BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The : CASE NO. 20-1651-EL-AIR

Dayton Power and Light Company to Increase

Its Rates for Electric Distribution :

In the Matter of the Application of The : CASE NO. 20-1652-EL-AAM

Dayton Power and Light Company for

Accounting Authority

In the Matter of the Application of The : CASE NO. 20-1653-EL-ATA

Dayton Power and Light Company for

Approval of Revised Tariffs :

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY D/B/A AES OHIO TO DIRECT ENERGY'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO AES OHIO

The Dayton Power and Light Company d/b/a AES Ohio ("AES Ohio," "DP&L," or the "Company") objects and responds to Direct Energy's First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents to Dayton Power and Light Company, as follows.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. AES Ohio objects to and declines to respond to each and every discovery request to the extent that it seeks information that is irrelevant and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-16(B).
- 2. AES Ohio objects to and declines to respond to each and every discovery request to the extent that it is harassing, unduly burdensome, oppressive or overbroad. Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-16(B) and 4901-1-24(A).
- 3. AES Ohio objects to each and every discovery request to the extent that it seeks information that is privileged by statute or common law, including privileged communications

between attorney and client or attorney work product. Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-16(B). Such material or information shall not be provided, and any inadvertent disclosure of material or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine or any other privilege or protection from discovery is not intended and should not be construed to constitute a waiver, either generally or specifically, with respect to such information or material or the subject matter thereof.

- 4. AES Ohio objects to each and every discovery request to the extent that it seeks information that is proprietary, competitively sensitive or valuable, or constitutes trade secrets. Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-24(A).
- 5. To the extent that interrogatories seek relevant information that may be derived from the business records of AES Ohio or from an examination or inspection of such records and the burden of deriving the answer is the same for the party requesting the information as it is for AES Ohio, AES Ohio may specify the records from which the answer may be derived or ascertained and afford the party requesting the information the opportunity to examine or inspect such records. Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-19(D).
- 6. AES Ohio objects to each and every interrogatory that can be answered more efficiently by the production of documents or by the taking of depositions. Under the comparable Ohio Civil Rules, "[a]n interrogatory seeks an admission or it seeks information of major significance in the trial or in the preparation for trial. It does not contemplate an array of details or outlines of evidence, a function reserved by rules for depositions." *Penn Cent. Transp. Co. v. Armco Steel Corp.*, 27 Ohio Misc. 76, 77, 272 N.E.2d 877, 878 (Montgomery Cty. 1971). As *Penn* further noted, interrogatories that ask one to "describe in detail," "state in detail," or "describe in particulars" are "open end invitation[s] without limit on its comprehensive nature

with no guide for the court to determine if the voluminous response is what the party sought in the first place." *Id.*, 272 N.E.2d at 878.

- 7. AES Ohio objects to each and every discovery request to the extent that it calls for information that is not in AES Ohio's current possession, custody, or control or could be more easily obtained through third parties or other sources. Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-19(C) and 4901-1-20(D). AES Ohio also objects to each and every discovery request that seeks information that is already on file with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio or the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. To the extent that each and every discovery request seeks information available in pre-filed testimony, pre-hearing data submissions and other documents that AES Ohio has filed with the Commission in the pending or previous proceedings, AES Ohio objects to it. Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-16(G).
- 8. AES Ohio reserves its right to redact confidential or irrelevant information from documents produced in discovery. All documents that have been redacted will be stamped as such.
- 9. AES Ohio objects to each and every discovery request to the extent that it is vague or ambiguous or contains terms or phrases that are undefined and subject to varying interpretation or meaning, and may, therefore, make responses misleading or incorrect.
- 10. AES Ohio objects to each and every discovery request to the extent that it calls for information not in its possession, but in the possession of AES Ohio's unregulated affiliates.
- 11. AES Ohio objects to each and every discovery request to the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion, and thus seeks information that cannot be sponsored by a witness.

- 12. AES Ohio objects to each and every discovery request that seeks information that AES Ohio does not know at this time.
- 13. AES Ohio objects to each and every discovery request to the extent that it mischaracterizes previous statements or information or is an incomplete recitation of past statements or information or takes those statements or information outside of the context in which they were made.
- 14. AES Ohio objects to each and every Interrogatory that seeks information that is not facts, data, or other information known or readily available to AES Ohio. Ohio Adm.Code 4901-1-19(B).

INTERROGATORIES

Inter No. 1. For each of the calendar years 2018, 2019 and 2020, identify:

- a. The total Switching Fee revenue received by the Company;
- b. The total Historical Usage Fee revenue received by the Company;
- c. The total Electronic Interval Meter Data Fee revenue received by the Company; and
- d. The total AGS Fee revenue received by the Company (to the extent not reflected in response to a. c. above).

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or undefined), 14 (seeks information not readily available). Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states that:

- a. Please see the Company's response to IGS 2nd Set INT 5.
- b. 2018: \$413,325; 2019: \$605,925; 2020: \$722,190
- c. 2018: \$157,775; 2019: \$122,150; 2020: \$110,800
- d. Please see response to parts a. -c. above.

Witness Responsible: Robert Adams/Chad Riethmiller

Inter No. 2. For the Test Year identify:

a. The total Switching Fee revenue received by the Company;

b. The total Historical Usage Fee revenue received by the Company;

The total Electronic Interval Meter Data Fee revenue received by the c.

Company; and

d. The total AGS Fee revenue received by the Company (to the extent not

reflected in response to a. -c. above).

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or

undefined), 14 (seeks information not readily available). Subject to all general objections, AES

Ohio states that fees paid by competitive retail electric service providers were inadvertently

excluded from the forecasted portion of the test year. Further, please see responses for parts a.-

d. for the period June 2020 through August 2020 below:

a. \$37,385

b. \$114,450

c. \$29,725

d. Please see response to parts a. - c.

Witness Responsible: Robert J. Adams/Chad Riethmiller

<u>Inter No. 3.</u> During the Test Year, how many customer accounts were subjected to or associated with charges for Switching Fees? Provide separate subtotals for residential and non-residential customers.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or undefined), 14 (seeks information not readily available). Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states that there was none as switching fees are charged to the suppliers, not customers.

Witness Responsible: Chad Riethmiller

<u>Inter No. 4.</u> During the Test Year, how many customer accounts were subjected to or associated with charges for Historical Usage Fees? Provide separate subtotals for residential and non-residential customers.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or

undefined), 14 (seeks information not readily available). Subject to all general objections, AES

Ohio states that there were no customer accounts associated with Historical Usage Fees in the

test year.

Witness Responsible: Chad Riethmiller/Claire Hale

<u>Inter No. 5.</u> During the Test Year, how many customer accounts were subjected to or associated with charges for Electronic Interval Meter Data Fees? Provide separate subtotals for residential and non-residential customers.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or undefined), 14 (seeks information not readily available). Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states that there were 66 non-residential accounts and 0 residential.

Witness Responsible: Chad Riethmiller/Claire Hale

<u>Inter No. 6.</u> During the Test Year, and to the extent not reflected in the responses to Interrogatory Nos. 2 through 5 above, how many customer accounts were subjected to or associated with charges for AGS Fees? Provide separate subtotals for residential and non-residential customers.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or undefined), 14 (seeks information not readily available). Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states that no AGS Fees are passed on to customers.

Witness Responsible: Chad Riethmiller/Claire Hale

<u>Inter No. 7.</u> During the Test Tear, how many customer accounts were switched to or from the

Company and suppliers of governmental aggregators? Provide separate subtotals for residential

and non-residential customers.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 3 (privileged and

work product), 4 (proprietary), 5 (inspection of business records), 6 (calls for narrative answer),

7 (not in AES Ohio's possession or available on PUCO website), 9 (vague or undefined), 10

(possession of AES Ohio's unregulated affiliate), 11 (calls for a legal conclusion), 12 (seeks

information that AES Ohio does not know at this time), 13 (mischaracterization). Subject to all

general objections, AES Ohio states that:

Residential - 49,649

Non-residential -5,004

Witness Responsible: Robert J. Adams

<u>Inter No. 8.</u> Identify, by FERC account number, all Test Year revenue and expense associated

with the Company's 4.9% interest in OVEC.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or

undefined), 13 (mischaracterization), 14 (seeks information not readily available). Subject to all

general objections, AES Ohio states please see lines 11 through 21 on WPC-3.3, which show the

test year revenue and expenses associated with the Company's interest in OVEC.

