).

1 customers have demand ratchets intended to encourage efficient use of resources.
2 For customers who shop, it is not possible for the Company to know the essentially
3 infinite number of rate design options that may be offered by their competitive retail
4 electric service providers.

5 **Q. DESCRIBE THE EXTENT TO WHICH WEATHER AND ECONOMIC**
6 **RISKS IMPACT THE COMPANY.**

7 A. As part of the Stipulation in Case No. 11-3549-EL-SSO, *et al.*, Duke Energy Ohio
8 agreed to file an application to implement a decoupling mechanism for its non-
9 demand-metered customers. The Commission approved the Company's
10 subsequent application toward that end in early 2012, and the Company began
11 accruing a deferral related to the decoupling mechanism. The decoupling
12 mechanism excludes all demand-metered sales but mitigates the impact of certain
13 sales losses, particularly due to compliance with Ohio's energy efficiency
14 mandates. I should note that the approved decoupling mechanism is based on
15 weather-normalized sales; consequently, the Company is still exposed to weather-
16 related earnings risks. The administration of the SEET expressly contemplates that
17 the impacts of Duke Energy Ohio's natural gas are to be eliminated. As such, Duke
18 Energy Ohio does not address, in this proceeding, the weather risks relevant to its
19 natural gas operations.

20 **Q. WILL YOU ADDRESS THE CAPITAL COMMITMENTS AND CAPITAL**
21 **REQUIREMENTS?**

22 A. The capital budget requirements for the future, committed, electric investments in

1 Ohio are approximately \$493 million for 2019 and approximately \$361 million for
2 2020.

3 **Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY INFORMATION REGARDING**
4 **MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE AND BENCHMARKS TO OTHER**
5 **UTILITIES?**

6 A. Yes. First, it is important to realize that there is no data that compares the Duke
7 Energy Ohio operating company to its peers. As such, and in an effort to address
8 the Commission's prior directive, reference is made to the information that does
9 exist, on a corporate-wide basis. Attachment LSM-7 is a summary of how Duke
10 Energy Corporation's returns compare to some of its peers. The data represented
11 in this chart represents a comparison of total shareholder return (TSR), which is
12 defined as the sum of dividends and share appreciation divided by a starting price.
13 In this attachment, the first set of numbers shows the TSR for stocks from January
14 1, 2016, through December 31, 2018. The second set of numbers shows the TSR
15 for stocks purchased from January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2018. The third
16 set of numbers shows the TSR for stocks purchased from January 1, 2018, through
17 December 31, 2018.

18 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY BEEN INNOVATIVE IN ADVANCING STATE**
19 **POLICY?**

20 A. Yes. Duke Energy Ohio is the first utility in Ohio to deploy smart meters across its
21 entire service territory. Duke Energy Ohio's self-healing teams have saved many
22 millions of customer outage minutes annually. As a state leader in deployment of

1 the smart grid, Duke Energy Ohio is actively participating in the Commission's
2 "PowerForward" program to share its expertise and to work with interested
3 stakeholders to enhance further innovation.

IV. SCHEDULES SPONSORED BY WITNESS

4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT LSM-1.**

5 A. Attachment LSM-1 is a schedule showing that the Company's return earned on
6 average electric common equity for the year ended December 31, 2018, is 9.58
7 percent.

8 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT LSM-2.**

9 A. Attachment LSM-2 is a schedule showing the calculation of the Company's
10 adjusted electric net income for the calendar year 2018. The source of the utility
11 operating income for the twelve months ended December 31, 2018, is the
12 Company's 2018 FERC Form 1 annual report, pages 114 to 117. Continuing the
13 methodology used in prior SEET proceedings, which was approved in the ESP III
14 Order, purchase accounting recorded as a result of the Duke Energy/Cinergy
15 merger, all impacts of refunds to customers pursuant to R.C. 4928.143(F), all
16 impacts of mark-to-market accounting, all impacts of material, non-recurring
17 gains/losses, all impacts of material, non-recurring revenue or expenses, and all
18 impacts of the natural gas business were eliminated. As shown on the attachment,
19 no refunds were returned to customers during the twelve months ended December
20 31, 2018. Equity in earnings of subsidiary companies was also eliminated so that
21 the return earned on average common equity would be on a Duke Energy Ohio

1 stand-alone basis.

2 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT LSM-3.**

3 A. Attachment LSM-3 is a summary of the items eliminated from net income. The
4 schedule shows, by Company account, the impact on net income of eliminating
5 purchase accounting, mark-to-market accounting, non-recurring gains and/or
6 losses, material non-recurring revenues and expenses, and the equity in earnings of
7 subsidiary companies.

