BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the : Application of Kingwood:

Solar I LLC for a

: Case No. 21-117-EL-BGN Certificate of Environmental

Compatibility and Public : Need.

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Michael Williams and Mr. David Hicks, Administrative Law Judges, at the Ohio Power Siting Board, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:03 a.m. on Monday, April 25, 2022.

VOLUME VIII

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481

1918 1 APPEARANCES: 2 Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP By Mr. Michael J. Settineri, 3 Ms. Anna Sanyal, and Mr. Nathaniel B. Morse 4 52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 5 On behalf of the Applicant. 6 Van Kley & Walker, LLC 7 By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley 132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1 Columbus, Ohio 43215 8 9 On behalf of the Citizens for Greene Acres and Individual Intervenors. 10 Cedarville University By Mr. John E. Hart 11 251 North Main Street 12 Cedarville, Ohio 45314 13 On behalf of In Progress LLC. 14 Ohio Farm Bureau Federation By Ms. Amy Milam 15 280 North High Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 16 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau 17 Federation. Dinsmore & Shohl LLP 18 By Mr. Lee A. Slone 19 One South Main Street, Suite 1300 Dayton, Ohio 45402 20 On behalf of the Miami Township Board of 2.1 Trustees, Greene County, Ohio. 22 23 2.4 25

```
1919
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2.
            Brown Law Office LLC
 3
            By Mr. Daniel A. Brown
            204 South Ludlow Street, Suite 300
 4
            Dayton, Ohio 45402
 5
                 On behalf of the Cedarville Township
                 Trustees.
 6
            Plank Law Firm, LPA
 7
            By Mr. David Watkins
            and Mr. Kevin Dunn
            411 East Town Street, Floor 2
 8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Xenia Township Board of
10
                 Trustees.
11
            Frost Brown Todd LLC
            By Mr. Thaddeus M. Boggs
12
            and Mr. Jesse J. Shamp
            10 West Broad Street, Suite 2300
13
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
14
                 On behalf of the Greene County Board of
                 Commissioners.
15
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
16
            Mr. John Jones, Section Chief
            By Mr. Werner L. Margard, III,
17
            Ms. Jodi Bair,
            and Mr. Shaun Lyons,
18
            Assistant Attornevs General
            Public Utilities Section
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
19
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
20
                 On behalf of the Staff of the OPSB.
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

		1920
4		1720
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	Juliana M. Graham-Price	1006
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Settineri Cross-Examination by Mr. Brown	1926 1959
J	Further Cross-Examination by Mr Settineri	1961
6		1301
	Alex Odom	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	1967
0	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	1970
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	1994 1996
9	Received Examination by Mr. Van Recy	±
	Lynn Gresock	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	1999
1 1	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	2000
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	2005 2006
12	Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	2006
	Jim Hobart	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	2008
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	2011
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Brown	2035
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hart Cross-Examination by Mr. Margard	2049 2054
10	Examination by ALJ Williams	2056
16	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	2063
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	2066
17	Recross-Examination by Mr. Hart	2068
18	Andrew Lines, MAI	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	2070
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	2073
20	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	2079
∠ U	John S. Nealon, Ph.D.	
21	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri	2081
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	2082
22	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri	2096
2.2	Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	2099
23		
24		
25		

					1921
1		INDEX	(Continued	1)	
2					
3	APPL	ICANT EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	102	Rebuttal Testimony of Alex Odom	of	1966	1997
5	1.00		<u></u>	1000	0.007
6	103	Rebuttal Testimony of Lynn Gresock	OI	1999	2007
7	104	Rebuttal Testimony	of	2009	2069
8	4.0-	Jim Hobart	_	0.074	
9	105	5 Rebuttal Testimony of Andrew Lines	of	2071 2080	2080
10	106	Rebuttal Testimony	of	2081 2101	2101
11		Dr. John S. Nealon			
12	CITIZ	ZENS EXHIBITS		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
13	18	WHO "Night Noise Gu	idelines	V-1023	1998
14		for Europe"			
15	20	Greene County, Ohio Questionnaire Draft		2028	2070
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1922 Monday Morning Session, 1 2 April 25, 2022. 3 4 ALJ WILLIAMS: All right. We are on the 5 record. 6 We are here today in Case No. 21-117 7 which we will refer to as Kingwood for simplicity. We are here to resume the evidentiary hearing that 8 9 was last in session on March 15 pursuant to the 10 Bench's declaration at the close of that hearing day 11 as well as entries of March 17 and March 23 of this 12 year. 13 We will begin by taking appearances of 14 the parties. Applicant. 15 MR. SETTINERI: On behalf of Kingwood 16 Solar I, LLC, Michael Settineri, Nat Morse, and Anna 17 Sanyal, Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease. 18 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you. 19 Citizens for Greene Acres. 20 MR. VAN KLEY: This is Jack Van Kley, Van 2.1 Kley & Walker, 132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1, 22 Columbus, Ohio 43235. 23 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you. 24 Miami Township. 25 MR. SLONE: Lee Slone, Dinsmore & Shohl.

	1923				
1	Good morning.				
2	ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you. Good morning.				
3	Greene County. Xenia Township.				
4	MR. WATKINS: David Watkins and Kevin				
5	Dunn, Law Firm of Plank Law Firm, 411 East Town				
6	Street, Columbus.				
7	ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.				
8	Cedarville Township.				
9	MR. BROWN: Daniel Brown, Brown Law				
10	Office, Dayton, Ohio.				
11	ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.				
12	In Progress.				
13	MR. HART: John Hart, In Progress LLC.				
14	ALJ WILLIAMS: Good morning. Thank you.				
15	Tecumseh Land Trust. Farm Bureau.				
16	MS. MILAM: Amy Milam on behalf of Ohio				
17	Farm Bureau Federation, 280 North High Street, 6th				
18	Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.				
19	ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.				
20	And Staff.				
21	MR. MARGARD: Thank you. Good morning,				
22	your Honor. On behalf of the Staff of the Power				
23	Siting Board, Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General, by				
24	Assistant Attorneys General Jodi Bair, Werner				

Margard, Shaun Lyons, 30 East Broad Street, 26th

Floor, Columbus, Ohio.

2.1

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.

As we indicated off the record, a couple of administrative matters to attend to. First, we have a request that Staff had discussed or circulated among the parties for correction of testimony via Stipulation to Witness November. I will invite Mr. Margard to clarify that for the record.

MR. MARGARD: Yes, thank you, your Honor. Subsequent to the hearing, we discovered that the testimony of Staff Witness November stated his current position with the Board as a Utility Specialist 2 when, in fact, his position is actually Utility Analyst 2. It was his first testimony. It was an inadvertent error. We asked the parties if they would be willing to stipulate to changing that on the record. I believe all parties have agreed, but I will allow them to voice that for themselves. But we would respectfully request his testimony be amended from Utility Specialist 2 to Utility Analyst 2.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you for that request. Any of the parties have anything to add to this?

Seeing none, we will take that request to

Proceedings

amend via stipulation of the parties. We will accept that, and the testimony is deemed to be admitted.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.

2.1

We also have a motion by Applicant to supplement one of its rebuttal witnesses, Witness Kramb, to provide a resume that was filed April 20. Any objections from the parties to that supplement?

Seeing none, that supplement will be

Seeing none, that supplement will be deemed admitted as well.

We have a motion to strike. We were asked off the record to pin ruling on the motion to strike until after Applicant had completed calling its witnesses.

The Bench has agreed to do that, and so with that I will invite Applicant to call its next witness.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

Kingwood Solar I, LLC, would call Juliana

Graham-Price. That is via a subpoena that was
issued.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Good morning,

Ms. Graham-Price. Before you sit down, I will swear
you in. Would you raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

Proceedings

1926 1 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you. Please be 2 seated. 3 Mr. Settineri. 4 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. 5 JULIANA M. GRAHAM-PRICE 6 7 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 8 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 By Mr. Settineri: 11 Good morning, Ms. Graham-Price. Q. 12 Α. Good morning. 13 Q. My name is Mike Settineri. It's nice to see you and meet in person. Would you please go 14 15 ahead and state and spell your full name and business 16 address for the record, please. 17 ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Settineri, I'm sorry 18 to interrupt. Maybe scoot that closer. We are 19 getting a little bit of volume there, but to the 20 extent we are going to have some protracted 2.1 questioning, it would be hard for them to hear. 2.2 Please continue. 23 Juliana, J-U-L-I-A-N-A, M. Graham-Price. Α. 24 I work for the Ohio Power Siting Board at 180 East

Broad Street, Columbus.

MR. SETTINERI: And, your Honor, if I may request to be able to treat this witness as if on cross?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

19

20

2.1

2.2

ALJ WILLIAMS: That's granted.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Ms. Graham-Price, did you review any documents to prepare for your appearance today?
 - A. I reviewed the Staff Report.
 - Q. Any other documents that you reviewed?
- A. I think I also looked at some news coverage of the hearing.
- Q. Did you review your e-mail records to prepare for your appearance today?
 - A. Yes, I did. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And what records did you review?
- A. I reviewed the e-mails that I sent to the
 Greene County County Commissioners as well as the
 Township Trustees.
 - Q. While we are on that subject, in terms of e-mails to the Greene County Commissioners, do you recall what e-mails you sent to the Greene County Commissioners?
- A. I sent an e-mail with a -- with a link to
 a copy of the Staff Report and that would have been
 sent around October 29 to give them a copy of the

Staff Report as well as next steps in the process and any upcoming dates like the public hearing.

- Q. Any other e-mails to the Commissioners?
- A. I may have sent them an e-mail about -- when the evidentiary hearing date was changed.
 - Q. Any other e-mails?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

A. Not that I can think of.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Let's go off the record just a minute here.

10 (Discussion off the record.)

11 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record. Thank
12 you.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) And I can't recall if I had a question pending, so I will -- I think I know what I may have asked, but when you refer to the "Greene County Commissioners," would that include any representative of the Greene County Commissioners?
- A. I sent -- any e-mails I would have sent I also copied to Mr. Brandon Huddleson.
- Q. Did you send any independent e-mails, separate e-mails to Mr. Huddleson about the project?
 - A. No.
- Q. So based on your recollection, there were two e-mails sent, one after the Staff Report was issued and another about the evidentiary hearing.

A. That's right.

2.1

2.2

- Q. What about e-mails to the Townships? What e-mails did you review?
- A. Anything I sent to the County

 Commissioners, I would have also sent to the

 Cedarville, Xenia, and Miami Township Trustees for
 whom I had e-mail addresses.
- Q. Would that have been in the same e-mail as to the Commission?
 - A. It would have been the same content, yes.
- Q. Any other separate e-mails to the Townships separate and apart from the Commissioner e-mails?
- A. I did e-mail back and forth with Cedarville Township Jeff Ewry when I had contacted him to ask if they were going to be sending us a resolution either for or against the project. He said he thought the three Townships were going to be working together, but he would check and e-mail me with the information. He copied Mr. Dan Brown who was their attorney.
- Q. In regards to the e-mails with Mr. Ewry, did you have any other -- any e-mails with any other trustees of Cedarville, Miami, or Xenia?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. And do you recall the approximate time frame you were e-mailing Mr. Ewry?
- A. It would have been the morning of October 28 and then throughout the day.
- Q. And I assume -- is there one e-mail with a long chain of files?
 - A. Probably, yes, back and forth.
 - O. And who wrote the first e-mail?
- A. I don't remember. I know it started with a phone call.
- Q. Okay. Just a quick question, did you review your phone log records to prepare for your appearance today?
- A. I had some scribbles, but I don't have an official phone log.
 - Q. So when you say "scribbles," are those just notes of calls?
 - A. Just notes.
- Q. Going back to then you mentioned the
 phone call to Mr. Ewry, I believe you said it was the
 morning of October 28?
- 22 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

- Q. And you called Mr. Ewry?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what was the substance of your

- conversations on that phone call, if you recall, with Mr. Ewry?
 - A. I had called him to say that -- to thank them for -- they had passed a resolution and filed a notice to intervene but had not indicated whether they were for or against the project, and if they wanted to include their views in our Staff Report through a resolution, we would need that by October 29.
 - Q. And who directed you to make that call?
- 11 A. Theresa White.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Are you aware of any communications to the Greene County Board of Commissioners by any other either PUCO employee or Power Siting Board Staff member asking for -- whether they would pass a resolution?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. When did Ms. White direct you to call Mr. Ewry?
- A. It would have been the morning of, I believe, the 28th.
- Q. And do you recall the substance of your conversation with Mr. Ewry?
- A. Yes. I called him to say that we had received their notice to intervene. However, they

had not indicated whether they were for or against the project.

2.1

- Q. And I guess I should follow up, do you recall his response to you?
- A. He said he thought the three Townships were going to be putting together a joint resolution, but he wasn't sure where it was in the process.
- Q. Do you recall whether he told you whether Cedarville Township Trustees support or oppose the project?
- A. He didn't say. He said that he was going to talk to Mr. Brown and -- to find out whether that resolution stood.
- Q. On any -- let me ask, in any of the e-mails that you received from Mr. Ewry, did he indicate whether Cedarville Township would be passing a resolution that would oppose the project?
- A. He thought that there was going to be a joint resolution, but we would not have it in time for the Staff Report. But I believe he -- and he said the Township Trustees were against the project.
- Q. In regards to the e-mails you exchanged and received from Mr. Ewry, did you forward those e-mails to anybody?
 - A. I would have shared them with Theresa

1 White.

2

3

4

- Q. And when do you think you would have shared them with her?
 - A. Almost immediately.
 - Q. And why did you share those with her?
- A. She had asked me to contact them to find out their views.
- Q. And when you say "them," who is the "them"?
- 10 A. The three sets of Township Trustees and
 11 Greene County Commissioners.
- Q. So with Mr. Ewry, you had telephoned him
- 14 A. IT has been working.
- 15 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- 16 A. I should have warned you, sorry.
- MR. SETTINERI: Off the record.
- 18 (Discussion off the record.)
- 19 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record.
- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) All right. So in regards to Mr. Ewry, you had an e-mail -- e-mail communication with him on the 28th.
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And you also had a phone call with him on the 28th.

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Did you have any other communications with Mr. Ewry about the Kingwood Solar project?
- A. We went back and forth that day, and I thanked him for the information.
- Q. And then those communications were limited to October 28.
- A. 28th and then the 29th when I sent him a copy of the Staff Report.
- Q. And then just for the record, later then you also sent an e-mail, a group e-mail, about the evidentiary hearing?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Which probably included him.
- 15 A. Right.
 - Q. Now, when -- you mentioned -- I asked you about who -- you know, the them, and you said the three sets of Trustees and the Commissioners. So let's start with Miami Township. Did you have any communications with Miami Township or any representative about the Kingwood Solar?
 - A. No. I left a message for them, and they did not call me back.
- Q. Do you recall what you said in the message?

- A. The -- I said that they had -- we had received their notice to intervene, but they had not indicated whether they were for or against the Kingwood project. And we would be happy to include their views, but we needed it by the 29th.
- Q. And then any other -- now, I think you testified that you also sent an e-mail that included the Miami Township Trustees about the evidentiary hearing as well as the Staff Report, two separate e-mails.
- 11 A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

2.1

2.2

- Q. Did you have any other communications
 with any other representative of Miami Township about
 the project?
 - A. No, I did not.
- 16 Q. Let's turn to Xenia Township.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. Did you have any communications with Xenia Township representatives about the project?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And when did you have those communications?
- 23 A. On October 28 and 29.
- Q. All right. And let's start with

 October 28. What communications did you have?

- A. I called Alan Stock who is with the Xenia Township, thanked him for filing the notice to intervene, but they had not indicated whether they were for or against the Kingwood project and that we would include their views, but we needed them by October 29.
 - Q. And did you speak directly with him?
 - A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And did he -- do you recall how he responded to you?
- 11 A. He said that the three Trustees were
 12 100 percent against the project but that they would
 13 not be able to meet the October 29 deadline.
- Q. And did you have any e-mails with
 Mr. Stock?
- A. I included him on an e-mail I sent to the other Trustees.
- Q. Those are the two e-mails referred to earlier.
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Did Mr. Stock -- did your conversation include any other topics or?
- A. No. It was fairly short.
- Q. Did you tell anyone about your conversation with Mr. Stock?

- A. I shared that information with Theresa
 White.
 - Q. And did you share that in an e-mail?
- 4 A. Yes.

3

8

- Q. Okay. And the same -- your message to Miami Township, did you communicate to anyone that you left a message with Miami Township?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who did you communicate with?
- 10 A. Theresa White.
- 11 Q. That was via e-mail as well?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Did she respond to you via e-mail with those communications?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And how did she respond to you?
- 17 A. Thank you.
- 18 Q. Anything more than thank you?
- A. It's -- I don't remember her exact words, no, but the message was thanks for reaching out to
- 21 them.
- Q. And I think we covered this already, but other than the two separate e-mails, the group e-mails, did you have any other conversations with
- 25 Mr. -- with anyone from Xenia Township other than the

call you just mentioned?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you have any -- well, strike that.
 4 Hold that.

After -- on -- after you communicated the results of your outreach, we will call it, on October 28 and you communicated that to Ms. White, did you have any further communications with Ms. White about that outreach?

- A. The -- just the Trustees would not have anything in time for the Staff Report and that they could include -- as long as we received it by the evidentiary hearing, we would include whatever they sent us in the case record.
- Q. Make sure I am clear, so let me back up.

 Did you have any in-person discussions with Ms. White about your communications with the Township Trustees, the representatives?
- A. What do you mean by in person? Face to face or?
- 21 O. Other than e-mail.
- 22 A. E-mail and phone calls.
- Q. Okay. And did you speak -- do you recall if you spoke to Ms. White on October 28 about your outreach?

A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. And what do you recall the substance of that conversation?
- A. That the Trustees were not able to meet the Staff Report deadline but I let her know what the status was speaking with Cedarville Trustees as well as the Xenia County -- or the Xenia Township Trustees.
- Q. Okay. And did you speak to Ms. White also on October 29?
- 11 A. I don't remember.
- 12 Q. Um -- go ahead.
- A. Oh, yes. I was on a conference call with other Staff members on the 29th. Theresa was on that conference call.
- Q. All right. That call was to discuss the Staff Report.
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. And that was to discuss -- well, strike that for now.
- Let me ask you this, in regards to that discussion, what was your role in that discussion about the Staff Report?
- A. That was -- I had only been at the Power Siting Board for a little over a month, so I was

- really more a fly on the wall. That was my first

 Staff Report. I was just really listening in, and I read the Staff Report but.
- Q. And on that point did you provide any input on the Staff Report?
- A. Only what I told them -- told Matt

 Butler, who wrote the Staff -- had reviewed the Staff

 Report, as well as Theresa White about my

 conversations with the Trustees and the

 Commissioners.
- Q. Did -- in terms of -- let's be clear for the record, you didn't draft any language in the Staff Report, correct?
 - A. No, I did not.

2.1

- Q. All right. And you didn't provide any recommended edits to the Staff Report, correct?
 - A. No. I just read it.
- Q. Greene County Commissioners, did you have any -- we covered this. I don't know if we did though. Make sure we did. I think you said earlier that you had sent -- you had two e-mails that went to the Greene County Commission representatives. Did you make any phone call to any representative of the Greene County Commissioners?
 - A. I called the Greene County Commissioners

and asked for Brandon Huddleson, and he was not available. I spoke to his administrative assistant Vicki, and I explained why I was calling, that we had received their notice to intervene and that they hadn't weighed in either for or against the project. And if they wanted to include their views in our Staff Report, we would need to get those — that resolution by October 29, and she put me on hold.

- Q. And do you recall what -- did she come back off hold?
- A. Yeah. She came back off hold and said, yes, the Commissioners are voting today on a resolution against the project and that they would file that with us, and they filed it the next morning.
- Q. All right. And did you take any notes from that phone call?
- A. Just that the note of who I talked to and what time.
- Q. Okay. And then what did you do with that information? Let me stop there, back up. Did

 Mr. Huddleson's assistant tell you anything else?
 - A. No.

2.1

Q. And then what did you do with that information that you received from her?

- A. I shared it as part of my report to Theresa White.
- Q. And when you say a "report to Theresa White," what do you mean by a "report"?
 - A. Just a phone call or e-mail.
- Q. Did you do separate e-mails after every call? Would you write a quick e-mail to her?
- A. No. These calls were fairly quick one right after the other.
- Q. And you made the call to the Greene
 County Commissioner's Office at the request of
 Ms. White, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And that's the same with the Miami and Xenia Township calls as well, correct?
- 16 A. That's right.
- Q. Am I correct that sitting here today, you don't know why Ms. White asked you to make those calls?
 - A. Well, we had not received their views whether they were for or against the project, and we would have included those had we known them.
- Q. Okay. And is that your belief as to why
 Ms. White asked you to make the calls?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. I haven't asked you this, what's your title of your current position?
- A. The official title is Program

 Administrator 3. The title I use when working with local officials is Community Liaison.
- Q. And I think you already said, who is your employer?
 - A. The Public Utilities Commission.
 - Q. And who do you report to?
 - A. I report to Theresa White.
- 11 Q. Have you always reported to Ms. White?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Briefly what is your work experience leading up to your current position?
 - A. My background is in communications, and so I have lots of experience in community outreach, working grassroots organizations, the whole gamut of communications, media relations.
 - Q. And you briefly worked for PERS and STRS; is that right?
 - A. I worked for the Ohio Public Employees
 Retirement System, and before that I worked with the
 School Employees Retirement System.
 - Q. How would you describe your current job responsibility at the Ohio Power Siting Board?

A. I work with local officials to help them through the siting process. So I attend public hearings and public meetings, talk to local officials on the phone, and there may be different times that I am sending an e-mail or phone call, you know, here is the date for the application that's just been filed, dates have been set for the public hearing, those kinds of communications to keep them up to date.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- Q. And when you say public officials, what types of public officials?
- A. Almost exclusively Township Trustees and County Commissioners.
- Q. And is that outreach limited to only solar projects?
- A. Solar and wind. We've had one wind project, but it has been almost exclusively solar.
- Q. And are you aware of any -- that type of outreach being conducted for a transmission line application?
- 20 A. I don't -- I don't work with transmission 21 lines, so I'm not aware.
 - Q. And the same question for gas pipelines?
- A. Yeah. I don't really work with any gas pipeline projects.
 - Q. Is it fair to say that your

responsibilities are limited to renewable energy projects such as solar and wind?

A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

- Q. And to be a little more precise, are limited to wind and solar projects; is that correct?
 - A. Solar and wind, wind and solar, yep.
- Q. Did you watch the live feed of the Commissioners' October 28, 2021, meeting?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. What was your role with regard to the Kingwood Solar project?
- A. My role was to contact the Trustees and Commissioners about the -- whether they wanted to include their views either for or against the project in the Staff Report, and then later I attended the public hearing.
 - Q. So fair to say you had a limited role.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And at that time you had only been with the Commission for a month.
 - A. For a month, right.
- Q. You mentioned being in a meeting on the Staff Report on October 29, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Were you in any other meetings about the

Staff Report?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

2.1

- A. I don't remember. That one I remember but I don't remember any others.
- Q. Do you remember the substance of the conversations in that meeting about the Staff Report?
- A. The due date was the 29th and it was making sure we had everything we needed so that it would be filed in time.
- Q. And just to be clear for the record, you had indicated that I believe you attended the local public hearing for the Kingwood Solar project?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you attend any meetings in person or via computer with any representatives of the local public entities, the Townships and the Commissioners?
- A. No.
- Q. Are you familiar with Senate Bill 52?
- 18 A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. Would you say that's the genesis for your position?
 - A. That was what prompted my hiring but I don't work solely on Senate Bill 52 projects.
- Q. And you understand -- is it your
 understanding that the Kingwood Solar project is
 grandfathered under Senate Bill 52?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you review any meeting minutes from the Greene County Commissioners at all about the Kingwood Solar project?
- A. No, no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

- Q. Did you review the notices of intervention for any of the Townships in the County?
- A. I reviewed the parties of record that are listed on the website.
- Q. Let me -- so a specific question then,
 did you review Xenia Township's notice to
 intervene -- notice to intervene in the proceeding?
- A. I did review before I -- quickly before I called them.
 - Q. All right. And did you do the same review for Miami, Cedarville, and Greene County?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And did you look at any of the attached resolutions --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- if there were any?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Well, did you review any drafts of the
 Staff Report before you made your phone calls to the
 Township Trustees?

A. Yes; yes, I did.

2.1

- Q. And why did you review that?
- A. To familiarize myself on the project before I made those calls.
- Q. Were there any particular sections that you reviewed?
- A. I looked only in the public participation section.
- Q. Now, that section -- well, strike that.

 Now, I think -- I believe -- did you in
 any of your communications with the Township Trustees
 that we discussed earlier, did you inform any of them
 that the Intervenors could testify at the public
 hearing?
- A. Yes, that they could testify at the public hearing but members of the public would be asked to testify first and Intervenors last.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But that did not -- they didn't have to testify at the public hearing and that didn't have any impact on whether or not they testified at the evidentiary hearing.
- Q. Okay. And just to walk through the list then, starting with Cedarville Township and Mr. Ewry, was that a telephone communication or an e-mail to

Mr. Ewry with that information?

1

9

10

- A. It would have been part of that standard e-mail.
- Q. And how did you, I guess -- let me strike that.
- What led you to tell them -- or to inform

 Mr. Ewry that Intervenors could testify at the public
 hearing?
 - A. We had another case for solar where we started to allow Intervenors to testify and so that was something new.
- Q. Did you pass that information on also to Cedarville and Miami Townships?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you pass that on to Greene County
 Commissioners?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you pass it on to anyone else?
- A. I -- I don't remember if I told anyone else. Farm Bureau maybe.
- Q. Were you directed to provide that
 information to the Township Trustees and County
 Commissioners?
- A. I was for the Birch project which was going on at the same time, so I also provided it

to -- to the Intervenors in this project.

- Q. Did you -- just to be clear for the record, when you say Intervenors, the information you provided about that Intervenors could testify at the public hearing, you provided it to the representatives of the three Townships and the County.
 - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

- Q. But you don't recall whether you provided it to anyone else; is that correct?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. All right. So, for instance, did you inform Kingwood Solar representatives that that would be the case, that Intervenors could testify?
- A. No, I would not have communicated with them.
- Q. Are you aware in the past that the Board has not allowed Intervenors to testify at the public hearing?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know why this practice was changed?
- A. It was to give the public an opportunity
 to hear their testimony as well, and we do it now for
 all of our projects.

- Q. Let me ask you a question, why -- why didn't you inform Kingwood Solar that the Intervenors could testify at the public hearing?
 - A. I communicate with local officials.
- Q. Let me ask, if you recall, did you communicate with any other entities or persons about the Kingwood Solar project the week of October 25 that are not parties to the proceeding and ignoring fellow Staff members?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. Did you communicate with any PUCO employees that are not part of the Ohio Power Siting Board Staff with the Kingwood Solar project?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you had any -- other than the communications we've discussed today, hopefully you can remember what we've covered, have you had any other communications with the parties to this case about the Kingwood Solar project?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Are you aware of any communications by OPSB Staff members to any of the local public entities that are parties in this case outside of the public information meeting or public hearing?
- A. I -- no, I am not aware of any.

- Q. And the same question but are you aware of communication by any PUCO employee who may not be an OPSB Staff member to any of the local public entities that are parties in this case about the Kingwood Solar project?
 - A. I am not aware of any.
- Q. Let's -- just to confirm for the record,
 I would like you to look at some exhibits here that
 are e-mails.
- MR. SETTINERI: And if I may approach,
 11 your Honor?
- 12 ALJ WILLIAMS: Please.
 - MR. SETTINERI: I will pass out some copies. I haven't done this walk in a long time.
 - Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Ms. Graham-Price, these are exhibits that have been admitted previously in this proceeding, but I just want to verify that your knowledge of these e-mails and whether these are the e-mails you discussed earlier today in your testimony. Let's start with Kingwood Exhibit 77.
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 23 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Is this one of the e-mails you reviewed prior to appearing here today?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 2 Q. And this is an October 29 e-mail, 3 correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And would this be the e-mail you referenced in your testimony earlier about passing on a link to the Staff Report to certain individuals?

Now I want to drill down a little bit

A. Yes.

Ο.

- here. You mentioned earlier that you thought you had informed Mr. Ewry via an e-mail about the Intervenors being able to testify at the local public hearing.

 And I just want to make it clear for the record I don't see that in this e-mail so could that have been via a telephone call or was there another e-mail?
 - A. You know, I thought I would have included that. I don't see it here.
 - Q. Can you recall how you would have communicated to him about it?
 - A. It would have been in an e-mail.
- Q. And that would have been another group e-mail?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And let's look at Exhibit 78. And this e-mail has also been admitted. This is -- you are

familiar with this e-mail, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And this is another e-mail you reviewed in -- before appearing here today?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And this e-mail actually is about the evidentiary hearing and this is -- but this is setting a new date, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. I should say it's an e-mail about that. So we have two e-mails, 77 and 78. There may be another e-mail regarding appearing -- Intervenors being able to testify at the public hearing; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Other than let's say those three e-mails, assuming the third one exists, would there be any other e-mail communications to the public entities that are parties in this case that you can recall at this time?
- A. Just the e-mail I had mentioned with
 Mr. Ewry, Cedarville Township Trustee.
- MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. Your Honor,

 if I could just take a brief 5 minutes to go through

 my notes here?

ALJ WILLIAMS: Sure. We will go ahead and go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ WILLIAMS: We will go back on the record.

As we do, I note that counsel for Greene County has arrived. I will invite Mr. Boggs to make his appearance.

MR. BOGGS: Thank you very much, your
Honor. Thad Boggs, Frost Brown Todd, 10 West Broad
Street, 23rd Floor, Columbus, Ohio, for Greene County
Board of Commissioners.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Settineri, any further questions?

MR. SETTINERI: Yes, your Honor. Thank

16 you for the time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Ms. Graham-Price,
 after -- I guess prior to the Staff Report being
 issued, you didn't receive any new resolutions from
 the three Townships, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. In your current role, approximately how many solar projects have you been involved in?
- A. I'm not sure. I know that -- all I know is five years ago we had three. Today we have over

- 50, so I would guess that I attend a public hearing or public meeting maybe twice a week.
- Q. Have you been involved in more Staff Reports since the Kingwood Solar Staff Report?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Was Kingwood Solar your first Staff Report that you were involved in?
 - A. Yes. First one I read.
- Q. And in any of the -- and so since then, you've worked on other Staff Reports, correct?
 - A. I have not written any Staff Reports.
- Q. Have you conducted -- have you reached out to any Township Trustees and Commissioners for other projects about their positions on the project?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you typically do that the day before the Staff Report is due?
- A. Now it's much more -- it's proactive. As part of that, I mentioned I contact Commissioners and Trustees maybe eight times through a project and that's one of the e-mails that I -- that I include is that here are the ways you can participate in the -- in the process, and once deadlines are set, I share that with them.
- Q. I don't know if I asked you this

- question, I was asking about the information about the Intervenors testifying at the public hearing.
- Did anyone direct you to provide that information to the Trustees and the Commissioners about -- in the Kingwood Solar project?
- 6 A. No.

7

8

9

10

- Q. Now, I think you said prior to making the calls to the Commissioners, you said you had reviewed a draft Staff Report; is that correct?
 - A. I glanced at it, yes.
- 12 Q. And that -- that report had recommended approval of the project, correct?
- A. It was still in draft form. I don't know where -- where it stood.
- Q. Okay. When you say "in draft form," what do you mean?
 - A. It wasn't the final report.
- Q. If you could -- do you have a copy of what's been marked as Staff Exhibit 1, the Staff Report of Investigation?
- A. I have a copy of the Staff Report, but it's not -- it doesn't indicate its number. I mean, it's just the Staff Report.
- Q. Okay. And just for the record, that's dated October 29, 2021?

A. Correct.

1

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

- Q. If you could turn then to page 42 of the 3 Staff Report, please.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. We will wait a second for people to pull it up.
- 7 MR. SETTINERI: When the Bench is ready, 8 I'll proceed.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Please proceed.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) You -- what section specifically did you say you reviewed of the Staff Report before you made your calls?
- A. I glanced through the beginning of the Staff Report that like gives you some background on the application itself.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- A. And just the public interaction, participation.
 - Q. Would that be starting at page 41?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And you may not recall this, but the last paragraph before public comments, do you recall whether that was in the draft when you reviewed it before you made your calls?
- A. I don't remember.

	1959
1	MR. SETTINERI: All right. I think no
2	further questions at this time, your Honor. And,
3	Ms. Graham-Price, thank you.
4	ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.
5	As we were doing before, I will invite
6	what would be direct on behalf of the Citizens for
7	Greene Acres.
8	MR. VAN KLEY: We have no questions, your
9	Honor.
10	ALJ WILLIAMS: Miami Township.
11	MR. SLONE: No questions, your Honor.
12	Thank you.
13	ALJ WILLIAMS: Greene County.
14	MR. BOGGS: No questions, your Honor.
15	ALJ WILLIAMS: Xenia Township.
16	MR. WATSON: No questions.
17	ALJ WILLIAMS: Cedarville Township.
18	MR. BROWN: Yes, I have one. I am going
19	to move over here so I can see the witness.
20	ALJ WILLIAMS: Of course.
21	
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	By Mr. Brown:
24	Q. Good morning, Ms. Price.
25	A. Good morning.

Q. I am Daniel Brown, counsel for Cedarville Township. There's been questions today about your communications with me on a particular day, October 28, 2021. Do you remember that day and your communications with me on that day?

A. Yes.

- Q. I just wanted to clear it up. It got a little muddied in my opinion. So I wanted to ask you if you remember me sending you an e-mail on October 28 that told you that at this point in time counsel for Cedarville, Miami, and Xenia Township Boards of Trustees plan to work with counsel for the Greene County Board of Commissioners to draft and file separate and/or joint written testimony in opposition to the Kingwood Solar application pending before the Ohio Power Siting Board? Do you remember when I sent you that e-mail?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. So did you understand that the townships were planning to oppose the application?
 - A. Yes.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you.

In Progress.

MR. HART: No questions, your Honor.

2.1

Proceedings

1961 1 ALJ WILLIAMS: Farm Bureau. 2 MS. MILAM: No questions, your Honor. 3 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you. Staff. 4 5 MR. MARGARD: No, thank you, your Honor. ALJ WILLIAMS: Mr. Settineri. 6 7 MR. SETTINERI: Yeah, just to follow up 8 on that. 9 10 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 By Mr. Settineri: 12 Mr. Brown just read you an e-mail that we Ο. 13 haven't seen, but do you recall when you received 14 that e-mail? 15 It would have been, I think, the 28th. 16 It was part of the e-mail chain that I referenced 17 earlier. 18 Okay. And that was an e-mail chain that Q. 19 you recall that you initiated; is that correct? 20 Α. That was the e-mail chain with Mr. Ewry, the Trustee with Cedarville Trustees. He had copied 21 22 Mr. Brown on any e-mails to me. 23 Okay. And was -- no representative of Q. 24 Kingwood Solar was on that e-mail chain, correct? 25 Α. That's correct.

