### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for the Establishment of a	)	
Charge Pursuant to Revised Code Section	)	Case No. 12-2400-EL-UNC
4909.18.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke	)	
Energy Ohio, Inc., for Approval to Change	)	Case No. 12-2401-EL-AAM
Accounting Methods.	)	
In the Matter of the Application of Duke	)	
Energy Ohio, Inc., for the Approval of a	)	Case No. 12-2402-EL-ATA
Tariff for a New Service.	)	

## MOTION OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC., TO STRIKE UNAUTHORIZED NOTICE OF ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY

Comes now Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Company) and hereby moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) for an order striking the entirety of a procedurally inappropriate and prejudicial pleading, filed by Industrial Energy Users-Ohio (IEU) in the above-referenced dockets on October 18, 2013, two and a half months after the completion of briefing.

Duke Energy Ohio submits the following memorandum in support of its motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy B. Spiller

Deputy General Counsel

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo

Associate General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery

Associate General Counsel

Elizabeth H. Watts

Associate General Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services LLC

139 East Fourth Street

1303-Main

Cincinnati, OH 45202

(513) 287-4359 (telephone)

(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)

Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

#### MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

These proceedings have been fully briefed and are currently before the Commission for decision. Nevertheless, IEU has filed a self-styled "Notice of Additional Authority," purportedly to alert the Commission to certain recent extra-jurisdictional cases. This blatant effort by IEU to sidestep established procedures at the Commission and to prejudice Duke Energy Ohio's ability to make appropriate substantive arguments should be rejected immediately and decisively.

### Neither the Procedural Rules Nor the Procedural Schedule Makes Allowance for Filing Additional Material After Briefing

The Commission's procedural rules, set forth in O.A.C. Chapter 4901-1, provide a clear set of instructions for participants in Commission proceedings. Absolutely nothing in those rules allows for a filing such as the one made by IEU.

As an initial matter, IEU's purported "Notice" filing must be viewed for what it is – a legal brief through which IEU attempts to advance its position in this case. There is no basis for such a filing, either under Commission regulation or the procedural schedule applicable to these proceedings.

O.A.C. 4901-1-31 allows for the filing of briefs or memoranda only (1) where specifically allowed by a procedural rule or (2) where permitted or required by the Commission, the legal director, the deputy legal director, or an attorney examiner. IEU's filing is not authorized by any procedural rule, as evident from IEU's failure to identify any such rule in support of its submission.

With regard to the second basis, the Attorney Examiner provided detailed, carefully considered instructions concerning the timing, form, and content of briefs that would be allowed upon the conclusion of the hearing. Critically, her instructions allowed for each party to file only

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> O.A.C. Rule 4901-1-31(A).

an initial brief and a reply brief.<sup>2</sup> IEU complied with those deadlines, timely filing both an initial brief and a reply brief. Significantly, the Attorney Examiner did not make any allowance for further filings.

It is also noteworthy that IEU is attempting here to avoid the explicit requirements for filing of briefs, unlike its recent, failed attempts to inject additional information into the Commission's decision-making process. Unsuccessful through motion practice, IEU now resorts to a so-called "Notice" apparently hoping for a process by which it can avoid procedural niceties and get its late information into the docket.<sup>3</sup>

IEU's Notice was thus filed in clear violation of the Commission's procedural rules and the Attorney Examiner's directive. It must be stricken and should not be taken into consideration in any regard.

#### The Unauthorized Filing is Prejudicial to Duke Energy Ohio

Through its unauthorized filing, IEU has prejudiced Duke Energy Ohio's rights in these proceedings. The Commission's procedural rules allow all parties to participate in a balanced fashion; no party has an inherent advantage over any other party. If IEU had chosen to file a motion, seeking the Commission's permission to file additional legal arguments, Duke Energy Ohio would have had the right, under the rules, to argue against the request. O.A.C. 4901-1-12(B) sets forth a standard process for the consideration of motions, allowing all other parties to respond. By failing to file a motion seeking permission to make additional arguments, IEU thus prohibited Duke Energy Ohio from arguing against allowing a new legal issue to be raised at this late stage in these proceedings.