8 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT LSM-4.**

9 A. Attachment LSM-4 is an exhibit showing the calculation of the Company's average
10 electric common stock equity as of December 31, 2018. The attachment shows the
11 common stock equity balances for December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2018,
12 and the calculation of the average electric common equity balance as of December
13 31, 2018, to be used in determining if Duke Energy Ohio has significantly excessive
14 earnings. Pursuant to the ESP III Order, the following items were eliminated in
15 calculating the ending balance for each calendar year: (1) impacts of purchase
16 accounting recorded pursuant to the Duke Energy/Cinergy merger; (2) all impacts
17 of mark-to-market accounting; and (3) all impacts of material, non-recurring gains
18 and/or losses.

19 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT LSM-5.**

20 A. Attachment LSM-5 is a schedule showing the calculation of a net plant allocation
21 factor used to allocate total average common equity to electric operations. The gas
22 and electric plant data is taken from the Company's 2017 and 2018 FERC Form 1,

1 pages 200-201. The schedule shows that, based on net plant, 65.76 percent of the
2 Company's 2018 common equity is allocable to electric operations.

3 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT LSM-6.**

4 A. Attachment LSM-6 is a summary of assumptions used in this filing, most of which
5 are from Attachment PAL-2 in Peggy A. Laub's testimony in Case No. 14-841-EL-
6 SSO, *et al.* I have discussed all of the other relevant assumptions in my testimony.

7 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE ATTACHMENT LSM-7.**

8 A. Attachment LSM-7 is a summary showing Duke Energy Corporation's TSR in
9 comparison to some of its peer companies in the Philadelphia Utility Index.

V. CONCLUSION

10 **Q. DOES DUKE ENERGY OHIO HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY EXCESSIVE**
11 **EARNINGS THAT WOULD REQUIRE A REFUND TO CUSTOMERS?**

12 A. No. As shown on Attachment LSM-1, Duke Energy Ohio's return earned on
13 average electric common equity is 9.58 percent. The return on average electric
14 common equity is actually less than the Company's approved 9.84 percent rate of
15 return for electric distribution service. The conclusion from this analysis is that
16 Duke Energy Ohio's rate of return on equity (ROE) for 2018 is below its approved
17 ROE. Therefore, no further analysis is needed to conclude that the Company does
18 not have significantly excessive earnings.

19 **Q. WERE ATTACHMENTS LSM-1, LSM-2, LSM-3, LSM-4, LSM-5, LSM-6**
20 **AND LSM-7 PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?**

21 A. Yes.

1 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

2 **A. Yes.**

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
Significantly Excessive Earnings Test
Case No. 19-0460-EL-UNC
December 31, 2018

Attachment LSM-1
Page 1 of 1

<u>Description</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Including Non-SSO Sales and ESP Deferrals		
Adjusted Electric Net Income	LSM-2	\$109,506,649
Average Electric Common Equity	LSM-4	\$1,142,895,204
Return Earned on Average Electric Common Equity		<u>9.58%</u>

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
Significantly Excessive Earnings Test
Adjusted Net Income
December 31, 2018