```
1962
 1
                 MR. SETTINERI: No further questions,
 2
     your Honor. Thank you.
 3
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you,
    Ms. Graham-Price.
 4
 5
                 THE WITNESS: Okay.
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Enjoy the rest of your
 6
 7
     day.
 8
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: We will go off the record
 9
10
    briefly.
11
                 (Discussion off the record.)
12
                 ALJ WILLIAMS: Back on the record.
13
                 Mr. Settineri.
14
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.
15
     At this time given Ms. Graham-Price's testimony, we
16
     would renew our motion for the appearance of Theresa
17
     White through subpoena. The basis is that as you
18
    heard Ms. Graham Price say that she was directed by
19
    Ms. White to make these telephone calls and get that
20
     information, we continue to have concerns with that
2.1
     and believe that for the record having Ms. White
22
     appear to answer questions about those communications
23
     in that direction is relevant as to the Staff Report
24
     itself.
```

ALJ WILLIAMS: Your motion is continuing

to be denied. Ms. Graham-Price didn't provide any testimony that led the Bench or the parties to hear anything other than she was instructed to make follow-up calls as part of her job responsibilities. She certainly wasn't instructed to make any changes to the Staff Report of Investigation.

2.1

She testified that she only briefly reviewed it at the outset in order to provide context for those phone calls which is what she did which is apparently part of her job duties. So with that, your motion is denied.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

ALJ WILLIAMS: You're welcome, sir. With that are you ready to proceed to rebuttal witnesses?

MR. SETTINERI: At this point, subject to

the rulings from the Bench as to what we would like to do, yes, we are ready to proceed.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.

So in addressing the motion to strike filed by multiple Intervenors on March 22, I believe -- it was April 20. I believe the certificate of service had indicated it was March 20.

Be that as it may, Intervenors move to strike rebuttal testimony of Witness Hobart as well as portions of testimony of Dylan Stickney and the

rebuttal testimony of Witness Mohammed Karim.

2.1

The Bench has reviewed that motion together with the memoranda contra filed by the Intervenors last Friday. The Bench is going to deny the entirety of the motion to strike. Relative to the testimony of Witness Hobart, that witness is the one who conducted the public opinion poll that was raised by Mr. Stickney's testimony. That public opinion poll was correlated in an effort to gauge local opposition and was intended to provide some context or perhaps to weigh contra to the interactions that were presented by multiple Intervening parties relative to their impressions of the public opinions in regard to the project.

To the extent the testimony is as a measure or gauge of public opinion, it certainly has relevance to the Board's consideration here, and it certainly weighs into the standard under 4906.10(A)(6) in terms of the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Moreover, the Bench certainly is interested in further testimony relative to polling methodology that would be presented in the course of that witness's testimony.

Moving on to Witness Stickney, there were

A, B, and C to the request to strike Witness
Stickney's testimony. A is a strict overlay of the
polling issue I just addressed in some detail. B
would be Witness Stickney bringing forward an updated
economic and fiscal impact study as well as a
property tax estimate.

2.1

Those are studies that were purportedly either commissioned or updated following the evidentiary hearing or one of the evidentiary hearings. They included additional updates relative to potential good neighbor agreements, community donations, township contributions, changes in tax analysis as well as global economic and fiscal impacts. Again, it's been described that those go toward measuring the public interest as in regard to this project.

Candidly, this was a more difficult call for the Bench as that information was understandably presented as part of the application, but we feel that weighing this more broadly in favor of its admissibility, subjecting it to cross gives the Board the better opportunity to consider this information as part of the totality of the evidence in this case.

Finally, Witness Karim testifies in direct response to Witness Stickney's part B economic

1966 and fiscal impact study as well as the property tax 1 2 estimates. And as I've indicated, the Board feels -the Bench feels those are relevant for the Board's 3 consideration as well. 4 5 So with all of that, the Bench does deny 6 the motion to strike, and we will invite the entirety 7 of the testimony subject to cross. With that, Mr. Settineri, I will let you 8 9 call your next witness. 10 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. If I may have just a moment to clear off some paper. 11 12 ALJ WILLIAMS: Yes. 13 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time we'll call Mr. Alex Odom to the stand. 14 15 (Witness sworn.) MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time 16 17 if you would allow me to mark an exhibit. 18 ALJ HICKS: Please. 19 MR. SETTINERI: Mark as Kingwood Exhibit 20 102 rebuttal testimony of Mr. Alex Odom. 2.1 ALJ HICKS: It is so marked. 22 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 23

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

24

Proceedings

1967 1 ALEX ODOM 2 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 3 examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 By Mr. Settineri: Good morning, Mr. Odom. 6 Q. 7 Α. Good morning. Do you have before you what's been marked 8 Q. as Kingwood Exhibit 102? 9 10 Α. Yes, I do. And could you describe that document for 11 Ο. 12 the record, please. 13 Α. This is my rebuttal testimony. 14 Is that prepared by you or at your Ο. direction? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 And do you have any changes or revisions Q. 18 to that testimony today? 19 Yes, I do. I have two changes. Α. 20 Q. As you've done in the last hearing, if 2.1 you could carefully and slowly read those revisions 2.2 for the court reporter, please. 23 So the first revision is on page 2, Α. 24 starting on line 17. So after it says "pad period,"

I would like to insert a new -- insert two sentences

as follows: "Based on information from the Applicant and its" --

2.1

ALJ WILLIAMS: Slower, please.

- A. "Based on information from the Applicant and its construction team, there should be space to easily dig holes for concrete footers to place steel or wooden posts around the equipment pad to support the barrier."
- Q. I am going to stop you, Mr. Odom. I want you to back up and read really slow for us, please.
- A. Okay. "Based on information from the Applicant and its construction team, there should be space to easily dig holes for concrete footers to place steel or wooden posts around the equipment pad to support the barrier."
 - Q. And I am going to have you -ALJ HICKS: A little slower.
- Q. I am going to have you do it one more time.

ALJ HICKS: Read a few words and pause.

THE WITNESS: I apologize.

A. "Based on information from the Applicant and its construction team, there should be space to easily dig holes for concrete footers to place steel or wooden posts around the equipment pad to support

the barrier. The underground electrical lines do not extend in all directions around the equipment pad, and the construction team will have safety protocols to avoid coming in contact with the underground electrical lines." That's the extent of the first comment, first change.

MR. SETTINERI: And I will ask the Bench would you like that reread again?

ALJ HICKS: Do you have it, Karen? If anyone else needs it again.

Keep going.

- A. And the second change is on page 3 on line 22 where it reads "the Kingwood Solar project is consistent for the Board's approach," it should instead read replacing "for" with "with." So as corrected, it would be "the Kingwood Solar project is consistent with the Board's approach."
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Odom. Do you have any other revisions to your testimony at this time?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. And if I asked you the questions in your testimony today, would your answers be the same as written and as you have revised?
 - A. Yes.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.

Your Honor, we would move for the admission of Kingwood 102, subject to cross-examination, and the witness is available for cross-examination.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.

We will start with Citizens for Greene

Acres.

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

- -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

2.1

- Q. Mr. Odom, let's start on page 2 of your rebuttal testimony marked as Exhibit 102. And let's go to answer 6 on that page. With respect to answer 6 including the two sentence addition that you've just made today, is any of the information in that answer contained in the application?
- A. I do not recall this language appearing in our application. I would have to review it though.
- Q. All right. Do you recall whether any of the information in answer 6 is included in Kingwood Solar's responses to Data Requests from the Staff?
- A. I do not recall any -- any questions related to this Data Request.

Q. Do you recall whether any of the information in answer 6 is included in the Joint Stipulation?

2.1

- A. They do talk a little bit about post-construction mitigation if -- if the inverters selected would -- would not meet specifications of the project.
- Q. Well, is there anything in the Stipulation that says that the project will ensure that the concrete footings and equipment pads around the inverters and substation transformer could support a noise barrier wall?
 - A. No, not to my knowledge.
- Q. Is there anything in the Joint
 Stipulation that requires the Applicant to make sure
 there are no other engineering constraints such as
 underground elements that prevent new structural
 supports for a noise barrier from being placed next
 to the existing equipment pad?
- A. Not the Joint Stipulation. I just meant from my discussion with -- with the construction team that they expected that that's normal for the design for their space to allow both light vehicle turnarounds and other examples of prior projects that have installed structures post-construction.

Q. But you don't recall there being any promise in the application or the responses to Data Requests to do that, do you?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Let's go to page 3 -- or 4. Let's go to page 4 of Exhibit 102, answer 9. Your first sentence in answer 9 states "In my experience, it is very difficult to predict complaints solely based on increases over the ambient level." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What in your experience has led you to come to that conclusion?
- A. I worked in a variety of projects that, for example, this criteria that Mr. Rand states in his testimony, L90 plus 5, I've worked on a variety of projects that exceed that standard, and I am not familiar with noise complaints related to that, to those projects.

And then in more general cases, I would just say that it is -- in terms of predicting a complaint, it's hard -- hard to say what any given person may do in their response to noise. They may -- two people may equally be annoyed by the sound, but only one person may complain.

Q. Okay. With regard to your statement that

you're not familiar with complaints on specific projects, what kind of survey, if any, have you done for any of those projects to talk to the people living around the facility about whether they have any complaints?

2.1

- A. We don't typically conduct community surveys. I would say never have I conducted any survey of the community. We sometimes are involved with projects that are either projects that Acentech conducted or projects where some other consultant worked on a project or they had no consultants. And so we might be brought in from -- like reach out to a member of the community who has a complaint, and then we may be engaged in that kind of work.
- Q. So you have investigated some complaints that have been made for noise from solar facilities?
- A. I am aware of an Acentech project where we were conducting both kind of peer review of another consultant's work and then some assistance on design of mitigation for a solar project, yes.
 - Q. That was a solar project?
 - A. That was a solar project.
- Q. And you were called in to double-check another acoustics consultant's work in that case?
 - A. And then also provide recommendations for

mitigation.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So were there complaints about noise in that case?
 - A. Yes, there were complaints. This was --
- Q. And how loud were the noises that you determined were -- were occurring from the solar project, if any, during that investigation?
- A. I am not familiar with the overall sound level, but I do know that the inverter in question was -- or the inverters in question were about 100 feet from a residential property.
- Q. Uh-huh. From the property line or from the house?
 - A. I recall from the house.
 - Q. Okay. And did you determine what the noise levels were at the house?
 - A. Others at Acentech reviewed those measures. I assisted in measuring -- in modeling of -- of the noise barrier and determining kind of the both length and height of the barrier for -- to achieve required reductions which in Massachusetts for this particular project was 10 dB over the L90 was the criteria that applied locally.
- Q. Okay. So in this case the noise level from the inverters were 10 dBA over the L90

background level?

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: Just object to the extent you are mischaracterizing his testimony.

MR. VAN KLEY: I asked him whether my understanding is correct.

ALJ HICKS: Overruled. You can answer.

- A. I don't recall the exact -- exact noise levels with this project. That would have been a component of the compliance measures we took to get it underneath that criteria.
- Q. But do you recall that the noise level was 10 decibels or 10 dBA above the L90 background level, right?
- A. I do not recall. The other kind of component in Massachusetts is it's not related to ambient. It's related to tonal noise and that would have been the other component of the project in terms of achieving compliance with the regulation.
- Q. Okay. I couldn't hear what you said there. Related to what other kind of noise?
 - A. Tonal noise.
- Q. Tonal. Oh, okay, tonal noise. And so how was the noise level related to the tonal noise in that case?
- 25 A. So Massachusetts defines it -- a pure

tone based on how much sound in a given octave band, band of frequencies, how it compares to the two adjacent bands. And if it's 3 dB over both adjacent bands, it's considered a tone, and mitigation would be required. That's as measured at the residence.

- Q. And you found that there was tonal noise in the noise from the inverters in that project?
 - A. Yes, that is the case.

2.1

- Q. All right. And what kind of mitigation was ultimately required in that case?
 - A. This was noise barriers.
- Q. Around how many inverters were noise barriers placed?
- A. They were placed around all inverters and this was subtly different than the Kingwood Solar project. This was a project with string inverters so there was basically an inverter at the end of every single solar array line. And so it was subtly different in that there was -- you know, along the full extent of the property line there were inverters.
- Q. So the string inverters were right on the property line?
- A. They weren't right on the property line.

 They were probably set back some distance, but they

were -- they were poorly sited. They were sited next to the edge of the project as opposed to the opposite side of the project where there were no residences.

- Q. Have you investigated complaints about noise from any other solar projects?
 - A. No.

2.1

Q. So what is it about that case that we have just been discussing that in your experience or that -- let me start over.

So was that project that we have just discussed one of the projects that led you to state in the first sentence of answer 9 on page 4 of your testimony that in your experience, it is very difficult to predict complaints solely based on increases over the ambient level?

- A. I mean, that's somewhat true in that, you know, we were working with, I think, you know, one, one member of the community was complaining and there were other homes at similar setbacks that didn't raise concerns. So but this is an example it is hard to pick which given member of the community may complain about noise.
- Q. Some people are just more sensitive to the same level of noise as other people, right?
 - A. That's correct.

Q. So am I reading that first sentence in answer 9 correctly to mean that it's difficult to predict complaints based only on increases over the ambient level?

2.1

- A. Yes, that is correct. You need to consider a lot of different factors about both the character of the noise, the environment, and the particular most sensitive receptors and the people who live there.
- Q. So you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that -- that increases over the ambient level are one factor to consider in determining whether complaints will occur?
 - A. That's fair to say.
- Q. Now, looking further into answer 9 on page 4 of your testimony, in the last sentence you state that "A 5 decibel increase over the L90 when ambient sound levels are higher (for example -- for example, 50 dBA) may be less acceptable given the overall level that results, than a 5 dB increase when the ambient sound levels are lower (for example, 30 dBA)"; am I reading that correctly?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Now, at a level of 30 dBA, are you referring there to the L90 as well?

- A. I'm referring -- referring only to the ambient, I guess -- I guess the sentence does specifically call out L90, yes.
- Q. Okay. I don't think I heard that last statement. Could you repeat it, please?
- A. Yeah. So this -- this statement does refer to the L90, and so L90 of 30 dBA I am referring to in this case.
- 9 Q. Okay. For the ambient sound level, 10 right?
 - A. For the ambient sound level.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

14

15

2.1

22

23

- Q. And you would consider that to be a quiet environment, right?
 - A. Yes. I would say typical -- typical rural or in some cases suburban sound level.
- Q. And a 50 dBA ambient level is not considered to be a quiet level, right?
- A. I don't know exactly if I would say -- I
 mean, it's on the higher end of acceptability,
 certainly for residential use.
 - Q. Do you find in your work that people who live in a quiet environment are more sensitive to noise increases than those who are living in an environment that's not as quiet?
- 25 A. That's kind of the opposite of this last

sentence in answer 9 where I am kind of saying that in cases where the ambient level is very low, like 30, increasing to 35 might not be as noticeable as a case where increasing sound levels from 50 to 55 or 55 to 60 in an area where sound levels are already kind of borderline acceptable. Like a downtown area, increasing even more could bring it into a level that's actually intolerable, whereas, in quiet rural areas, while it is certainly a noticeable sound, it might be -- it might be still an acceptable total level of sound again at the end of them, at the end of the day.

2.1

- Q. I mean, if the environment is quieter, a new noise that is louder would be more noticeable than a new noise in a noisier environment; isn't that the case?
- A. In terms of talking about the same level of increase in noise, the new noise source would be just as noticeable in both situations. I think it's just a question of, you know, what does -- what does that existing ambient consist of? Does it consist of any industrial noise sources? Probably not. Or minimal noise sources at a stage? So it would be more noticing a new character of noise rather than like understanding the true increase. Like for this

example talking about a 5 dBA increase associated with a particular noise source, those two noise sources would be in this hypothetical equally noticeable in both environments, but obviously in a louder environment they may have also existing industrial sources. It would be one of multiple industrial sources as opposed to a rural without any existing industrial uses.

2.1

- Q. Is the noise that you would expect from an inverter a type of noise that is different than the types of noises ordinarily experienced in a quiet rural environment?
- A. I mean, it depends on exactly some of the -- some of the noise sources would be similar to there does exist, you know, mechanical noise sources like people's air conditioning and things like that that are mechanical do exist in rural areas.
- Q. Let's go to answer 10 on page 4 of
 Exhibit 102. In lines 14 and 15, you state that
 in -- "in reality, either all inverters would operate
 at 60 percent capacity or 60 percent of all inverters
 would operate at 100 percent capacity" at night,
 right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. If that's the case, then why did you

model the noise for inverters at night at 100 percent capacity?

2.1

- A. We didn't have any sound data for how loud an inverter is when it's running at 60 percent of its capacity. And we didn't have any -- we weren't familiar with any like prediction models to estimate how much quieter that equipment would be when it's operating at a lower mode. In general, we don't have data for the way that these inverters are providing reactive power.
- Q. Can you tell me whether the inverters at that project, that is, the Kingwood Solar project, will never operate at 100 percent capacity at night?
- A. I think, as I understand it, that there is these two different operational modes they anticipate, that it's either 60 percent or running at 100 percent. So in that case there would be individual inverters 100 percent of their typical capacity but in no scenario would all 100 percent operate at 100 percent capacity.
- Q. And what's the basis for that statement that you've just made?
- A. This is -- this is what's been described to me from -- by the Applicant about that they are kind of contracted to provide up to 60 percent of

their capacity at night via reactive power.

2.1

- Q. So it's your understanding based on discussions with the Applicant that this is based on their contractual -- anticipated contractual responsibilities?
 - A. Or operational responsibilities.
- Q. I mean, you are not aware of any technical reason that it would be impossible to operate it at 100 percent at night, are you?
- A. I don't know enough about the operation of reactive power to say.
- Q. Let's go to lines 22 through 23 on page 4 of Exhibit 102. And I would like to refer you to the sentence that reads as follows: "Per the WHO nighttime noise guidelines, 40 dBA is the lowest sound level at which they have observed adverse effects for nighttime noise." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, at this time I would like to mark an exhibit as Citizens Exhibit 18 which is entitled "Night Noise Guidelines for Europe." We earlier had circulated this exhibit as a possible exhibit for Mr. Odom's first visit with us, and now I don't think that we ever marked that or

introduced it previously in this hearing. And we would like to do that now.

ALJ HICKS: Okay. I will defer. I have it showing as marked, but to make sure it will be marked as Citizens Exhibit 18.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. And just to make sure I don't forget and haven't already done it, we do move pending the testimony that this be introduced into evidence. And I would like to approach the witness, your Honor, and give him a copy in paper of this document.

ALJ HICKS: Sure.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Mr. Odom, do you have in front of you what has been marked as Citizens Exhibit 18? Do you recognize this document?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And is Citizens Exhibit 18 the WHO Nighttime Noise Guidelines to which you refer in answer 10 of Exhibit 102?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Would you identify the page on -in Exhibit 18, that is, Citizens Exhibit 18, from
 which you obtained information in the sentence from
 your testimony that I read to you.
- 25 A. Sure. One moment. I think this is on

Executive Summary, page XVI.

2.1

- Q. All right. And would you point to the specific language on page XVI of the Executive Summary of Citizens Exhibit 18 from which you obtained the information.
- A. Yes. So under "Recommendations for Health Protection," the second paragraph, this is kind of a combination maybe of the second and third sentences where it reads "There is no sufficient evidence that the biological effects observed at the level below 40 dB L night, outside are harmful to health. However, adverse health effects are observed at the level above 40 dB L night, outside, such as self-reported sleep disturbance, environment insomnia, and increased use of somnifacient drugs and sedatives."
 - Q. All right. Thank you. Now, moving on in Citizens Exhibit 18 to the page with XVII which comes right after the paragraph you just referred to, there is a table there, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And the -- the table discusses the same information that you have just read us from the prior page of the WHO report, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

Q. And that information is found on the line that on the left-hand states "30 to 40 dB"; is that correct?

2.1

- A. Yes. Where it states "30 to 40 dB" and on the right the very final sentence says "L night, outside of 40 dB is equivalent to the lowest observed adverse effect level."
- Q. So would you just read for us the entire passage there for "30 to 40 dB."
- A. Sure. "A number of effects on sleep are observed from this range: Body movements, awakening, self-reported sleep disturbance, arousals. The intensity of the effect depends on the nature of the source and the number of events. Vulnerable groups (for example, children, the chronically ill and the elderly) are more susceptible. However, even in the worst cases the effects seem modest. L night, outside of 40 dB is equivalent to the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) for night noise."
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And you've been reading from Table 3 on page XVII of Citizens Exhibit 18, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Now, would you go back a few pages in the Executive Summary for Citizens

Exhibit 18 and specifically go to the page with the XIII.

- A. Okay.
- Q. And on XIII you will see a Table 1, correct?
- A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And in -- and then on the next page, page with the XIV, you'll see another table, or is it a continuation of Table 1 on that page?
 - A. This is a Table 2.
- Q. Okay. And then how are Table 1 and Table 2 related to each other, if at all?
 - A. Table -- they are both summary of effects of noise on different health metrics, but Table 1 is for effects where sufficient evidence is available, and Table 2 is for effects where limited evidence is available.
 - Q. Uh-huh. Then on Table 2 on page -- let me ask you this, are Tables 1 and 2 related to the information on Table 3 on the page with the XVII on this report?
- A. As I understand it, Tables 1 and 2 speak specifically to individual effect observations and associated noise levels and, you know, summarizing both areas where there is sufficient evidence and

limited evidence. And they also note maybe some of these sound levels are using different metrics, either outside or inside, either an Leq or an Lmax which is the maximum sound level under some duration of time. Whereas, Table -- Table 3, I think, is more of a summary of -- of WHO's findings and -- and kind of their recommendations which are distilled even further in Table 4 which is Nighttime Guidelines for Europe for 40 and then interim target 55 for other areas.

- Q. So is the -- is the statement from the two sentences read to us on page XVI obtained from the information in Tables 1 and 2?
- A. I mean, that's kind of the underlying basis of some of the WHO's recommendations. As I understand it, they reviewed a lot of research and then summarize that review with an actual recommended noise guideline as discussed in my testimony.
- Q. All right. And directing your attention back then to Table 2 on page XIV, do you see where there is a row for complaints?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q. And it states there that the estimated threshold in decibels for complaints is 35 L night, outside?

A. Yes, I do see that.

2.1

Q. And that level is based on the best expert judgment of the authors of this report given the evidence?

MR. SETTINERI: Just object, calls for speculation.

MR. VAN KLEY: I think he has probably read the report, so I think he can answer the question.

ALJ HICKS: The witness can answer.

- A. That is what the footnote refers to, that -- you know, that it's based on expert judgment evidence. But the way I have used this document and the way I see this document used in literature is to speak to their final recommendation as opposed to the basis of their limited evidence suggestions. Yeah, I am not an expert in public health or in the methods that they use to review the available evidence. I only know that the -- that the end of the day recommendation is for 40 dBA nighttime noise level.
- Q. Okay. Go back to answer 10 on page 4 of Exhibit 102, your rebuttal testimony. So going back to the sentence that kicked off this discussion on this topic where you state that "Per the WHO nighttime noise guidelines, 40 dBA is the lowest

sound level at which they have observed adverse effects for nighttime noise," your reference to "adverse effects" mean adverse health effects, correct?

2.1

- A. Yes, and specifically it is -- I guess the Executive Summary, page XVII, Table 3, that's the particular language where they say L night, outside of 40 dB is equivalent to the lowest observed adverse effect level, so I think it is that particular definition they use for this acronym LOAEL which is lowest observed adverse effect level.
- Q. Uh-huh. And those are -- that reference in the WHO report you've just mentioned refers to adverse health effects, correct?
- A. I only know that there's -- if -- I think a lot of the documents focus on adverse health effects, but I am not sure exactly why they have chosen to use the terminology lowest observed adverse effect level versus health effect.
- Q. Well, if you go back to page XVI of the WHO report and specifically look at the two sentences you read to me as the basis for your statement in answer 10 we've been discussing, those two sentences talk about biological effects that are harmful to health, don't they?

- A. Yes. Like I said, I am just trying to stick to if you continue on to the third paragraph of that page, they again use the lowest observed adverse effect level. I am not sure of the significance of the difference between adverse health effects and adverse effects.
- Q. Well, based on the statements in paragraph -- in the second and third paragraphs on page XVI of Citizens Exhibit 18 under the heading "Recommendations for Health Protection," that whole discussion is about what noise levels affect health, right?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. But you didn't use the word health in your sentence on lines 22 and 23 on page 4 of your testimony, did you?
- A. No. And I guess maybe only a correction to earlier where I pointed out specifically those two sentences in the second paragraph on that page perhaps better serves as the basis of my testimony as the first sentence of the third paragraph reading "Therefore, 40 dB L night, outside is equivalent to the lowest observed adverse effect level."
- Q. All right. So it's not your testimony, is it, that at 40 -- at a level below 40 dBA, that

there won't be any complaints about annoyance.

- A. Yeah. I make no prediction of complaints based on project noise levels.
 - Q. Based on annoyance.
- A. Based on annoyance or other. Related to noise -- noise annoyance specifically, I make no prediction on community complaints.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to page 5 of your testimony in Exhibit 102. Let's start at line 3 on that page where you state that you "agree with the WHO nighttime noise guideline report's assumption that a conservative estimate of the reduction of sound levels from outside to inside is about 21 dB." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, this reduction, if it occurs, doesn't help if somebody's in their yard being exposed to the noise, does it?
- A. No. Specifically the World Health
 Organization speaks about this number because their
 focus of nighttime noise is on sleep so that's
 happening indoors.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. Okay. Thank you.
- I have no further questions at this time,
- 25 your Honor.

2.1