Witness Responsible: Chad Riethmiller/Claire Hale

<u>Inter No. 9.</u> Identify, by FERC account number, all costs allocated by the Service Company to the Company during the Test Year.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or undefined), 14 (seeks information not readily available). Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states that O&M expenses assigned and/or allocated to AES Ohio during the test year are as follows:

		Test Year
560000	T-Operation supervision and engineering	\$ 30,588.0
561100	T-Load dispatch—Reliability	\$ 19,576.2
570000	T-Maintenance of station equipment	\$ 19,556.2
580000	D-Operation supervision and engineering	\$ 252,074.5
590000	D-Maintenance supervision and engineering	\$ 114,370.2
592000	D-Maintenance of station equipment	\$ 23,307.7
593000	D-Maintenance of overhead lines	\$ 2,204.1
902000	CustAcct-Meter reading expenses	\$ 174.2
903000	CustAcct-Customer records and collection expenses	\$ 65,120.2
907000	CustSrvc-Supervision	\$ 171,514.4
909000	CustSrvc-Informational and instructional advertising expenses	\$ 452,595.2
920000	A&G-Administrative and general salaries	\$ 16,195,271.0
921000	A&G-Office supplies and expenses	\$ 11,183,270.3
923000	A&G-Outside services employed	\$ 2,947,086.1
925000	A&G-Injuries and damages	\$ 96,779.8
926000	A&G-Employee pensions and benefits	\$ 1,891,841.1
930100	A&G-General advertising expenses	\$ 874,939.5
930200	A&G-Miscellaneous general expenses	\$ 158,963.2
935000	Maintenance of general plant	\$ 172,500.0

Witness Responsible: Lauren Whitehead

<u>Inter No. 10.</u> Identify, by FERC account number, all costs allocated by the Service Company to AES Ohio Generation during the Test Year.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 9 (vague or undefined), 10 (possession of AES Ohio's unregulated affiliate), 13 (mischaracterization), 14 (seeks information not readily available). AES Ohio further objects because this Interrogatory seeks information that is not relevant to this proceeding.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1. The Cost Allocation Manual described on page 12 of the Staff Report (under the heading "Allocations").

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 4 (proprietary). Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states see DP&L 001158 – DP&L 001198 – CONFIDENTIAL.

2. The FERC Letter Order described on page 12 of the Staff Report (under the heading "Allocations").

RESPONSE: Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states please see 'Direct Energy 1st Set - RPD 1-2 - Attachment 1, DP&L 0011772 – DP&L 0011775.

3. The Company's FERC Form 1 for each of the years 2018, 2019 and 2020.

RESPONSE: Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states please see Direct Energy 1st Set – RPD 1-3 – Attachment 1, Attachment 2, and Attachment 3, DP&L 0011776 – DP&L 0012461.

4. All studies, analyses, calculations, workpapers, or similar material identifying total costs incurred by the Company during the Test Year to process switches to or from the Company and AGS (plural).

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 3 (privileged and work product), 9 (vague or undefined). Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states that it does not possess responsive documents.

5. All studies, analyses, calculations, workpapers, or similar material relied on by the Company to determine the specific dollar amount of each or any AGS Fee.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 2 (unduly burdensome), 3 (privileged and work product), 9 (vague or undefined). AES Ohio further objects because the phrase "studies, analyses, calculations, workpapers, or similar material" is vague and undefined. Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states that it does not possess responsive documents.

6. All workpapers, documents, and correspondence with PUCO Staff regarding the C-3.28 adjustment referenced on p. 19 of Staff Report.

RESPONSE: General Objections Nos. 1 (relevance), 3 (privileged and work product), 9 (vague or undefined). Subject to all general objections, AES Ohio states please see Direct Energy 1st Set - RPD 1-6 - Attachment 1, DP&L 0012462.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael J. Schuler

Michael J. Schuler (0082390)

AES OHIO

1065 Woodman Drive

Dayton, OH 45432

Telephone: (937) 259-7358 Telecopier: (937) 259-7178

Email: michael.schuler@aes.com

/s/ Jeffrey S. Sharkey

Jeffrey S. Sharkey (0067892)

(Counsel of Record)

D. Jeffrey Ireland (0010443)

Christopher C. Hollon (0086480)

FARUKI PLL

110 North Main Street, Suite 1600

Dayton, OH 45402

Telephone: (937) 227-3747 Telecopier: (937) 227-3717 Email: jsharkey@ficlaw.com djireland@ficlaw.com chollon@ficlaw.com

Counsel for AES Ohio

(willing to accept service by e-mail)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Objections and Responses of The Dayton

Power and Light Company d/b/a AES Ohio to Direct Energy's First Set of Interrogatories and

Requests for Production of Documents to AES Ohio has been served via electronic mail upon the

following counsel of record, this 30th day of August, 2021:

Jodi Bair Kyle Kern Office of Ohio Attorney General 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 jodi.bair@ohioattorneygeneral.gov kyle.kern@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Counsel for Staff of the Commission

Kimberly W. Bojko Jonathan Wygonski Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, OH 43215 bojko@carpenterlipps.com wygonski@carpenterlipps.com

Counsel for The Ohio Manufacturers' Association Energy Group

Christopher Healey
Ambrosia E. Wilson
John Finnigan
The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
65 East State Street, 7th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov
ambrosia.wilson@occ.ohio.gov
john.finnigan@occ.ohio.gov

Counsel for The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Michael L. Kurtz
Kurt J. Boehm
Jody Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
Kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
Jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com

Counsel for Ohio Energy Group

Angela Paul Whitfield Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP 280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, OH 43215 paul@carpenterlipps.com

Counsel for The Kroger Company

Stephanie M. Chmiel
Kevin D. Oles
Thompson Hine LLP
41 South High Street, Suite 1700
Columbus, OH 43215
Stephanie.Chmiel@ThompsonHine.com
Kevin.Oles@ThompsonHine.com

Counsel for the University of Dayton

Matthew R. Pritchard
Rebekah J. Glover
Bryce A. McKenney
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com
rglover@mcneeslaw.com
bmckenney@mcneeslaw.com

Counsel for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

Robert Dove KEGLER BROWN HILL + RITTER CO., L.P.A. 65 East State Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-4295 rdove@keglerbrown.com

Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

Carrie H. Grundmann SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC 110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500 Winston-Salem, NC 27103 cgrundmann@spilmanlaw.com

Derrick Price Williamson SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

Counsel for Walmart Inc.

Mark A. Whitt
Lucas A. Fykes
WHITT STURTEVANT LLP
The KeyBank Building
88 East Broad Street, Suite 1590
Columbus, OH 43215
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
fykes@whitt-sturtevant.com

Counsel for Direct Energy Business LLC and Direct Energy Services, LLC

Bethany Allen
Joseph Oliker
Michael Nugent
Evan Betterton
IGS ENERGY
6100 Emerald Parkway
Dublin, OH 43016
bethany.allen@igs.com
joe.oliker@igs.com
michael.nugent@igs.com
evan.betterton@igs.com

Counsel for IGS Energy

Frank Darr 6800 Linbrook Boulevard Columbus, Ohio 43235 fdarr2019@gmail.com

Counsel for Retail Energy Supply Association

Miranda Leppla 1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I Columbus, OH 43212-3449 mleppla@theoec.org

Counsel for Environmental Law & Policy Center

Devin D. Parram Rachael N. Mains BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 dparram@bricker.com rmains@bricker.com

Counsel for The Ohio Hospital Association

Drew Romig
ARMADA POWER, LLC
230 West Street, Suite 150
Columbus, OH 43215
dromig@nationwideenergypartners.com

Counsel for Nationwide Energy Partners, LLC

Christina Wieg FROST BROWN TODD LLC 10 West Broad Street, Suite 2300 Columbus, OH 43215 cwieg@fbtlaw.com

Darren A. Craig (Pending Pro Hac Vice) Robert L. Hartley (Pending Pro Hac Vice) FROST BROWN TODD LLC 201 North Illinois Street, Suite 1900 P.O. Box 44961 Indianapolis, IN 46204 dcraig@fbtlaw.com rhartley@fbtlaw.com

Counsel for Nationwide Energy Partners, LLC

Kara Herrnstein BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 kherrnstein@bricker.com

Counsel for ChargePoint, Inc.

Matthew W. Warnock Dylan F. Borchers BRICKER & ECKLER LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 mwarnock@bricker.com dborchers@bricker.com

Marion H. Little, Jr.
Christopher J. Hogan
ZEIGER, TIGGES & LITTLE LLP
41 South High Street
3500 Huntington Center
Columbus, OH 43215
little@litohio.com
hogan@litohio.com

Katie Johnson Treadway James Dunn ONE ENERGY ENTERPRISES LLC Findlay, OH 45840 ktreadway@oneenergyllc.com jdunn@oneenergyllc.com

Counsel for One Energy Enterprises, LLC

/s/ Christopher C. Hollon Christopher C. Hollon

1509680.1

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/6/2022 10:35:04 AM

in

Case No(s). 20-1651-EL-AIR, 20-1652-EL-AAM, 20-1653-EL-ATA

Summary: Exhibit Direct Energy Exh 2 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc.