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The Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel (“OCC”) moves to intervene in this case where Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. (“Vectren” or the “Utility”) seeks approval to adjust the amount that it charges customers for its energy efficiency programs. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“PUCO”) should grant OCC’s motion to intervene for the reasons set forth in the attached memorandum in support.
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**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

Customers pay for Vectren’s natural gas energy efficiency programs through Vectren’s Energy Efficiency Funding Rider, which is the subject of this case, and through Vectren’s base rates, which are the subject of Vectren’s recently-filed base rate case.[[1]](#footnote-2) Under Vectren’s proposal, customers would pay around $1.7 million for 2018 energy efficiency programs through the rider, in addition to about $4.0 million in base rates.[[2]](#footnote-3)

Ohio law authorizes OCC to represent the interests of all of Vectren’s 296,000 residential natural gas customers.[[3]](#footnote-4) R.C. 4903.221 provides that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to intervene in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential consumers may be adversely affected by this case because they pay for Vectren’s energy efficiency programs. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

(1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest;

(2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;

(3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings; and

(4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC’s interest is representing Vectren’s residential consumers. This interest is different from that of any other party and especially different than that of the Utility, whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC’s legal position will include (without limitation) that utility rates charged to consumers should be just and reasonable.[[4]](#footnote-5) OCC will work to determine whether the proposed charges for Vectren’s energy efficiency programs are just and reasonable. OCC’s position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case.

Third, OCC’s intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC’s intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a “real and substantial interest.”[[5]](#footnote-6) As the residential utility consumer advocate, OCC has a real and substantial interest in this case in which the PUCO will review the charges that customers pay for natural gas energy efficiency programs.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B), which OCC already has addressed and which OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider the “extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” Although OCC does not concede that the PUCO must consider this factor, OCC satisfies it because OCC has been uniquely designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility consumers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio (“Court”) confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.[[6]](#footnote-7)

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential consumers, the PUCO should grant OCC’s motion to intervene.
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**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below viaelectric transmission this 10th day of May 2018.
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