```
1993
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
 1
 2
                 Miami Township.
 3
                 MR. SLONE: No further cross. Thank you.
 4
                 ALJ HICKS: Green County Board of
     Commissions.
 5
 6
                 MR. BOGGS: No, your Honor. Thank you.
 7
                 ALJ HICKS: Xenia Township.
 8
                 MR. WATSON: No, your Honor. Thank you.
9
                 ALJ HICKS: Cedarville Township.
10
                 MR. BROWN: No cross-examination.
11
                ALJ HICKS: In Progress.
12
                 MR. HART: No, thank you, your Honor.
13
                 ALJ HICKS: Ohio Farm Bureau.
14
                 MS. MILAM: No, I don't, your Honor.
15
     Thank you.
16
                 ALJ HICKS: Board Staff.
                 MR. LYONS: No cross, your Honor. Thank
17
18
     you.
19
                ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri, do you need a
20
    moment?
2.1
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yeah, take a few minutes.
22
     5 would be cool.
23
                 ALJ HICKS: Try to come back at noon?
24
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yeah, that works.
25
                 (Recess taken.)
```

ALJ HICKS: Go ahead and go back on the record.

Mr. Settineri, any questions?

MR. SETTINERI: Just a few, your Honor.

Thank you.

2.1

_ _ _

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Settineri:

- Q. Mr. Odom, you were asked some questions about your answer in answer 9, question 9 on page 4. Regarding the sentence "In my experience, it is very difficult to predict complaints solely based on increases over the ambient level," when you say "In my experience," what does that mean?
- A. I mean, that's my general project experience, my familiarity with a variety of regulations and what I've learned in, you know, formal education about acoustics. And I think if there was a more clear marker of how to predict complaints, it would be a more standardized criteria applied in many different cases.
- Q. And you were asked again questions about this answer, and you discussed a project that you had worked -- or a project you had worked on regarding complaints. Do you recall the size of that project?

A. This was much, much smaller than Kingwood Solar. I don't know the exact size of it, but a lot of our projects in Massachusetts are in like the 5-to 15-megawatt range. And there were maybe, you know, four to eight homes along -- along one edge of the project where the inverters were nearest.

Q. And you were asked about just for the record Citizens Exhibit 18.

MR. SETTINERI: For the record I will note, your Honor, it was marked and excerpts read into the record during Mr. Rand's cross-examination.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Turning to Table 2 on page Executive Summary XIV, I just want to make sure I read this correctly, "Table 2 Summary of effects and threshold levels for effects where limited evidence is available," two asterisks, that's the title of that table; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

MR. SETTINERI: All right. No further questions. Thank you, your Honors.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.

Mr. Van Kley, any?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah.

- -

2.1

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

Q. Mr. Odom, would you -- are you familiar with the Power Siting Board's rule for wind turbines with respect to how that rule treats a 5 decibel increase in the noise level above the ambient sound?

MR. SETTINERI: Just object, your Honor.

That's outside my recross.

MR. VAN KLEY: It is an introductory question to another question that's going to go directly to Mr. Settineri's cross, your Honor.

ALJ HICKS: I will give a little leeway here, but if we are not getting to the point pretty quickly here, I will renew -- update my ruling.

- A. I am at least familiar with the rule as I discuss it in answer 8 to my testimony.
- Q. And would you regard that as a standard -- standardized limit for a 5 decibel increase?
- A. It is -- it is a standard in Ohio for wind, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And with respect to the other solar project that you did your investigation on, how many acres were in that solar project?
- A. I don't have a good gut feel for acreage.

- Q. Can you give me an estimate?
- A. I don't really think in terms of acres.

 I think feet or meters, and I have not like measured the extent of that property -- that project.
- Q. Can you tell me how many -- how the acreage in that project compares to the acreage in the Kingwood Solar project?
- A. It's much, much smaller.
- MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Nothing else, your Honor.
- 11 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
- Mr. Odom, thank you for your testimony.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- ALJ HICKS: Take up -- you may have
 already moved them subject to cross, but we will go
 ahead and let you do it again.
- MR. SETTINERI: All right. Practice

 makes perfect. Your Honor, at this time I would move

 for the admission of Kingwood Exhibit 102, the

 rebuttal testimony of Alex Odom.
- 21 ALJ HICKS: Any objection to its
- 22 admission?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Hearing none, Kingwood Exhibit 102 is
- 24 admitted.
- 25 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

Proceedings

1998 1 ALJ HICKS: Similarly, Mr. Van Kley, 2 would you like to move -- I believe you did already? MR. VAN KLEY: I did, your Honor, and I 3 would renew that motion. I think there has been 4 5 enough testimony about this exhibit now that it would 6 be a good idea to introduce it into evidence at this 7 point. ALJ HICKS: Any objections? 8 Hearing none, Citizen's Exhibit 18 is 9 10 admitted into evidence. 11 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 12 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Mr. Settineri, please 13 go ahead. 14 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. 15 Just to get some paper together real quick. 16 ALJ HICKS: Sure. 17 ALJ WILLIAMS: Let's go off. 18 (Discussion off the record.) 19 ALJ HICKS: We will go back on the 20 record. Mr. Settineri, please call your next 2.1 22 witness. 23 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. 24 At this time we will call Lynn Gresock to the stand. 25 (Witness sworn.)

Proceedings

1999 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time 1 2 if we could mark Kingwood Exhibit 103, the rebuttal 3 testimony of Lynn Gresock. ALJ HICKS: So marked. 4 5 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 7 LYNN GRESOCK being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 8 9 examined and testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Settineri: 11 12 Good morning, Ms. Gresock. Q. 13 Α. Good morning. Do you have a copy before you of what's 14 Ο. been marked as Kingwood Exhibit 103? 15 16 I do. Α. And could you identify that for the 17 Q. 18 record, please. 19 This is my rebuttal testimony. Α. 20 Q. Was that prepared by you or at your 2.1 direction? 22 Α. It was. 23 And do you have any changes or revisions Q. 24 to that testimony at this time? 25 Α. I do not.

Q. If I asked you the questions as written in that testimony today, would your answers be the same today as written?

A. They would.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.

Your Honor, move for the admission of Kingwood 103, subject to cross, and the witness is available for cross. Thank you.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.
We will start with Citizens for Greene

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

- - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 By Mr. Van Kley:

Acres.

2.1

Q. Ms. Gresock, would you go to page 2 of your testimony that's been marked as Exhibit 103.

And let's go to the sentence that starts on line 17 on that page. And there you state "While red-winged blackbirds, finches, and mockingbirds were not observed at the time, they would be expected to be common visitors to bird feeders for seed and/or suet, and would be unsurprising to see in the area." Did I read that correctly?

A. You did.

- Q. Uh-huh. Now, the birds mentioned in this sentence are common in habitat other than at bird feeders, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So, for example, red-winged blackbirds are common in wetlands, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. And finches and mockingbirds are common in areas outside of feeders as well, right?
 - A. They do occur in other places, yes.
- Q. And are there habitats in the project area for Kingwood Solar that are conducive for residence by finches and mockingbirds?
- A. I wouldn't be surprised to see them in the area.
- Q. Let's go to page 3 of your testimony.

 And we will start at the very top on page 3, line 1,

 where you state "Ms. Adams' testimony implies that

 the Project may impact the types of species she

 identified as seeing near her property." Did I read

 that correctly?
 - A. You did.
- Q. What do you think in Ms. Adams' testimony implies what you just -- what you stated there?
 - A. I could not otherwise understand why she

was providing detailed information about the presence of the species.

- Q. Okay. All right. In that same paragraph on page 3 you talk about the diversity of habitat in the project area, right?
 - A. Where are you?
 - Q. The first paragraph in answer 7 on page
- 3. You talk about "low habitat diversity" there --
 - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. -- in the project area.
- A. I do speak to the fact active
 agricultural row crops are not diverse habitats.
 - Q. So going on then to the second paragraph on that page, there you talk about how pollinator-friendly species of plants can be added to the project area to increase the diversity of the project area for wildlife, correct?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. Do you know approximately how many acres of pollinator habitat will be added in the project area?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Do you know what percentage of the project area will host pollinator-friendly plants?
- A. I do not.

Q. Do you know that the pollinator-friendly plants will be around the outsides of the solar arrays rather than within the solar arrays?

2.1

- A. I know there are plans to have them around the outsides. I do not know that they will not be elsewhere.
- Q. So do you know whether any pollinator plants will be planted inside the solar arrays?
 - A. I do not know, but they could be.
- Q. Do you know of anything in the application that states that they will be planted within the solar arrays?
- A. The application doesn't reflect a specific planting design.
 - Q. So then it's also the case, isn't it, that the number of acres that will be planted in pollinator-friendly plants is not committed to in the application.
 - A. There are many aspects of the final design that are still to be resolved.
 - Q. All right. So the answer to my question is that the application does not state how many acres of pollinator plants will be planted, correct?
 - A. That is correct.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I have no more

2004 questions, your Honor. 1 Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 2 ALJ HICKS: 3 Any cross from Miami Township? MR. SLONE: Nothing, your Honor. 4 Thank 5 you. 6 ALJ HICKS: Greene County Board of 7 Commissioners. 8 MR. BOGGS: No, your Honor. Thank you. 9 ALJ HICKS: Xenia Township. 10 MR. WATSON: No cross, your Honor. Thank 11 you. 12 ALJ HICKS: Cedarville Township. 13 MR. BROWN: No cross, your Honor. 14 ALJ HICKS: In Progress. 15 MR. HART: No cross, your Honor. Thank 16 you. 17 ALJ HICKS: Ohio Farm Bureau. 18 MS. MILAM: No cross, thank you. ALJ HICKS: Board Staff. 19 20 MS. BAIR: No cross, thank you. 21 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri, are you 22 prepared? 23 MR. SETTINERI: A few minutes, if I 24 could. 25 ALJ HICKS: Sure. Let's come back at

Proceedings

2005

1 | 12:20. Off the record.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ HICKS: Let's go ahead and go back on the record.

Mr. Settineri, any redirect?

MR. SETTINERI: Yeah. Just one or two questions, your Honor. Thank you.

_ _ _

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Settineri:

- Q. Ms. Gresock, you were asked questions about the plants within the project area. So within the fence line of the project, will there be any types of plantings that you are aware of for certain?
- A. Within the fence line of the project area, there will be seeding mixes used throughout the footprint of the project.
- Q. Okay. What types of seeding mixes to the extent you know?
- A. So those will be various grasses so they
 may or may not all be pollinator seed mixes but
 different species will be used to stabilize the soil
 and to create diversity within the project footprint.
- MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you,
- 25 Ms. Gresock. No further questions.

2006 1 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Van Kley, any questions 2 based on those questions from Mr. Settineri? 3 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. Just real briefly. 4 5 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 6 By Mr. Van Kley: 7 So with regard to the grasses that may be Ο. planted within the fences of the solar arrays, 8 9 there's nothing in the application that states that 10 those grasses have to be pollinators, correct? 11 There will be pollinator species 12 throughout -- in locations in the project area. 13 grasses that are planted throughout may be or may not be pollinator species, but they will still enhance 14 15 diversity of the habitat as compared to row crop agriculture. 16 17 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Nothing further, 18 your Honor. 19 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 20 Thank you for your testimony today, 2.1 Ms. Gresock.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

22

23 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri, I believe,

24 already moved for the admission of Exhibit 103.

25 MR. SETTINERI: For the record I will