12 Months Ended December 31, 2018					Eliminations							Adjusted December 31, 2018
Description	Form No. 1 Page, Line, Column	Account Level	Total	Electric	Purchase Accounting	Mark-to-Market	Non-Recurring Gains / Losses	Non-Recurring Rev / Exp	Equity in Earnings of Subsidiaries	Amounts Refunded to Customers	Total Eliminations	
Utility Operating Income												
Operating Revenues	114.2.c.g	Level 6	\$1,456,109,967	\$1,051,823,760							\$0	\$1,051,823,760
Operation Expenses	114.4.c.g	Level 8	584,418,735	420,806,180				\$39,143,091			39,143,091	459,949,271
Maintenance Expenses	114.5.c.g	Level 8	65,754,684	57,556,647							0	57,556,647
Depreciation Expense	114.6.c.g	Level 9	154,995,298	109,944,417							0	109,944,417
Depreciation Expense for Asset Retirement Costs	114.7.c.g	Level 9	0	0							0	0
Amort. & Depl. Of Utility Plant	114.8.c.g	Level 9	17,898,441	12,204,428							0	12,204,428
Amort. Of Utility Plant Acquisition Adj.	114.9.c.g	Level 9	0	0							0	0
Regulatory Debts	114.12.c.g	FERC Page	47,930,488	25,260,412							0	25,260,412
Less: Regulatory Credits	114.13.c.g	FERC Page	(22,987,241)	(22,678,819)							0	(22,678,819)
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	114.14.c.g	Level 8	277,155,402	223,040,387				(1,368,903)			(1,368,903)	221,671,484
Income Taxes - Federal	114.15.c.g	Level 8	39,496,737	31,028,993		0	0	(8,208,170)		0	(8,208,170)	22,820,823
Income Taxes - Other	114.16.c.g	Level 8	682,170	544,898							0	544,898
Provision For Deferred Income Taxes	114.17.c.g	Level 9	103,573,601	68,292,771							0	68,292,771
Provision For Deferred Income Taxes - Credit	114.18.c.g	Level 9	(93,218,740)	(64,685,563)							0	(64,685,563)
Investment Tax Credit Adj - Net	114.19.c.g	Level 8	(404,016)	(233,230)							0	(233,230)
Gains From Disp Of Allow - Credit	114.20-23.c.g	Level 8	0	0							0	0
Accretion Expense	114.24.c.g	Level 8	0	0							0	0
Total Utility Operating Expenses			1,175,295,559	861,081,521	0	0	0	29,566,018	0	0	29,566,018	890,647,539
Net Utility Operating Income			\$280,814,408	\$190,742,239	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$29,566,018)	\$0	\$0	(\$29,566,018)	\$161,176,221
Other Income												
Revenues From Merchandising, Jobbing and Contract Work	117.31.c	Level 7	\$2,728,260	\$2,727,862							\$0	\$2,727,862
Less: Costs & Exp of Merchandising, Jobbing & Contract	117.32.c	Level 7	1,165,777	1,165,422							0	1,165,422
Revenues From Nonutility Operations	117.33.c	Level 9	8,872	8,875							0	8,875
Less: Expenses of Nonutility Operations	117.34.c	Level 9	411,226	406,883							0	406,883
Non-operating Rental Income	117.35.c	Level 8	(58,387)	(35,727)							0	(35,727)
Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies	117.36.c	Work Paper	(32,045,120)	(64,703,150)					64,703,150		64,703,150	0
Interest and Dividend Income	117.37.c	Level 7	4,960,050	3,101,017							0	3,101,017
AFUDC	117.38.c	Level 7	8,332,898	6,725,409							0	6,725,409
Miscellaneous Non-operating Income	117.39.c	Level 8	61,427	(689,228)		0					0	(689,228)
Gain on Disposition of Property	117.40.c	Level 8	25,165	25,165			(25,165)				(25,165)	0
Total Other Income			(\$17,563,838)	(\$54,412,082)	\$0	\$0	(\$25,165)	\$0	\$64,703,150	\$0	\$64,677,985	\$10,265,903
Other Income Deductions												
Loss on Disposition of Property	117.43.c	Account 421.2	\$61,153	\$57,506			(\$57,506)				(\$57,506)	\$0
Misc. Amortization	117.44.c	Level 8	0	0							0	0
Donations	117.45.c	Level 8	696,069	395,117							0	395,117
Life Insurance	117.46.c	Level 8	167,834	157,922							0	157,922
Penalties	117.47.c	Level 8	42	29							0	29
Civic, Political & Related Activities	117.48.c	Level 8	1,666,045	1,032,054							0	1,032,054
Other Deductions	117.49.c	Level 8	11,678,744	7,635,487		0	(24,411)	0			(24,411)	7,611,076
Total Other Income Deductions			\$14,269,887	\$9,278,115	\$0	\$0	(\$81,917)	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$81,917)	\$9,196,198
Total Taxes On Other Income and Deductions	117.59.c	Level 6	2,125,290	(248,581)	(8,291)	0	12,139		0	0	3,848	(244,733)
Net Other Income and Deductions			(\$33,959,015)	(\$63,441,616)	\$8,291	\$0	\$44,613	\$0	\$64,703,150	\$0	\$64,756,054	\$1,314,438
Net Interest Charges	117.70.c	Level 4	71,035,005	52,945,250	38,760			0			38,760	52,984,010
Net Income	117.78.c		\$175,820,388	\$74,355,373	(\$30,469)	\$0	\$44,613	(\$29,566,018)	\$64,703,150	\$0	\$35,151,276	\$109,506,649

