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**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of the Procurement of Standard Service Offer Generation for Customers of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. | :  :  : | Case No. 11-6000-EL-UNC |

**MOTION FOR** **AN EXTENSION OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER**

**CONTAINED IN THE COMMISSION’S MAY 23, 2012**

**FINDING AND ORDER**

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Staff moves for an extension of the pro­tective order the Commission issued in its Finding and Order herein dated May 23, 2012. Under the Finding and Order’s terms, the protective order expires on November 25, 2013. The information it protects, however, remains sensitive and confidential and that infor­mation should remain sealed until further order of the Commission as discussed the attached memorandum in support.

Respectfully submitted,

**Michael DeWine**

Ohio Attorney General

**William L. Wright**

Section Chief

/s/ Stephen A. Reilly

**Stephen A. Reilly**

Assistant Attorney General

Public Utilities Section

180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor

Columbus, OH 43215-3793

614.466.4397 (telephone)

614.644.8764 (fax)

[stephen.reilly@puc.state.oh.us](mailto:stephen.reilly@puc.state.oh.us)

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT**

In the Commission’s May 23, 2012 Finding and Order, the Commission found the information protected from disclosure, and which is the subject of Staff’s motion, was “sensitive information which should be deemed confidential and remain under seal.”[[1]](#footnote-1) That finding is as true today as it was then. Nothing has diminished the sensi­tivity, con­fidentiality, and importance of the information remaining under seal.

Additionally, Staff believes that the continued success of the auctions depends on the protective order’s continuation. Any information that could provide an indication of round-by-round bidder behavior or the benchmark pricing that is used for evaluating the outcome of the auction offers competitive advantages and threatens auction success.  Such information would include, but not be limited to: the number of round one bidders or bidder/tranche ratios, initial eligibility (numbers of tranches or bidders) at the start of the auction or eligibility/tranche ratios, auction starting price(s), benchmark comparison price computation and range(s), all individual bidder actions during the auction, auction closing steps, near-competitive offer comparisons and discussions, round-by-round price decrements, round-by-round tranches bid, identifications of non-winning bidders, and all discussions of specifically identified individual bidder behaviors during or related to the auction.  Release of this type of information could potentially be used by bidders for unfair advantage in future auctions, or possibly cause bidders not to participate in future auctions because of the knowledge that their bidding behavior would be made public.  In the Notification of CBP Auction Results, such information includes all that remained redacted in the version docketed on June 13, 2012.  In the Report of the Commission’s Consultant Regarding Duke Energy Ohio’s May 2012 Standard Service Auction, such information is interspersed throughout the report. The Consultant recommends the information be kept confidential and Staff believes that the best course of action is for the entire report to remain under seal.

For these reasons, Staff moves the extension of the protective order until further order of the Commission.
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Ohio Attorney General
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Section Chief

/s/ Stephen A. Reilly
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# PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing **Motion for an Extension of the Protective Order Contained in the Commission’s May 23, 2012 Finding and Order,** submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commis­sion of Ohio,was served via electronic mail upon the following Parties of Record on October 8, 2013.

/s/ Stephen A. Reilly

**Stephen A. Reilly**

Assistant Attorney General

**Parties of Record:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| amy.spiller@duke-energy.com  elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com  jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com  sam@mwncmh.com  fdarr@mwncmh.com  joliker@mwncmh.com  tobrien@bricker.com  cmooney2@columbus.rr.com  trent@theoec.org  nolan@theoec.org  dhart@douglasehart.com  haydenm@firstenergycorp.com  dakutik@jonesday.com  aehaedt@jonesday.com  jlang@calfee.com  lmcbride@calfee.com  talexander@calfee.com  lmcalister@bricker.com  mwarnock@bricker.com  jbentine@cwslaw.com  smorrison@cwslaw.com  myurick@cwslaw.com  zkravitz@cwslaw.com  barthroyer@aol.com  gary.a.jeffries@dom.com  aaragona@eimerstahl.com  dstahl@eimerstahl.com  mchristensen@columbuslaw.org | jouett.brenzel@cinbell.com  jkyler@BKLlawfirm.com  dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com  mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com  tsantarelli@elpc.org  mhpetricoff@vorys.com  mjsettineri@vorys.com  mjsatterwhite@aep.com  ecmillerl@aep.com  amvogel@aep.com  gpoulos@enernoc.com  jmclark@vectren.com  smhoward@vorys.com  vparisi@igsenergy.com  mswhite@igsenergy.com  rdc\_law@swbell.net  kosterkamp@ralaw.com  dane.stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com  asonderman@keglerbrown.com  mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com  wmassey@cov.com  callwein@wamenergy.com  asonderman@keglerbrown.com  mkimbrough@keglerbrown.com  wmassey@cov.com  ssolberg@eimerstahl.com  mcox@mcdonaldhopkins.com |

1. *In the Matter of the Procurement of Standard Service Offer Generation for Customers of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 11-6000-EL-UNC (Finding and Order at ¶ 9) (May 23, 2012). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)