```
2007
     move for the admission again of Kingwood Exhibit 103,
 1
 2
     your Honor.
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you very much.
 3
                 Any objection to its admission?
 4
                 Hearing none, Kingwood Exhibit 103 is
 5
 6
     admitted.
 7
                  (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 8
                 ALJ HICKS: Let's go ahead and go off the
     record.
 9
10
                  (Discussion off the record.)
11
                  (Thereupon, at 12:23 p.m., a lunch recess
12
     was taken.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Proceedings

2008 1 Monday Afternoon Session, 2 April 25, 2022. 3 ALJ HICKS: Let's go ahead and go back on 4 5 the record. And I will hand it over to Mr. Settineri 6 7 to call Applicant's next witness. 8 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. The Applicant will call Mr. Jim Hobart to the stand, 9 10 please. 11 (Witness sworn.) 12 ALJ HICKS: Please go ahead. 13 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. 14 15 JIM HOBART being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 16 17 examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 By Mr. Settineri: 20 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hobart. 2.1 Α. Good afternoon. 22 Would you please state your name and Q. business address for the record, please. 23 24 Jim Hobart, Public Opinions Strategies, 25 214 North Fayette Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314.

1 Q. Thank you, Mr. Hobart.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. SETTINERI: And, your Honor, we would mark at this time Kingwood Exhibit 104, the rebuttal testimony of Jim Hobart.

ALJ HICKS: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. SETTINERI: And we will provide copies to the Bench as well as the court reporter, and the witness has a copy as well.