2 .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tr. Vol. XI, at p. 2813.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In the Matter of the Long-Term Forecast Report of Ohio Power Company and Related Matters, Case No. 10-501-EL-FOR, et al., Opinion and Order, pp. 13-14 (Jan. 9, 2013); In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan, Case No. 12-426-EL-SSO, Opinion and Order, pg. 6 (Sept. 4, 2013).

IEU's failure to obtain permission to file its late Notice, being prejudicial to Duke Energy Ohio, should result in the Notice being stricken.

#### The Notice Filing, if Allowed, Would be Prejudicial

In its Notice, IEU discusses recent decisions by district courts in New Jersey and Maryland. IEU suggests that such decisions provide authoritative bases for dismissal of Duke Energy Ohio's application as being beyond the Commission's jurisdiction.

Although the issue of the Commission's jurisdiction has already been copiously briefed, the decisions referenced in the Notice were published after completion of the briefing and were therefore not included in any arguments. Duke Energy Ohio will not address, here, the substance of those decisions, their clear and certain inapplicability, their lack of merit, their lack of relevance, or any other such issues, as the entire Notice should be stricken.

The prejudice to Duke Energy Ohio's position and the impact on due process resulting from IEU's blatant disregard of the Commission's regulations are unavoidable. A single party, providing purported "additional authority" to the Commission, cannot provide the Commission a full explanation of the issues. Indeed, such an outcome is the antithesis of due process. And if the result were otherwise – with one party being able to unilaterally and without opposition supplement the record – then all parties would be encouraged to disregard scheduling orders and to seek to control the timing of Commission decisions. But the administrative process requires finality – a reasonable date by which a record will be complete such that a Commission order can be issued.

Indeed, the applicant in a proceeding has a right to have its request ruled upon in a reasonable time period, consistent with the Commission's management of its docket. Thus, at

some point, the opportunity to provide legal justification for a position must be cut off. Here, that point must be the deadline ordered by the Attorney Examiner.<sup>4</sup>

Furthermore, the irrelevance of the extra-jurisdictional cases cited by IEU cannot be overstated. IEU proposes that the Commission rely on determinations made by federal district courts, not even in Ohio. As the Commission is well aware, these courts have no appellate authority over the Commission's decisions. Only the Ohio Supreme Court can review Commission orders.<sup>5</sup>

#### Conclusion

For the reasons stated herein, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission strike the entirety of the Notice filed by IEU.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy B. Spiller

**Deputy General Counsel** 

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo

Associate General Counsel

Jeanne W. Kingery

Associate General Counsel

Elizabeth H. Watts

Associate General Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services LLC

139 East Fourth Street

1303-Main

Cincinnati, OH 45202

(513) 287-4359 (telephone)

(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)

Amy.Spiller@duke-energy.com (e-mail)

Attorneys for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, e.g., In the Matter of the Complaint of the Office of the Consumers' Counsel on behalf of Jim and Helen Heaton, et al., and Certain Other Unnamed Individuals v. Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Company, Relative to alleged unjust, unreasonable, and unlawful policies and practices with regard to establishing new service for residential customers in rural areas of its service area, Case No. 83-1279-EL-CSS, Opinion and Order (April 16, 1985), at pp. 12-13 (Commission struck filing of additional authority as support for exceptions to attorney examiner's report).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> R.C. 4903.12.

#### <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was delivered by U.S. mail (postage prepaid), personal, or electronic mail, on this 21st day of October 2013, to the parties listed below.

Jeanne W. Kingery

Steven Beeler John Jones **Assistant Attorneys General** Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad St. Columbus, Ohio 43215

Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us John.jones@puc.state.oh.us

#### **Counsel for Staff of the Commission**

Samuel C. Randazzo Frank P. Darr Joseph E. Oliker Matthew R. Pritchard McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 sam@mwncmh.com fdarr@mwncmh.com joliker@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street Findlay, Ohio 45839-1793 cmooney2@columbus.rr.com

Counsel Ohio Partners for for Affordable Energy

Maureen R. Grady Kyle L. Kern Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 grady@occ.state.oh.us kern@occ.state.oh.us

