FILE



Norking For You Today And Torronov

Legal Department 1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, Ohio 45432 PUCO:

(937)259-7348 Direct Dial (937)259-7178 Telefax e-mail: Athan.Vinolus@DPLInc.com

December 20, 2002

Daisy Crockron PUCO – Docketing Division 180 East Broad Street, 10th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

RE: Case No. 02-2627-AU-COI

Dear Ms. Crockron:

Enclosed please find for filing in the above-referenced proceedings the original plus 15 copies of The Dayton Power and Light Company's Memorandum Contra The Request For Public Hearings Filed By the Consumers For Fair Utility Rates, *et al.* Please date stamp the additional copy that is also enclosed and return it to me in the enclosed, self-addressed and stamped envelope.

Thank you for your assistance and your attention to this matter.

Very truly,

Athan A. Vinolus
Associate Counsel

Enclosure

PUCOCoverLtr

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)	
In the Matter of the Commission)	
Investigation of the Financial Condition of)	Case No.: 02-2627-AU-COI
Ohio's Regulated Public Utilities)	
)	

THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA THE REQUEST FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS FILED BY THE CONSUMERS FOR FAIR UTILITY RATES, ET AL.

Pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C.") Rule 4901-1-12, The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L") files this memorandum contra to the Request For Public Hearings Filed By The Consumers For Fair Utility Rates, Empowerment Center of Greater Cleveland, and The Neighborhood Environmental Coalition (collectively "Movants"). For the reasons set forth more fully below, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "the Commission") should deny the request of the Movants. A public hearing, with presentations by utilities as to their financial soundness and to "educate the public and the rate payers about deregulation," would be improper in the present proceeding. Movants' Request at p.1. A public hearing would also raise concerns regarding confidential and competitively sensitive financial information of the utilities. The Commission should maintain this proceeding as simply that - a Commission investigation and not a public forum to second-guess and quiz utilities on proprietary competitive information.

I. MEMORANDUM CONTRA MOVANTS' REQUEST

A. The Commission's Present Investigation Is An Improper Method For Consumer Education On Deregulation Matters

As part of Movants' request, they state that "public hearings would be an opportunity to educate the public and the rate payers about deregulation." Movants' Request at p. 1. Amended

Substitute Senate Bill No. 3 ("SB3") provides other specific measures for consumer education. Particularly, Ohio Revised Code ("R.C.") Section 4928.42 requires that the utilities spend in the aggregate up to thirty-three million dollars on consumer education on electric restructuring. Such expenditures and education efforts have begun and will continue in the future. The present Commission investigation as to the financial condition of public utilities must not be transformed into deregulation education hearings. Accordingly, the Commission should deny Movants' request.

B. <u>Public Hearings Are Not The Appropriate Forum To Investigate Proprietary Information</u>

When the Commission started this present investigation, it recognized that it may involve inquiries into sensitive information of utilities. In its October 10, 2002 Entry in this proceeding, the Commission indicated that the parties should assume that the Commission would afford adequate protection to proprietary and competitively sensitive information. See, Entry at pp. 1-2, paragraph No. 4. Public hearings would certainly complicate the protection of such information and could defeat such assurances by the Commission. Further, public hearings in this proceeding raise additional concerns into potential discovery issues in other legal proceedings that are taking place before tribunals other than the Commission. There could be efforts by parties to turn such public hearings into question and answer sessions to supplement their discovery in those other legal proceedings.

Accordingly, the Commission should conduct its investigation and provide the protection necessary for proprietary information. It is the Commission's duty, not the Movants' or public's duty, to conduct this investigation pursuant to its limited statutory authority to ensure the financial soundness of public utilities. The Commission's October 10, 2002 Entry in this proceeding simply sought comments on the breadth of the investigation that the Commission was

about to undertake, and did not indicate this proceeding was to be an adversarial proceeding, a discovery free-for-all, or one needing any form of public hearing.

DP&L also points out that none of the supporting materials attached to Movants' Request involve DP&L. No basis is shown in the Request to include DP&L in any public hearing.

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, DP&L requests that the Commission deny Movants' Request for public hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

ATHAN A. VINOLUS (0040174)

The Dayton Power and Light Company

1065 Woodman Drive Dayton, Ohio 45432

Telephone: (937) 259-7348 Facsimile: (937) 259-7178 Athan.Vinolus@DPLinc.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of The Dayton Power and Light Company's Memorandum Contra The Request For Public Hearings Filed By The Consumers For Fair Utility Rates, (et al.) was served by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this day of December,

2002 upon the following:		
Robert N. Fronek 4205 Chester Ave. Cleveland, OH 44103	Daniel R. Conway, Esq. Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215	Douglas W. Trabaris, Esq. 222 W. Adams Street, Ste. 1500 Chicago, IL 60606
Filed on behalf of Utility Workers Union of America	Counsel for Century Tel of Ohio	Counsel for AT&T Communications of Ohio, Inc.
	•	,
Terry L. Etter, Esq. 10 West Broad Street, Ste. 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485	Joseph R. Stewart, Esq. 50 West Broad Street, Ste. 3600 Columbus, OH 43215	John J. Kirn, Jr., Esq. 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44113
Counsel for Ohio Consumers' Counsel	Counsel United Telephone Company of Ohio dba Sprint	Counsel for The Consumers for Fair Utility Rates, et al.
Helen L. Liebman Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 41 S. High Street, Ste. 1900 Columbus, OH 43215	Stephen B. Seiple 200 Civic Center Drive P.O. Box 117 Columbus, OH 43216-0117	Marvin I. Resnik, Esq. 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, OH 43215
Counsel for The East Ohio Gas Company dba Dominion East Ohio	Counsel for Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.	Counsel for Columbus Southern Power Co. and Ohio Power Co.
James W. Burk, Esq. 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308	William B. Schuck 2352 McCauley Court Columbus, OH 43220	Jon F. Kelly, Esq 150 E. Gay St., Room 4-A Columbus, OH 43215
Counsel for FirstEnergy Corp.	Counsel for Competition Ohio	Counsel for SBC Ameritech
	O1 1 1 Y ******	

Gary A. Jack, Esq. 1310 Fairmont Avenue Fairmont, WV 26554

Counsel for Monongahela Power Co. dba Allegheny Energy

Samuel C. Randazzo, Esq. McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street Suite 910 Columbus, Oh 43215

Counsel for The Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Christopher J. Wilson, Esq. 201 East Fourth Street, Suite 102-620

Counsel for Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company

Cincinnati, OH 45202

Gretchen J. Hummel, Esq. McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215-4228

Counsel for Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio

John J. Finnigan, Jr., Esq. 2500 Atrium II P.O. Box 960 Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960

Counsel for CG&E

Thomas E. Lodge, Esq. Thomspon Hine LLP 10 West Broad Street, Ste. 700 Columbus, OH 43215-3435

Counsel for Verizon North, The Ohio Telecome Association and Alltel Ohio and Western Reserve Telephone Company