ALJ HICKS: Please go ahead.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Hobart, can you identify for the record what's been marked as Kingwood Exhibit 104.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And please identify it.
- A. Oh, yeah, my rebuttal testimony.
- Q. Okay. That was prepared by you or at your direction?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you have any changes or revisions to that testimony today?
- 23 A. One revision.
- Q. If you could carefully say that for the court reporter.

Sure. The bottom of page 15 -- excuse 1 Α. 2 me, the bottom of page 5, Q15, where it says "Do you agree with the conclusions about local opposition 3 presented by Mr. Zeto, Mr. Ewry, Mr. Huddleson," 4 5 after "Mr. Huddleson," please add "Mr. Hollister, and 6 Mr. Combs," so it would read "about local opposition 7 presented by Mr. Zeto, Mr. Ewry, Mr. Huddleson, Mr. Hollister, and Mr. Combs." 8

- Q. Thank you. Do you have any other revisions to your testimony at this time?
- 11 A. I do not.

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And if I asked you the questions as written in your testimony today, would your answers be the same as written as you have revised?
 - A. They would, yes.

16 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.

At this time, your Honor, we would move for the admission of Kingwood Exhibit 104, subject to cross, and we would present Mr. Hobart for cross-examination.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

We will begin with Citizens for Greene
Acres.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Thank you, your Honor.

I am going to distribute a copy of 1 2 Citizens Exhibit 16 which was introduced earlier in this hearing. Could I approach the witness to give 3 him a copy? 4 5 ALJ HICKS: Certainly. 6 THE WITNESS: I have got a copy to save 7 you the walk. MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Anybody else need a 8 9 copy of the opinion poll?

10 ALJ HICKS: I will take one as well, the

11 Bench.

22

12 MR. SETTINERI: You should have a copy as 13 well, bottom page.

14

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 By Mr. Van Kley:

- All right. Mr. Hobart, you have Citizens 17 Q. 18 Exhibit 16 in front of you?
- 19 I do, yes. Α.
- 20 Q. And by virtue of the fact you took it 2.1 with you, I take it you know what it is.
 - Α. I do, yes.
- 23 All right. Would you just briefly for Q. 24 the record describe what Citizens Exhibit 16 is.
- 25 A. It is a PowerPoint summary of the key

findings from a survey we did in Greene County, Ohio, on March 2 through 3, 2022.

- Q. And how are you familiar with this document?
- A. The survey was conducted by my business partner, Neil Newhouse, and I have -- since the survey was completed, I have been fully briefed on the survey. Neil and I work together quite regularly, and the way he conducted the survey is very similar to the way I would have conducted and fully briefed on it to discuss it today.
- Q. Okay. So you are familiar then with the contents of Citizens Exhibit 16.
 - A. I am, yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And Citizens Exhibit 16 pertains to the opinion poll that's discussed in your testimony marked as Kingwood Exhibit 104, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, if you look through Citizens

 Exhibit 16, there are a number of questions that are listed in this document, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And those are the questions that were asked to the persons that responded to the poll?
- A. They -- some of the questions, yes.

Q. All right. Now, how do I know which questions in this document were asked?

2.1

- A. Well, all of the questions in the document were asked but there are questions that were asked that are not in this document, you know, at least not spelled out.
- Q. Okay. So this document does not have a complete list of all the questions that were asked in the poll?
- A. Well, for example, it doesn't have the demographic questions, so we don't actually write down in what year were you born in this document.

 That's in the -- what we call the interview schedule and top line document which was also, I believe, provided to you all.
- Q. Where there are questions listed in Citizens Exhibit 16, are those questions presented in this document in the sequence in which they were asked to the people being polled?
- A. Well, yes. There were -- you know, as I mentioned, there are questions that are not included in this that were -- that -- for example, let's just go to slide 5. Would you say -- "What would you say is the most important priority that is facing Greene County, that is, the issue you're most concerned

about?" That is not the first question asked in the survey. Prior to that we ask demographic and screener questions.

- Q. So if you go to slide 7.
- A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. The question on this page that was asked in the poll was "And, do you generally support or oppose gradually transitioning the country's energy supply from fossil fuels like oil, natural gas and coal more toward renewable energy sources like wind and solar power?" Do you see that?
 - A. Correct. I do.
- Q. That is word for word the question that was asked to the people being polled, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And then if you go to the next line, which is on page 8, the question asked there was "How much have you seen, read or heard about the Kingwood Solar plan to build a utility-scale solar farm here in Greene County?"

 That again is a word-for-word rendition of a question asked to the people being polled, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that question was asked after the question that's on page 7, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And then if you go to slide 9, the question on that page is -- reads as follows: "As you may know, Kingwood Solar has proposed a solar project that consists of 1,200 acres of private, leased land in Xenia, Cedarville, and Miami townships. It is projected to provide \$1.5 million annually to the local communities in Greene County with the largest beneficiary being the schools. Do you support or oppose this proposed Kingwood Solar Farm?" Did I read that correctly?
- A. You did.
 - Q. And that is a word-for-word rendition of another question that was asked in the poll, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And that question was asked subsequent to the question that's on page 8, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And also was read subsequent to the question that is on page 7.
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. And let's go to page 14 in Citizens
 Exhibit 16.
- A. Well, my page 14 is blank.
- 25 Q. 16 -- or 14, yes, 14. Let's see here --

oh, it looks like 13 perhaps. Looks like there may be a page missing in what we were provided. Okay. So you have a blank for page 14?

- A. Right, yeah. Mine goes directly from page 13 to page 15.
- Q. Yeah, mine does too. And on page 13 on the top, does it say "And, what are the most important reasons why you support this proposed project?"
 - A. It does, yes.
- Q. Okay. That's the question -- that's the page I wanted to ask you about.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. All right. So these are all questions asked -- or all reasons provided by people who said they supported the project as reasons for their support, correct?
- A. Correct. It's what we call an open-ended question. The vast majority of questions on the survey with the exception of this one are what we call closed-ended questions. The respondents are read a list of potential answers, and then they choose one. This is one of the most important reasons why you support this proposed project. The respondent then says literally whatever they want and

that response is recorded verbatim; and then, you know, it's the response to an open-ended question.

- Q. Now, there were some people that said they were opposed to the project when they were polled, correct?
- A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. Were they also asked the most -- about the most important reasons why they opposed the project?
 - A. They were.
- Q. Okay. Does this document include any such reasons?
 - A. It does not.
 - Q. Okay. What was on page 14, if anything?
 - A. I do not recall.
- Q. Could it be on page 14 there was a list of reasons why people oppose the project?
 - A. Certainly possible.
 - Q. And why wasn't it included in this document that was turned over to us?

MR. SETTINERI: I am going to object at this time, your Honor. I think we need to go back and look. This document -- it shows -- I would like to take a moment to go back and look at the history of the document to see whether there was an error in

loading it on the docket or not.

The version I have marked has all the pages in it from the hearing itself, so we need to look at when it was first produced in the virtual hearing just to see if there was an error.

ALJ HICKS: Let's go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ HICKS: We will go back on the

record.

2.1

We briefly went off the record with some discussions to make sure that everyone was in agreement and had copies of the correct Citizens Exhibit 16, and it's the Bench's understanding that the parties intend to stipulate as to this correct version. And I will let Mr. Settineri or Mr. Van Kley spell out exactly what is happening, what is being stipulated to.

MR. SETTINERI: Go ahead.

ALJ HICKS: Either one.

MR. VAN KLEY: I'll go first. Yeah, we've proposed that we enter a stipulation that the corrected copy of Citizens Exhibit 16 is a true and accurate copy of that exhibit and that this was the exhibit that was utilized with Mr. Stickney earlier in this proceeding.

MR. SETTINERI: We will agree to that stipulation.

2.1

ALJ HICKS: Okay. Just for the record this is the version that has all pages in it, not a blank page 14.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes. It's a document -it has pages 1 through 18 with a last page that does
not have a page number on it that has just Neil
Newhouse's contact information. We will make sure a
complete copy is put on the docket itself with
correspondence, and we will also provide an e-mail
version to the parties and the court reporter to make
sure everyone has it.

ALJ HICKS: Okay. Any objections to anyone being so stipulated? We can go ahead and move forward with everyone's understanding that is the correct Citizens Exhibit 16.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Great. Thank you, your Honor.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Mr. Hobart, we were talking about page 14 in Citizens Exhibit 16, and just to pick up where we left off, page 14 has a list of the most important reasons provided by people being polled as to why they support the proposed Kingwood project, correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And now we have -- and that's the new -- that's the page that was missing from the document you were looking at earlier in your testimony today, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And page 13 which is -- which has now been inserted into the paper copy as a corrected page 13 also has reasons why the people being polled support the project, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So we have two pages of reasons why people -- that people gave for supporting the project but nowhere in Citizens Exhibit 16 are there any of those reasons -- or any reasons given from -- by people who were polled about why they oppose the project, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Let's go back to page 8 of Citizens Exhibit 16. And just to make the record read smoothly, this is the page that has the question on it which asks as follows: And -- I'm sorry, page 8. You are on page 8, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. That's good. That's where I asked you to

```
1
   go. I was the one on the wrong page. So the
2
   question on page 8 asks as follows: Have you -- "How
3
   much have you seen, read or heard about the Kingwood
   Solar plan to build a utility-scale solar farm here
4
5
   in Greene County?" So with regard to this question,
6
   8 percent of the people answering the questions said
7
   that they had a lot in response to this question,
8
   right?
```

- A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Some said some, right?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. That was 19 percent?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And 13 percent said not very much, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. And 60 percent said nothing at all,
- 17 | right?

- 18 A. Right.
- Q. That is, since that question can be read two ways, 60 percent of the people being polled said that they had heard nothing at all about the Kingwood Solar project, correct?
- A. Correct, seen, read, or heard nothing at all.
- Q. Yeah. All right. So how do you -- how

do you determine that somebody has -- had some -- had heard -- had seen, heard, or read some about the project?

2.1

- A. I mean, the way the question is asked is "How much have you seen, read or heard about the Kingwood Solar plan to build a utility-scale solar farm here in Greene County," a lot, some, not very much, or nothing at all. Those responses are rotated top to bottom, bottom to top, so some people would have heard nothing at all, not very much, some, or a lot, and they answer the question and it's recorded.
- Q. So what's the difference between some and not very much?
 - A. That's in the eye of the respondent.
- Q. So if -- if a person had just seen the name Kingwood Solar somewhere but knew nothing else about it, would that classify as some knowledge or not very much knowledge or what?
- A. I cannot speak to what respondents think when they are replying to a survey question.
- Q. Well, do you describe any significance to the difference between the number of people who heard some and not -- and the number of people who said not very much?
 - A. I'm not sure I understand your question.

- Q. Well, what -- I guess the question is what kind -- what value is obtained from finding out that some people heard some and some people heard not very much when the answer is in the eye of the beholder?
- A. Well, it's helpful to measure the awareness of the community about the project -- or the County, I should say.
- Q. So if a person responding to this poll had read one sentence about the Kingwood Solar project, would that person have been expected to answer some or not very much or what?

MR. SETTINERI: Object, asked and answered.

ALJ HICKS: So I will let the witness answer this, but I think we have mined this one pretty deeply here. So go ahead and answer this and let's move on.

- A. I don't have any expectation how someone answers a question I ask. I just ask the question.
- Q. Let's go back to page 9 of Citizens

 Exhibit 16. So this is the question where the

 actual -- the actual question here is preceded by two
 sentences full of statements, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. The first sentence states that the project consists of 1,200 acres of private, leased land in Xenia, et cetera, right?
 - A. Xenia, Cedarville, and Miami Townships.
- Q. Yeah. And then the second -- the second statement is "It is projected to provide \$1.5 million annually to the local communities in Greene County with the largest beneficiary being the schools," right?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. That's not a question, is it? It's more like a statement?
 - A. It is a statement.
 - Q. Yeah. And so then the question that followed after that was "Do you support or oppose this proposed Kingwood Solar Farm," right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Couldn't you have asked that question without making the statements in the prior two sentences on this page?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the question that appears on page 9
 of Citizens Exhibit 16 was the first question in the
 poll that asks the respondents whether they support
 or oppose the project, right?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And then you go to page 10 and here in the top of the page it says "Kingwood wins by almost three to one," right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And it states that 63 percent support and 23 percent oppose; am I reading that correctly?
 - A. You are.
- Q. So for those people who answered the poll at this point in time, the 60 percent of the respondents who had heard nothing about the project prior to answering the question on page 9 knew only the information about the project that your pollsters had provided them in this poll, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Are you familiar with a polling project done by your consulting firm concerning the use of photo enforcement in Arizona?
 - A. No.
- Q. No?
- A. No. I mean -- well, no is the answer.

 We do in excess of a thousand projects every year,

 the firm, so I am not familiar with every survey that

 we do.
- 25 Q. Okay.

A. Not that one.

2.1

- Q. So you are not familiar with a polling -- an opinion poll conducted by your firm in Arizona to find out whether the people favored photo enforcement using red light cameras?
- A. It sounds extraordinarily vaguely familiar, but I would know absolutely no details about that survey.
- Q. Has your consulting firm ever been accused of conducting opinion polls in a -- in a way whereby -- let me start over.

Has your consulting firm ever been criticized for providing information about a project that your -- your firm's clients supported in a manner in which the people being polled were first told favorable information about the project and then asked whether they favored it or opposed it?

- A. I would say just like any polling company in the country, our firm has been criticized by people who do not like the results of our surveys.
- Q. So is the answer to my question yes?

 MR. SETTINERI: Object, asked and
 answered.
- MR. VAN KLEY: No, he didn't. He just said they have been criticized. He didn't say they

1 | were criticized for asking --

2 ALJ HICKS: The witness can answer.

MR. SETTINERI: Can we have that question reread for the witness's benefit?

reread for the withess s benefit

ALJ HICKS: Sure.

(Record read.)

- A. Yes, that is something we've been criticized for.
- 9 Q. Does your profession have a code of 10 ethics or a code of best practices?
- 11 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And where does that code come from? Is
 it a particular association or organization that
 provides such a code?
- A. Sure. We adhere to standards set by the
 American Association of Public -- of Public Opinion
 Research also known as AAPOR.
 - Q. Okay. And isn't it true that the -- and that's -- that's like a list of best management practice, right?
 - A. I -- I cannot confidently say what exactly that is.
- Q. Does that code of practice contain advice that a pollster should not attempt to influence the outcome of the poll by providing information prior to

asking the questions in the poll that would tend to bias the respondent to give the answers that the pollster wants to receive?

- A. I do not have the standard from AAPOR, so I don't know.
- Q. Well, based on your experience in your profession, do you think that is a -- a valid practice to follow, that is, to stay away from giving information that would bias the answers to the questions that you are about to ask?
- A. Our goal is always to give clients the most accurate information possible regardless of whether it is in their favor or not in their favor.
- Q. Okay. Do you have in front of you -MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, could we mark
 the next exhibit Citizen Exhibit 20 which is a Greene
 County, Ohio, Survey Questionnaire Draft Final,
 March 2 to 3, 2021.

ALJ HICKS: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- A. I'm sorry. It's March 2 to 3, 2022.
- Q. Yes, correct. Thank you. Okay. And do you recognize this document?
- 24 A. I do.

2.1

Q. Okay. What is it?

- A. It is the questionnaire, survey questionnaire.
- Q. Okay. This is the questionnaire that the people doing the -- the people asking the questions in the poll that we have been discussing utilized in order to ask those questions, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And are the -- is this a complete list of all the questions that they asked?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And are the se -- is the sequence of the questions in Citizens Exhibit 20 provided in the same order as they were -- as those questions were asked during the poll?
- A. The one thing I would note, it has it in the instructions, but questions 9 and 10 bottom of the page, I am not sure what page it is, but questions 9 and 10 were rotated, so half the respondents received question 10 first; half the respondents received question 9 first.
- Q. Okay. So other than that exception, the -- the sequence of questions as asked during the opinion poll is the same as the sequence in Citizens Exhibit 20?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. So did the people conducting the poll that we've been describing inform the respondents that solar projects produce noise?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Did they tell the respondents that the land in this project, if approved, would take all of that land out of food production for 35 to 40 years?
- A. Question 10 does detail that if you knew that 1,200 acres of land will be used by the solar project provides less than 1 percent of all agricultural land in the county, would you favor or oppose this project, so agricultural land is mentioned, yes.
- Q. But it didn't state in that question that the land would be taken out of food production for 35 to 40 years, did it?
 - A. It did not.
- Q. Did -- were the respondents to the poll informed that this project could be as close as 250 feet from neighbors' houses?
 - A. It did not.
- Q. Were the respondents told that this project could be as close as 25 feet away from neighbors' property lines?
- 25 A. They were not.

- Q. Who commissioned this opinion poll?
- 2 A. Vesper Energy.

1

- Q. And who specifically from Vesper Energy commissioned it?
- A. I'm -- I cannot recall the -- our primary contact there.
- Q. Was it somebody employed by Vesper -- by

 8 Vesper Energy or --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- or was it somebody who worked for
 Vesper as a contractor or -- or --
- 12 A. I should say to the best of my knowledge,
 13 someone employed by Vesper.
- Q. Do you know when the project was commissioned to be performed?
- A. I would imagine -- well, no I'll say.
- 17 Q. Not exactly.
- A. Not exactly.
- Q. Let's go to page 5 of your written direct testimony marked as Kingwood Solar Exhibit 104.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And we are going to go to answer 13 on that page.
- 24 A. Yep.
- Q. And your answer says "Among the

40 percent of voters who had heard about the project prior to the poll, 63 percent support the project and 30 percent are opposed," correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. All right. So that 40 percent that you reference in this answer who had heard about the project prior to the poll includes all those people who had just heard some or had just heard not very much, correct?
- A. Yeah. It is -- let me flip to that page.

 Yeah, if you look at page 8 of, what is it,

 Exhibit 16, it's 8 plus 19 plus 13, that's 40.

 That's 8 percent heard a lot, 19 percent heard some,

 13 percent heard not very much, and that adds up to

 40 percent.
- Q. Uh-huh. Okay. So since you don't know what the people who answered your poll actually knew about the project, for example, what someone who answered some knew about the project, how do you ascribe any importance about the fact that they favored the project even though they had heard something about it?
- MR. SETTINERI: Just object. Asked and answered. I believe we are back to a line of questioning from earlier. I think we went through

this earlier.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: No. I think it's related to his answer 13. I am trying to figure out what the significance of that answer is.

ALJ HICKS: I will overrule the objection. To the extent he can explain in this question 13, I believe Mr. Van Kley is asking about the 40 percent, so I will let the witness answer.

- A. Sure. This is, you know, what we call an awareness question which was this is a question we ask on polls all the time and it's always important to know what people who are the most aware of, whether it's a project, whether it's a candidate, you name it, it's important to know where they stand on an issue and what we see is the people who are most informed about this support it 63 percent to 30 percent.
 - Q. And why is it important?
- A. Because it typically, you know, is a harbinger of what would happen if 100 percent knew something. You know, if you -- if you are in a political campaign and you are -- you know, and there is someone who knows who both candidates are and that's what we call an opinion of both and you are winning with the opinion of both people, that means

you are probably going to do pretty well as voters get to know more about both candidates. Similarly here given that the people have heard a lot, some, or not much about this project are supportive of it.

They are aware of it. That suggests when the other 60 percent learns more about the project, that they will -- that they will also be supportive.

2.1

- Q. So somebody who answered not very much to that question on page 8 and who had only read one sentence about the project, you still ascribed importance to that person being in the 40 percent that you are referring to in answer 13 of your testimony.
- A. Yeah. They are someone who has heard about the project.
 - Q. Even if they had only heard the name of the project.
- A. They are someone who has heard about the project.
- Q. Okay. Well, can you tell me of those persons who are stated on page 8 of Citizens

 Exhibit 16 to have heard a lot about the project, how many of those people supported the project?
- A. Just 8 percent of a 350 sample is not -- is not large enough to be at all statistically

Proceedings 2035 significant. 1 2 Oh, well, go back to my question. Q. 3 many of those people supported the project? Α. I do not have that information in front 4 5 of me. 6 MR. VAN KLEY: I have no more questions, 7 your Honor. ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley. 8 9 Go next to Miami Township. 10 MR. SLONE: Nothing from Miami Township. 11 ALJ HICKS: Greene County. 12 MR. BOGGS: Nothing, your Honor. 13 ALJ HICKS: Xenia Township. 14 MR. WATSON: Nothing, your Honor. 15 ALJ HICKS: Cedarville Township. 16 MR. BROWN: I have a few questions, your 17 Honor. ALJ HICKS: Okay. 18 19 MR. BROWN: I am going to move. 20 ALJ HICKS: Certainly. 2.1 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION

23 By Mr. Brown:

- 24 Good afternoon, Mr. Hobart. Ο.
- 25 Α. Good afternoon.

Q. I'm Daniel Brown for Cedarville Township.

I am going to try not to step on some of the same questions that Jack asked but give me some leeway here. I will see if I can step through and miss the ones that have already been asked and answered.

So I read your rebuttal testimony and just want to rephrase. It seems like your direct testimony -- rebuttal testimony asserts there were 350 registered voters that were interviewed by phone; is that right?

A. That is correct.

- Q. Okay. A larger percentage by cell and a smaller percentage by landline; is that right?
 - A. Let me just be 100 percent certain on that. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. All right. How do you decide when you get your list of people to call -- you know, is there a protocol for how many that are going to be landline versus cell?
- A. Generally what we are doing now in the vast majority of our work is 40 percent landline, 60 percent cell phones --
 - Q. All right.
 - A. -- approximately.
- Q. Based on your experience in the industry,

- which has been quite a long time, and before cell phones were, you know, in everybody's pocket, how has that distribution between landline and cell phones changed over time?
- A. It has increased. When I started in the business in 2007, we were still calling 100 percent landlines, and it is -- then we went to 20, 40, and now we are at 60.
- Q. All right. Is that part of the quota that you talk about in your testimony?
- A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. So you are trying to get a 60/40 type split on cell phone and landline?
 - A. Yeah. Really what -- what it's gone to now is minimum of 60 percent. Sometimes it is -- it's even more than that.
 - Q. So when -- when the calls go out and the interviewer is ready to answer -- ask the questions, how many of those calls go unanswered?
 - A. Oh, well, the easiest way to say it is that depending on the area or the district -- or let's just say the area of the country, we typically need to make what we call our sample ratio, between 70 to 100 to 1. So in order to get one completed interview, we need to make between 75 and 100 calls.

Q. Okay. Just based on your experience, is there a demographic that typically answers the phone versus doesn't answer the phone?

2.1

- A. The people who most frequently answer the phone are older people. That's why we set when we call age quotas. That's why you will see in the question one of the first questions we ask what year were you born. If we did not ask that question, you know, I would end up with 80 to 85 percent of my responses being age 65 plus because they are the most likely to pick up the phone. Because we know that we set age quotas and so there is only so many 65 plus year olds that we interview in any survey because, you know, obviously Greene County is not 85 percent age 65 plus.
- Q. I looked at the survey questions that

 Jack asked you about. I did not see a question about
 the residents, the Township residence of the
 interviewee. Was there a question on which Township
 do you reside in?
- A. That's not necessary because we have -that's listed on the voter file that we acquire -that we acquire the phone numbers from. So we
 know -- if it's a landline respondent, we know where
 the person is because the landline is tied to a

physical address. When it's a cell phone, we say in which county in Ohio do you currently live because, you know, as we all know, you can have a cell phone area code that's different from where you actually live. So question B on page 1 of the questionnaire is how we are checking to make sure that the cell phone resident lives in Greene County.

- Q. I saw that. So you definitely know if they are in Greene County. If they are not in Greene County, you just terminate the interview because you are not interested in that person's.
 - A. That's right.

2.1

- Q. But there isn't a question about, okay, now that we know you live in Greene County, which township do you reside in?
- A. There is not a question but that's listed on the voter file so we know that.
 - Q. Okay. Even if the cell phone is from another area code or whatever, you know that from the voter file.
 - A. Yeah. And it is not 100 percent accurate, but it's fairly accurate.
- Q. All right. On line 19, page 4, of your direct testimony, you talk about the quotas for the persons who get these calls.

- A. I'm sorry. Where are you in my direct testimony?
 - Q. I had it at page 4, line 19.
 - A. Yeah, got it.

2.1

- Q. It says "Public Opinion Strategies set quotas by age, gender, and region to ensure a representative sample based on the makeup of the registered voters in the electorate."
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So you talked already about age because there is a question about age and gender. So what about region? How do you know that you've canvassed the entire Greene County in a representative fashion?
- A. Well, because we look at the list of Greene County voters and we say, okay, how many live in this Township, how many live in that Township, and then we are doing a survey of 350 people, so you just do -- I mean, let's make the math easy, right? If 10 percent lived in Hobart Township -- I know there is not a Hobart Township. That's my last name. If 10 percent live in Hobart, we are doing a survey of 350 people, we will make sure we have 35 respondents in Hobart Township.
- Q. All right. So you have a chart in -- in the 20-page report that we've been talking about,

Proceedings

2041 right? And it's -- I want to look at page 12. Page 1 2 12. 3 Yep. Α. This is the chart that shows -- well, I 4 Ο. 5 am going to try to interpret it, and you tell me if I 6 am right or wrong. 7 Α. Okay. 8 Ο. Starting at the left you've got 9 Beavercreek. 10 Α. Right. 11 Is that the City of Beavercreek or the Ο. 12 Township of Beavercreek? 13 Α. I would have to check on that. I'm not 14 certain. 15 Q. All right. And how about Fairborn, is 16 that a city or township? 17 Α. Same answer. 18 I'm sorry? Q. Same answer. I would have to 19 Α. 20 double-check. 2.1 All right. Well, then we know Xenia Ο. 22 City, that's the City of Xenia, right? 23 Α. Right. 24 All right. Then Sugarcreek. Do you know Ο.

that to be a -- is that a town or a township?

- A. I would have to check how we are coding that.
- Q. All right. Is it left to right the 35 percent for Beavercreek, 19 percent for Fairborn, are you just starting on the left with the most percentage? Is that how that is? The most percentage of people who answered the phone --
 - A. Yeah.

2.1

- Q. -- it was 35 percent?
- A. It's not the percentage of people that answered the phone because we are setting quotas, right? So we didn't take whoever picked up. We knew that Beavercreek was 35 percent of the Greene County, you know, 35 percent of Greene County registered voters, so we said, okay, we have 35 percent of the interviews in Beavercreek.
- Q. Okay. So that 35 percent isn't really related to the polling. It's related to the number of registered voters in the pool that you started with?
- A. Well, it's related to the polling that it's representative of Greene County.
- Q. All right. So Beavercreek is 35 percent of Greene County --
- 25 A. Approximately.

Q. -- is what you are saying. All right.

Well, I am curious because now that I understand that you can tell where the people picking up the phone live based on the voter registration, it looks like the only township on here is Sugarcreek Township.

That's -- that's an urban Township in Greene -- of Greene County. But none of the other Townships are broken out for this -- this graph. So my question is why not break out the way you did here the percentages for Cedarville Township, Miami Township, and Xenia Township, the three Townships that were clearly identified as the ones that this project would most -- mostly affect?

A. Well, you know, in terms of how you choose to show data, you are making decisions, you know, regularly. You know, this is just the way we chose to show this data. And then the other thing that's important to note is that we -- you know, in most cases we try to show data that is of a sufficient sample size to be statistically significant. Even something like Sugarcreek, it's 7 percent, is pretty small. You know, Beavercreek, Fairborn, Xenia City, and then what we call the balance, the other Townships, those are a little higher.

Sometimes people might say why don't you show data by 18- to 24-year-old females? Well, we wouldn't show that because that's a very, very small portion of the survey. Similarly here, you know, we are choosing to show it by what we would call region based on, you know, a couple things. One, the biggest thing is to make sure that we are showing a decent number of places in the County. You know, there's -- similar to if I was doing a statewide poll in Ohio, right? Typically what I do I show it by media market. Are there other ways you could show statewide results in Ohio? Sure. But media market is the one that we typically use.

- Q. All right. Well, would it surprise you to know there is 13 Townships in Greene County?
- A. That sounds about right. I believe I have heard that.
- Q. All right. And then most of the chart here is made up of Cities, not the Townships. You can see that, right?
 - A. Yeah.

2.1

- Q. And you realize that the Townships are the ones who have the burden of a facility like this, not the people in the City?
 - A. Sure, yeah.

So Beavercreek 35 percent, Fairborn Q. 19 percent, Xenia, you know, you are talking about, you know, 60 percent of all these people you are talking to, they are in a City. They are not being affected by what's happening in the rural Townships of Cedarville, Miami, and Xenia Township, right? MR. SETTINERI: Object, lack of foundation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

ALJ HICKS: Any response?

MR. BROWN: Straightforward question. am asking -- he is telling me why they didn't put the Townships on this chart, because it was a professional decision, and I am asking him why is it appropriate to show all of the people in the Cities and they are not -- they are not the ones that are burdening -- having the burden?

- It's likely because of the sample size in Α. those three Townships.
- All right. Can you tell me how many of 0. these phone calls went to people in Cedarville Township?
- 22 I have that information but not in front Α. 23 of me.
- 24 Do you know how many went to people in Ο. 25 Miami Township?

A. The -- again, a representative sample would have gone. I don't have the exact number, but it's a survey of Greene County, right? And so we are not going to -- to be a representative sample of Greene County, it has to be based on where people live in Greene County. It was not a survey of the Townships that you are mentioning.

2.1

- Q. All right. So in your professional opinion as a pollster, it's not really important to know if you are talking to people who are nearby or directly burdened by the project. Those people's perspective no different than anyone else in Greene County is what you are saying, right?
- A. The purposes of the survey is to gauge the opinion of a representative sample of voters in Greene County. That is what the goal of the survey is. I am not speaking to the importance of whose -- whose -- whose opinion isn't important. The purpose of the survey is to gauge the opinions of people in Greene County, a representative sample of registered voters in Greene County.
- Q. And so final question really is about the -- the same chart on page 12, the people who have heard a lot or some, the separation between those who support and those who oppose is much smaller than --

would you agree much smaller than the Cities you are reporting here?

2.1

- A. It's lower. I don't know if I would use the word "much." It's still 56/35.
- Q. But just as Jack asked earlier, if you drop off some and just heard a lot, any idea what that percentage would be?
- A. No. But again, it's a very, very small number of respondents. I mean, it's 8 percent of 350 samples, so you are talking about less than 35 respondents. It's just not statistically meaningful.
- Q. But that's only because that's the only people you wanted to talk to from that -- from that -- from that -- from those places, right?
- A. No. The only people I wanted to talk to were a representative sample of Greene County voters. I have no control over who I am talking to. It's just who picks up the phone and who matches the -- you know, the quotas that we have set.
- Q. Okay. But then that kind of qualifies what you first said. You said you have no control over it, but the quota does control who you talk to, right?
- A. I mean, the quotas control that I am talking to a representative sample of Greene County

voters which is the only thing. You know, I would never want to talk to an unrepresentative sample of people. Then you are not doing a poll.

- Q. If you get to the point in the quota where you have got enough people who have responded to satisfy the quota, there is no more calls to that area then, right?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. So, for example, a small rural Township like Cedarville, if you multiply the percentages out, your quota may say just call five people there, that's it. And once you get your five, you don't need to hear from any of those other people.
- A. That's right. That's a representative sample of the people there in terms of the percentage of the population they are in Greene County.
- Q. All right. So would you agree with me this is a solid representation of the people in Greene County based on the quota that you set but it's not a representation of the people that this project is going to directly affect?
- A. This is a representative sample of voters in Greene County. I can't speak to who the project will and will not directly affect.
- MR. BROWN: Thank you very much. That's

1 all I have.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

2 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

Any cross from In Progress?

MR. HART: Actually, yes, thank you.

ALJ HICKS: Please go ahead.

6 | - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Hart:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hobart. John Hart,
 In Progress, LLC. Just a couple of questions as
 well. Was there any explanation when the calls were
 made that this survey was commissioned by Vesper --
- 13 A. No.
- 14 -- to those who were -- all right. And Ο. 15 then if you will go with me to your summary chart on page 7. The -- I'm presuming, you can correct me if 16 17 I am wrong, the two lines at the top of that page, 18 "More than two-thirds of Greene County voters support 19 transitioning the country's energy supply to 20 renewables," that is your -- your words, survey 2.1 words, but not the question that was asked; is that 2.2 correct?
 - A. The question that was asked is what's in italics, correct.
- 25 | Q. And in the italics it -- those were

verbatim the words that were asked in the surveys; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And is this the only place in the survey where the word "country" was used instead of the word "county"?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. All right. And the question before on page -- page -- let's see, page 6, the word "county" is used in the -- in your header description, right?
 - A. We are talking about page 6?
- 12 Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

18

19

20

2.1

- A. Yeah, that's right.
- Q. In your header description. All right.

 And then the following -- and then the following on

 page 8, it was about the "county" as well and

 actually in the italicized question; is that correct?
 - A. Yep.
 - Q. Okay. Page 9, the heading starts off
 "When told about the Kingwood Solar project." What
 does the words "when told" mean?
- A. It means that they were read what's in italics, "As you may know, Kingwood Solar," et cetera.
- Q. Were there any other editorial questions

or statements made in that survey question, in that question particularly?

2.1

- A. No. Everything that was read is on page 9.
- Q. All right. Thank you. But I am asking was there anything that was not in italics that was also communicated or said by the pollster?
- A. Like -- we asked other -- I am a little confused. We asked other questions in the survey.
- Q. With regard to this question, was there any other prefatory remarks?
- A. Oh, just double-check here. No. That was it. "As you may know" starts right there.
- Q. So then "As you may know," was there any explanation as to what knowledge that was that provided them the ability to answer "As they may know"?
- A. Well, quite honestly, "As you may know" is a pretty common intro to a question in survey research so as not to offend people, right? So if you had answered a lot or some in question 6 and then I just said, you know, Kingwood is proposing blah, blah, it is like, yeah, I know a lot about it, so it's more just to keep people on the phone and not to offend them, if anything else.

- Q. If somebody had answered not very much or not at all, would you still use the phrase "As you may know"?
- A. Yeah. Everybody is told "As you may know."
- Q. Then the next line "consists of 1,200 acres," where did that number come from?
- A. That would have come likely either from the client directly or from information that they sent us.
- Q. Not yours independently.

2.1

- A. You know, sometimes we -- you know, the client says just Google it so maybe that's how we got it but, you know, it's not something I knew about. I was commission -- I shouldn't say I know. It's not something that the firm just knew about before we were commissioned to do the surveys, so we got it from somewhere.
- Q. I guess I will dive in on that question a little bit. Do you recall or know you actually did perform additional research besides accepting on the face value by the client?
- A. I was not involved in the drafting of this question, so I cannot speak to that.
- Q. Well, that's the last question on this,

the "\$1.5 million annually," any knowledge as to where that information or that number came from or what it included?

2.1

- A. That is not something -- I mean, I have my assumptions, but it is not something I can speak to directly.
- Q. Two last questions, one, are there additional information questions that were asked besides the ones that are on the other exhibit about your survey form that set out the script for the survey? Are there any other questions that were asked beyond that -- those list of questions?
- A. I'm not entirely sure. Are you talking about Citizens Exhibit 20?
- Q. The Greene County, Ohio, Questionnaire Draft Final.
- A. Yeah. There are no questions that were asked that are not listed. This is a -- the complete questionnaire.
- Q. So there were no questions that were asked that solicited the reasons why people would be opposed.
- A. No, there were. I mean, if you look at Q8, it says what are the most important reasons why.

 And then you said if you answered support, you would

have been asked what are the most important reasons why you support this proposed project. And then if you answered opposed, you would have been answered [sic] what are the most important reasons why you oppose this proposed project.

- Q. Are there other points in this survey instrument or on the phone when you were soliciting the information or additional comments are made or received that are not recorded in the survey instrument?
- 11 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. HART: I have nothing further. Thank you.
- 14 ALJ HICKS: Thank you. Any questions
 15 from Ohio Farm Bureau?
- MS. MILAM: No, your Honor.
- 17 ALJ HICKS: Any questions from Board
- 18 Staff?
- MR. MARGARD: Just a couple, thank you, your Honor.
- 21
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 By Mr. Margard:
- Q. Sir, you indicated you do your poll of registered voters.

- A. That's correct. This poll was done for registered voters, that's correct.
- Q. Those were the lists that were readily available to you?
- A. Well, that's a good question. It is the decision we made in consultation with the client.
- Q. You didn't do any polling at all of none registered voters.
- A. No. No one who was not registered to vote would have been polled in this survey.
- Q. On the bottom of page 4 of your testimony, you indicate there were approximately 120,000 registered voters in Greene County, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know how many voter eligible residents there are in Greene County?
- 17 A. I do not.
- MR. MARGARD: That's all I have. Thank
 you.
- 20 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Margard.
- I believe the Bench has a few questions
 before we continue.
- 23 ALJ WILLIAMS: Thanks, Judge.
- 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ロソフ	$\Delta M T M \Delta$	TT	\cup NI

2 | By ALJ Williams:

1

- Q. Mr. Hobart, who prepared the list of questions that you used in your polling?
- A. Neil Newhouse in consultation with the client.
- 7 Q. That was over the course of a phone call 8 or?
- 9 A. Likely phone calls, e-mails, Zooms
 10 probably these days.
- Q. Now, it appears as though 75 percent,
 plus or minus, of the people polled were in
 Beavercreek, Fairborn, Xenia City, or Sugarcreek; is
 that a fair recap of slide 12?
- 15 A. Let me just double-check. Yep, that's correct.
- Q. Do you know the proximity between

 Beavercreek, Fairborn, Xenia City, Sugarcreek, and

 the project area? How far away they are?
- A. I do not.

2.1

22

- Q. Would it stand to reason if you were potentially closer to the project area, you might be more inclined to be adverse to the project?
- A. I can't speak to that.
- Q. So in going through some of the questions

that were asked, and I'm at Citizens Exhibit 20, the question 4, "Thinking just about Greene County, do you believe that Greene County is doing well economically," and that's roughly a 50/50 split. Do you have some baseline data you would have expected that split to be?

2.1

- A. No. You know, without having done a survey in Greene County, you know, previously, it's difficult to say. You know, as we say, hey, if we had an idea what it was going to be, we wouldn't need to do the poll, right? That's why we ask the questions.
- Q. Do you know what that number would be across Ohio or the midwest or United States generally?
- A. No, but I would love for someone to hire me to find out. I mean, it's -- you know, especially statewide in Ohio there may be some publicly available data that asks a similar question, so it may be out there but it -- you know, similarly we do national research. We ask people how they think the economy is doing as do other pollsters. So that data is out there. You know, as it compares to Greene County, I am not sure.
 - Q. Then on question 5 it asks whether the

person being polled or interviewed generally favors transitioning from fossil fuels to renewable energy. Similar question, do you have any idea what that number might be across Ohio regionally?

- A. I don't. It's not something I've done a survey. You know, I have not asked that question in Ohio recently nor have I seen publicly available data on that question.
- Q. Question 7 then is the last question before you ask the person being interviewed whether they are for or against the project. In that question then you referenced it's 1,200 acres of private, leased land, and it's going to give \$1.5 million to the local communities, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. There are no potentially negative aspects to the project that are raised to the person being interviewed, are there?
- A. It is just what's there. You know, question 7, I think they are both factual statements. You know, whether or not you would perceive that as a positive or negative would be up to the individual respondent.
- Q. Giving \$1.5 million to the local government would be seen as positive, wouldn't it?

A. Sure, but, you know, to take the contrary, if I am 75 and I don't have any grandkids and I hear largest beneficiary being the schools, that may be a negative to me.

2.1

- Q. Now, you were involved in preparing the questions, correct?
- A. I was not involved in the drafting of the questions, no.
- Q. Do you know if there was discussion about possibly including some of the aspects from page 42 of the Staff Report and those include concerns regarding decommissioning, impacts to wildlife and the environment, impacts to drinking and groundwater, impacts to property value, impacts to aesthetics and viewshed, impacts to fencing and vegetative screening, impacts to glare? Do you know if any of those issues were considered for inclusion as background information for this poll?
 - A. I do not know.
- Q. Now, your opinion is because we have 120,000 registered voters in Greene County and we talked to 350, therefore, we have a probative or a value worthy representative sample, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And there's no consideration of how far

away from the project area a person might be who is interviewed and providing the answer.

- A. The sample frame is a reg -- a sample of registered voters in Greene County so that is not something that -- I mean, that's just what it is.
- Q. If you were asking voters in a County 150 miles to the northeast what they thought of a project in this County, would you expect that their opinions would be more in support of the project?
- A. It depends on the County. I mean, I could -- you know, I would bet I could name a County that it would be very supportive of it, and I bet I could name a County that would be probably not as supportive just depending on a whole host of factors.
- Q. You are familiar with the concept not in my backyard, yes?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. It wouldn't surprise you persons further away from the project might have a viewpoint more supportive of this?
- A. Again, I think that you would -- that's very dependent on a lot of factors, right? I mean, just to use an example, okay, what if I went and did this poll in southeast Ohio where it's heavy coal industry? They would probably say, hey, you know,

yeah, it's not close to me, but I am not supportive of more solar because my industry is already struggling. So, you know, I'm not going to be supportive of this even though it is not going to directly affect me because five years down the road maybe it does.

2.1

- Q. Outside of a coal community, any other areas you can think of that would generally not be supportive?
- A. I mean, typically I don't think it's a secret that based on public opinion research, more republican-oriented voters tend to be less supportive of alternative sources of energy than democratic-oriented voters.
- Q. Okay. So the questions that got to the ultimate conclusion were do you feel we need more jobs, should we be transitioning to renewables, would you like to see more money given to the County and the schools. And then question 11 do you feel property owners have the right to control who and how they lease and if we should have diminished state and local government? Is that a fair recap of the background that was provided to the --

MR. SETTINERI: I will object. I want to note for the record the questionnaire has been marked

```
as an exhibit so that's not an accurate representation of the questions that were asked.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

24

25

ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. I will let him answer my question. He can expand upon it if he wants.

A. So 7 is the crux of the survey, right?

It's what we think of as the ballot. It says where voters are. You know, 63/23. Question 9 through 11, those questions are a little bit different. What they are designed to do is see what type of statements about the project resinate the most with voters in the County.

13 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. That's all I have.
14 Thank you.

ALJ HICKS: Mr. -- I am going to assume you need a few minutes, Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: There is a lot to unpack there but if I could have no more than 10 minutes, your Honor.

ALJ HICKS: Let's go off the record. We will come back at 3:05.

(Recess taken.)

23 ALJ HICKS: Let's go back on the record.

I will now turn it over to the Applicant if they have any redirect.

MR. SETTINERI: Just some questions here,
your Honor.

2.1

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Settineri:

Q. Mr. Margard at the very end asked you some registered versus unregistered voters. In your experience in polling, do you see differences in the results from registered voters versus unregistered voters?

- A. Differences, yes, but they are very, very small. You are typically only talking 1 to 2 points in either direction. A sample of adults tends to be a little more democratic leaning than the sample of registered voters.
- Q. You were asked questions about question 9 of the survey. And you can either -- turn to either exhibit, I think Citizens Exhibit 20 or 16. And, in fact, I will turn to Citizens Exhibit 20 to look at the exact question. Let's see here -- oops. I'm sorry, wrong question. Let me see here. Question 7 of Citizens Exhibit 20 which references 1,200 acres and \$1.5 million annually. Do you believe that is a valid polling question for this survey?
 - A. Yes.

Q. And why is that?

1

16

17

2.1

22

- 2 It is two factual statements about the Α. 3 project in -- you know, voters are regularly given information when -- when they are asked their opinion 4 5 on things. You know, if you look at a local 6 referendum or something like that, voters are -- they 7 are not just asked would you vote yes or no on proposition 1. They were told what proposition 1 is. 8 9 When they are asked to vote on two candidates, the 10 party labels, republican, democrat, independent, et 11 cetera, give voters information -- additional information as well. It's not just two names. 12 13 again, this is just giving voters additional 14 information about the Kingswood Solar project -- or 15 Kingwood Solar project in Greene County.
 - Q. And this -- was this a Countywide poll?
 - A. It was.
- Q. And was the purpose to be a Countywide poll?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. And why not do -- why didn't you do a poll -- let me ask it this way, could a poll be done as to the three Townships specifically?
- A. A telephone poll cannot be done of the three Townships because, you know, I touched on

earlier, you know, our -- what we call our sample ratios. We need 75 to 100 unique phone numbers for one completed interview. So that means that, for example, to get to 350 interviews, I would need approximately 35,000 phone records. You know, I obviously wouldn't be able to get access to that many phone records. They probably don't even exist in geography this small as those three Townships.

- Q. If you could turn to -- let me see,
 Citizens Exhibit 16 on page 12. Tell me when you are
 there.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. What's the -- what is the purpose of this page?
- A. It is to show that the support for the project is -- is widespread across the County -- I mean, the headline, as we call it, "Voters across the county support it," it's designed to show just that.
- Q. And in terms of the respondent's survey, did you have any respondents that resided in say, for example, in Xenia Township that you are aware of?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Where would they be reflected on this page to the extent you know?
 - A. In what we call the balance, those are

essentially the respondents that weren't in either Beavercreek, Fairborn, Xenia City, or Sugarcreek.

MR. SETTINERI: One moment, your Honor.

No further questions, your Honor. Thank

you.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.

We will go back to Citizens for Greene

Acres. Mr. Van Kley, if you have any questions.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, I guess so.

2.1

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

12 | By Mr. Van Kley:

- Q. So am I understanding your testimony correctly that you are -- that your position is that you can give information about a project that's only favorable and even though the majority of the respondents to your poll have never heard of the project before, you still expect that those answers are going to be meaningful?
- A. Again, I think it is in the eye of the beholder whether the statements provided are favorable. They are factual statements about the project. Some people are going to like them more than others.
- Q. Isn't it true that when -- when your

company and Kingwood Solar or Vesper got together to list all of its questions, that the aim of those questions was to just provide information favorable to the project that would produce the outcome for the poll that you wanted it to be?

- A. We -- we never designed a survey to get a certain outcome. That's just not what we do.
- Q. And you didn't do that in this case; is that what you are saying?
 - A. No, no.

2.1

- Q. Oh, okay. When you put the poll together, you knew -- or your firm knew that Vesper wanted the outcome to favor the facility, right?
- A. Yeah. I mean, I would think that that would be -- yes.
- Q. And when you did the poll, did you know that the -- or when your firm did the poll, did you know the poll was going to possibly be used in a public manner that the results would be made public?
- A. That decision was always up to the client. You know, the vast, vast, vast, vast, vast majority of the surveys that we do are never released publicly. But after they complete the survey, it's the -- it's the property of the client. They can ask us to release it publicly. They can ask us to not

- to. They can not ask us and release it publicly.

 It's their property after we finish the survey.

 Q. Did your -- did your firm know that
 - Vesper intended to use the results of this poll in this proceeding?
 - MR. SETTINERI: Objection, asked and answered. And I also say he is outside the scope of my recross.
 - ALJ HICKS: I will overrule the objection for now, but I think we are straying a little bit here. So either rein it back in or we'll move on.
- 12 A. I cannot speak to that. I'm not sure.

 13 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. I have no more

 14 questions.
- 15 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.
- Any recross from Cedarville Township?
- MR. BROWN: No, thank you, your Honor.
- 18 ALJ HICKS: Any recross, In Progress?
- MR. HART: Just one.
- 20
- 21 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 22 By Mr. Hart:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. The survey form, the Greene County survey form, this is descriptive questions -- I'm sorry.
- 25 The survey form that listed the list of questions

said in the introduction statement "Talking with people in Ohio today, we would like to ask you a few questions on a confidential basis."

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. So wouldn't a person reasonably expect that their answers and their polling survey results would be made public?
- A. Well, when we say confidential basis, what it means we can't say, hey, this is what Jim Hobart said. You know, that their responses are just anonymized essentially.
- MR. HART: Thanks.
- 13 ALJ HICKS: Any questions from Staff?
- MR. MARGARD: No. Thank you, your Honor.
- 15 ALJ HICKS: Thank you for your testimony
- 16 today, Mr. Hobart.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 18 ALJ HICKS: Take up exhibits.
- 19 Mr. Settineri has already moved for the admission of
- 20 Exhibit 104. Is there any objection to its
- 21 admission?
- Hearing none, it is admitted.
- 23 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
- MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, we would also
- 25 move for the admission of Citizens Exhibit 20.

2070 ALJ HICKS: Any objections to the 1 admission of Citizens Exhibit 20? 2 3 Hearing none, it is admitted. (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 4 5 MR. SETTINERI: And again, for the record 6 we will -- I will work with Mr. Van Kley to make sure 7 we have a corrected version of Exhibit 16. Give it to the parties and court reporter and also put on the 8 docket. 9 10 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri. 11 Whenever you are ready, you can call your 12 next witness. 13 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time we would call Mr. Andrew Lines to the stand. 14 15 (Witness sworn.) ALJ HICKS: Thank you. 16 17 Go ahead when you are ready, 18 Mr. Settineri. 19 20 ANDREW LINES, MAI 2.1 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 2.2 examined and testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 By Mr. Settineri: 25 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lines.

A. Good afternoon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- Q. Would you please state your name and business address for the record, please.
- A. Andrew Lines, L-I-N-E-S. My business address is 200 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2600, Chicago, Illinois.

MR. SETTINERI: And, your Honor, at this time I would mark as Kingwood Exhibit 105, the rebuttal testimony of Andrew Lines.

ALJ HICKS: So marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Mr. Lines, can you identify what's been marked as Kingwood Exhibit 105, please.
 - A. This is my rebuttal testimony.
- Q. That was prepared by you or at your direction?
- 18 A. It was.
- Q. And do you have any changes or revisions to that testimony today?
- 21 A. T do.
- Q. And if you could just identify those revisions for the court reporter, please.
- A. Sure. On page 1, lines 2 and 3, there is an additional numerical No. "3" and then the

following line there is a numerical No. "4." I don't know what is going on there. So those are deleted.

And then the top of page 3, line 2, where it says "General Appraisal Licenses with the state of Ohio," I need to remove "of Ohio" and replace with the words "in which they are licensed."

- Q. All right. Do you have any other revisions to your testimony at this time?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. If I asked you the questions in your rebuttal testimony today, would your answers be the same today as you have revised and written?
 - A. Yes; yes, they would.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, we'll move for admission of Kingwood Exhibit 105, subject to cross-examination, and present the witness for cross-examination.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.

We will start with Citizens for Greene

Acres.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Thank you, your Honor.

2.1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Van Kley:

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lines.
- A. Good afternoon. Pleasure to be in your presence again.
- 6 Q. Yes. It's been entirely too often,
 7 hasn't it?

Let's go to page 6 of your testimony.

Let's talk a little bit about this property over at

10200 367th Street in North Branch, Minnesota. Are
you familiar with that property?

- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What kind of property is it?
- A. It's a residential property, sir.
 - Q. And you state in this answer that -- in line 14 that it "was sold and resold, actually sold again on January 31, 2022," right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Do you know whether any of those sales were made to employees of the solar farm that was nearby?
- A. I do not believe that particular house was owned by any of the employees working for the solar farm.
- Q. What do you base that belief on?

- A. It was a different property.
 - Q. What was a different property?
 - A. It had a different address.
- Q. Okay. So was there a property nearby that was sold to an employee of the solar farm?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And was that property used in the paired analysis, or was it used in any paired analysis that was included in any of the studies that you relied on?
- A. So that house was specifically noted within my report which is attached to my direct testimony and that sale was excluded from consideration.
- Q. But with regard to the -- the property here that you state was sold and resold and actually sold again, do you know that none of those sales were made to an employee of the solar farm?
- MR. SETTINERI: Object, asked and answered.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I think he said he
 believed he knew, but I am asking whether he actually
 knows.
- 24 ALJ HICKS: The witness can answer.
- A. If you hand me a copy of my report, I can

go to the detail within the report to give you a more affirmative answer.

2.

2.1

- Q. Okay. What investigation did you personally do as to the sales that you mentioned in your testimony at lines 13 through 18 on page 6 of your testimony?
- A. I looked at a real estate database online and looked for additional sales in the area of the solar farm and identified this one that sold which I knew and was familiar with having looked at some sales and resales of it prior. And I was amazed to see that the sale price went very high and reflected in a very, very strong annual appreciation year review and surveyed what I knew to be the FHFA House Price Index for the same area indicating that the fact that it had solar in four directions did not impair its market value.
- Q. Did you call any of the real estate brokers involved in any of those sales to obtain any information about the sales?
- A. My team contacted one of the brokers that was associated with some of these sales, yes. I personally did not talk to that broker.
- Q. Did your team contact at least one broker involved in every one of the sales that you mentioned

in this answer?

2.1

- A. For North Branch we made a lot of phone calls so I am going to go ahead and say, yes, we -- for almost all the sales that we looked at in North Branch, that we spoke to a broker or someone involved with the transaction, almost every one.
- Q. Yeah. With regard to all of the paired analyses that you're relying on in your testimony, has -- have either you or someone from your team contacted a real estate broker to discuss every one of those sales?
 - A. To the best of our ability.
 - Q. Meaning?
 - A. Sometimes folks don't call you back.
- Q. All right. Let's talk a little bit about Spotsylvania Solar staying on page 6 and going into page 7 of your testimony. And let's start on page 7, lines 2 and 3. Can you tell me the date in which the solar farm plans were announced?
- A. Question, is that before or after the plans started and stopped and started again because of some agential decisions? So which one are you referring to?
 - Q. The earlier one.
- A. The earliest, I believe, was 2015.

- Q. And then there was a drop in price from 2015 to 2017; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Let's go to Sunshine Farms at the bottom of page 7 of your testimony. All right. So in lines 21 and 22, you say that "Ms. Clay is actually using lot sales next to the solar farm prior to the solar farm being approved," right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Why is that a bad thing?
- A. It would depend on her point of view of the analysis, so if you are going to use test sales and say that these are target sales and compare them to control sales, the fact that the lots themselves had no solar farm next to them in that particular period of time when they transpired would not be good test sale data to use.
- Q. So are you saying that the test sales should not include any sales prior to the time that the solar farm was approved?
 - A. Well, they don't have any influence.
 - Q. Why not?
 - A. Because it's not there.
- Q. Well, do you know in the case of the -the Sunshine Farms here, when was -- when did the

solar farm's intention of putting a solar farm there become public knowledge?

- A. I don't know the specific date.
- Q. I mean, essentially the public would know about plans to put in a solar farm -- to put in a solar farm prior to the time that the approval from the government occurred, right?
- A. At some point in time, I would believe so. As to the length of that time, I wouldn't know.
- Q. Yeah. So you don't know whether the public knew about the solar farm's plans to build in this area had been made public prior to the time of Ms. Clay's test sales, right?
 - A. I'm not sure.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. All right. I have nothing more at this time, your Honor.

17 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

Any questions from Miami Township?

MR. SLONE: No, your Honor. Thank you.

ALJ HICKS: Greene County.

MR. BOGGS: No, your Honor. Thank you.

ALJ HICKS: Xenia Township.

MR. WATSON: No, your Honor. Thank you.

ALJ HICKS: Cedarville Township.

MR. BROWN: No, your Honor. Thank you.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2079 1 ALJ HICKS: In Progress. 2 MR. HART: No, sir. Thank you. ALJ HICKS: Ohio Farm Bureau. 3 MS. MILAM: No, your Honor. Thanks. 4 5 ALJ HICKS: Board Staff. 6 MS. BAIR: No questions. Thank you. 7 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri. 8 MR. SETTINERI: Just a few minutes, your Honor. We'll be back. 9 10 ALJ HICKS: We will go off the record. 11 Try to get back by 3:35, 3:36. 12 (Recess taken.) 13 ALJ HICKS: Let's go ahead and go back on 14 the record. I will hand it off to Mr. Settineri for 15 16 any redirect. 17 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 19 By Mr. Settineri: 20 Just to clean up the record, Mr. Lines, Ο. 2.1 you were asked a question about your report, and you 2.2 indicated an answer that it was attached to your 23 direct testimony. Am I correct that actually was 24 included in the application of this proceeding?

That's correct.

25

Α.

