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# Motion for Protective Order

# of Globe Metallurgical, Inc.

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24, Ohio Administrative Code (“O.A.C.”), Globe Metallurgical, Inc. (“Globe”) respectfully moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“Commission”) to issue a Protective Order to protect the confidentiality and prohibit the disclosure of the confidential information contained in the revised schedules of Ohio Power Company (“AEP-Ohio”) filed by AEP-Ohio under seal on February 21, 2014 in this proceeding to support its February 3, 2014 application to adjust its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider (“EDR”) rates. Globe has already moved for protective treatment of its confidential information contained in the February 3, 2014 application. However, AEP-Ohio’s revised schedules filed on February 21, 2014 also contain Globe’s confidential information that is not subject to disclosure and includes competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information comprising trade secrets. The grounds for this Motion are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.
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1. **Introduction and background**

On July 16, 2008, AEP-Ohio filed an application for approval of a special arrangement with Globe.[[1]](#footnote-1) On November 12, 2010, the parties filed a stipulation for the Commission’s approval, which was supplemented and modified on March 21, 2011. On April 5, 2011, the Commission approved the November 2010 stipulation as modified by the March 2011 filing.

In AEP-Ohio’s initial electric security plan (“ESP”) proceeding (Case Nos. 08‑917‑EL‑SSO, *et al.*), the Commission authorized AEP-Ohio’s EDR to recover economic development amounts authorized by the Commission in reasonable arrangement cases. In the initial ESP proceeding, the Commission also set the initial level of the rider at zero, to be updated quarterly.[[2]](#footnote-2) The EDR was reauthorized in AEP‑Ohio’s second ESP proceeding.[[3]](#footnote-3) The rider is calculated as a percentage of a customer’s distribution charges. On February 3, 2014, AEP-Ohio initiated this semi-annual update case and filed an application requesting that the Commission adjust AEP-Ohio’s EDR. AEP-Ohio’s February 3, 2014 application contains Globe’s customer-specific information that was clearly marked as confidential and was filed under seal, separate from the redacted public version of the Globe-specific schedule. On February 3, 2014, AEP-Ohio also filed a Motion for Protective Order regarding the Globe-specific information, but took no position as to whether the Globe-specific information should remain confidential.[[4]](#footnote-4) On February 4, 2014, Globe filed a Motion to Intervene and a Motion for Protective Order regarding Globe’s confidential information contained in the February 3, 2014 application.

On February 21, 2014, AEP-Ohio filed revised schedules supporting its February 3, 2014 application. The revised schedules filed on February 21, 2014 also contain Globe’s customer-specific information that was clearly marked as confidential and was filed under seal, separate from the redacted public version of the Globe-specific schedule.

For the reasons stated in Globe’s first Motion for Protective Order filed on February 4, 2014 in this proceeding and for the reasons stated below, Globe respectfully requests that the Commission grant protective treatment of Globe’s customer-specific information included to support AEP-Ohio’s EDR adjustment filed under seal on February 3, 2014 and February 21, 2014.

1. **ARGUMENT**

The billing information of the Globe reasonable arrangement schedule filed by AEP-Ohio contains competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information that constitutes trade secrets under Ohio law and the Commission’s rules. State law recognizes the need to protect information that is confidential in nature. Accordingly, the General Assembly granted the Commission statutory authority to exempt certain documents from disclosure.[[5]](#footnote-5) Pursuant to this statutory grant of authority, the Commission promulgated Rule 4901-1-24, O.A.C. Rule 4901-1-24(D), O.A.C., provides for the issuance of an order that is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed at the Commission to the extent that state and federal law prohibit the release of such information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.

Trade secrets protected by state law are not considered public records and are therefore exempt from public disclosure.[[6]](#footnote-6) A trade secret is defined by Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code, as follows:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any *business information or plans, financial information*, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

(1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.

(2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

Section 1333.61(D), Revised Code (emphasis added).

The Globe-related information contained within the Globe schedule is competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business and financial information falling within the statutory characterization of a trade secret.[[7]](#footnote-7) The information for which protective treatment is sought includes Globe’s billings paid for electricity based upon its actual and estimated usage. Public disclosure of the pricing information would jeopardize Globe’s business position and its ability to compete. The actual and projected billing information Globe seeks to protect derives independent economic value from not being generally known and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by Globe’s competitors. Further, the efforts to protect the confidential pricing information are reasonable under the circumstances. Finally, actual customer usage and pricing terms are routinely accorded protected status by the Commission and the Commission accorded such treatment to Globe’s information in AEP-Ohio’s previous EDR update proceedings.[[8]](#footnote-8)

The non-disclosure of the actual usage and pricing information will not impair the purposes of Title 49, as the Commission and its Staff will have full access to the confidential information in order to complete its review process. Because Globe’s information constitutes a trade secret, it should be accorded protected status.

1. **CONCLUSION**

Globe respectfully requests that this Motion for Protective Order be granted for the reasons set forth herein.
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