

o: 614-222-1330 f: 614-222-1337

Apr. 2, 2013

Docketing Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street, 11th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Subject: PUCO Case No. 13-0503-EL-EEC, In the matter of the application to Commit Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Reduction Programs (Mercantile Customers Only - LCA Vision LLC

Dear Docketing,

On February 21, 2013, Duke Energy filed an application in the above referenced case. Page six (6) of the original application requested a commitment payment when it should have requested a cash rebate payment. The attached page reflects this correction.

Please find enclosed a copy of the revised version of page six (6) of the application to replace the original filing.

Should you have any questions, please contact me.

Carvs Cochern

Sincerely

Section 5: Request for Cash Rebate Reasonable **Arrangement (Option 1) or Exemption from Rider (Option 2)**

Under this section, check the box that applies and fill in all blanks relating to that choice.

Note: If Option 2 is selected, the application will not qualify for the 60-day automatic approval. All applications, however, will be considered on a timely basis by the Co

Cor	mmission.	•	,
A)	The customer is applying for:		
	✓ Option 1: A cash rebate reasonable arrangement.		
	OR		
	 Option 2: An exemption from the energy efficiency mechanism implemented by the electric utility. 		ecovery
	OR		
	□ Commitment payment		
B)	The value of the option that the customer is seeking is:		
	Option 1: A cash rebate reasonable arrangement, which is the lesser of (show both amounts):		
	A cash rebate of \$2071.00. Refer to Appendix C for documentation.		
		emption from payment of the electric utility's efficiency/peak demand reduction rider.	
	ener 	exemption from payment of the electric utility's rgy efficiency/peak demand reduction rider for months (not to exceed 24 months). (Attach ulations showing how this time period was ermined.)	
	OR		
		commitment payment valued at no more than	