```
2080
 1
                 MR. SETTINERI: No further questions.
 2
     Thanks.
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Settineri.
 3
                 Mr. Van Kley, I won't assume anything.
 4
 5
     Do you have any questions based on that clarifying
 6
     question?
 7
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Had you assumed, you would
 8
     have been correct in your assumption, yes.
9
                 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.
10
                 No questions, Judge Williams?
11
                 Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Lines.
12
                 THE WITNESS: Thanks so much.
13
                 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri has already
14
    moved for the admission of Kingwood Exhibit 105. Any
15
     objections to its admission?
16
                 Hearing none, it is admitted.
17
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
18
                 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri, whenever you
19
     are ready, you can call your next witness.
20
                 MR. SETTINERI: Will do. At this time we
2.1
     will call Mr. John Nealon to the stand, Dr. John
22
    Nealon, please.
23
                 ALJ HICKS: Please go ahead whenever you
24
     are ready, Mr. Settineri.
25
```

1 JOHN S. NEALON, Ph.D.

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Settineri:

- Q. Dr. Nealon, if you could just state and spell your name and business address for the record, please.
- 9 A. John Nealon, N-E-A-L-O-N, 1398 Cox 10 Avenue, Erlanger, Kentucky.
- MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time
 we will mark as Kingwood Exhibit 106, the rebuttal
 testimony of Dr. John S. Nealon.
- 14 ALJ HICKS: So marked.
- 15 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. (By Mr. Settineri) Dr. Nealon, do you have a copy in front of you of Kingwood Exhibit 106?
- 18 A. I do.
- Q. And can you identify that for the record, please.
- 21 A. This is my rebuttal testimony.
- Q. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you have any changes or revisions to

that testimony today?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. I do not.
- Q. If I asked you the questions in that testimony as written, would your answers be the same as written today?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. SETTINERI: All right. Your Honor, we move for the admission of Kingwood Exhibit 106, subject to cross-examination, and the witness is available.

11 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

We will start with Citizens for Greene
Acres.

MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, your Honor.

15 | - - -

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 By Mr. Van Kley:

Q. Would you turn to page 3 of your testimony, please. Let's go to answer 11 on that page. The first sentence in answer 11 states "Yes, water can migrate to the ground surface around a pile if a drain tile is broken by the pile." Do you see that sentence?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And here you are talking about water that

could rise from a broken tile up to the surface of the ground, right?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. And you are saying here that the water can come up to the surface around the pile itself, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So let's go then to page 4 of your testimony. And I would like to look at the sentence that starts on line 6 which states "Regarding the potential for the backed-up water to migrate down the pile shaft, instead of upwards to the ground surface, it is my opinion that pile set will largely preclude this from occurring." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So can you explain to me why water can travel up around the pile and not down around the pile?
- A. A couple of reasons. One -- one is that at the time a pile would be driven and would strike a drain tile, pile set would not have occurred yet. So water is free to come up.
- The second reason is -- is that in the -- in the -- in the zone of soil near the surface that is the disturbed plow zone is subject to freeze and

thaw. You don't count on pile set occurring anyway. We discount that from the geotechnical perspective, so it is feasible that if the pile intercepted a drain tile during driving, that water could come up.

- Q. Okay. Pile set does not occur in the plowed zone?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. But pile set will -- will occur in a clay zone that's below the plow zone?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Would pile set occur if a hole for a pile was drilled instead of the pile being pounded into the clay?
 - A. Not likely.
- Q. Now, if -- if a pile was inserted in a drilled hole and then the hole around the pile was filled with concrete, would there be any pile set that would apply to that situation?
- A. Pile set occurs because the driving action of the pile or any other factor that would displace the soil would cause an increase in the pressure of the porewater in the pore spaces. If -- if the hole were drilled deep enough that the fluid weight of concrete could exert such a pressure, then pile set could occur that way as well. Once a --

once a member is in the ground, whether it be driven or whether -- whether it be drilled and then filled with concrete, you do have vertical and horizontal stresses in the ground that will still tend to grip that member or consolidate around it.

2.1

The process of pile set is one where that consolidation and gripping action occurs specifically because you've generated excess pressure in the soil by displacing it, and when those pressures go away, consolidation occurs.

- Q. And what do you mean by consolidation?
- A. If you -- if you have a -- if you have a clay soil and there's pore space in it, whether it be filled with air or water, if you stress that and displace it, you will increase the pressure in that pore. Once the pressure has -- as the pressure dissipates, the soil closes the pore up or compresses it and that is consolidation.
- Q. Okay. How deep does a hole have to be when filled with concrete in order to have any pile set occur in that hole?
- A. I honestly don't know. Intuitively the deeper the hole the greater the possibility, but we install -- we install deep foundations by drilling and filling with concrete and if there's -- whether

there is pile set there or not. We don't count on it in design, so the extent to which it occurs I don't know.

2.1

- Q. Yeah. In an instance where the pile is 12 feet below the surface, would you expect any pile set to result from filling that hole with concrete?
- A. I couldn't say for sure, but it -- I don't think that would be likely.
- Q. Okay. Does any pile set occur if you're pounding a pile into bedrock?
 - A. You don't drive piles into bedrock.
- Q. No? What if you drill a hole into bedrock and put a pile in it? Would any pile set occur in that situation?
- A. The only situation I could think of where it might would be if -- if the bedrock you drilled through was a clay shale, that has a propensity to swell when it gets wet. If the moisture in the concrete or if moisture in the ground were to saturate that shale as a -- as a part of installing that member, then it would swell around the pile.

 But we -- we don't -- we don't consider pile set in the case of bedrock.
- Q. In the borings that were conducted in the project area for Kingwood Solar, was any clay shale

discovered?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

25

- A. Yes.
 - Q. How prevalent was that?
- A. Well, we encountered -- I believe we encountered bedrock in nine of the borings. Some had shale. Some had dolomite. I don't remember the distribution of it. The geologic maps indicate there are areas that are underlain by shales at the surface, but I don't know how prevalent that is.
- Q. Do you have Appendix L of the application available where you are sitting?
 - A. It is not in front of me, no.

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, I have some

copies of Appendix L. I can give one to the witness

and others.

ALJ HICKS: Sure.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Do you recognize the document I have given you as Appendix L from the application in this case?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, Mr. Van Kley,

just note for the record I don't believe this is the

entire copy of Appendix L just for the record.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. It's just the text.

1 | It doesn't have the attachment to it.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.

Your Honor, if I may, I would like -- may
I give the witness the full copy of the application
so he has the entire appendix in front of him?

ALJ HICKS: Sure.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Would you turn to page 6 in Appendix L, Table 1. All right. So in Table 1 we have a list of the borings that encountered bedrock, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And there were 11 borings in which bedrock was discovered?
 - A. There were 11.
 - Q. Out of 30 borings total, right?
- 16 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

2.1

- Q. And Table 1 shows the depth in the second column at which the bedrock was first encountered?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And those depths range from 2 feet below the surface to 12 feet below the surface, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And if you now go to page 16 of Appendix
 L -- I'm sorry, not 16. Let's go to 12. All right.
 And let's go to the second to the last paragraph on

that page. And I would like to direct your attention to the fourth line and there is a sentence starting on that line that states "Where the bedrock occurs at shallow depths (see Table 1), we expect that the piles will not attain the depths required in order to resist lateral and uplift loads." Do you see that sentence?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. What's meant by the reference to resisting lateral and uplift loads?
- A. When -- when a pile supports a compressive load such as the weight of the structure, it is able to derive support both from skin friction and from end bearing. It sits on -- whether it's sitting on a stiff soil or it's sitting on the bedrock, the pile tip bears on something that can -- that has capacity to help support the load.

If you put an uplift load on the pile such as a wind load, that tends to lift up the material at the pile tip, cannot resist that because it's not attached to it.

In the case of a lateral load such as the wind load blowing against the building, the same thing occurs, the material that the tip is sitting on has no capacity to resist the lateral load. If you

wanted to resist lateral loads or axial loads in bedrock, you would have to drill into the bedrock, extend the pile into that unit, and then place concrete in the hole.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And if I don't do that, then there is the danger that the pile would tip over to the side?
- A. Correct. All you would have to resist that load is the material that is on the side of the pile.
- Q. Okay. So in the next sentence it is recommended that drilling be done instead of driving the piles into the bedrock, right?
- A. If you wanted to resist lateral and axial loads in the bedrock, yes.
- Q. I thought earlier you stated that you would not be able to drive a pile into the bedrock.

 Did I misunderstand that?
- A. You can. You understood correctly. You would have to drill a hole into the rock, extend the steel pile into it, and then backfill with concrete.
- Q. So in a situation like that, let's say, for example, as shown in Table 1 on page 6, you have bedrock encountered 2 feet down, and you put your pile into a drilled hole at that location and fill it

with concrete around the pile. Then would that pile have -- or would the -- the installation or the drilling or anything else associated with the installation of that pile create any pile set?

- A. It would not -- it would not create pile set. What you would -- what you would have occur is that the -- the concrete being placed in the hole has a fluid. It would form perfectly to the shape of the hole.
- Q. And for the type of bedrock you have discovered in the borings in the project area, can water move through that bedrock from the surface?
 - A. Vertically through the bedrock?
 - O. Yes.

2.1

- A. Bedrock will have -- it will have vertical permeability if it is -- if it has an open bore structure such as a sandstone would allow that, or if the rock were vertically fractured, then water could move through it. But in -- in most geologic media, the vertical permeability tends to be a good deal less than the horizontal permeability.
- Q. So with horizontal permeability, you would have cracks in the bedrock that run to the side instead of run downward?
- A. Correct.

Q. How -- do you know how groundwater recharge is accomplished in the project area?

2.1

- A. Typically -- typ -- typically groundwater recharge would occur from rain or snowmelt. Any water that falls on the ground, a certain percentage of it will infiltrate into the ground. And after that, if -- if it's infiltrated at high elevations, it will tend to move outward to lower elevations.
- Q. Is there any particular type of geologic structure such as soils or bedrock or anything else in the project area in which groundwater recharge occurs?
 - A. Could you rephrase the question, please?
- Q. Yeah. What kind of -- what geologic conditions in the project area are conducive to groundwater discharge?
 - A. Groundwater discharge to the surface?
- Q. I mean -- I'm sorry. I blew the question. I have to start over.

What kind of geologic conditions in the project area are conducive to groundwater recharge?

A. The -- the entire area as far as the borings show is covered by a plow zone that is unconsolidated. It's of lower density. It is subject to freeze and thaw. So if any rainwater or

snow that falls on the ground is going to infiltrate it, once water infiltrates the ground surface, it will move -- it will move downward. If there is permeability there, it will move downward.

Topographically it will move horizontally in response to zones of pressure that want to be equilibrated by water moving out.

- Q. Does groundwater recharge in the project area occur, at least in part, from water running from the surface through the bedrock to get to lower depths?
 - A. I assume it does.
- Q. Let's go to page 16 of your -- of
 Appendix L. All right. And also while you are
 there, let's also take a look at page 6 of your
 testimony which I believe is marked as Kingwood Solar
 Exhibit 106. And on page 6 of your testimony, you
 discuss the potential for the corrosion of the piles
 for the solar arrays, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And basically you conclude in your testimony that corrosion of those piles is of minimal concern. Would that be an accurate reflection of your testimony?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. Now directing your attention to page 16
 of Appendix L, let's go to the paragraph that's been
 labeled "6.7 Soil Corrosive -- Corrosivity." Looking
 at the third line of that paragraph, do you see where
 it says "the overburden soils are considered
 moderately corrosive to steel at the project site.
 We recommend that measures to mitigate long-term
 corrosion of steel elements be considered in design."
 Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. So the soils in the project area are considered to be moderately corrosive to steel, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And are the piles for the solar project going to be made of steel?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know whether there is anything in the application in this proceeding that promises to utilize measures to mitigate long-term corrosion of steel elements for the piles?
- A. I have not seen the final design, so I don't know that they have considered it or they haven't. The statement that we recommend that measures to mitigate be considered, we make as a rule

because we are not structural engineers or corrosion engineers, so we -- we do the corrosivity testing at a client's request. If we see soils that the test results are moderately corrosive or corrosive, we recommend that mitigation measures be considered. That doesn't -- I don't know that the structural engineer or the corrosion engineer would agree that they are corrosive or not. It's not my expertise.

- Q. But there is no final design for the project in the application, is there?
 - A. Final design, not that I know of.
- Q. Yeah. So and you are not aware of any promises made in the application to utilize measures to mitigate long-term corrosion of the steel elements in the piles for this project, right?
- A. I am not aware of that, no.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right, your Honor.

18 | That's it for me.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.

Miami Township.

MR. SLONE: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ HICKS: Greene County.

MR. BOGGS: No questions, your Honor.

ALJ HICKS: Xenia Township.

MR. WATSON: No questions, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

2.2

23

Proceedings

```
2096
 1
                 ALJ HICKS: Cedarville Township.
 2
                 MR. BROWN: No questions, your Honor.
 3
                 ALJ HICKS: In Progress.
                 MR. HART: None, thank you.
 4
 5
                 ALJ HICKS: Ohio Farm Bureau.
                 MS. MILAM: No cross. Thank you.
 6
 7
                 ALJ HICKS: Board Staff.
 8
                 MS. BAIR: No questions.
 9
                 ALJ HICKS: Mr. Settineri, do you need a
10
     few minutes?
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yeah. We'll take 5.
11
12
     That will be good.
13
                 ALJ HICKS: Let's just come back at 4:15.
14
                 (Recess taken.)
15
                 ALJ HICKS: Okay. Let's go ahead and go
    back on the record.
16
17
                 I will hand it over to Mr. Settineri if
18
    he has any redirect.
19
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, just a few
     questions, your Honor. Thank you.
20
2.1
22
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23
    By Mr. Settineri:
24
                 Dr. Nealon, you were asked some questions
25
     about drilling piles and backfilling with concrete.
```

In a situation -- first of all, if you have to drill bedrock and backfill with concrete for a pile, would the concrete be backfilled to the surface or where would it stop?

- A. I would -- well, I would backfill it to the surface or to the -- at least to the base elevation of a foundation that it was going to connect to if it was.
- Q. In that instance would you expect surface water to be able to migrate around the pile itself between the pile and the concrete?
 - A. I would not.
- Q. And same question, would you expect surface water to be able to migrate around the concrete down?
- 16 A. No.

2.1

- Q. And why not?
- A. Because you poured the concrete when it was fluid and it would perfectly fit the -- the space that it was poured into.
- Q. You were asked some questions about I think -- I can't remember if it was recharging the groundwater; is that the right phrase?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Within the project area.

Would that recharging the ground -- recharging groundwater be occurring today in the project area?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And after the project is built, would that continue to occur?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned that you were asked a question about groundwater in the project area going -- I will paraphrase, going through the bedrock, but you said assume -- you said assume it does -- assume it does. Can you elaborate on that answer?
- A. Water that is migrating downward through an overburden soil, whether it's clay or whether it's sand, for instance, eventually will -- if it encountered the bedrock, if the bedrock is porous, it could infiltrate into the rock. If it is fractured, it can infiltrate into the rock. If the rock is a shale that is not fractured, then it could move along the shale surface with minimal infiltration. It is also possible for the groundwater regime in the bedrock to be just completely separate from that in the overburden soils if it's recharged from outside the project area.
 - Q. You were also asked some questions about

page 16 of the geotech report, Appendix L of the application. That sentence "We recommend that measures to mitigate long-term corrosion of steel elements be considered in design." What is the purpose of mitigating -- of the mitigation you reference there in that sentence?

A. What is the purpose of mitigation? The purpose of mitigation would be that if -- if any corrosion does occur, it would not affect the structural integrity of the pile.

MR. SETTINERI: No further questions,
your Honor. Thank you.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley, any recross?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes.

16

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. So when bedrock is drilled to put in a pile, does the drilling process add cracks or fractures to the bedrock around it?
 - A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. No? Why don't you believe so?
- A. You -- if you are going to -- if you are drilling into the bedrock for the purpose -- for the

```
1 | purposes of -- let me start over again.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

foundation, I will want the -- I will want the area that the deep foundation is in to be in competent bedrock below its weathered zone. It may already be fractured. It may not. But I would expect the -- the drilling process based on my experience to give you a relatively smooth bore and not disturb the -- the perimeter of that excavation.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. I have nothing else, your Honor.

12 ALJ HICKS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.

Doctor, we thank you for your testimony today.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I don't know if you asked other parties if they had any recross.

My apologies if you did.

ALJ HICKS: I'm sorry?

MR. SETTINERI: I'm sorry, your Honor.

Just for the record I just want to make sure if other parties had any recross. I don't know if you checked on that.

ALJ WILLIAMS: We've really only been taking recross from those that actually did cross.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay. Thank you.

```
2101
                 ALJ HICKS: Take up exhibits. I believe
 1
     Mr. Settineri has already moved Kingwood Exhibit 106.
 2
     Any objections to its admission?
 3
                 Hearing none, it is admitted.
 4
 5
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
                 ALJ HICKS: Let's go off the record.
 6
 7
                 (Discussion off the record.)
 8
                  (Thereupon, at 4:23 p.m., the hearing was
 9
     adjourned.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, April 25, 2022, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-7273)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/9/2022 9:02:55 AM

in

Case No(s). 21-0117-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Kingwood Solar I LLC hearing held on 04/25/22 - Volume VIII electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.