#### Counsel for the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

#### **Counsel for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio**

David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Jody M. Kyler Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com jkyler@BKLlawfirm.com

#### Counsel for the Ohio Energy Group

Kimberly W. Bojko Mallory M. Mohler Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street **Suite 1300** Columbus, Ohio 43215 Bojko@CarpenterLipps.com Mohler@CarpenterLipps.com

#### Counsel for The Kroger Company

Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street Suite 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

dhart@douglasehart.com

#### Counsel for Cincinnati Bell Inc.

Thomas J. O'Brien
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

tobrien@bricker.com

#### Counsel for City of Cincinnati

James F. Lang
N. Trevor Alexander
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1405 East Sixth Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
jlang@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com

#### Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

Jay E. Jadwin
Yazen Alami
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
155 Nationwide Ave.
Columbus, Ohio 43215
jejadwin@aep.com
yalami@aep.com

#### **Counsel for AEP Energy**

David Stahl
Eimer Stahl LLP
224 S. Michigan Ave., Ste 1100
Chicago, Illinois 60604
dstahl@eimerstahl.com

For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Douglas E. Hart 441 Vine Street Suite 4192 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 dhart@douglasehart.com

#### Counsel for The Greater Cincinnati Health Council

Mark A. Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

#### Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

David A. Kutik
Lydia M. Floyd
Jones Day
901 Lakeside Ave
Cleveland OH 44114
dakutik@jonesday.com
lfloyd@jonesday.com

#### Counsel for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.

M. Howard Petricoff
Gretchen L. Petrucci
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
<a href="mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com/glpetrucci@vorys.com/glpetrucci@vorys.com/">mhpetricoff@vorys.com/</a>

# Counsel for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Stephen Bennett
Exelon Corporation
300 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348
Stephen.bennett@exeloncorp.com

For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

David I. Fein
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.
550 W. Washington Blvd., Suite 300
Chicago, Illinois 60661
David.fein@constellation.com

### For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Steven T. Nourse
Matthew J. Satterwhite
American Electric Power Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza 29<sup>th</sup> Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
<a href="mailto:stnourse@aep.com">stnourse@aep.com</a>
mjsatterwhite@aep.com

#### **Counsel for Ohio Power Company**

M. Howard Petricoff Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008 <a href="mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com">mhpetricoff@vorys.com</a>

# Counsel for Miami University and the University of Cincinnati

M. Howard Petricoff
Gretchen L. Petrucci
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
<a href="mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com">mhpetricoff@vorys.com</a>
glpetrucci@vorys.com

#### Counsel for the Retail Energy Supply Association

Cynthia Fonner Brady
Constellation Energy Resources, LLC
550 W. Washington Blvd., Suite 300
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Cynthia.brady@constellation.com

# For Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Exelon Generation Company, LLC

M. Howard Petricoff
Gretchen L. Petrucci
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
<a href="mailto:mhpetricoff@vorys.com">mhpetricoff@vorys.com</a>
<a href="mailto:glpetrucci@vorys.com">glpetrucci@vorys.com</a>

#### Counsel for Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.

Joseph G. Strines
DPL Energy Resources Inc.
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45432
Joseph.strines@DPLINC.com

#### **Counsel for DPL Energy Resources**

Judi L. Sobecki
Randall V. Griffin
The Dayton Power and Light Company
1065 Woodman Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45432
Judi.sobecki@DPLINC.com
Randall.griffin@DPLINC.com

# Counsel for The Dayton Power and Light Company

Kevin J. Osterkamp Roetzel & Andress LPA PNC Plaza, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor 155 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 kosterkamp@raław.com Rick D. Chamberlain
Behrens, Wheeler & Chamberlain
6 N.E. 63<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 400
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105
Rdc law@swbell.net

Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc.

Counsel for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc.

Barth E. Royer
Bell & Royer Co., LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927
BarthRoyer@aol.com

Gary A. Jeffries
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15212-5817
Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com

Counsel for Dominion Retail, Inc.

Counsel for Dominion Retail, Inc.

Robert A. Brundrett
The Ohio Manufacturer's Association
33 North High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
rbrundrett@ohiomfg.com

Counsel for The Ohio Manufacturers' Association