BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Review of the Reconciliation Rider of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Case No. 20-167-EL-RDR

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JAMES E. ZIOLKOWSKI

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

October 19, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW OF RIDER PSR CALCULATION	3
III.	CONCLUSION	5

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is James E. Ziolkowski, and my business address is 139 East Fourth
3		Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC (DEBS) as Director, Rates
6		and Regulatory Planning. DEBS provides various administrative and other services
7		to Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) and other
8		affiliated companies of Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy).
9	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL
10		EXPERIENCE.
11	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from the U.S.
12		Naval Academy in 1979 and a Master of Business Administration degree from
13		Miami University in 1988. I am also a licensed Professional Engineer in the state
14		of Ohio.
15		After graduating from the Naval Academy, I attended the Naval Nuclear
16		Power School and other follow-on schools. I served as a nuclear-trained officer on
17		various ships in the U.S. Navy through 1986. From 1988 through 1990, I worked
18		for Mobil Oil Corporation as a Marine Marketing Representative in the New York
19		City area.
20		I joined The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E) in 1990 as a
21		Product Applications Engineer, in which capacity I designed and managed some of
22		CG&E's demand side management programs, including Energy Audits and

1Interruptible Rates. From 1996 until 1998, I was an Account Engineer and worked2with large customers to resolve various service-related issues, particularly in the3areas of billing, metering, and demand management. In 1998, I joined Cinergy4Services, Inc.'s, Rate Department, where I focused on rate design and tariff5administration. I was significantly involved with the initial unbundling and design6of CG&E's retail electric rates. I was appointed to my current position in January72014.

8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS DIRECTOR, RATES AND 9 REGULATORY PLANNING.

10 A. I am responsible for various rider filings, tariff administration, billing, and revenue 11 reporting issues in Ohio and Kentucky. I also prepare filings to modify charges and 12 terms in retail tariffs of Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., (Duke 13 Energy Kentucky) and develop rates for new services. During rate cases, I prepare 14 cost of service studies and help with the design of the new base rates. I assisted in 15 the development of the retail electric tariffs in the Company's Case No. 03-93-EL-16 ATA, which established the Company's market-based standard service offer. 17 Additionally, I frequently work with customer contact and billing personnel of 18 Duke Energy Ohio and Duke Energy Kentucky to answer rate-related questions and 19 to apply the retail tariffs to specific situations. Occasionally, I meet with customers 20 and Company representatives to explain rates or provide rate training. I also prepare 21 reports that are required by regulatory authorities.

Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO?

3 A. Yes. Most recently, I provided testimony before the Public Utilities Commission (Commission) of Ohio in support of Duke Energy Ohio's electric distribution base 4 rate case, filed under Case No. 21-0887-EL-AIR. I provided testimony before the 5 6 Commission in support of Duke Energy Ohio's electric distribution base rate case and 7 Electric Security Plan, filed under Case Number 17-0032-EL-AIR and Case No. 17-8 1263-EL-SSO, respectively. I was also a witness in the Company's Electric Security 9 Plan case, filed under Case Number 14-841-EL-SSO and the Energy Efficiency cases, 10 filed under Case Number 16-576-EL-POR, 13-753-EL-RDR, Case No. 14-457-EL-11 RDR, Case No. 15-534-EL-RDR, Case No. 16-664-EL-RDR, Case No. 17-781-EL-12 RDR, Case No. 18-397-EL-RDR, Case No. 19-622-EL-RDR, Case No. 20-613-EL-13 RDR, and Case No. 21-482-EL-RDR. I have testified in many other cases before the 14 Commission.

15 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide information about the Company's Price
Stabilization Rider (Rider PSR). I discuss the history of the rider. I also discuss
the calculation of the rider, including allocation methodology and applicability.

II. BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW OF RIDER PSR CALCULATION

19 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DISCUSS THE HISTORY OF RIDER PSR.

- 20 A. Rider PSR was first approved in Duke Energy Ohio's electric security plan (ESP)
- proceeding for the period of June 1, 2015, through May 31, 2018. *In re Duke Energy Ohio*, Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO, *et al.*, Opinion and Order (April 2, 2015).

1 At that time, the Commission concluded that Rider PSR met requirements set forth 2 in R.C. 4928.143(B)(2)(d) and authorized the rider mechanism as a zero-3 placeholder rider. In March of 2017, Duke Energy Ohio filed an application to modify and amend Rider PSR and for approval to change its accounting methods. 4 5 In re Duke Energy Ohio, Case No. 17-872-EL-ATA, et al., Application (March 31, 6 2017) (ESP4). These cases were resolved by stipulation. The Commission 7 approved the terms of the stipulation on December 19, 2018, wherein the Company 8 would adjust Rider PSR to recover or credit the net amount resulting from 9 transactions incurred on or after January 1, 2018, through May 31, 2025, subject to 10 final reconciliation, in the wholesale market, relating to Duke Energy Ohio's 11 entitlement under the Amended and Restated Intercompany Power Agreement 12 (ICPA) with the Ohio Valley Electric Corporation (OVEC). The Company 13 implemented Rider PSR beginning with the April 2019 billing month. Rider PSR 14 terminated after December 31, 2019. Unrecovered Rider PSR balances are 15 recovered through Rider LGR, Legacy Generation Rider.

16 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE RATE DESIGN AND OTHER DETAILS 17 RELATED TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF RIDER PSR.

- A. Duke Energy Ohio began billing Rider PSR in April 2019. Rider PSR was updated
 quarterly and temporarily approved thirty days after filing subject to subsequent
 reconciliation based upon an annual audit. Updated rates went into effect with July
 2019 billing and again with October 2019 billing. Rider PSR was set to zero at the
 end of 2019.
- 23 The Rider PSR revenue requirement for each quarterly filing consisted of

two pieces: a true-up of actual net gains/losses for preceding months offset by
actual revenues for those months, and a one-year of forecast net gains/losses. The
sum of these two pieces was grossed up by the Commercial Activity Tax rate. This
total revenue requirement was allocated to each of the major rate classes based on
50 percent demand (5CP, as used in Rider RC) and fifty percent energy (annual
kWh obtained from Case No. 17-32-EL-AIR, *et al.*). The following table shows
the combined allocation factors:

		Allocate Using:		
	5 CP Demand ^(a)	kWh Sales ^(a)	50% 5CP + 50% kWh	
Rate Classification				
RS, ORH, CUR, RS3P, RSLI	43.87%	35.98%	39.93%	
DM	3.48%	2.77%	3.13%	
DS, DS-RTP, EH, GSFL, SFL-ADPL	33.60%	32.69%	33.15%	
DP, DP-RTP	9.45%	10.81%	10.13%	
TS, TS-RTP	9.56%	17.19%	13.37%	
Lighting	0.04%	0.56%	0.30%	
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	

Rider PSR was billed to each of the rate classes on a per-kWh basis. The per-kWh
rates were calculated by dividing the allocated revenue requirement, as described above,
by the annual kWh for each rate class that was filed in Case No. 17-32-EL-AIR, *et al.*

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

11 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

12 A. Yes.