<u>Account ID CB</u>	<u>Account Long Descr CB</u>	<u>Account Node Name</u>	<u>12 months Ended 12/31/2018</u>	<u>Elimination</u>	<u>Income Tax Effect</u>	<u>Impact on Net Income</u>
<u>Purchase Accounting</u>						
428200	Amort_Debt_Disc_Pur_Acctg_Adj		\$393,492	(\$393,492)		
429200	Amort_Debt_Prem_Pur_Acctg_Adj		(432,252)	432,252		
			(38,760)	38,760	(8,291)	(30,469)
	Total Purchase Accounting Adjustment		(\$38,760)	\$38,760	(\$8,291)	(\$30,469)
<u>Mark-to-Market</u>						
421530	Power Trading MTM Gains	REVENUE	0	0		
421631	MTM Unreal Gains - EA	FUEL	0	0		
	Other Income		0	0	0	0
426531	MTM Unreal Loss-Reserve	REVENUE	0	0		
426631	MTM Unreal Losses - EA's	FUEL	0	0		
	Other Income Deductions		0	0	0	0
	Net Other Income and Deductions		0	0	0	0
	Total Mark-to-Market		0	0	0	0
<u>Non-Recurring Gains / Losses</u>						
421100	Gain On Disposal Of Property	F_TOT_OTH_INC	\$25,165	(\$25,165)	\$5,383	(\$19,782)
421200	E Loss On Disposal Of Property	F_TOT_OTH_INC_DED	57,506	(57,506)	12,301	45,205
426510	E Other	F_TOT_OTH_INC_DED	24,411	(24,411)	5,221	19,190
426513	E Other Deductions - Impairments	F_TOT_OTH_INC_DED	0	0	0	0
426551	E Impairment & other related charges	F_TOT_OTH_INC_DED	0	0	0	0
426553	E PP&E IMPAIRMENT	F_TOT_OTH_INC_DED	0	0	0	0
426554	E Impairment of Goodwill	F_TOT_OTH_INC_DED	0	0	0	0
	Total Non-Recurring Gains / Losses		(\$56,752)	\$56,752	\$12,139	\$44,613
<u>Non-Recurring Revenue / Expense</u>						
FERC 494 Transmission Refund	Operation Expenses		(\$39,143,091)	\$39,143,091	(\$8,372,746)	(\$30,770,345)
	Maintenance Expenses		0	0	0	0
	Depreciation Expense		0	0	0	0
	Income Taxes & Other Taxes		0	0	0	0
	Other Deductions		0	0	0	0
	Net Interest Charges		0	0	0	0
Def Tax Basis	Property Tax Adjustment		1,368,903	(1,368,903)	0	1,368,903
Def Tax Basis	Deferred Tax		0	0	164,576	(164,576)
	Total Non-Recurring Revenue / Expense		(\$37,774,188)	\$37,774,188	(\$8,208,170)	(\$29,566,018)
<u>Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies</u>						
418.1	Equity in Earnings of Subsidiary Companies		(\$64,703,150)	\$64,703,150		\$64,703,150
	Total Eliminations		(\$102,572,850)	\$102,572,850	(\$8,204,322)	\$35,151,276

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
Significantly Excessive Earnings Test
Average Common Stock Equity
December 31, 2018

Description	December 31, 2017					December 31, 2018					Average Common Equity
	Balance at 12-31-17	Other Adjustments	Remove Equity in Subsidiaries	Remove Goodwill	Adjusted 12-31-17	Balance at 12-31-18	Other Adjustments	Remove Equity in Subsidiaries	Remove Goodwill	Adjusted 12-31-18	
Common Stock Equity											
201000 Common Stock	\$762,136,231				\$762,136,231	\$762,136,231				\$762,136,231	
207001 Premium on capital stock	0				0					0	
208000 Donat Recvd From Stkhld	28,950,000				28,950,000	28,950,000				28,950,000	
208001 Donat Recvd From Duke	1,462,336,840				1,462,336,840	1,462,336,840				1,462,336,840	
208010 Donat Recvd From Stkhld Tax	15,641,578				15,641,578	15,641,578				15,641,578	
210020 Gain on Redemption of Capital	0				0	0				0	
211003 Misc Paid in Capital	(1,955,982,307)				(1,955,982,307)	(1,850,617,193)				(1,850,617,193)	
211004 Miscellaneous Paid in Capital Purch Acctg	943,842,010			(746,918,647)	196,923,363	943,842,010			(746,918,647)	196,923,363	
0211008 Misc PIC Pushdown Adj RE	1,617,546,493				1,617,546,493	1,617,546,493				1,617,546,493	
211005 Miscellaneous Paid in Capital Pre-Merger Equity	557,581,098				557,581,098	557,581,098				557,581,098	
211007 Misc PIC Premerg RE for Div	0				0	0				0	
211110 PIC - Sharesaver	0				0	0				0	
214010 Common stock equity inter-company	0				0	0				0	
216000 Unappropriated RE Bal	(871,542,471)		(123,767,608)		(995,310,079)	(663,676,963)		(260,384,644)		(924,061,607)	
216100 Unapp Ret Emgs-Curr Yr Net Income	613,729,189	2,788,087	(613,729,189)		2,788,087	581,684,069	(29,551,874) ⁽²⁾	(581,684,069)		(29,551,874)	
438000 Dividends Declared on Common Stock	0				0	0				0	
Accum other comprehensive income (loss)	0				0	0				0	
Total Common Stock Equity	<u>\$ 3,174,238,661</u>	<u>\$ 2,788,087</u>	<u>\$ (737,496,797)</u>	<u>\$ (746,918,647)</u>	<u>\$ 1,692,611,304</u>	<u>\$ 3,455,424,163</u>	<u>\$ (29,551,874)</u>	<u>\$ (842,068,713)</u>	<u>\$ (746,918,647)</u>	<u>\$ 1,836,884,929</u>	
Allocation to Duke Energy Ohio Electric ⁽¹⁾					63.68%					65.76%	
Average Common Equity Allocated to Duke Energy Ohio Electric					<u>\$ 1,077,854,878</u>					<u>\$ 1,207,935,529</u>	<u>\$ 1,142,895,204</u>

⁽¹⁾ Source: Attachment LSM-5 Net Plant Allocation

⁽²⁾ Source: LSM-3 Income Adjustments

	2018	Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.		
<u>Description</u>		<u>Gas</u>	<u>Electric</u>	<u>Total</u>
Gross Plant (Line 13)		\$2,250,046,836	\$4,173,856,540	\$6,423,903,376
Accumulated Depreciation (Line 33)		645,424,770	1,091,677,548	1,737,102,318
Net Plant		<u>\$1,604,622,066</u>	<u>\$3,082,178,992</u>	<u>\$4,686,801,058</u>
Allocation Percentage		34.24%	65.76%	100.00%

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
Significantly Excessive Earnings Test
Summary of Assumptions

Source of Data per Order in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO:

- 1 Source of data is actual data from FERC Form 1 for the calendar year at issue.

Adjustments to Net Income per Order in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO:

- 2 Eliminate all impacts related to the purchase accounting recorded pursuant to the Duke Energy / Cinergy Corp. Merger.
- 3 Eliminate all impacts of refunds to customers pursuant to R.C. 4928.143(F).
- 4 Eliminate all impacts of mark-to-market accounting.
- 5 Eliminate all impacts of material, non-recurring gains / losses, including, but not limited to, the sale or disposition of assets.
- 6 Eliminate all impacts of material, non-recurring revenue or expenses.
- 7 Eliminate all impacts of parent, affiliated, or subsidiary companies and, to the extent reasonably feasible and prudently justified in the opinion of Duke Energy Ohio, eliminate the impacts of its natural gas distribution business.

Adjustments to Common Equity per Order in Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO:

- 8 Common Equity used in the calculation will be the beginning and ending average common equity of Duke Energy Ohio on a stand-alone basis (i.e., equity associated with subsidiaries will be excluded and common equity will be allocated between gas and electric service to the extent practicable).
- 9 Eliminate the acquisition premium recorded to equity pursuant to the Duke / Cinergy Corp. merger.
- 10 Eliminate the cumulative effect of the Net Income adjustments.

Duke Energy Corporation
Performance Benchmark
Total Shareholder Return vs. Philadelphia Utility Index

	<u>Duke</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Percentile Rank</u>
From January 2016 to:			
Mar-16	13.5%	14	31.5%
Jun-16	20.1%	10	52.6%
Sep-16	19.3%	12	38.8%
Dec-16	14.3%	14	27.7%
Mar-17	24.3%	12	38.8%
Jun-17	31.9%	13	33.3%
Sep-17	33.7%	12	38.8%
Dec-17	35.3%	11	44.4%
Mar-18	21.1%	14	27.7%
Jun-18	21.3%	13	33.3%
Sep-18	31.4%	15	22.2%
Dec-18	44.8%	13	33.3%
From January 2017 to:			
Mar-17	8.8%	8	63.1%
Jun-17	15.4%	10	52.6%
Sep-17	17.0%	10	52.6%
Dec-17	18.4%	14	31.5%
Mar-18	5.9%	15	26.3%
Jun-18	6.1%	16	21.0%
Sep-18	14.9%	16	21.0%
Dec-18	23.9%	14	31.5%
From January 2018 to:			
Mar-18	-10.5%	13	36.8%
Jun-18	-10.4%	14	31.5%
Sep-18	-2.9%	16	21.0%
Dec-18	4.7%	14	31.5%