PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
Consumer Service Division
Memorandum

CASE ID: 00784286
CUSTOMER: Larry Hurlburt
ADDRESS: 3323 Stutsman Rd, Bellbrook, Ohio 45305
SERVICE ADDRESS: 3323 Stutsman Rd, Bellbrook, Ohio 45305
AIQ: Dayton Power & Light Company/AES
NIQ: 9373107059

To ensure your response attaches to the appropriate case, please reply to this email without changing the subject line. Thank you!

DOCKETING CASE #: 20-1651-EL-AIR

SUBJECT: Dayton Power & Light Company - Rates & Tariffs

Please docket the associated customer comment and/or attached in the case number referenced above under "Public Comments". This information was received by the Consumer Services Division through alternate channels and is being forwarded to be filed formally. This information is not the opinion of Staff and should not be viewed as such.

Good afternoon PUCO,
I apologize if this is not the correct forum, but I was unable to find other guidance on how to submit my request. Today, I read a headline in the Dayton Daily News that AES Ohio has filed a request with PUCO to recover $100M in deferred expenses, dating as far back as 2014 as part of their Electric Security Plan (ESP). I would like to voice my displeasure with AES Ohio's request and urge PUCO to disapprove this request.
AES Ohio was quoted in the article stating their ESP will "enhance and upgrade its network and improve service reliability, provide greater safeguards for price

stability and continue investments in local economic development.". I don't know what the other electric utilities in Ohio charge for delivery, however, I find the amount that AES charges to be absurd. I pay $7/ month to be a customer, and paid an additional $45.29 last month for the delivery of 1029 Kwh. I recognize that there is a cost to maintaining a power grid, but my total delivery charges exceed my supply charges every month. Further, I do not see AES Ohio spending this money to maintain their grid. I have lived in 7 different states, with a different electric supplier in each state. The outages I've experienced in Ohio have been more frequent, and for longer than any other state.

Additionally, in my dealings with AES (including when AES was known as DP&L), it is clear they have no concern for their customers. I was at a township board of trustees meeting last month, and one of the citizen comments was they are unable to reach anyone at AES regarding maintenance of equipment that is rusted, and the board of trustees responded they have just as much difficulty in reaching anyone at AES with their concerns. I don't understand how this is acceptable for a corporation the size of AES unless it is ingrained in their corporate culture.

Based on the above, I see AES' ESP request merely as a means to increase profits. However, in reviewing their annual report, I see AES posted very nice results for its shareholders last year, and its executives were well rewarded as a result. Maybe AES should be required to invest some of those profits they make off the hard working citizens of Ohio into their Ohio electric grid.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

Larry Hurlburt"

This message and any response to it may constitute a public record and thus may be publicly available to anyone who requests it.

ref:_00Dt0GzXt._5008y5g7mg:ref

the Phish Alert Button if available.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/24/2022 9:13:52 AM

in

Case No(s). 20-1651-EL-AIR, 20-1652-EL-AAM, 20-1653-EL-ATA

Summary: Public Comment of Larry Hurlburt, via website, electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing