Duke Energy Ohio Exhibit_____

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke) Energy Ohio, Inc. for Approval of its) Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand) Reduction Portfolio of Programs.)

Case No. 13-431-EL-POR

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

TIMOTHY J. DUFF

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

September 10, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

I.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE STIPULATION	2
III.	CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL OF A STIPULATION	4
IV.	CONCLUSION	6

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

- A. My name is Timothy J. Duff. My business address is 526 South Church Street,
 Charlotte, North Carolina 28202.
- 4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
- A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC, an affiliate of Duke
 Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio, or Company) as General Manager, Retail
 Customer and Regulatory Strategy, Customer Strategy & Innovation.

8 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME TIMOTHY J. DUFF WHO FILED DIRECT 9 TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT 12 TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

13 A. The purpose of my supplemental direct testimony is to support the Stipulation and 14 Recommendation (Stipulation) related to the Company's application in this 15 proceeding; a Stipulation filed by all of the parties to this proceeding. I will 16 discuss the criteria employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 17 (Commission) when reviewing stipulations. My testimony will confirm that the 18 Stipulation filed in this proceeding: (1) is the product of serious bargaining among 19 capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) does not violate any important regulatory 20 principle or practice; and (3) as a package, benefits ratepayers and the public 21 interest. I will explain that the Stipulation is a fair and reasonable resolution to 22 the issues relevant to this proceeding.

II.

. **OVERVIEW OF THE STIPULATION**

1Q.PLEASE IDENTIFYTHE SIGNATORYPARTIESTOTHE2STIPULATION.

3 A. In addition to the Commission Staff, twelve parties intervened in this proceeding 4 and these parties reflect diverse interests and represent customers in Duke Energy Ohio's service territory. Only one party, the Ohio Energy Group, is not a 5 6 signatory to this Stipulation and Recommendation. The signatory parties are the 7 Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Office of the Ohio 8 Consumers' Counsel, Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, Greater Cincinnati 9 Energy Alliance, Natural Resources Defense Council, Ohio Environmental Council, The Kroger Company, Ohio Energy Group, Environmental Law and 10 Policy Center, Sierra Club¹, EMC Development Company, and Ohio Advanced 11 12 Energy Economy. Many of these parties have extensive experience with 13 participation in Duke Energy Ohio's Community Partnership. The Duke Energy 14 Community Partnership is a collaborative group focused on understanding and providing input into the Company's energy efficiency and peak demand 15 16 programs. Both OCC and OPAE have participated in this collaborative effort for 17 many years. They have significant experience and understanding of the history of the Company with respect to providing energy efficiency and peak demand 18 19 reduction and each has knowledge of the matters relevant to this proceeding. 20 **Q**. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE TERMS OF THE

21 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AS DETAILED IN THE STIPULATION.

¹ The local chapter of the Sierra Club is a signatory to the Stipulation and Recommendation. Approval is pending from the national chapter of the Sierra Club.

1 A. The Stipulation provides that the Company's portfolio of energy efficiency and 2 peak demand reduction programs and measures should be adopted and approved 3 The Stipulation also recommends continuation of the by the Commission. mechanism for recovering prudent program costs, lost distribution revenues and 4 5 an incentive. The Parties recommend that the incentive portion of the mechanism 6 expire at the end of 2015, but it will be evaluated in 2014 and the Parties will 7 endeavor to recommend to the Commission whether or not to continue the 8 incentive portion through 2016. The parameters of this proposed procedure are 9 spelled out in greater detail in the Stipulation and Recommendation.

10 The Stipulation contains a proposal for a program wherein the Company 11 will bid at least 80% of eligible, projected cost-effective, approved Program 12 Portfolio resources into the PJM Base Residual Auctions (BRAs). Auction 13 proceeds, less the reasonable incremental measurement and verification and 14 administrative costs, PJM incremental auction or replacement capacity purchases, 15 and prudently incurred PJM penalties, will fall within the existing cost recovery and incentive mechanism under Rider EE-PDR. Auction revenue or shortfall 16 17 shall be netted against cost recovery under the rider as further explained in the 18 Stipulation and Recommendation.

Finally, the Stipulation and Recommendation contains various provisions that provide for ongoing collaboration with some of the Parties to explore matters related to combined heat and power, additional energy efficiency programs such as Information Technology system efficiency, lighting technologies, etc., and coordination of home energy improvements.

3

III. CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL OF A STIPULATION

Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE CRITERIA USED BY THE COMMISSION IN REVIEWING A STIPULATION.

- A. As I understand it, the Commission will approve a stipulation when it (1) is the
 product of serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties; (2) does not
 violate any important regulatory principle or practice, and (3) as a package
 benefits ratepayers and the public interest.
- 7 Q. DOES THE STIPULATION REPRESENT THE PRODUCT OF SERIOUS
 8 BARGAINING AMONG CAPABLE, KNOWLEDGEABLE PARTIES?
- 9 A. Yes. The capability and knowledge of the parties and their counsel is readily
 10 apparent. The signatory parties regularly participate in rate proceedings before
 11 the Commission, are very knowledgeable in regulatory matters, and were
 12 represented by experienced competent counsel. Furthermore, the signatory
 13 parties represent a broad range of interests.
- I personally participated in the process that resulted in the Stipulation. I can therefore confirm that all of the issues raised by the signatory parties in the proceeding were thoroughly reviewed and addressed during negotiations and despite the divergent interests among them, all parties had an opportunity to express their opinions in the negotiation process.
- Further, the settlement discussions resulted in beneficial modifications and compromises, thereby confirming that serious bargaining occurred at settlement meetings.

For all of these reasons, I believe that the Stipulation is a compromise
 resulting from those negotiations and, therefore, represents a product of the efforts
 of capable, knowledgeable parties.

4

5

Q. DOES THE STIPULATION VIOLATE ANY IMPORTANT REGULATORY PRINCIPLE OR PRACTICE?

A. No. Based upon my experience, involvement in this proceeding, and review of
the Stipulation, I believe that it complies with all relevant and important
principles and practices. The Stipulation furthers important regulatory principles
and practices through the advancement of energy efficiency and peak demand
reduction that is consistent with Ohio energy policy.

11 Q. DOES THE STIPULATION BENEFIT CONSUMERS AND THE PUBLIC 12 INTEREST?

A. Yes. As set forth in the Stipulation, and as agreed to by the signatory parties, the
Stipulation provides benefits for all customer groups and interested stakeholders,
while advancing and remaining consistent with state policy.

16 Q. IS THE STIPULATION A JUST AND REASONABLE RESOLUTION OF 17 THE ISSUES IN THE PROCEEDING?

A. Yes. As described above, the Stipulation affords benefits to our customers and
the public and is consistent with established regulatory policy and practice. The
Stipulation represents a timely and efficient resolution of all of the issues in this
proceeding, after thoughtful deliberation and discussion by the parties.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes, it does.