BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Increase in Gas Rates.) Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR)					
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Tariff Approval.) Case No. 12-1686-GA-ATA					
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan for Gas Distribution Service.) Case No. 12-1687-GA-ALT)					
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.) Case No. 12-1688-GA-AAM					
DIRECT TES	TIMONY OF					
JAMES P. 1	HENNING					
ON BEH	ALF OF					
DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.						
X Management policies, practices	s, and organization					
Operating income						
Rate Base						
Allocations						
Rate of return						
Rates and tariffs						
X Other: Rate Case Drivers						

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1
II.	ADOPTION OF TESTIMONY	4
III.	OBJECTIONS SPONSORED BY WITNESS	5
IV	CONCLUSION	Q

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q.

2	A.	My name is James P. Henning, and my business address is 139 East Fourth Street,
3		Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC (DEBS) as State
6		President of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) and
7		Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. (Duke Energy Kentucky). DEBS provides various
8		administrative and other services to Duke Energy Ohio and other affiliated
9		companies of Duke
10	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL
11		BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
12	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science in Financial Services from Wright State
13		University in 1988, and a Master's of Business Administration from the
14		University of South Florida in 1990. I have attended numerous other industry and

company sponsored programs and courses.

I have worked in the energy industry for 23 years. From 1990-1993, I was employed at the Dayton Power & Light Company (DP&L) as a Gas Analyst in the Gas Supply Planning Department. My responsibilities as a Gas Analyst included natural gas and interstate pipeline procurement, system load forecasting, and daily load dispatching. From 1993-1996, I worked for DP&L's non-regulated natural gas sales company (MVR) as a Manager of Natural Gas Sales and Marketing. In 1996, I joined Cinergy Corp.'s non-regulated natural gas sales company (Cinergy

Resources, Inc.) as the Manager of Energy Sales and Services and worked in this
capacity until 2000. As Manager of Energy Sales and Services, my
responsibilities included the coordination of all retail sales, marketing and
customer service activities in the Indiana, Ohio, and Kentucky markets. From
2000-2001, I worked for various departments within Cinergy Corp. including
Environmental Services, Labor Relations and Gas Operations. Beginning
October 2001 I led the commercial activities of Duke Energy's regulated natural
gas business in Ohio and Kentucky as General Manager, Gas Commercial
Operations. My responsibilities included leading the planning, procurement and
recovery of more than \$400 million of annual natural gas supply. I directed the
24 hour/day physical operations and control of Duke Energy's natural gas
distribution system. I also led the teams responsible for managing the
relationships with large business natural gas customers, as well as the
management and administration of the company's natural gas customer choice
program. In September 2010 I became Vice President of Government and
Regulatory affairs for Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky. In this
role, I was responsible for the government and regulatory policies and strategies
to strengthen the Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky mission of
providing safe, reliable and clean energy for customers located in both states. I
assumed the role of President of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky
in December 2012.

1 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS STATE

- 2 PRESIDENT, DUKE ENERGY OHIO.
- 3 A. As State President of Duke Energy Ohio, I am responsible for ensuring that our customers continue to have access to safe, reliable, and reasonably priced natural
- 5 gas service, and that these services are provided in accordance with applicable
- 6 federal and state laws and regulations. I am also involved in external efforts
- 7 related to governmental and regulatory affairs, interacting with state and
- 8 community leaders and regulators on matters relevant to Duke Energy Ohio's
- 9 business and presence in Ohio. I am responsible for the Company's community
- relations and economic development efforts and am involved with Duke Energy's
- regional charitable giving through the foundation.
- 12 Q. ARE YOU CURRENTLY INVOLVED IN ANY PROFESSIONAL OR
- 13 **CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS?**
- 14 A. I am the treasurer and member of the board of trustees of the Boone County
- 15 Kentucky Public Library. I serve on the board of directors of the Ohio Chamber of
- 16 Commerce. I am a board member of the Dan Beard Council, Boy Scouts of
- America. I also serve on the board of directors of People Working Cooperatively,
- 18 Cincinnati USA Regional Chamber and Vision 2015 CEO Roundtable. I am also a
- member of the Cincinnati Business Committee.
- 20 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC
- 21 UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO?
- 22 A. Yes.

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THESE
2		PROCEEDINGS?
3	A.	The primary purpose of my testimony is to adopt the Direct Testimony of Julia S.
4		Janson that was pre-filed in these proceedings on July 20, 2012. Ms. Janson has
5		assumed a new position with Duke Energy Corp., as the Chief Legal Officer
6		effective December 17, 2012. The next day, December 18, 2012, I was named
7		President of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky.
8		Additionally, my Direct Testimony provides support for the Company's
9		objection to certain findings and recommendations contained in the Report by the
10		Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on (Staff) issued in these
11		proceedings on January 4, 2013 (Staff Report).
		II. <u>ADOPTION OF TESTIMONY</u>
12	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TESTIMONY SUBMITTED BY MS.
13		JANSON IN THESE PROCEEDINGS AND THE SCHEDULES
14		DESCRIBED IN HER DIRECT TESTIMONY?
15	A.	Yes. I have reviewed the various schedules submitted by the Company and
16		sponsored by Ms. Janson in her July 20, 2012 Direct Testimony, namely
17		Schedules S-3, S-4.1, S-4.2 and Supplemental Filing Requirement (C)(17).
18	Q.	AS PRESIDENT OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, DO YOU HEREBY ADOPT
19		THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JULIE S. JANSON FILED IN THESE
20		PROCEEDINGS ON JULY 20, 2012, AS YOUR OWN?
21	A.	Yes. As a result of my assumption of the responsibilities of President of Duke
22		Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky, and through my thorough review of the

schedules submitted in these proceedings, I am very familiar with the Company's operations and hereby adopt these schedules and the information and support Ms.

Janson provided in her Direct Testimony as my own Direct Testimony in these proceedings.

III. OBJECTIONS SPONSORED BY WITNESS

5 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S OBJECTION NO. 20.

A. The Company objects to the Staff's recommendation not to approve the proposed economic development rider (Rider ED). Staff gave two reasons for this rejection: 1.) that economic development should be paid for by the Company and its shareholders; and 2.) that the Company's application lacks detail about how the money would be spent and how decisions are made about economic development are made.

12 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH STAFF'S JUSTIFICATION FOR REJECTING

THE COMPANY'S RIDER ED?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

No. First, the Staff's justification fails to recognize the fact that the Company already does contribute funding for economic development opportunities in its service territory. Second, Staff fails to recognize the benefits that will accrue directly to the Company's customers through increased job opportunities and new connected gas load. Third, the Company's Rider ED fully explains the Company's proposal and how dollars would be spent and decisions made. The Rider explains eligibility criteria for receipt of funds and how decisions will be made. Specifically, the program will be managed by Duke Energy Ohio under the terms and conditions outlined in the proposed tariff. In summary there are four

scenarios in which The Rider would most likely be utilized 1) Product
Development using the "Site Readiness" program, 2) a Competitive economic
development opportunity normally involving Jobs Ohio, 3) Development of
natural gas vehicle transportation facilities and 4) Revitalization of urban areas
such as "Brownfield" redevelopment. The Rider describes the use of an advisory
team made up of southwest Ohio business leaders who will determine if an area
qualifies for urban revitalization.

8 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S ECONOMIC 9 DEVELOPMENT RIDER PROPOSAL.

A.

Duke Energy Ohio proposed the creation of Rider ED to establish a means by which to encourage economic growth in the Duke Energy Ohio service territory. The rider is specifically directed toward encouraging businesses to locate or expand in southwest Ohio and to provide jobs to spur economic development in the region. As was highlighted in the testimony of my processor, Julia S. Janson, Duke Energy Ohio has been nationally recognized as a leader in creating jobs and the Company estimates that its site selection readiness work, in cooperation with state, regional and local governments has resulted in the development of over 65,000 jobs and more than \$7 billion dollars of capital investment since 1995. Additionally, Duke Energy Ohio and its employees make significant charitable contributions to Ohio charitable organizations.

The Company's proposal for an economic development rider would be in addition to the contribution already funded by the Duke Energy Foundation, and Duke Energy Ohio employees. The fund is designed to collect \$1 million dollars

a year to be carried over each year. However, the fund would never exceed \$2 million a year. If there are not immediate projects to be funded, the funds are kept in reserve.

4 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S SITE READINESS PROGRAM.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Duke Energy "Site Readiness" program seeks to identify and improve large tracts of industrial land in the service territory, moving them closer to being "fully marketable." In collaboration with local economic development organizations, Duke Energy and our Duke Energy Foundation offer grants to those local communities that have taken advantage of the program and spent dollars improving participant sites. Rider ED is proposed to provide funding for this and other projects that spur economic development in the region. Gas infrastructure costs are often seen as an insurmountable impediment to develop new industrial property. The process currently used by which the "first user" or developer bares the entire cost of a gas line extension simply does not work in today's economic climate. The risk is too great and many communities aren't able to participate in Ohio's economic recovery because their cost to "get property to market" is too great. By utilizing the "Site Readiness" process Duke Energy Ohio and our consultants (a nationally recognized site selection firm) work with the local community and identify properties close to being developed and assist with mitigating development impediments. As stated elsewhere, all customers benefit through a lower individual cost when there is a larger base of customers. And it is important to note that the Rider ED funds are in some cases supplemented by

contributions from the Duke Energy Foundation so that the Company and its customers can jointly work to boost economic development and job creation.

Α.

For these reasons, Duke Energy Ohio has proposed a rider that will support the continuation of these worthy projects and keep southwest Ohio moving in a positive direction. The Commission should approve this rider.

6 Q. HOW DOES THE COMPANY'S PROPOSAL PROVIDE BENEFITS TO 7 CUSTOMERS AND TO THE STATE OF OHIO?

Simply put, the Company's proposal is intended to both create jobs for customers and to expand the use of natural gas along the Company's current delivery system which ultimately reduces costs for customers. The more the system is used and the greater the number of customers, the lesser the ultimate cost per customer. It is reasonable that customer should share in some small portion of funding for economic development within the state of Ohio. Rider DIR allows Duke Energy to partner with its local communities by helping remove impediments to development. Many states including Ohio have recognized the importance of having "shovel ready" sites available for industrial site seekers. Having these sites available removes risk, and more importantly "speed to market" for new or expanding companies. These "shovel ready" sites have become the gold standard in the site selection community providing industrial companies the shortest time possible to permit and operate new facilities.

IV. CONCLUSION

- 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL
- 2 **TESTIMONY?**
- 3 A. Yes.